The Efficacy of Ostrich Antibodies to Dihydrotestosterone and 5α-Reductase in the Restoration of Hair Growth
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Abstract

The hormone dihydro testosterone (DHT) and the catalyst 5α-reductase are key contributors to hair loss due to androgenetic alopecia (AGA). Also, dermal bacteria and their toxins have been implicated in hair loss. A preliminary study by the authors with six male volunteer subjects showed that ostrich antibodies against above causative substances were quite effective in promoting hair regrowth. The present study included 13 men and 4 women, with ages ranging from 39 to 78. Pre- and post-treatment hair counts were also incorporated into the study procedure. In all instances but one, the subjects had a hair-growth effect about 3 months after the antibody was applied to the scalp, and the study participants with hair growth were pleased with the results and with the ease of use of the antibody solution.
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1. Introduction

Dihydrotestosterone (DHT) and 5α-reductase have been shown to be involved in the male pattern baldness of androgenic alopecia (AGA) [1] [2] [3]. It has been shown that reducing the production of DHT promotes hair growth in AGA [4]. Topically applied minoxidil and orally administered finasteride have been shown to be effective in countering the effects of DHT and reducing the production of DHT, respectively [5] [6] [7]. It has also been suggested that abnormal propagation of pathogens such as dermal bacteria and their toxins induce hair loss [8].
We have so far developed a method to promote hair growth by specifically suppressing hair loss-inducing factors using antibodies. Antibodies are immunoglobulins produced by β-cells when a foreign substance such as pathogens enters the body. The antibodies function to detoxify or eliminate the antigens. Antibodies can also be effective externally. The characteristic “antigen-antibody” reaction usually targets only the inducing antigen. Usually, therapeutic antibodies are produced in mice, rabbits or cultured cells, and their production costs are very high.

As discussed in a previous article by the authors [9], birds produce an antibody form, immunoglobulin Y (“IgY”), which is passed into the yolk of their eggs [10]–[15]. The antibodies have been purified from egg yolk and applied to a wide range of pathogen targets, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Vibrio cholerae* [15] [16].

The ostrich immune system is an effective producer of IgY [16]–[23]. IgY is produced within two weeks after vaccination with an antigen. Ostrich IgY is less sensitive to heat and more resistant to acid than the IgY from other avian species. The molecular weight of ostrich IgY is higher than that of mammalian IgG, since the Fc fraction of ostrich IgY is heavier. Ostriches produce IgY in large volume at comparatively low cost. About 4 grams of IgY are in each egg yolk, and an ostrich hen can produce as many as 100 eggs per year over a 55-year lifetime. Commercial exploitation of ostrich antibodies has begun. For example, ostrich IgY against dermal bacteria has been added to skin cosmetic products [20]. Accordingly, ostrich IgY against DHT, 5α-reductase, and the dermal bacteria form a promising cocktail of antibodies to stimulate hair growth in cases of AGA.

2. Production of Antibodies against DHT, 5α-Reductase and Dermal Bacteria

The vaccination of ostriches and subsequent purification of IgY against DHT and 5α-reductase from the yolks of their eggs is described in the authors’ preceding study [9]. As described in [23], IgY is purified via a proprietary process from the yolk of eggs from hens vaccinated with homogenates of skin bacteria, specifically *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*. Solutions of IgY against DHT, 5α-reductase, *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa* were combined to form the active material to be mixed with base material for testing.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Results of a Preliminary Study

A study was performed with six male subjects, and all subjects achieved hair growth [9]. However, the antibodies were mixed into Exoderm®, a commercial hair conditioner from Bentlin Products LLC, and the subjects did not feel it was particularly easy or convenient to use in the protocol of the study. Another shortcoming of the preliminary study was that the results were qualitatively evaluated via before and after photographs, but there were no quantitative measures of growth.
3.2. Description of Current Study

To overcome the limitations of the preliminary study, a larger study was performed. In this study male and female subjects used material based on a solution of ethyl alcohol in distilled water (20% alcohol). The study procedure included before and after hair counts to establish the basis for quantitative evaluation.

The objectives of the study were 1) to make a qualitative visual assessment of hair growth and 2) to measure actual hair count change over a three-month period.

Seventeen individuals were recruited from among his patients and others by author Dr. Hendler to participate in the study. Brief information on these subjects is presented in Table 1. That information covers age, gender, degree of baldness (Norwood Scale\(^1\) for males and Ludwig Scale\(^2\) for females), and the number of hair transplantations performed, if any.

The subjects were asked to use the material daily for a period of three months. They were instructed to leave the material on at least ten minutes before washing their hair, but there was no requirement to wash their hair after application.

The study was performed at the medical office of Dr. Hendler. At the start of the study, photos were taken. Also, one square centimeter test areas along the midsagittal plane was marked and the location was recorded. A hair count was performed on the test area. Photos were taken and hair count was repeated at the end of three months.

Table 1. Brief information on the study subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Extent of Baldness</th>
<th>Prior Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Ludwig Type II</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Norwood Type III Vertex</td>
<td>2 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Ludwig Type I</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Norwood Type III Vertex</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Norwood Type V</td>
<td>3 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Norwood Type IV</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Norwood Type III Vertex</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Norwood Type 5A</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Norwood Type VII</td>
<td>2 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Norwood Type VI</td>
<td>4 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Norwood Type VI</td>
<td>4 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Norwood Type III Vertex</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Norwood Type VI</td>
<td>3 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Norwood Type VI</td>
<td>3 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Norwood Type V</td>
<td>3 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ludwig Type II</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Ludwig Type II</td>
<td>2 Hair Transplantations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)https://www.americanhairloss.org/men_hair_loss/the_norwood_scale.html
\(^2\)http://worldhairinstitute.com/ludwig.shtml
4. Results

Except for Subject 16, all subjects demonstrated hair regrowth. Subject 16 does not have AGA, but instead suffers from Central Centrifugal Cicatricial Alopecia (CCCA), a common cause of hair loss in African American women.

