Geochemistry of Maastrichtian Clastic Sedimentary Rocks from Western Flank of Anambra Basin, Nigeria: Implications for Provenance, Tectonic Setting, Paleoclimate and Depositional Paleoenvironment
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Abstract

The geochemistry, provenance, tectonic setting, paleoclimate and depositional paleoenvironment of Maastrichtian clastic sedimentary rocks from the western flank of Cretaceous-Tertiary intracratonic Anambra Basin, Nigeria have been studied through major and trace element geochemical analysis. Ten (10) representatives outcrop samples collected from two stratigraphic sections in the study area were analyzed chemically using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy, ICP-AES (Lithium Borate Fusion). The geochemical data shows that the sediments are enriched in major oxides including SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ but depleted in other major element oxides. Also, the sandstones have higher concentrations in SiO₂, CaO and Na₂O compared to the associated mudrocks whereas the mudrocks are more enriched in Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO, K₂O, TiO₂ and P₂O₅ compared to the sandstones. The mudrock samples have higher concentration of Ba, Ni, Sr, Y, Nb, Sc in comparison to sandstones. However, sandstone samples have higher concentration in Zr (1098.50 ppm) than the mudrocks (1038 ppm). The sandstones are classified as litharenites, sublitharenites, Fe-rich sandstones, while the mudrocks are labeled as shale and Fe-rich shale based on chemical composition. Analysis of the data shows a dominantly felsic igneous to intermediate igneous and quartzose sedimentary provenances of mixed granite and granodiorite composition as indicated by various discriminant plots. The inferred provenance is corroborated by the low concentrations of Cr, Ni and Sc in the samples and other proxies. On the basis of various tectonic setting discriminant function diagrams, the Maastrichtian sediments from the study area are dominantly derived from the source area of granite in the eastern part of the basin.
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area were deposited on passive margin tectonic setting that developed consequent to the breakup of Gwandana supercontinent but was later affected by tectonism in Santonian times. The alteration feldspars to smectite and kaolinite as depicted by the A-CN-K ternary diagram alongside the high values of chemical index of alteration (CIA, 87.38 - 98.09) and chemical index of weathering (CIW, 89.22 - 99.90) indicates paleogeographic setting characterized by warm and humid/tropical paleoclimatic condition where there was prevalence of intense chemical weathering. The low values of Sr/Ba ratio (0.31 - 0.70) suggest sediment deposition in continental to deltaic paleoenvironment.
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1. Introduction
The study area with geographic coordinates defined by latitudes 7°07’N and 7°13’N longitudes 6°21’E and 6°31’E is situated on the western flank of Anambra Basin in southern Nigeria. The outcropping sedimentary successions investigated in the current study display the characteristics of Mamu Formation (Figure 1). The Anambra Basin is a Mesozoic-Cenozoic petroliferous and coal-bearing basin located within the southern Benue Trough which evolution is related to the opening of the Gulf of Guinea and the separation of African and South American Plates sequel to the breakup of Gwandana supercontinent during Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous times. The NE-SW oriented basin has a roughly triangular outline and covers about 40,000 Km² [1] with sedimentary thickness of over 5000 m. The basinal fill consists of a wide spectrum of lithologies both in lateral and temporal relationships including limestone, marl, shale, coal, sandstone and siltstone. The occurrence of favorable stratigraphic architecture of interbedded sandstones and shales alongside sparse limestones [2] in the southern Benue Trough spurred the first interest for hydrocarbon exploration in the early 1900s. The effort was rewarded by the discovery of commercial coal deposit in the Anambra Basin in 1916. The initial discovery of petroleum was not in commercial quantity. The later discovery of commercial quantity of hydrocarbon in contiguous Niger Delta in 1956 by Shell D Arcy rekindled research interests of authors to extend the exploration efforts to other basins in Nigeria, including Anambra Basin. These efforts have led the discovery of commercial quantity hydrocarbon in the basin. Consequently, there are plethora of publications on petroleum geochemical studies [e.g. [3] [4] [5] [6] [7]] of Anambra Basin available in literature. There is however a dearth of publications on provenance studies in the basin. The few available provenance studies [e.g. [8] [9]] applied the petrographic approach which allows one to make deductions only from analysis of modal composition of the framework grains of sandstones or coarse-grained sediments while excluding the matrix component and the as-
associated mudrocks in the stratigraphic section. According to Eynatten1 et al. [10], petrographic provenance study is prone to high methodical errors (counting statistics) in the quantification of individual variables. Furthermore, the mineralogical and chemical composition of coarse grained sedimentary rocks frequently used for petrographic analysis may be significantly different from that of the parent material because of the effect of hydraulic sorting of grains controlled by grain size, grain shape and density of sedimentary particles. In consideration of the aforementioned reasons, Blatt [11] and Roser and Korsch [12] suggested that mudrocks and shale should be used more extensively in provenance study.

The sedimentary fill of a basin is controlled by a complex interplay between sediment supply, tectonic subsidence and sea level fluctuations and preserves the record of source rock composition, paleoclimate, paleogeography and tectonic history. The importance of geochemical provenance interpretations cannot be overemphasized. It provides useful information that permits better insight into the evolutionary history of a basin and it is an integral part of basin analysis. Basin analysis is an integrated programme of study that involves application of sedimentologic, stratigraphic, and tectonic principles to develop a full understanding of the rocks that fill sedimentary basins and to use this information to interpret the geologic history and evaluate the economic importance of these rocks [13]. According to Jian et al. [14] provenance analysis has industrial implications such as helping to evaluate the relationship between source and sink, discriminating sedimentary systems and depositional areas and thus facilitating oil and gas exploration. Inorganic geochemical study of sediments is an important tool in the determination of provenance, environment of deposition and paleo-weathering at source area. In addition, it serves as major tool in the discrimination of tectonic settings. According to Herron and Herron [15], the introduction of modern chemical analytical techniques (X-ray fluorescence, energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry, atomic absorption spectrometry, and so many more) has enabled a large number of high-quality chemical analyses of rocks, including sandstones and shale, to become available without corresponding detailed petrographic study. Despite its usefulness however, provenance analysis of Anambra basin fill has received only little attention. This study therefore seeks to utilize major and trace element geochemical analysis to unravel the provenance, tectonic setting and paleoclimatic conditions of sediments of Mamu Formation exposed in parts of the western flank of Anambra Basin.

