

Boundary Control for 2×2 Elliptic Systems with Conjugation Conditions

Ahlam Hasan Qamlo¹, Bahaa Gaber Mohammed²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Umm AL-Qura University, Makkah, KSA

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Madina, KSA

Email: drahqamlo@yahoo.com, bahaa_gm@hotmail.com

Received June 4, 2013; revised July 4, 2013; accepted July 11, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Ahlam Hasan Qamlo, Bahaa Gaber Mohammed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider 2×2 non-cooperative elliptic system involving Laplace operator defined on bounded, continuous and strictly Lipschitz domain of R^n . First we prove the existence and uniqueness for the state of the system under conjugation conditions; then we discuss the existence of the optimal control of boundary type with Neumann conditions, and we find the set of equations and inequalities that characterize it.

Keywords: Boundary Control; Elliptic Systems; Conjugation Conditions; Non-Cooperative Systems; Neumann Conditions

1. Introduction

So many optimal control problems governed by partial differential equations have been studied as in [1-3].

Systems governed by elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic operators have been considered, some of which are of distributed type as in [4-12], while some others are of boundary type as in [13-17].

Boundary control problems for non-cooperative $n \times n$ elliptic systems involving Laplace operator have been discussed in [17].

Here, using the theory of [3], we study the boundary control problem for 2×2 non-cooperative elliptic systems involving Laplace operator but under conjugation conditions.

Let us consider the following elliptic equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta y_1 + y_1 - y_2 = f_1 & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ -\Delta y_2 + y_1 + y_2 = f_2 & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

the heterogeneous boundary Neumann conditions:

$$\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} = g_1, \quad \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} = g_2, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (1.2)$$

and the conjugation conditions:

$$\left[\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} \right] = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} \right] = 0, \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} \right\}^\pm = r[y_1], \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} \right\}^\pm = r[y_2], \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (1.4)$$

where we have the following notations:

Ω is a domain that consists of two open, non-intersecting and strictly Lipschitz domains Ω_1 and Ω_2 from an n -dimensional real linear space R^n i.e. $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset R^n$ are bounded, continuous, and strictly Lipschitz domains such that

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \quad \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Omega} = \bar{\Omega}_1 \cup \bar{\Omega}_2.$$

Furthermore, $\Gamma = (\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial\Omega_2) \setminus \gamma$ is a boundary of a domain $\bar{\Omega}$, $\gamma = \partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$, and $\partial\Omega_i$ is a boundary of a domain $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2$.

In addition,

$$g_i \in L^2(\Gamma), \quad f_i \in L^2(\Omega) \quad (i=1,2),$$

$$0 \leq r = r(x) \leq r_1 < \infty, \quad r \in C(\gamma),$$

$r_1 = \text{constant}$, and ν is an ort of an outer normal to Γ .

Finally, $[\varphi] = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$,

$$\varphi^+ = \{\varphi\}^+ = \varphi(x) \quad \text{under } x \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \gamma,$$

$$\varphi^- = \{\varphi\}^- = \varphi(x) \quad \text{under } x \in \partial\Omega_1 \cap \gamma.$$

The model of system (1) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Ay(x) &= A(y_1, y_2) \\ &= (-\Delta y_1 + y_1 - y_2, -\Delta y_2 + y_1 + y_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$A : (H^1(\Omega))^2 \rightarrow (L^2(\Omega))^2$$

system (1) is called non-cooperative, since the coefficients took the previous form.

We first prove the existence and uniqueness for the state of system (1), then we formulate the control problem. We also prove the existence and uniqueness of the optimal control of boundary type, and we discuss the necessary and sufficient conditions of the optimality.

2. The Existence and Uniqueness for the State of System (1)

Since $H^1(\Omega) \subseteq L^2(\Omega) \subseteq (H^1(\Omega))'$, then by Cartesian product we have the following chain [1]:

$$(H^1(\Omega))^2 \subseteq (L^2(\Omega))^2 \subseteq ((H^1(\Omega))')^2.$$

On $(H^1(\Omega))^2 \times (H^1(\Omega))^2$, we define the following bilinear form:

$$\begin{aligned} a(y, \psi) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_1 \nabla \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_2 \nabla \psi_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (y_1 \psi_1 - y_2 \psi_1 + y_1 \psi_2 + y_2 \psi_2) dx \quad (2) \\ &+ \int_{\gamma} r [y_1] [\psi_1] d\gamma + \int_{\gamma} r [y_2] [\psi_2] d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