Photos of the subjects are presented in Figures 1-17 below.

Pre- and post-treatment hair counts per test area were also incorporated into the study procedure: in all instances but one, the subjects had a hair-growth effect about 3 months after the antibody was applied to the scalp of women (Figure 18) and men (Figure 19).

Figure 1. Subject 1: female, age 76, Ludwig type II with no previous treatment (pre hair count 74; post hair count 112).

Figure 2. Subject 2: male age 48, Norwood type III vertex with two previous hair transplantations (pre hair count 22, post hair count 30).

Figure 3. Subject 3: female age 76, Ludwig type I with no previous treatment (pre hair count 49, post hair count 114); used test material every other day.

Figure 4. Subject 4: male age 76, Norwood type III vertex with no previous treatment (two sample areas for hair counts: area 1 pre hair count 55 and post hair count 72; area 2 pre hair count 93 and post hair count 88).
Figure 5. Subject 5: male age 73, Norwood type V with three precious hair transplantations (pre hair count 19 and post hair count 35).

Figure 6. Subject 6: male age 71, Norwood type IV with no previous treatment (pre hair count 53 and post hair count 82).

Figure 7. Subject 7: male age 76, Norwood type III vertex with no previous treatment (two sample areas for hair counts: area 1 pre hair count 28 and post hair count 46; area 2 pre hair count 22 and post hair count 63).

Figure 8. Subject 8: male age 68, Norwood type 5A, no previous treatment (no hair count).

Figure 9. Subject 9: male age 70, Norwood type VII, two hair transplantations (pre hair count 1 and post hair count 5).
**Figure 10.** Subject 10: Male age 60, Norwood type VI, four hair transplantations (pre hair count 3 and post hair count 9).

**Figure 11.** Subject 11: male age 75, Norwood type VI, four hair transplantations (no hair count).

**Figure 12.** Subject 12: male age 39, Norwood type III vertex, no previous treatment (no hair count).

**Figure 13.** Subject 13: male age 61, Norwood type VI, three hair transplantations (pre hair count 15 and post hair count 33).

**Figure 14.** Subject 14: male age 61, Norwood type VI, three hair transplantations (no hair count).
Figure 15. Subject 15: male age 75, Norwood type V, three hair transplantations (pre hair count 21 and post hair count 27).

Figure 16. Subject 16: female age 50, CCCA and not AGA, no previous treatment (pre hair count 22 and post hair count 16).

Figure 17. Subject 17: female age 78, Ludwig type II, two previous hair transplantations (pre hair count 47 and post hair count 68).

Figure 18. Graph of pre and post hair count for female participants.
4.1. Quantitative Results

Pre and post hair counts were performed with 12 of the subjects with AGA. The following effects were observed (Table 2).

4.2. Qualitative Feedback from Study Subjects

All subjects found the new material extremely easy to use, which likely increased compliance with the requested usage protocol.

5. Discussion

In the present study, we have further demonstrated the effectiveness of ostrich antibodies to neutralization of DHT, 5α-reductase, and two dermal bacteria in re-growing hair in the case of AGA.

Unlike the case with the oil-based material used in the preliminary study, the alcohol-based material proved to be convenient and easy-to-use. In experiments using mice, the immunofluorescent assay showed that ostrich antibodies immerse deep into the hair roots by using a base with alcohol (data not shown). It was suggested that the ostrich antibody efficiently binds to the hair loss factors in dermal tissues and neutralizes them, resulting in a hair growth effect.

Because of the limited number of subjects in the study, we realize the limitations in drawing conclusions that are too strong. However, we are more confident of the following observations and hypotheses:
Neutralization by ostrich antibodies of DHT, 5α-reductase, *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa* in hair follicles has a uniformly positive effect in restoring the growth of hair in cases of AGA;

- The ostrich antibodies in a 20 percent ethyl alcohol base is extremely easy and convenient to use;
- Mechanism is explained through the combination of three known effects: 1) vasoconstriction at the follicle base prevents hair stem cells in the follicle from initiating hair growth; 2) DHT in the follicle causes the vasoconstriction; and 3) ostrich antibodies to DHT, along with the enzyme 5α-reductase that stimulates production of the DHT, have been demonstrated to neutralize DHT and 5α-reductase so as to prevent vasoconstriction.

Based on comparison with results in the published literature, we can also draw limited conclusions that the ostrich antibody approach is significantly more effective than use of minoxidil and finasteride. For example, a comparable study [6] of 5% and 2% minoxidil solutions resulted in hair count increases of approximately 30%, as compared with the 71% in this admittedly limited study.

To draw stronger conclusions, we are planning a larger study (40 to 50 subjects). The average subject age in the current study was 67. It is believed that extended periods of balding reduce the viability of hair stem cells in follicles, so there can be no effective stimulation of hair growth in those follicles. It is anticipated that subjects at an earlier stage of hair loss will have even better results than those in this study. Accordingly, an age range of 25 to 60 will be targeted.

The next study should extend to six months, with photographs and hair count measurements taken at both three months and six months. The amount of the test article applied will also be calculated to correlate usage with Norwood and Ludwig scale designations. Furthermore, we plan to confirm the usefulness of ostrich antibodies for hair growth by conducting a double-blind test using only the base without antibody.
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