2. Geology and Stratigraphy of Anambra Basin

The study area is situated in the western part of Anambra Basin (Figure 1 & Figure 2). The basin is one of the structural features of the Southern Benue Trough. Topographically seen as roughly triangular shaped NE-SW trending siliciclastic wedge, Anambra Basin covers an area of about 40,000 km² with sediment thickness increasing southwards to maximum of roughly 6000 m [1]. The
Figure 1. Geologic map of the Southern Benue Trough and Anambra Basin showing the location of the study area (modified after Nwaigide and Reijers, [1]).

Figure 2. Road and drainage map of the study area showing the location of outcrop exposures as red triangles (Modified from Federal Survey map, Nigeria).
Benue Trough is Nigeria’s portion of the regionally extensive Central Africa Rift System that evolved in response to extensional block faulting and crustal subsidence subsequent to Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous breakup of the Gondwana supercontinent [16]. The event culminated in the separation of African and South American plates, evolution of Gulf Guinea and the formation of an aulacogen, the Benue Trough as the failed arm of the rift-rift-rift (RRR) triple junction. Major transform fault zones were thought to have played significant role in the distribution and geometry of numerous subbasins although there is lack of direct evidence for their existence [2] [16]. Two major marine transgressive-regressive cycles occurred in the Benue Trough between Albian and Santonian. The first transgression began in Albian and resulted in the deposition of roughly 2000 m of clastic sediments of the Asu River Group [17]. The Asu River Group consists of micaceous sandstones, sandy shales, siltstone, mudstones, and subordinate lenses of limestones. The succeeding regressive phase in the Cenomanian resulted in the deposition of beds of Odukpani Formation only on the Calabar flank.

The onset of the second marine transgressive-regressive cycle in the Abakaliki-Benue depression between Turonian to Coniacian resulted in the deposition of thick, dark grey fissile laminated shales, calcareous siltstones and limestones of the Eze-Aku Formation which is succeeded by Turonian to Santonian thick bedded, grey-black laminated shales with interbeds of shelly limestone and fine to medium grained sandstone of the Awgu Formation deposited during the peak of marine transgression [16] [17]. Deposition in the Benue Valley was truncated by the late Santonian compressive forces, folding and uplift of the Abakaliki region, forcing the depocentre to migrate northwestward to the proto Anambra platform, causing it to subside [16] [17]. The uplifted succession of the Abakaliki Anticlinorium became the topographic provenance that sourced the bulk of the sediments in the Anambra Basin [18] [19]. Prior to the Santonian tectonic event, the proto Anambra Basin was a platform thinly draped by sediments [20]. Major sedimentation in the Anambra Basin began in the Campanian with a short marine transgression followed by regression (Figure 3). The basal lithostratigraphic unit of the Anambra Basin sedimentary sequence is the Nkporo Group, consisting of Nkporo shales, Enugu Shale and Owelli Sandstone as its lateral equivalent [21].

The Nkporo Shale is composed of dark grey, very fissile but soft shale and sandstone with occasional thin interbeds of sandy shales, sandstone and marl with Sulphur coatings [2]. The formation is highly fossiliferous, consisting of both marine and terrestrially derived fauna, characterized significantly by dominant organo-facies responsible for hydrocarbon source system [22]. The Enugu Shale is restricted to the central and northern parts of the Anambra Basin and consists of carbonaceous grey black shale and coals with interbeds of very fines and sandstone/siltstone deposited in lower flood plain and swampy environment. The Owelli Sandstone consists of massive, hard ferruginous cross-bedded
sandstone. The sandstone is fine to coarse grained, poorly sorted, and may contain pebbles which are poorly sorted and well-rounded while fine grains are subrounded [2]. The sandstone contains interbeds of white to brown laminated siltstones and carbonaceous claystones and it is mineralogically mature but texturally immature [23].

Subsequent marine regression in the Maastrichtian resulted in the deposition of Mamu, Ajali and Nsukka Formations. The Maastrichtian Mamu Formation (formerly called Lower Coal Measures) consists of alternation of fine to medium grained, well sorted sandstone, dirty grey shales and sandy shale, carbonaceous shale, siltstones, including five bituminous coal seams which range from tens of centimeters to about 3.5 m in thickness in Enugu area [17]. The siltstone facies contains abundant burrows of Teichichnus and Thalossinoids and other ichnogenera, mostly horizontal burrow types [17]. The coal and shale facies contain abundant fossil flora especially those of continental and freshwater origin as well as fauna, especially foraminifera such as Textularia hockleyensis, Haplophragmoides hausia [17]. Also, frequent occurrence of channel cuts in the fine-grain sandstone facies was reported by Nwajide [17]. Coal beds together with carbonaceous shales are present towards the basal part of the formation. The occurrence of coal suggests that the formation was deposited in paludal, deltaic and possibly shallow marine settings [24]. The sedimentary succession of Mamu looks like a typical cyclotherm and is succeeded by Ajali Formation. The Ajali Formation (formerly False Bedded Sandstone) lies conformably on Mamu Formation and consists mainly of thick, friable, moderately sorted, whitish medium
to coarse grained, burrowed sandstone, typically white with large scale occasionally clay-draped cross-beding [25]. Odundun [26], while making reference to the work of Adegoke [27] stated that the Ajali Sandstone is a continental/fluviodeltaic sequence characterized by a regressive phase of a short-lived Maastrichtian transgression with sediments derived from westerly areas of Abakaliki anticlinorium and the granitic basement units of Adamawa-Oban Massifs. The sandstone is a coarsening upward sequence in places. The Nsukka Formation conformably overlies the Ajali Sandstone. The formation occurs extensively in the Anambra Basin and marks the end of Cretaceous sedimentation in the basin. Lithologically, it consists coarse to medium grained sandstones, and passes upward into well-bedded blue clays, fine grained sandstones, and carbonaceous shales with thin bands of limestone ([28]. The shale contains significant amount of organic matter and may be potential source for the hydrocarbon in the southern part of the Niger Delta [21].

