

Antimicrobial activity of multipurpose essential oil blends

Harold Edward Laubach^{1*}, Simone Hobus Ghanavati², Geraldine Whidden³

¹College of Medical Sciences, Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, USA;

*Corresponding Author: harold@nova.edu, harold@nsu.nova.edu

²Inhalable Solutions™, Boca Raton, USA

³Natures Symphony, Boca Raton, USA

Received 5 January 2012; revised 6 February 2012; accepted 1 March 2012

ABSTRACT

Two types of multipurpose essential oil blends, blend¹¹ containing eleven different essential oils and blend¹² containing twelve, were tested against bacterial strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027, *Serratia marcescens* ATCC 13880 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 and against the fungi, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Aspergillus fumigatus* ATCC 10894 and *Fusarium solani* ATCC 36031 to determine the spectrum of *in vitro* antimicrobial activity using aromagrams (paper disc diffusion assays). Microbial growth was decreased by multipurpose blend¹¹ and blend¹² in a similar manner. The saline control disc did not inhibit anti-microbial growth while the two blends exhibited significant zones of inhibition for all 3 bacteria and for the 3 fungi. The greatest antibacterial activity of blend¹¹ and blend¹² was exhibited with *P. aeruginosa* and *S. marcescens* followed by *S. aureus*. A high level of activity was associated with *C. albicans* and a lower level with *F. solani* followed by *A. fumigatus*. It is clearly evident from previous published studies that no single essential oil will effectively inhibit the growth of all of the organisms in our study. However, our results demonstrate that blend¹¹ and blend¹² have a broad range of inhibitory activity affecting all of the microorganisms tested.

Keywords: Aromatic Essential Oils; Antimicrobial Activity

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of aromatic essential oils for human preventative medical purposes began in ancient Greece and is currently being studied for control of microbial agents. Individual essential oil blends contain combinations of

volatile compounds extracted from single plant types and within each plant type, the components of the essential oils function as antimicrobial and/or antifungal agents [1,2].

Previous studies of microbiological inhibition by individual essential oils derived from a single plant species reveal that only a few oils are capable of inhibiting molds, yeasts and bacterial pathogens and only at very high concentrations (Tables 1 and 2). Independent studies of the effects of aromatic compounds suggest that their use in combinations in liquid mixtures could broaden their capabilities to prevent antimicrobial growth. To address this question, the present study assessed the ability of two types of multipurpose essential oil blends as antibacterial and antifungal agents using the *in vitro* disc diffusion method. Blend¹¹ and blend¹² contain mixtures of eleven and twelve different essential oils, respectively, extracted from aromatic plants species known to have antimicrobial activity.

The present study was designed to determine the antimicrobial activity of two types of essential oil blends, blend¹¹ and blend¹², against *Aspergillus fumigatus* ATCC 10894, *Fusarium solani* ATCC 36031, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027, *Serratia marcescens* ATCC 13880 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538. The purpose was to compare the antimicrobial killing of two multipurpose essential oils to data from previous reports of single antimicrobial plant extracts using a paper disc diffusion assay.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The six microorganisms, *A. fumigatus*, *F. solani*, *C. albicans*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. marcescens* and *S. aureus* were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). Molds and yeast species were cultured on Sabouraud's Dextrose agar (Difco, Sparks, MD) for 10 days at 23 C or for two days at 37 C, respectively. Blend¹¹ (GermBullet™) is the original multipurpose, Inhalable blend and seven of its 12 essential oils are

Table 1. Comparison of the antibacterial activity of essential tree and herb oils using agar disc diffusion.

	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
	Radius of zone of inhibition (mm)		
Trees			
<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	8 [3]	NA	12 [3]
<i>Melaleuca alternifolia</i>	0 [4]	32 [5]	10 [6]
<i>Pinus densiflora</i>	14 [7]	NA	14 [7]
Herbs			
<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i>	12 [8]	NA	10 [6]
<i>Mentha piperita</i>	13 [8]	NA	8 [8]
<i>Origanum vulgare</i>	7 [9]	10 [9]	56 [9]
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	23 [8]	NA	12 [8]
<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>	NA	NA	19 [10]

Reference numbers are in brackets, NA is not analyzed.