The bilinear form (2) is continuous, since:

$$\begin{aligned} |a(y, \psi)| &\leq \|y_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ (\|y_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ \|y_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\ &+ r \| [y_1] \|_{L^2(\gamma)} \| [\psi_1] \|_{L^2(\gamma)} + r \| [y_2] \|_{L^2(\gamma)} \| [\psi_2] \|_{L^2(\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

since the inequalities

$\|y\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ and $\|y\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq c_1 \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ are true [3]. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |a(y, \psi)| &\leq K (\|y_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ \|y_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq K (\|y_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|y_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) (\|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq K \|y\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} \|\psi\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}, \quad K \text{ is constant.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1:

The bilinear form (2) is coercive on $(H^1(\Omega))^2$, that is, there exists $\lambda \in R$, such that:

$$a(y, y) \geq K_1 \|y\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}^2, \quad K_1 > 0$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} a(y, y) &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla y_1)^2 + y_1^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla y_2)^2 + y_2^2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\gamma} r ([y_1]^2 + [y_2]^2) d\gamma \\ &\geq \|y_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|y_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \quad (\text{since } r \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$a(y, y) \geq \|y\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}^2, \quad (3)$$

which proves the coerciveness condition of the bilinear form (2). Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1:

For a given $f = (f_1, f_2) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$, there exists a unique solution $y = (y_1, y_2) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ for system (1).

Proof:

Since (3) is hold, then by Lax-Milgram lemma, there exists a unique element

$$y = (y_1, y_2) \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$$

such that

$$a(y, \psi) = L(\psi) \quad \forall \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2, \quad (4)$$

where $L(\psi)$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_1 \psi_1 d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} g_2 \psi_2 d\Gamma, \\ \forall \psi &= (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

The linear form (5) is continuous, since:

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\psi)| &= \|f_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ \|g_1\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|g_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

since the inequalities $\|y\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$

and $\|y\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq c_2 \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ are true [3], then:

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\psi)| &\leq c \|f_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + c \|f_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ c_2 \|g_1\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + c_2 \|g_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq (c \|f_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_2 \|g_1\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}) \|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ (c \|f_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + c_2 \|g_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}) \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\psi)| &\leq K_2 (\|\psi_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\psi_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \\ &= K_2 \|\psi\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}. \quad (K_2 \text{ is constant}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us multiply both sides of first equation of (1.1) by $\psi_1(x)$, and the second equation by $\psi_2(x)$ then integration over Ω , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta y_1 + y_1 - y_2) \psi_1 dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx ,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta y_2 + y_1 + y_2) \psi_2 dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx .$$

By applying Green's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_1 \nabla \psi_1 dx - \int_{\Gamma} \psi_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2) \psi_1 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_2 \nabla \psi_2 dx - \int_{\Gamma} \psi_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} (y_1 + y_2) \psi_2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx , \end{aligned}$$

by sum the two equations, then comparing the summation with (2), (4) and (5) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_1 \nabla \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_2 \nabla \psi_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (y_1 - y_2) \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} (y_1 + y_2) \psi_2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \psi_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} \psi_2 \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma , \end{aligned}$$

then we deduce (1.2), which completes the proof.

3. Formulation of the Control Problem

The space $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$ is the space of controls. For a control $u = (u_1, u_2) \in (L^2(\Gamma))^2$, the state

$y(u) = (y_1(u), y_2(u)) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ of system (1) is given by the solution of the following systems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta y_1(u) + y_1(u) - y_2(u) = f_1 & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ -\Delta y_2(u) + y_1(u) + y_2(u) = f_2 & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} = g_1 + u_1, \quad \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} = g_2 + u_2, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (6.2)$$

and the conjugation conditions:

$$\left[\frac{\partial y_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A} \right] = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial y_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A} \right] = 0, \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial y_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A} \right\}^{\pm} = r[y_1], \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial y_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A} \right\}^{\pm} = r[y_2], \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (6.4)$$

Since there exists a generalized solution $y(u) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ to the boundary value problem (6), then such solution is reasonable on Γ of $\bar{\Omega}$, and

$$\|y(u)\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} < \infty . \quad (7)$$

The observation equation is given by:

$$Z(u) = (Z_1(u), Z_2(u)) = Cy(u) = C(y_1(u), y_2(u)),$$

where $C \in \mathcal{L}((L^2(\Gamma))^2; (L^2(\Gamma))^2)$, namely:

$$Cy(u) = C(y_1(u), y_2(u)) = (y_1(u), y_2(u))$$

i.e.

$$Z(u) = (Z_1(u), Z_2(u)) = y(u) = (y_1(u), y_2(u)), \quad (8)$$

For a given $z_g = (z_{g1}, z_{g2}) \in (L^2(\Gamma))^2$, the cost function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \|y_1(u) - z_{g1}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \|y_2(u) - z_{g2}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\ &+ (Nu, u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $Nu = \bar{a}(x)u$, $0 < a_0 \leq \bar{a}(x) \leq a_1 < \infty$.