The last major marine cycle in the early Tertiary led to the deposition of Paleocene Imo Shale. According to Nwajide [[17], p. 277] The extensive marine transgression that resulted in the deposition of Paleocene Imo Formation marked the termination of Anambra Basin regime and heralded the Niger Delta of which the formation is the oldest lithostratigraphic unit. The Ameki Group and the Ogwashi-Asaba Formation were deposited mainly on the Benin flank and southern part of Anambra Basin [17].

3. Material and Methods

Samples of mudrock and sandstone were collected from all sedimentation units during field work. Samples were studied megascopically to document the lithological and textural attributes of the rocks. Selection of rock samples for geochemical analysis was based on stratigraphic and lithologic considerations in such a manner as to capture the entire stratigraphic sections and rock types. A total number of 10 samples comprising six sandstones and four mudrocks collected from the two (2) outcrops were analyzed for their major and trace element composition using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (Lithium Borate Fusion). Rock samples were firstly ground to powder with the aid of agate mortar and pestle at the Geochemistry Laboratory of The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria following which samples were packaged and sent to Canada.

Precisely 0.25 g aliquots of each sample were mixed with a flux of lithium metaborate and lithium tetra borate and fused in an induction furnace at 1000°C. The melted melt was immediately poured into a solution of dilute HNO₃. The digested samples were analyzed for their major oxides and the trace elements using ICP-AES facilities at the Mineral Laboratory of Acme Laboratories Limited, Vancouver British Columbia, Canada. The oxides of 10 major elements namely, Si, Al, Mg, Ca, Fe, K, Na, P, Mn, and Ti were analyzed. Trace elements measured were Nb, Ba, Cr, Ni, Sr, Zr, Ni, Y and Sc. Major element data is re-
ported in normalized percentages and trace element data is reported in parts per million (ppm). Loss on ignition (LOI) was determined by igniting a sample split and then measuring the weight loss. This was done after roasting the sample at 1000˚C for 2 hours. Quality control was guaranteed by totals ranging between 99.95 and 99.88 wt% for major and trace elements. Sample preparation was handled with caution to avoid sample contamination. Further details of the analytical procedures, precision, accuracy and standards used for instrumental calibration can be obtained from Acme Laboratories Limited, Vancouver, Canada.

4. Result
4.1. Major Elements

The result of geochemical analysis performed on selected rock samples from the study area is presented in Table 1 & Table 2. The bulk geochemistry of the major elements of 6 sandstone and 4 shale samples reflects the mineralogy of the samples. The sandstone samples have relatively higher SiO₂ concentrations (78.7% - 94.23%; average 87.99%) compared to the shale samples (38.41% - 83.4%; average 61.17%). One of the shale samples (B6) has significantly low SiO₂ concentration of 38.41%. Comparatively, the shale samples are more enriched in Al₂O₃ (7.82% - 30.36%; average 20.73%) compared to the sandstones (3.51% - 10.69%; average 6.27%). Immobile oxides like Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ show slightly higher values compared to other oxide, and they even exceed the PAAS normalization value in the shale samples. Al₂O₃ and K₂O indicate the occurrence of potassium feldspars, mica and clay minerals like smectite and kaolinite. All the associated shale or mudstone are relatively enriched in Fe₂O₃, MgO, K₂O, TiO₂ and P₂O₅ (average 3.56%, 0.18%, 0.7%, 1.34% and 0.09% respectively) in comparison with the sandstones (average 1.71%, 0.03%, 0.23%, 0.74% and 0.05% respectively) indicating their higher concentrations in shale. On the contrary, the sandstone samples have higher concentrations of CaO and Na₂O (average 0.03% and 0.03%) compared to the shale samples (average 0.08% and 0.11%). An increase in the abundance of Fe-bearing clay minerals or iron oxide minerals like magnetite, leucoxene can result in high concentration of Fe₂O₃. Both the sandstone and shale samples are strongly depleted in MnO and P₂O₅, and there are no significant differences in their concentrations among samples. By comparison with the average upper continental crust (UCC) and Post Achaean Australian shale (PAAS), which represents continentally derived sediments [29] [30], the sandstones are enriched in SiO₂ while the shale are slightly depleted in SiO₂. However, one of the shale samples (B10) has SiO₂ concentration of 70.57% which is higher than the average UCC and PAAS. The sandstones are highly depleted in Al₂O₃ with respect to average UCC and PAAS values, whereas the shales are enriched in Al₂O₃. One of the shale samples (B6) has significantly higher concentration of Al₂O₃ (30.36%) with respect to both average UCC and PAAS values. An increase in the abundance of Fe-bearing clay mineral or iron oxide minerals like magnetite, leucoxene can result in high concentration of
Table 1. Major element concentrations (wt%) of samples from Upper Maastrichtian Mamu Formation on the western flank of Anambra Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sandstone</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Ave</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>PAAS</th>
<th>UCC</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B6</th>
<th>B10</th>
<th>Ave</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analyte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>92.48</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td>94.02</td>
<td>80.18</td>
<td>88.38</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>87.99</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>94.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃/SiO₂</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>7.5005</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>17.65</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂/TiO₂</td>
<td>201.18</td>
<td>294.47</td>
<td>391.75</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>210.43</td>
<td>52.82</td>
<td>202.01</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>391.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.58</td>
<td>73.16</td>
<td>39.19</td>
<td>45.82</td>
<td>47.19</td>
<td>30.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃/Na₂O</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>32.09</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>38.45</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>54.21</td>
<td>45.05</td>
<td>33.70</td>
<td>15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O/Na₂O</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al + k + Na</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.62</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>30.93</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>92.46</td>
<td>93.35</td>
<td>87.38</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>95.51</td>
<td>95.21</td>
<td>93.07</td>
<td>87.38</td>
<td>95.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>93.32</td>
<td>98.09</td>
<td>97.57</td>
<td>95.97</td>
<td>93.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIW</td>
<td>94.72</td>
<td>95.38</td>
<td>89.82</td>
<td>99.53</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>99.12</td>
<td>95.89</td>
<td>89.82</td>
<td>99.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>99.11</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>99.62</td>
<td>99.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICV</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fe₂O₃ All the analyzed samples show depletion in elemental oxides like MgO, Na₂O, K₂O and TiO₂ with respect to the average UCC and PAAS values. P₂O₅ and MnO are strongly depleted in all the samples compared to average UCC and PAAS values. The depletion of the rocks in K₂O, Na₂O and CaO does not only suggest quartz dilution but also implies that the sediments have suffered from chemical weathering at the source area [31] [32]. Feldspars exert primary control on the abundances of Na, K and Ca in crystalline rocks and therefore strong depletion in Na₂O, K₂O and CaO implies destruction of both plagioclase and K-feldspars as a consequence of chemical weathering in the provenance or during sediment transport to basin.
SiO$_2$ concentration has a strong negative correlation with Al$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Table 3), pointing to the fact that much of the SiO$_2$ occurs either as detrital.