Table 2. Comparison of the antifungal activity of tree and herb essential oils using agar disc diffusion.

	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i>
	Radius of zone of inhibition (mm)		
Trees			
<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	10 [11]	NA	21 [12]
<i>Melaleuca alternifolia</i>	11 [6]	NA	NA
<i>Pinus densiflora</i>	11 [13]	12 [14]	NA
Herbs			
<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i>	10 [6]	NA	NA
<i>Mentha piperita</i>	18 [6]	10 [15]	NA
<i>Origanum vulgare</i>	19 [16]	NA	28 [16]
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	6 [17]	NA	NA
<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>	36 [6]	NA	NA

Reference numbers are in brackets, NA is not analyzed.

Lavender A. Vera (*Lavandula vera*), Bergamot (*Citrus aur bergamia*), Eucalyptus Globulus (*Eucalyptus globulus*), Pine Sylvestre (*Pinus negra*), Eucalyptus Radiata (*Eucalyptus radiata*), Rosemary Cineol (*Rosmarinus officinalis*), and Lavender Spike (*Lavandula officinalis*) listed in descending order of concentration and comprise over 80% of the ingredients (FCD, Boca Raton, FL). Blend¹² (Germbullet^{TM14}) is a slight variation of Blend¹¹ and is also a multipurpose blend used in this study. Specific concentrations of ingredients are not listed, Streptomycin, miconazole and fluconazole (Becton Dickinson Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD) discs were used as positive controls and a normal saline treated Whatman

disc as a negative control.

Plate cultures of bacteria and *C. albicans* were grown for two days and plate cultures of the molds for seven days, harvested in normal saline to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells per ml, plated on nutrient and Sabouraud's agar plates, respectively, and dried. Sterile six mm Whatman discs (Fisher Scientific, Suwanee, GA) were dipped into each of the two undiluted blends, dried and placed into the center of each of the inoculated agar dishes. A standardized inoculum of 1×10^6 CFU/ml was prepared for each microorganism. Agar plates were inoculated with 1×10^6 microorganisms in 1 ml suspensions and allowed to dry. Paper discs containing each of the mixtures,

blend¹¹ and blend¹², were applied to inoculated plates followed by incubation of the molds at 23 C for 72 hours and the bacteria and yeasts at 37 C for 24 hours. Following incubation, the zone of inhibition for each blend was recorded in mm (including the disc). Plates were done in triplicate and an average + SD was recorded.

3. RESULTS

In vitro paper disc diffusion assays clearly showed antimicrobial activity for both multipurpose essential oil preparations (**Table 3**) and blend¹¹ and blend¹² both inhibited microbial growth in a similar fashion. Zone radii (in mm) differed between bacteria and fungi but were consistent between the two essential oil mixtures, from 19.5 + 1 to 42.3 + 3 for blend¹¹ and 22.8 + 1 to 42.9 + 3 for blend¹².

Antibacterial activity associated with both blend¹¹ and blend¹² differed between species (*P. aeruginosa* > *S. marcescens* > *S. aureus*) with killing activity observed in all three bacteria (**Table 3**). Antifungal activity was displayed in the three fungi tested but with variations (*C. albicans* > *F. solani* > *A. fumigatus*).

4. DISCUSSION

Previous studies of the antifungal activity of essential oils using broth dilution and agar dilution methods report similar findings to those of this study [18-20]. Obviously,

other methods of measuring antimicrobial activity (minimum inhibiting concentration) have been reported but were not included in the comparison of data obtained from the present study due to inherent differences in test methodology concerning the use of solubilizing agents, choice of plant extract and/or test microorganism [8,21, 22].

The two ubiquitous molds, *A. fumigatus* and *F. solani* were affected by blend¹¹ and blend¹² demonstrating that the growth of common airborne molds and their potential pathogenic activity in the nasal cavity can be controlled. Of interest is the fact that the spores of these molds are resistant to harsh environmental changes but not to blend¹¹ and blend¹², which is relatively harmless and safe for humans. The results of these findings support the contention that mixing individual aromatic essential oils results in blends that are capable of broad spectrum antimicrobial activity. The observed antimicrobial and antifungal activity of both blends reflects the previously reported properties attributed to the activity of the individual essential oil preparations.