The function $y(u) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ is specified on the domain $\bar{\Omega}_1 \cup \bar{\Omega}_2$, minimizes the energy functional:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \psi_1)^2 + \psi_1^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \psi_2)^2 + \psi_2^2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\gamma} r([\psi_1]^2 + [\psi_2]^2) d\gamma \\ &- 2 \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx - 2 \int_{\Gamma} g_1 \psi_1 d\Gamma \\ &- 2 \int_{\Gamma} g_2 \psi_2 d\Gamma - 2 \int_{\Gamma} u_1 \psi_1 d\Gamma - 2 \int_{\Gamma} u_2 \psi_2 d\Gamma \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

on $(H^1(\Omega))^2$, and it is the unique solution in $(H^1(\Omega))^2$ to the weakly stated problem of finding an element $y(u) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ that meets the following integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_1 \nabla \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla y_2 \nabla \psi_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} y_1 \psi_1 - y_2 \psi_1 + y_1 \psi_2 + y_2 \psi_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\gamma} r[y_1][\psi_1] d\gamma + \int_{\gamma} r[y_2][\psi_2] d\gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_1 \psi_1 dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2 \psi_2 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_1 \psi_1 d\Gamma \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} g_2 \psi_2 d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} u_1 \psi_1 d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} u_2 \psi_2 d\Gamma \\ &\forall \psi(u) = (\psi_1(u), \psi_2(u)) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The control problem then is to find $u = (u_1, u_2) \in U_{ad}$ such that $J(u) \leq J(v)$, where U_{ad} is a closed convex subset of $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$.

The cost function (9) can be written as (see [1]):

$$J(u) = \pi(u, u) - 2L(u) + \|y_1(0) - z_{g1}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \|y_2(0) - z_{g2}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \quad (12)$$

In this case, the bilinear form $\pi(.,.)$ and the linear form $L(.)$ are expressed as:

$$\pi(u, v) = (y_1(u) - y_1(0), y_1(v) - y_1(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (y_2(u) - y_2(0), y_2(v) - y_2(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (\bar{a}u, v)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}, \quad (13)$$

$$L(v) = (z_{g1} - y_1(0), y_1(v) - y_1(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (z_{g2} - y_2(0), y_2(v) - y_2(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \quad (14)$$

Now, we prove the continuity of $\pi(u, v)$ and $L(v)$ on $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$ as follows [3]:

Let $\tilde{y}' = \tilde{y}(u')$ and $\tilde{y}'' = \tilde{y}(u'')$ be solutions from $H^1(\Omega)$ to problem (11) under $f = 0$ and $g = 0$. Then from the bilinear form $a(.,.)$ which is given by (2), we can derive the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}^2 \\ & \leq \mu a(\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}'', \tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}'') \\ & \leq \mu \|u' - u''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|y\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq c_2 \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}^2 \\ & \leq c_2 \|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}^2 \\ & \leq c_2 \mu \|u' - u''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have:

$$\|\tilde{y}' - \tilde{y}''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \leq c_2 \mu \|u' - u''\|_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}.$$

i.e. the function $\tilde{y}(u)$ is continuously dependent on u . Then the continuity of $\pi(u, v)$ and $L(v)$ on $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$ is proved.

The bilinear form $\pi(u, v)$ is coercive on $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$ since $(\bar{a}u, v) = (\sqrt{\bar{a}}u, \sqrt{\bar{a}}v)$,

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u, u) &= (y_1(u) - y_1(0), y_1(u) - y_1(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & \quad + (y_2(u) - y_2(0), y_2(u) - y_2(0))_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & \quad + (\sqrt{\bar{a}}u, \sqrt{\bar{a}}u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \\ & \geq a_0 (u, u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lax Milgram lemma, the following theorem is proved. Moreover, it gives the necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality.

Theorem 2:

Assume that (3) holds, there exists a unique optimal control $u = (u_1, u_2) \in U_{ad}$ that is closed convex subset of $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$ and it is then characterized by the following equations and inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p_1(u) + p_1(u) + p_2(u) = 0, \\ -\Delta p_2(u) - p_1(u) + p_2(u) = 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \quad (15.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} = y_1(u) - z_{g1}, \quad \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} = y_2(u) - z_{g2}, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (15.2)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right] = 0, \quad \left[\frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right] = 0, \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (15.3)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu} \right\}^\pm = r[p_1], \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu} \right\}^\pm = r[p_2], \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (15.4)$$

$$(p(u) + \bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0, \quad (16)$$

together with (6), where

$p(u) = (p_1(u), p_2(u)) \in (H^1(\Omega))^2$ is the adjoint state.