**Table 2.** Trace element concentrations (wt%) of samples from Upper Maastrichtian Mamu Formation on the western flank of Anambra Basin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte (ppm)</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
<th>B5</th>
<th>B7</th>
<th>B9</th>
<th>B8</th>
<th>Ave</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>PASS</th>
<th>UCC</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B6</th>
<th>B10</th>
<th>Ave</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>133.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>189.00</td>
<td>106.00</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>134.25</td>
<td>106.00</td>
<td>189.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>30.33</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2385.00</td>
<td>453.00</td>
<td>2717.00</td>
<td>1098.50</td>
<td>154.00</td>
<td>2717.00</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>228.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>2471.00</td>
<td>1171.00</td>
<td>1038.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>2471.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>49.50</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UCC: average upper continental crust, PASS: Average Post Achaean Australian Shale, after [29] [30].

**Table 3.** (a) correlation matrix of major and trace element concentration of sandstone samples from the study area; (b) correlation matrix of major and trace element concentration of shale samples from the study area.

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Cr$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Ba</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Sc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
quartz grains or cement. The ratio of SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ is highly variable ranging from 7.50 to 26.85 and it shows high silica to alumina content. The K$_2$O/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio in the sandstones is low (0.01-0.05) and it is an indication of low concentration of K-bearing minerals relative to alumina bearing ones. The concentration of Al$_2$O$_3$ in the samples shows strong positive correlation with Fe$_2$O$_3$, MgO, K$_2$O, TiO$_2$, and P$_2$O$_5$ (r = 0.61, 0.94, 0.96, 0.82 and 0.82 respectively) but shows very strong negative correlation with Na$_2$O and CaO (r = −0.63 and −0.98). The association of Al$_2$O$_3$ with oxides Fe$_2$O$_3$, K$_2$O, TiO$_2$, P$_2$O$_5$ suggests their derivation from aluminosilicates [31].

The analyzed samples are classified based on the geochemical classification scheme proposed by Herron [33]. The bivariate plot of log (Fe$_2$O$_3$/K$_2$O) versus log (SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$) has proven to be a useful discriminant tool for the classification of clastic sedimentary rocks on the basis of their major element composition. The shale samples were classified mainly as Fe-shale and shale, while the sandstone samples fell in sub-litharenite, litharenite and Fe-sandstone fields (Figure 4). According to this scheme, sandstone sample from the study area are predominantly litharenites and sublitharenites, which suggests that they are chemically immature. This deduction is however negated by the high SiO$_2$ and CIA values.
4.2. Trace Elements

An overview of some trace element concentration and elemental ratios are presented in Table 2. The shales samples have higher concentration of Ba, Ni, Sr, Y, Nb, Sc. (average 134, 25, 58, 49.50, 35.25, and 14.50 ppm) in comparison to sandstones samples (71, 20, 30.33, 33.17, 20 and 5.17). However, sandstone samples have higher concentration in Zr (1098.50 ppm) than the shales (1038 ppm). Shale and sandstones samples are highly depleted in Ba (71 and 134 ppm) relative to the average UCC and PAAS values (550 and 650 ppm). All the samples are enriched in Zr in comparison to average UCC and PAAS, suggesting the presence of heavy mineral zircon. Zr is an ultra-stable heavy mineral and will survive the rigour of weathering, and diagenesis. Average concentration of Sr in shale and sandstones (30.33 and 58.00 ppm) are significantly lower than their averages in UCC and PAAS (350.0 and 200 ppm). Ni has the same average concentration value of 20 ppm as that of UCC for sandstone samples, however, it is depleted when compared to the average PAAS concentration (55 ppm). on the other hand, the average concentration Ni in shales (26 ppm) is higher than the average UCC (20 ppm) but lower than the average PAAS value of 55 ppm. Average concentrations of Y, Nb in both shales (49.50, 20 ppm) and sandstone (33.17, 35.25 ppm) sample are higher than the average PAAS (27, 19 ppm) and UCC (22, 12 ppm) values. meaning both shale and sandstone samples are enriched in Y and Nb. The sandstone samples are depleted in Sc compared to average PAAS (16 ppm) and UCC (13.6 ppm) values, whereas, the shale samples are slightly enriched in Sc in comparison to average UCC (13.6 ppm) but slightly depleted compared to average PAAS value of 14.50 ppm. One of the major characteristics of the trace elements distribution is the generally strong positive correlation of Ni, Sr and Sc with A_2O_3 indicating that these elements are associated with aluminosilicates. Ba, Y and Nb show very weak positive correlation with Al_2O_3 while Zr concentration indicates very strong negative correlation. On the other hand, Zr abundances show strong positive correlation with SiO_2 (Table 3). This is because Zr is associated with zircon, an ultra-stable heavy mineral that usually get concentrated with detrital quartz. In a similar vein, Sr, Ni and Sc show strong or moderate positive correlation with Fe_2O_3 while Zr show strong negative correlation. Ba, Y and Nb display weak negative correlation with Fe_2O_3.