Both *S. marcescens* and *P. aeruginosa* are bacteria which are normally found abundantly in the environment and that are capable of causing serious infections in humans. Obviously, *P. aeruginosa* is most studied due to its known resistance to antimicrobial drug therapy. An interesting finding was the antibacterial action of the two blends on both *P. aeruginosa* and *S. marcescens* using

Table 3. Comparison of the antimicrobial activity of multipurpose blend¹¹ and blend¹² on selected bacteria and fungi using paper disc diffusion assays.

Treatment	Radius of zone of inhibition (mm) + 1SD		
	Bacteria		
	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
Blend ¹¹	30.9 + 1.2	32.5 + 2.1	19.5 + 0.8
Blend ¹²	33.3 + 2.3	26.2 + 1.9	24.2 + 1.1
Normal saline	0.0	0.0	0.0
Streptomycin	14.3 + 0.2	17.1 + 0.09	21.2 + 0.6
Treatment	Fungi		
	<i>Candida albicans</i>	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	<i>Fusarium solani</i>
	Blend ¹¹	42.3 + 3.1	21.8 + 1.0
Blend ¹²	42.9 + 3.3	22.9 + 1.3	23.4 + 0.9
Normal saline	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fluconazole	4.1 + 0.8	-	-
Miconazole	-	29 + 1.1	27 + 1.8

the paper disc diffusion method.

Multipurpose essential oil blend¹¹ and blend¹² have the potential of providing protection against microorganisms causing respiratory problems that originate in the nose. The duration of their usage before exposure is short, if not immediate, they are convenient for the consumer, are stable and do not irritate sensitive or damaged tissues. These properties, along with the current finding of a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity, demonstrate that either blend¹¹ or blend¹² can be effective if used as an inhalant.

From the data presented here, it seems plausible that introduction of blend¹¹ or blend¹² into the nasal cavities of humans may modify the growth of the resident microbial populations and result in control of harmful microorganisms in the respiratory tract. The inhibitory effect of both blends on *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* and *C. albicans*, which are found as normal human flora, supports the concept that they may help prevent infections caused by bacteria and yeast that, under certain circumstances, are pathogens. Possibly the reduction in the growth of symbiotic/pathogenic organisms may lower the numbers of the microorganisms below a critical threshold level necessary to cause infections.