Proof:

The optimal control $u = (u_1, u_2) \in (L^2(\Gamma))^2$ is characterized by (see [1])

$$\pi(u, v - u) \geq L(v - u) \quad \forall v \in U_{ad}, \quad (17)$$

by (13), and (14):

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(u, v - u) - L(v - u) \\ &= (y(u) - z_g, y(v - u) - y(0))_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \\ & \quad + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus:

$$\begin{aligned} & (y(u) - z_g, y(v) - y(u))_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \\ & \quad + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

this inequality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (y_1(u) - z_{g1}, y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & + (y_2(u) - z_{g2}, y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now, since: $(A^*p, y) = (p, Ay)$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p, Ay)_{(L^2(\Omega))^2} \\ & = (p_1(u), -\Delta y_1(u) + y_1(u) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & + (p_2(u), -\Delta y_2(u) + y_1(u) + y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

by using Green's formula, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p, Ay)_{(L^2(\Omega))^2} \\ & = (-\Delta p_1(u) + p_1(u) + p_2(u), y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & - \left(p_1, \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \nu_A} \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \left(y_1, \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & + (-\Delta p_2(u) - p_1(u) + p_2(u), y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & - \left(p_2, \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \nu_A} \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \left(y_2, \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & = (A^*p, y)_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & A^*p = A^*(p_1, p_2) \\ & = (-\Delta p_1 + p_1 + p_2, -\Delta p_2 - p_1 + p_2), \tag{19} \\ & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \end{aligned}$$

Since the adjoint system takes the form [3]:

$$A^*p(v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{20.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} = y(v) - z_g, \quad x \in \Gamma, \tag{20.2}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu_{A^*}} \right\}^\pm = r[p], \quad x \in \gamma, \tag{20.3}$$

and by using (19), system (15) is proved.

From Green's formula the following equations are true:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\Delta p_1(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & = (\nabla p_1(u), \nabla(y_1(v) - y_1(u)))_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{21} \\ & - \left(\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_1(v) - y_1(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\Delta p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & = (\nabla p_2(u), \nabla(y_2(v) - y_2(u)))_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{22} \\ & - \left(\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_2(v) - y_2(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

by adding

$$\begin{aligned} & (p_1(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ & (p_2(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

to the both sides of Equation (21), and

$$\begin{aligned} & (-p_1(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ & (p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

to the both sides of Equation (22), then by (15) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_1(v) - y_1(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & = (\nabla p_1(u), \nabla(y_1(v) - y_1(u)))_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{23} \\ & + (p_1(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & + (p_2(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_2(v) - y_2(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & = (\nabla p_2(u), \nabla(y_2(v) - y_2(u)))_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{24} \\ & + (-p_1(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & + (p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we transform (18) by using (15) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_1(v) - y_1(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial \nu_{A^*}}, y_2(v) - y_2(u) \right)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \tag{25} \\ & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (23) and (24), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\nabla p_1(u), \nabla (y_1(v) - y_1(u)) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \left(p_1(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \left(p_2(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \left(\nabla p_2(u), \nabla (y_2(v) - y_2(u)) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & - \left(p_1(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \left(p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{26}$$

4. Conclusions

The main result of the paper contains necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality (of Pontryagin’s type) for 2×2 elliptic systems under Neumann conjugation conditions involving Laplace operator defined on bounded, continuous and strictly Lipschitz domain of R^n , that give characterization of optimal control.

We can consider boundary control problems for 2×2 and $n \times n$ elliptic distributed systems with Dirichlet conjugation boundary conditions. Also we can consider boundary control problems for parabolic and hyperbolic distributed systems with Dirichlet and Neumann conjugation boundary conditions. The ideas mentioned above will be developed in forthcoming papers.

Also it is evident that by modifying:

- the boundary conditions,
- the nature of the control (distributed, boundary),
- the nature of the observation,
- the initial differential system,

many of variations on the above problem are possible to study with the help of Lions formalism.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express his gratitude to Professor H. M. Serag, Mathematics Department, Faculty of Sciences, AL-Azhar University for suggesting the problem and critically reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Lions, “Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations,” Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1971. [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-65024-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65024-6)
- [2] J. L. Lions, “Some Methods in the Mathematical Analysis of Systems and their Control,” Science Press, Beijing, 1981.
- [3] I. V. Sergienko and V. S. Deineka, “Optimal Control of Distributed Systems with conjugation Conditions,” Springer, 2005.
- [4] G. M. Bahaa, “Optimal Control for Cooperative Parabolic Systems Governed by Schrodinger Operator with Control Constraints,” *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 2007, pp. 1-12. [doi:10.1093/imamci/dnl001](https://doi.org/10.1093/imamci/dnl001)
- [5] H. A. El-Saify, H. M. Serag and M. A. Shehata, “Time Optimal Control Problem for Cooperative Hyperbolic Systems Involving the Laplace Operator,” *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, Vol. 15, No. 3, 2009, pp. 405-423. [doi:10.1007/s10883-009-9067-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10883-009-9067-y)
- [6] I. M. Gali and H. M. Serag, “Optimal Control of Cooperative Elliptic Systems Defined on R^n ,” *Journal of the*

by using (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a(y_1(v) - y_1(u), p_1(u)) - \int_{\gamma} r[y_1(v) - y_1(u)][p_1]d\gamma \\
 & + a(y_2(v) - y_2(u), p_2(u)) - \int_{\gamma} r[y_2(v) - y_2(u)][p_2]d\gamma \\
 & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

from (2), and using Green’s formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(p_1(u), A(y_1(v) - y_1(u)) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \int_{\Gamma} p_1 \frac{\partial (y_1(v) - y_1(u))}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma \\
 & - \int_{\gamma} r[y_1(v) - y_1(u)][p_1]d\gamma \\
 & + \int_{\gamma} r[y_1(v) - y_1(u)][p_1]d\gamma \\
 & + \left(p_2(u), A(y_2(v) - y_2(u)) \right)_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & + \int_{\Gamma} p_2 \frac{\partial (y_2(v) - y_2(u))}{\partial \nu_A} d\Gamma \\
 & - \int_{\gamma} r[y_2(v) - y_2(u)][p_2]d\gamma \\
 & + \int_{\gamma} r[y_2(v) - y_2(u)][p_1]d\gamma \\
 & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

from (6), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Gamma} p_1 (v_1 - u_1) d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} p_2 (v_2 - u_2) d\Gamma \\
 & + (\bar{a}u, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (16).

Remark:

If the constraints are absent, *i.e.* when

$$U_{ad} = (L^2(\Gamma))^2, \text{ then the equality:}$$

$$p(u) + \bar{a}u = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, \text{ follows from condition (16).}$$

Hence

- Egyptian Mathematical Society*, Vol. 3, 1995, pp. 33-39.
- [7] A. H. Qamlo, "Distributed Control for Cooperative Hyperbolic Systems Involving Schrödinger Operator," *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2013, pp. 54-59. [doi:10.1007/s40435-013-0007-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40435-013-0007-z)
- [8] A. H. Qamlo, "Optimality Conditions for Parabolic Systems with Variable Coefficients Involving Schrödinger Operators," *Journal of King Saud University—Science*, 2013. [doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.005)
- [9] H. M. Serag, "On Optimal Control for Elliptic Systems with Variable Coefficients," *Revista de Matemáticas Aplicadas*, Vol. 19, 1998, pp. 37-41.
- [10] H. M. Serag, "Distributed Control for Cooperative Systems Governed by Schrödinger Operator," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography*, Vol. 3, No. 1-3, 2000, pp. 227-234. [doi:10.1080/09720529.2000.10697910](https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2000.10697910)
- [11] H. M. Serag, "Optimal Control of Systems Involving Schrödinger Operators," *International Journal of Intelligent Control and Systems*, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2004, pp. 154-157.
- [12] H. M. Serag and A. H. Qamlo, "On Elliptic Systems Involving Schrödinger Operators," *The Mediterranean Journal of Measurement and Control*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 2005, pp. 91-96.
- [13] H. A. El-Saify, "Boundary Control for the Parabolic Operator with an Infinite Number of Variables," *Functional Differential Systems and Related Topics*, Vol. 3, 1983, pp. 79-82.
- [14] H. A. El-Saify, "Boundary Control for the Hyperbolic Operator with an Infinite Number of Variables," *Journal Institute Mathematics & Computer Science (Computer Science Series)*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1990, pp. 47-51.
- [15] H. A. El-Saify, "Boundary Control Problem with an Infinite Number of Variables," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2001, pp. 57-62. [doi:10.1155/S0161171201007128](https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171201007128)
- [16] M. H. Hassan and H. M. Serag, "Boundary Control of Quasi Static Problem with Viscous Boundary Conditions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 31, No. 7, 2000, pp. 767-772.
- [17] H. M. Serag and A. H. Qamlo, "Boundary Control for Non-Cooperative Elliptic Systems," *Advances in Modeling & Analysis*, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2001, pp. 31-42.