5. Discussion of Results

5.1. Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of siliciclastic sedimentary rocks is controlled by numerous factors such as weathering, sediment transport and depositional environment. The most essential of these factors include 1) composition of parent rocks, 2) grain size sorting effect during sediment transport and deposition [34], 3) chemical weathering during the entire sedimentary process and outcrop exposure [36], and 4) burial diagenesis and metamorphism [35]. According to Jian et al. [14], it is necessary to evaluate the effects of the last three factors of chemi-
Hydrodynamic sorting of detrital particles on the bases of density, grain size and particle shape during sediment transport and deposition results in mineralogical heterogeneity and consequently chemical differentiation. The effect of grain size arising from hydrodynamic sorting can be easily observed on the geochemical data presented in Table 1 and Table 2. The sandstones have more SiO$_2$ contents but less Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ than the associated mudrocks. SiO$_2$ concentrations increase with increasing grain size as a result of quartz enrichment while Al$_2$O$_3$ concentration increases with decreasing grain size because fine-grained sediments are enriched in clay minerals. According to Ben-Awuah et al. [37], grain size differences is the result of hydrodynamic sorting during sediment transport and deposition leading to coarser grained sediments being generally richer in SiO$_2$ and K$_2$O (wt%) contents as a result of their higher quartz and feldspar contents compared to finer grained ones. Compositional differences in the studied samples are manifest in the 4 various classes depicted by the geochemical classification scheme of Herron [33]. The plot identified the sandstones as Fe-sandstone, sublitharenites and litharenites while the mudrocks are designated as Fe-shale, shale and sublitharenites (Figure 4). This separation of samples into different classes is the result of variation in grain size with medium- or coarse-grained sediments designated mainly as litharenite, sublitharenites and Fe sandstone while fine grained sediments are mainly shale or Fe-shale and sub-litharenite. The analyzed sediments indicate moderate chemical maturity because of the absence of sample in quartz arenite field.

Furthermore, the geochemistry of major elements of sandstone samples suggests that they are strongly depleted in K$_2$O, Na$_2$O, and CaO, but shows quite...
significant enrichment in SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$. This trend can be attributed to the high mobility character exhibited by K$^+$, Na$^+$, and Ca$^{2+}$ ions in humid climatic setting. They tend to leach easily in contrast to the immobile Ti$^{4+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ ions, since the latter have high resistance to erosion. SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio increases with grain size and it is an indicator chemical maturity of the sandstones. SiO$_2$ is resistant to chemical weathering. According to Bhatia, [38], Al$_2$O$_3$ is a common member of mature residual product of weathering as a result of its immobility.

Cox et al. [39] demonstrated how the present chemical composition can be used to deduce the original detrital mineralogy of shale samples. One way of achieving this is to use the index of chemical variability, $ICV = (\text{FeO}_3 + k_2O + \text{Na}_2O + \text{CaO} + \text{MgO} + \text{TiO}_2):\text{Al}_2O_3$ and the ratio of $K_2O:Al_2O_3$. According to Cox et al. [39] and Culler and Podkovyrv [40] non-clay minerals have a higher ratio of the major cations to Al$_2$O$_3$ than clay minerals and so the non-clay minerals have a higher ICV. They stated that ICV decreases in the order of pyroxene and amphibole (c. 10 - 100), biotite (c. 8), alkali feldspar (c. 0.8 - 1), plagioclase (c. 0.6), muscovite and illite (c. 0.3), montmorillonite (c. 0.15 - 0.3), and kaolinite (c. 0.03 - 0.05). Cox et al. [39] and Culler and Podkovyrv [40] further stated that immature shales, which are typical of first cycle deposits in tectonically active settings, have a high percent of non-clay silicate minerals and therefore contain ICV values of greater than one while more mature mudrocks with mostly clay minerals have lower ICV values of less than one. The average ICV of the analyzed shale samples is 0.30 (range 0.17 - 0.43), suggesting the original shales contained dominantly muscovite and clay minerals and is compositionally mature and may have been recycled. The ICV values also suggest deposition of sediments in passive margin tectonic settings.

In addition, $K_2O/Al_2O_3$ ratios may indicate how much alkali feldspar vs. plagioclase and clay minerals may have been present in the original shales [39]. In decreasing order of values, the $K_2O:Al_2O_3$ ratios of minerals are alkali feldspars (0.4 - 1), illite (0.3), other clay minerals (roughly 0) [39] [40]. According to Cox et al. [39] and Culler and Podkovyrv [40], shales with ratios of $K_2O:Al_2O_3$ greater than 0.5 suggest a significant quantity of alkali feldspar relative to other minerals in the original shale; those with ratios of $K_2O:Al_2O_3$ less than 0.4 suggest minimal alkali feldspar in the original shale. The $K_2O:Al_2O_3$ values of samples for the present study are generally low both for the sandstones (0.00 - 0.05) and shales (0.00 - 0.01), suggesting the presence of insignificant amount of alkali feldspar in the original sediments.