Regular use of either blend¹¹ or blend¹² before, during or after exposure provides protection against a variety of potential pathogens that are encountered when exposed to people who carry respiratory infections. Future studies on the effect of multipurpose blends on the antimicrobial flora of the human respiratory tract will be necessary to determine the health benefits acquired from nasal inhalation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cowan, M.M. (1999) Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, **12**, 564-582.
- [2] Hammer, K.A., Carson, C.F. and Riley, T.V. (1999) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils and other plant extracts. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **86**, 985-990. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00780.x
- [3] Cimanga, K., Kambu, K., Tona, L., Apers, S., De Bruyne, T., Hermans, N., Totte, J., Pieters, L. and Vlietinck, A.J. (2002) Correlation between chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils of some aromatic medicinal plants growing in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, **79**, 213-220. doi:10.1016/S0378-8741(01)00384-1
- [4] Wilkinson, J.M. and Cavanagh, H.M.A. (2005) Antibacterial activity of essential oils from Australian native plants. *Phytotherapy Research*, **19**, 643-646. doi:10.1002/ptr.1716
- [5] Carson, C.F. and Riley, T.V. (1995) Antimicrobial activity of the major components of the essential oil of *Melaleuca alternifolia*. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, **78**, 264-269. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.1995.tb05025.x
- [6] Warnke, P.H., Becker, S.T., Podschun, R., Sivananthan, S., Springer, I.N., Russo, P.A.J., Wiltfang, J., Fickenscher, H. and Sherry, E. (2009) The battle against multi-resistant strains: Renaissance of antimicrobial essential oils as a promising force to fight hospital-acquired infections. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*, **37**, 392-397. doi:10.1016/j.jcms.2009.03.017
- [7] Digrak, M., Ilcim, A. and Alma, M.H. (1999) Antimicrobial activities of several parts of *Pinus brutia*, *Juniperus oxycedrus*, *Abies cilicia*, *Cedrus libani* and *Pinus nigra*. *Phytotherapy Research*, **13**, 584-587. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1573(199911)13:7<584::AID-PTR508>3.0.CO;2-S
- [8] Prabuseenivasan, S., Jayakumar, M. and Ignacimuthy S. (2006) *In vitro* antibacterial activity of some plant essential oils. *BMC Complementary Alternative Medicine*, **6**, 39. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-6-39
- [9] Cosge, B., Turker, A., Urker, A., Ipek A., Girbuz, B. and Arslan, N. (2009) Chemical compositions and antibacterial activities of the essential oils from aerial parts and corollas of *Origanum acutidens* (Hand.-Mazz.) Ietswaart, an endemic species to Turkey. *Molecules*, **14**, 1702-1712. doi:10.3390/molecules14051702
- [10] Tohidpour, A., Sarrari, M., Omidbaigi, R., Yadegar, A. and Nazemi, J. (2010) Antibacterial effect of essential oils from two medicinal plants against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Phytomedicine*, **17**, 142-145. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2009.05.007
- [11] Ashour, H.M. (2008) Antibacterial, antifungal, and anticancer activities of volatile oils and extracts from stems, leaves, and flowers of *Eucalyptus sideroxylon* and *Eucalyptus torquata*. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapy*, **7**, 399-403.
- [12] Rai, M.K., Qureshi, S. and Pandey A.K. (1999) *In vitro* susceptibility of opportunistic spp. to essential oils. *Mycoses*, **42**, 97-101. doi:10.1046/j.1439-0507.1999.00267.x
- [13] Hong, E.J., Na, K.J., Choi, I.G., Choi, K.C. and Jeunge, E.B. (2004) Antibacterial and antifungal effects of essential oils from coniferous trees. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, **27**, 863-866. doi:10.1248/bpb.27.863
- [14] Valimaa, A.L., Honakalampi-Hamalainen, U., Pietarinen, S., Willfor, S. and Von Wright, A. (2007) Antimicrobial and cytotoxic knot wood extracts and related pure compounds and their effects on food-associated microorganisms. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **115**, 235-243. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.10.031
- [15] Sarbhoy, A.K., Varshney, J.L., Maheshwari, M.L. and Saxena, D.B. (1978) Efficacy of some essential oils and their constituents on few ubiquitous molds. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie Naturwiss.*, **133**, 722-725. doi:10.1016/S0323-6056(78)80079-2
- [16] Leeja, L. and Thoppil, J.E. (2007) Antimicrobial activity of methanol extract of *Origanum majorana* L. (sweet marjoram). *Journal of Environmental Biology*, **28**, 145-146.
- [17] Luqman, S., Dwivedi, G.R., Darokar, M.P., Kalra, A. and Khanuja, S.P.S. (2007) Potential of rosemary oil to be used in drug-resistant infections. *Alternative Therapies in Health Medicine*, **13**, 54-59.

- [18] Kurita, N., Miyaji, M., Kurane R. and Takahara, Y. (1981) Antifungal activity of components of essential oils. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, **45**, 945-952. [doi:10.1271/bbb1961.45.945](https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.45.945)
- [19] Maruzzella, J.C. and Henry, P.A. (1958) Antimicrobial activity of perfume oils. *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association*, **47**, 471-476. [doi:10.1002/jps.3030470704](https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.3030470704)
- [20] Yousef, R.T., Aggag, M.E. and Rawil, G.G. (1978) Evaluation of the antifungal activity of some components of volatile oils against dermatophytes. *Mykosen*, **21**, 190-193.
- [21] Janssen, A.M., Shaeffer, J.J.C. and Baerheim S.A. (1987) Antimicrobial activity of essential oils: A 1976-86 literature review: Aspects of the test methods. *Planta Medica*, **53**, 395-398. [doi:10.1055/s-2006-962755](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-962755)
- [22] Mayaud, L., Carricajo, A., Zhiri, A. and Aubert, G. (2008) Comparison of bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of 13 essential oils against strains with varying sensitivity to antibiotics. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **47**, 167-173. [doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02406.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02406.x)