

About the Influence of the Giant Planets on Long-Term Evolution of Global Temperature

Kruchenitsky Gregory Mikhailovich^{1,2}, Statnikov Konstantin Andreevich²,
Zakharov Vladimir Matveevich¹

¹Central Upper-Air Observatory of Roshydromet, Moscow, Russian Federation

²Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (State University), Moscow, Russian Federation

Email: kos1301@mail.ru

Received 30 March 2016; accepted 26 July 2016; published 29 July 2016

Abstract

The effects associated with the displacement of the center of gravity of the solar system under the influence of giant planets (Jupiter and Saturn) are discussed. Based on the hypothesis of parametric resonance in the variation of global temperature with disturbances in the photosphere shape and the Earth-to-Sundistance due to the oppositions of said planets, a regression model that explains the observed long-term evolution of global temperature is built. It was shown that residuals of the model are close to white noise, *i.e.* the hypothesis almost entirely explains the effect of temperature increase for the period presented in the vernacular crutem3 database.

Keywords

Climate, Temperature, Planet, Parametric Resonance

1. Formulation of the Problem

The observed variability of global temperature [1] is usually explained through the decrease in the coefficient of the grayness of the Earth caused by increased content of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, such as CO₂ [2], *i.e.* by the anthropogenic ally caused increase in the greenhouse effect. The validity of such views raises some doubts, as their validity is based either on the results of the climate simulation [3], or on the results of the regression analysis [4], in relation to which the fullness of the used set of regression does not seem certain. At the same time, just the results of climate modeling do not seem to be quite reliable, above all, for the reasons stated in [5]. Thus, the uniqueness of the solution of global circulation equation (the Navier-Stokes equations in a rotating coordinate system) is more than controversial in view of the phenomenon of turbulence, which entails a fundamental limitation on the applicability of numerical solution methods, and slew rate of errors inherent in the initial conditions, fundamentally limits reasonable time of prediction through the duration of the synoptic cycle value [5].

2. Working Hypothesis and Its Testing

Based on simple numerical estimates, one can easily obtain the mean displacement of the center of gravity of the

solar system relative to the center of the Sun that is equal to 5/4 of its radius. The oppositions of two heaviest planets in the solar system (Jupiter and Saturn) repeated at a frequency of about half the frequency of revolution of Saturn around the Sun (1/29.46 years), as is easily seen, can cause this kind of bias by a value of about 1.64 of solar radii. This can, in turn, determine a significant asymmetry in the distribution of the photosphere, *i.e.* Sun's radiation pattern, as well as change in the Earth-to-Sundistance by about 0.67%. Such variations are equivalent to a change in the solar constant value of about 20 W/m², despite the fact that energy equivalent of the anthropogenically caused greenhouse effect is equal, according to the authors [2], to a value that is almost an order of magnitude smaller (~2.4 W/m²). Such changes are bound to lead to changes in the parameters of the oscillatory energy-balance system of the Earth, primarily due to the albedo oscillations caused by the total cloud amount. These fluctuations have to cause parametric resonance at the frequencies corresponding to the doubling of the period of oscillations caused by oppositions of Jupiter and Saturn. Based on these considerations, the following regression model was built for the global temperature:

$$IGT(t) = \sum_{k=1}^2 [a_k \cos(k\omega_s t) + b_k \sin(k\omega_s t)] + \text{Rez}(t) \quad (1)$$

where: IGT is a global temperature deviation from the climatic norm; $\omega_s = \frac{2\pi}{4T_s}, T_s = 29.46$ years (Saturn's orbital period around the Sun), $\text{Rez}(t)$ is residual of the simulation.

The amount of (1) is limited by the superscript two due to the limitations of the full extent of series by 160 years. When preparing the data, it was revealed that the crutem3 [1] database did not completely rule out seasonal variations in global temperature, and its contribution with a full amplitude of 0.66 K (on) four harmonics of seasonal variation was also included in the model. As **Figure 1** showed, the statistical significance of the model (1) was at the level of the Fisher statistical value being equal to 3503 and a coefficient of determination of 65%. Note that without a model (1), the trend of series was 0.52 ± 0.01 K/100 years (the coefficient of determination was 42%), with a statistical significance being at the level of the Fisher statistic equal to 1410, and after eliminating the effect of parametric resonance of opposition of giant planets (1), it was equal to 0.01 ± 0.008 K/100 years at a statistical significance being at the level of Fisher statistic equal to 0.4 minutes.




Figure 1. Model of global temperature variability.




Figure 2. Forecast of global temperature deviations from the climatic normals.

It is important to note that the residuals of the model (1) are a succession close to white noise: the Durbin-Watson statistic is 2.14 for it, and the coefficient of determination of the integrated periodogram of the straight line passing through the origin of coordinates is equal to 90%. **Figure 2** shows the forecast for future changes in global temperature and its margin of error is 0.24 K.

3. Conclusions

The main contribution to long-term changes in global temperature is formed by the parametric resonance phenomenon along with the phenomenon of oppositions of Jupiter and Saturn giant planets. The contribution of such planets as Uranus and Neptune cannot be determined today using the regression modeling techniques due to the limited succession of observations and its small length of time in comparison with the periods of the parametric resonance of said planets (about 330 years).

Even more this limitation relates to the oppositions of the giant planets of a ratio being higher than 2, *i.e.* to the oppositions involving three or more giant planets.

Acknowledgements

This paper was partially supported by the RFBR grant No. 13-05-01036a.

References

- [1] <http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/temperature/>
- [2] Third Assessment Report - Climate Change 2001. <http://www.ipcc.ch/>
- [3] Karol, I.L. and Kiselev, A.A. (2009) Climate Model: Tool or Toy? *Nature*, No. 5, 25-31.
- [4] Sherstyukov, B.G. (2011) Climate Change, Variability and Fluctuations. Obninsk, FGBI VNIIMI WDC, 126-128.
- [5] Arnold, V.I. (1979) Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics. *Nauka*, 307-308.



Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc.

A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals)

Providing 24-hour high-quality service

User-friendly online submission system

Fair and swift peer-review system

Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure

Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles

Maximum dissemination of your research work

Submit your manuscript at: <http://papersubmission.scirp.org/>