5.2. Provenance

The composition of sediments is typical of certain assemblages of igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary provenances that have unique style of sedimentary history [34]. Some major and trace elements are considered to be very useful for constraining the composition of provenance and tectonic setting as a result of
their immobile nature during weathering and transport and their short residence
time in saline water [29]. Since these elements are thought to fractionate only a
little during continental erosion, they are distributed proportionately into clastic
sediments, thereby reflecting the chemical signature of the parent materials [29]
[41]. Two commonly used provenance discrimination diagrams are those of [12]
and [42]. Roser and Korsh [12] introduced two major elements discriminant
function diagrams to constrain provenances and compositions of source rocks.
The first diagram is best suited for sediments containing little or no biogenic
fraction while the second one is adapted for sediments with significant biogenic
content. The diagrams differentiated four types of source rocks: 1) Mafic igneous
(basaltic and subordinate andesitic detritus), 2) intermediate igneous (domi-
nantly andesitic detritus) 3) Felsic (acidic plutonic and volcanic detritus), and 4)
quartzose sedimentary (recycled detritus). Samples for this study contain no si-
gnificant biogenic content based on geochemical signature of very low CaO con-
centration and judging from megascopic examination of samples. Plots on the
relevant provenance diagram of [12] indicates that majority of the samples fall
well within the quartzose sedimentary provenance field with only one sample
falling in the felsic igneous field, suggesting tectonic uplift and sedimentary re-
cycling (Figure 5). The spread of the samples may result from compositional
variations in the protoliths. This result suggests a significant contribution from
recycled detritus or intensive sediment reworking. Recycled sedimentary prove-
nance interpretation is in conformity with the consensus claim by some authors
in the basin, [e.g. [4] [18]] that recycled sediment from the Abakaliki anticline
located E of the study area was the major source of terrigenous input to the San-
tonian Anambra Basin. The provenance indicated by [12] discriminant diagram
is however different from the one depicted by [42]. Hayashi et al. [42] employed
bivariate plot of TiO$_2$ against Al$_2$O$_3$ to discriminate among four provenance
types: basalt, basalt-granite, granite-basalt and granite sources. The plot shows
that half of the samples (five) fell in the granite field, two in the granite-basalt
field, two in the basalt-granite field and one in an undefined field (Figure 6).
They also employed bivariate plot of TiO$_2$ vs. Zr to discriminate three prove-
nance types: mafic igneous, intermediate igneous and felsic igneous rock prove-
nances. Provenance interpretations from TiO$_2$ and Zr ratios is premised on the
fact that these elements Ti and Zr) are associated with some of the most stable
heavy minerals (rutile and Zircon) in siliciclastic rocks and are therefore thought
to preserve the geochemical signatures of the parent rocks [37] [43]. This dia-
gram (Figure 7) indicates that most of the analyzed samples fall in the felsic ig-
neous field with only one sample falling in the intermediate igneous rock field,
indicating a provenance consisting dominantly of felsic igneous rocks with mi-
nor contribution from intermediate igneous rocks. A comparison of the sample
distributions in the two [42] provenance schemes indicates that there are no sig-
nificant differences in outcomes if it is assumed that their basalt-granite and
granite-basalt fields are equivalent to intermediate igneous field except for one
sample with an undefined provenance. It is however difficult to decide the provenance of the sample that fell in the undefined field. Hayashi et al. [42] failed to recognize quartzose sedimentary (recycled detritus) provenance.

Figure 5. Discriminant function diagram for provenance signatures of sandstone-shale samples from the study area using major elements, fields after [12].

Figure 6. Scatter diagram of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ vs. $\text{TiO}_2$ for the analyzed samples, fields after [42].
Trace elements especially high field strength elements (e.g. Y and Zr) and ferromagnesian elements (e.g. Ni, Cr and Sc) also can be used as proxy for provenance because they are relatively immobile and undergo/experience little/minimal fractionation during weathering and erosion. The concentration of Y is higher in argillaceous rocks than in sandstones. All the samples are relatively enriched in Y compared to the UCC and PAAS. The abundances of Zr in the samples are highly variable in both argillaceous and siliceous sediments. On the average, both sandstones and shales samples are enrichment in Zr compared to the UCC and PAAS. The high variations in the concentration of Zr among samples may result from hydraulic sorting during sediment transport. Zirconium (Zr) content of detrital sedimentary rocks is much associated with ultrastable heavy mineral Zircon (ZrSiO$_4$) which is very resistant to both mechanical disintegration and chemical decomposition. Consequently, it is enriched in the detritus compared to the parent material. The high concentration of Zr suggests felsic igneous, and/or quartzose sedimentary provenance since felsic igneous rocks are relatively enriched in Zr compared to mafic igneous rocks.

Moreover, the deduced of felsic igneous and/or quartzose sedimentary provenance for the sediment from study area is corroborated by low concentrations of Cr, Ni, Sc. Sc, Cr and Ni are preferentially partitioned into mafic igneous rock during fractional crystallization of silicate melt such that late stage crystallization products such as granite and pegmatite contain low concentration of these elements. According to Cullers and Podkovyro [40], Sc, Ni, Cr are usually concentrated in basic igneous rocks. Therefore, the depletion of these elements in the studied samples suggests either sediment derivation from felsic igneous rocks or that mafic lithologies played insignificant role.

### 5.3. Tectonic Setting

Deductions on the tectonic setting of the depositional basin can be made by evaluating the geochemical signatures of the sediments. Different chemical characteristics are displayed by sediments deposited in different tectonic settings.
According to [13], p. 576] and [37], the K2O/Na2O ratios and SiO2 content of siliciclastic sedimentary rocks are particularly sensitive indicators of geotectonic setting and this formed the basis of Roser and Korsch [44] tectonic setting discriminant diagram. Roser and Korsch [44] used the plot K2O/Na2O against SiO2 to discriminant among samples from three main tectonic settings namely ocean island arc margin (ARC), active continental margin (ACM), and passive margin (PM). The samples plot both in passive margin (60%) and active continental margin (40%) regions (Figure 8). This result is consistent with the low to high K2O/Na2O values (0.58 - 30.93). According to [44], sediments originating from passive margin have high K2O/Na2O ratios while sediments from ACM have low values. This result should however be treated with caution because of the influence of grain size variations arising from hydraulic sorting during sediment transport exacts on chemical composition. Generally, sediment becomes enriched in stable mineral phases such quartz with concomitant depletion in labile minerals such as feldspar as tectonic setting changes from oceanic island arc to active continental margin to passive margin, resulting in increasing SiO2 concentrations and increasing K2O/Na2O ratios [38] [44].

Verma and Armstrong-Altrin [45] recently proposed two discriminant function diagrams based on major elements for the tectonic discrimination of high silica and low silica sediments. These diagrams are comprised of three major different tectonic setting regions namely continental arc (island arc), continental rift and collision. Samples for the present study are comprised of eight high silica

![Figure 8. Tectonic discriminant diagram of SiO2 vs. K2O/Na2O scatter plot for analyzed samples, fields after [44].](image-url)
and two low silica types. The discriminant functions diagrams of [45] have been used to constrain the tectonic setting of the sediments of the study area (Figure 9). All silica-rich samples plotted in the continental rift region. One of the two low silica samples plotted in the continental rift field while the other one plotted in collision region of [45] diagram. We prefer the result of the tectonic setting depicted in [45] diagrams because their diagrams have been proven to have higher success rate compared to that of [44]. Their diagrams were able to successfully discriminate among sediments collected from different known tectonic settings at success rate of 84.5% to 93.6% whereas the former diagram recorded a maximum success rate of 62% which is considered unsatisfactory [45]. Therefore the analyzed samples from the study area were deposited mainly in continental rift/ passive margin setting.

Figure 9. Tectonic setting discriminant function plots for high silica (top) and low-silica (bottom) samples, fields after [45].
5.4. Source Area Weathering and Paleoclimate

The effect of chemical weathering on the composition of siliciclastic sedimentary rocks can be evaluated by measuring the degree of chemical weathering. Various weathering indices are available in literature but the most commonly used ones are the chemical index of alteration, CIA; [36] and chemical index of weathering, CIW; [46]. The CIA monitors the gradual conversion of feldspars to clay minerals. The applicability of this index is premised on the fact that feldspars are the most abundant minerals of the upper continental crust and that the major process involved in chemical weathering is the alteration of feldspars to form clay minerals [30] [47]. The index was calculated using molar proportion in the equation [CIA = Al₂O₃/(CaO* + Na₂O + K₂O), where CaO* is the concentration of CaO occurring in the silicate minerals (adjusted for CaO in carbonates and phosphates). Even though the petrographic investigation was not undertaken, the low concentration of CaO and the low LOI values (Table 1) suggest that the CaO in the samples are associated silicate with silicate phases. The CIA index values of analyzed sandstones (range 87.38 - 95.51; average, 93.07) and shales (range 93.32 - 98.09; average 95.97) samples from the study area (Table 1) are high and clearly indicate intense or deep chemical weathering at the source area in a humid climatic condition. It should be noted that the associated shale samples have a higher CIA values compared to the sandstones. This is probably reflecting the effect of grain sorting. Sandstones contained mainly quartz grains and little clay matrix (Al₂O₃) since much of the fine clay particles were winnowed away to be redeposited further downstream with muds during sediment transport and deposition and thus can greatly affect the CIAs of sandstones.

Nesbitt and Young [36] applied CIA index to the study of fine-grained sediments only and not sandstones because the composition of mudrocks is thought to be more similar to that of the parent rocks compared to coarse-grained clastic sediments [30]. According to [36] [47] and [35], CIA values of 50 to 60 indicate low weathering; CIA values of 60 - 80 indicates intermediate weathering; CIA values of 80 - 100 reflects extreme weathering. The CIA of unaltered feldspar is 50. According to [35], CIA of 100 indicates complete conversion of feldspar to aluminous clay minerals such as kaolinite [47]. Chemical weathering is relevant only under humid condition resulting in substantial leaching of mobile cations (Na⁺, K⁺ and Ca²⁺) and a concomitant enrichment of Si and Al in the residuum. Climate and rate of tectonic uplift are the main factors controlling the degree of chemical weathering. According to [48] and [49], increased intensity of chemical weathering may suggest decrease in tectonic activity and/or change of climate towards warm and humid climatic conditions which are more favorable for chemical weathering in the source region. In arid or cool condition, sediment is produced primarily by abrasion and attrition which involve mere physical disintegration of the parent materials with insignificant chemical alterations. Also, the degree of chemical weathering was evaluated using chemical index of weathering (CIW). The use of the CIA index should be treated with caution since it
was founded on restrictive assumptions which may, in some instances present a challenging obstacle to its practical applicability [50]. The usability of CIA is based on the assumptions that the initial geochemistry of the unweathered parent rock is invariant and that $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{CaO}$, $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ resides exclusively in feldspars. Therefore, if significant quantity of other minerals (e.g. amphibole, pyroxene) that also contain these elements are present in the parent rock, the application of the CIA becomes unreliable [50].

As noted by [46], the unpredictable character of $K^+$ during weathering can be a source of error in CIA since [36] assumed $K_2\text{O}$ to be a mobile component. According to [46], $K^+$ can be used in the formation of $K$-minerals or adsorbed onto other clay minerals through ion exchange even after entering solution. This informed the calculation of chemical index of weathering. $\text{CIW} = \frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{CaO})} \times 100$. The CIW values of analyzed samples (Table 1) range from 89.82 - 99.53 (ave 95.89) and 99.11 - 99.90 (ave 99.62) for sandstones and shale samples respectively. The CIW values are higher for shales than sandstones and mimic the pattern of CIA. The high CIW values (close to 100) for the analyzed samples reflect intense chemical of the sauce area. The CIW index increases with the degree of depletion of Na and Ca in sediments, relative to Al [46]. According to [46], the difference between the CIW index values for the parent rock and the sediment indicates the amount of chemical weathering experienced by the weathered material.

Although CIA and CIW indices can be used to determine the degree of source area weathering of sediment, they however cannot be used to deduce the trends of paleo-weathering conditions, especially in sediments with variable provenances because they are mere numerical values. Therefore the CIA was incorporated into $A (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) - CN (\text{CaO}^* + \text{Na}_2\text{O}) - K (\text{K}_2\text{O})$ compositional diagram which can effectively evaluate chemical weathering, diagenesis, metamorphism and source composition of clastic sediments [35]. The concentrations of $A-CN-K$ in moles of samples from the study area were plotted on a ternary $A-CN-K$ diagram to determine the trend of chemical weathering, composition of parent materials and the likely effect of metasomatism (Figure 10). The plot indicates a tight cluster of data-points very close to the $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ apex and oriented roughly parallel to the $A-CN$ join suggesting substantial loss of Ca, Na and K in the parent material and abundance of mineral phases with compositions close to kaolinite, smectite and illite in the samples. The observed trend that roughly paralleled the $A-CN$ join suggests that potassium metasomatism played insignificant role in the sedimentary process. According to Nesbitt and Young [47], the interpretation of the paleo-weathering trends in sediments can be used to deduce the climatic regimes influencing the composition of sediments. The occurrence of kaolinite in terrigenous sediments indicates tropical weathering conditions (Nesbitt and Young, 1982) Following the method proposed by [51] the composition of the parent materials was established by drawing a line of best fit through the data points and projecting it backwards to the Plagioclase-potassium
feldspar join. The point of intersection suggests that the K-feldspar/plagioclase ratio in the parent material is close to 2:5, suggesting the dominance of granite and/or granodiorite in the source area. Granite and granodiorite can be traced to the crystalline Basement Complex rocks of northern Nigeria [52]. Also, the position of the samples on the A-CN-K diagram (close to kaolinite) together with their corresponding high CIA index values suggests that the sediments were produced from a source area strongly affected by intense chemical weathering which resulted in selective depletion of mobile elements (e.g. Ca, Na, K) and preferential enrichment of Al and Si in the weathering profiles [53]. Also, the low abundances of CaO, K2O and Na2O in geochemical data (Table 1) is typical of a humid environment, because of their high degree of mobility.

5.5. Maturity

The bivariate plot of SiO2 against total Al2O3 + K2O + Na2O (Figure 11) proposed by [54] was employed to determine the climatic conditions at the provenance and chemical maturity of the sediments (Figure 10). The plot depicts a humid to semi-humid conditions for the sandstones, but an arid climatic setting for the shale samples. The plot also indicates that the samples from the study area are
chemically mature, which is corroborated by the high CIW and CIA values and deduction on A-CN-K ternary plot. The high silica content observed from geochemical analysis of the samples suggests either their sourcing from highly siliceous rocks or intense reworking of pre-existing siliciclastic sediments. Highly siliceous sediments are product of geochemically stable minerals that formed under relatively cold and hydrous conditions which enable them develop crystal structure that are chemically and mechanically stable at surface atmospheric conditions. They readily adjust to the relatively cold and wet sedimentary environment. High level of compositional maturity of the sediments is further corroborated by their low ICV values (0.17 - 0.43). Mature clastic sediments are usually the product of multiple recycling of or reworking of weathering materials leading to complete decomposition of labile component as feldspars on a stable passive margin setting under humid and wet tropical climatic condition [40]. Consequently, the detritus is enriched in stable mineral phase as quartz.

5.6. Depositional Paleoenvironment

Schmitz et al. [55] stated that both Sr and Ba are sensitive to variations of paleo-salinity, and they are more concentrated in seawater than fresh water. They however noted that difference in sedimentary environments could separate their correlations. Barium (Ba) is easily precipitated as BaSO₄ while Sr can migrate further because of its higher solubility than that of Ba [56]. Thus, the Sr/Ba ratio is commonly used to estimate the changes of paleoenvironments of sedimentary rocks [57]. \( \text{Sr/Ba} > 1 \) indicates marine deposition whereas \( \text{Sr/Ba} < 1 \) indicates continental deposition [57]. The Sr/Ba ratios of the sandstones and shales sam-

![Figure 11. Paleoclimate discrimination diagram of samples from the study area using bivariant plot, fields after [54].](image-url)
ples in the current study is in the range of 0.31 to 0.64 with an average value of 0.53, and 0.27 to 0.70 with an average of 0.44 suggesting deposition in a continental or deltaic setting. Inferred continental or delta depositional paleoenvironment is in agreement with the work of [24] who reported that the sediments of Maastrichtian Mamu Formation were deposited in a wide range of paleoenvironments including fluvial, deltaic, distributary channel, estuarine, shoreface, tidal flats and swamp.

6. Conclusion

Geochemical analysis of clastic rocks of Maastrichtian Mamu Formation from western flank of Anambra Basin has been carried out. Geochemical classification of sandstone samples indicated that they were mainly litharenites, sublitharenites and Fe sandstones, while the shale samples are classified mainly as Fe-shale and shale. The rocks are depleted in K\textsubscript{2}O, Na\textsubscript{2}O, and CaO, with corresponding enrichment in SiO\textsubscript{2}, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, TiO\textsubscript{2} and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. The high SiO\textsubscript{2} values indicated that the sediment was derived from highly siliceous rocks or intense reworking of pre-existing siliciclastic sediment. Provenance interpretations as indicated by scatter plots of TiO\textsubscript{2} vs. Zr and TiO\textsubscript{2} vs Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} together with deductions from the A-CN-K diagram suggests that sediments were derived mainly from felsic igneous rocks with minor input from intermediate igneous and quartzose sedimentary terrain. Inference suggested that the parent materials consisted of granite and granodiorite and were located in Basement complex areas of northern Nigeria and Oban massif. The sediments from the study area was deposited in a continental or deltaic environment on a passive margin setting that probably experienced tectonic uplift at some point in the history of the basin. The sediments were subjected to prolonged or intense chemical weathering at source terrain characterized by a semi arid to tropical paleo-climatic conditions and thus suggesting high chemical maturity for the samples.
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