

Protein Fortification of Corn *Tortillas*: Effects on Physicochemical Characteristics, Nutritional Value and Acceptance

Abril Lecuona-Villanueva, David A. Betancur-Ancona, Luis A. Chel-Guerrero, Arturo F. Castellanos-Ruelas*

Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Autonomous University of Yucatán, Campus of the Faculties of Engineering and Math Sciences, Mérida, México.

Email: *cruelas@uady.mx

Received October 9th, 2012; revised November 9th, 2012; accepted November 17th, 2012

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate the texture of corn dough as well as the nutritional quality and acceptance of corn cakes (*tortillas*) made either with a readymade commercial corn flour (CCF) or with a traditional way (nixtamal) both fortified with lys + tryp (L + T) or with a protein concentrate from *Phaseolus lunatus* added with L + T (PC + L + T). All treatments were analyzed to investigate the physical properties of the dough and *tortillas* as well as their physicochemical and nutritional quality. Results showed that cohesion was affected ($p < 0.05$) in the fortified *tortillas* made with CCF decreasing from 34.3 to 28.4 and 27.4 N for Control, L + T and PC + L + T, respectively; *tortillas* made with nixtamal showed no difference. Adhesion was not affected by treatments. Weight loss increased only in the fortified *tortillas* made with CCF ($p < 0.05$). Rolling capacity was not affected by fortification. The tension of *tortillas* increased with the fortification being the highest value 0.96 N. Color was not affected in *tortillas* made with CCF ($p < 0.05$); changes observed in those made with nixtamal remained in an acceptable range. *In vitro* digestibility was improved by the fortification obtaining the highest values in *tortillas* made with CCF and fortified with PC + L + T. Acceptance was greater for *tortillas* fortified with L + T alone. Protein fortification of *tortillas* made with either CCF or nixtamal is feasible using either L + T together or in combination with a PC from *Phaseolus lunatus* improving their nutritional value without negative effects on their physicochemical characteristics or acceptance.

Keywords: Tortilla; Amino Acids; Child Malnutrition; Fortification

1. Introduction

México, with a population of approximately 112 million inhabitants [1] is the country with the largest consumption of corn *per capita* in the world. *Tortillas* (baked corn cakes) and related products made of corn are largely consumed by the population, especially in the lower socioeconomic levels of population [2]. Average *per capita* consumption is estimated at 240 g/day and in rural areas this figure rises to 400 g/day [3]. This consumption meets the daily requirements of about 45% of total calories, 39% of protein and 49% of calcium; in rural areas as much as 70% of total calories and 50% of protein are provided by corn [4].

Unfortunately, corn is not a balanced food because it lacks the essential amino acids lysine and tryptophan [5, 6].

FAO in its latest estimates [7] indicated that in 2010

the world had 925 million undernourished people, of which 98% were in developing countries. In México, protein-energy malnutrition affects approximately one-fifth of the rural population, about five million people, while in urban areas affects seven percent of the population, as noted by the National Health and Nutrition Survey [8].

Fortification is the nutritional improvement of food by the addition of nutrients such as vitamins, minerals and amino acids (or other protein supplements). Fortification strategies seeks to benefit in the shortest time, most of the population suffering from malnutrition, improving the quality of the food that make up the bulk of the diet [9]. Because of its high consumption, *tortillas* can be used as a vehicle to reduce protein malnutrition. Early research on *tortilla* in Mexico was made in the 50's by the National Institute of Nutrition. Fortification was carried out with chickpeas, beans and milk; soybean meal and sesame protein; amaranth flour; *Phaseolus lunatus*

*Corresponding author.

protein concentrate [10-13]. In Nigeria soybeans were also used for the same purpose [14]. Although important progress has been made, some strategies have altered taste, color or in cases the *tortilla* hardens faster [9].

Fortification was achieved with other cons products. Fried snack with various mixtures of beans and corn, were tested showing that the best mix from the standpoint of protein content and sensory characteristics was a ratio of 50:50 (w/w) [15]. Other snacks made with mixes of fried kernels of three cultivars of beans and yellow dent corn in the same proportion, improved the biological value of proteins [16]. The traditional *tamales* (corn patties) prepared with a mixture of corn and soybeans pre-cooked by extrusion, improved the amino acid balance [17].

2. Materials and Methods

Two types of corn dough were prepared, using:

- Commercial corn flour (CCF). Cornmeal trade mark Maseca® readymade to elaborate *tortillas*.
- Kernels of native maize (X'nuknal yellow) submitted to the traditional preparation prior to the elaboration of *tortillas* (*nixtamal*).

Two types of fortification were used:

- Amino acids lysine and tryptophan (L + T), Teklan Harlan brand.
- Protein concentrate (PC) from *Phaseolus lunatus* beans added with L + T.

The fortification of *tortillas* was designed to meet 100% of the requirements established by the FAO/WHO (1991) for lysine and tryptophan [6]. *Tortillas* without fortification were used as a Control group.

Concentrate protein of *Phaseolus lunatus*, was made according to methodology previously described [18].

Nixtamal process was conducted in the traditional way; cooking was carried out with water in ratio 1:6 adding 2% of lime [Ca(OH)₂], and boiling it for 80 minutes. Subsequently it was allowed to stand for 14 hours. Cooked corn obtained was washed four times with tap water and drained previous to grinding.

Table 1 shows the exact quantities of corn, protein concentrate, amino acids and water used for each treatment.

The production of dough and *tortillas* was made as follows: the ingredients were mixed and kneaded manually for two minutes to obtain a thin dough, without lumps and not brittle; it was allowed to stand for 2 h. *Tortillas* (**Photo 1**) were made in a semi-automatic commercial machine. After cooking they were cool at room temperature and various physical and sensory characteristics were evaluated. Remaining *tortillas* were dried in an oven at 60°C for 24 h and ground to be preserved until chemical analysis.



Photo 1. Tortilla shell. Individual item, stacked and rolled in a taco shape.

2.1. Physical Characterization of Dough

Cohesion and adhesion of the dough was measured [19]. The parameter tested was the maximum force required for tension and compression using an Instron Texturometer 4411 with a loading cell of 49 N. Samples were molded with a plastic ring of 36 mm diameter and 5 mm thick. Subsequently a load of 49 N at a speed of 5 mm/min was applied from an initial distance of 6 mm to the plate using a compression anvil. Two programs were used on the computer, one to apply a gap of compressive force of a 4 mm, and after a minute the second outreach program was applied to assess adhesion on the same sample.

2.2. Physicochemical Characterization of *Tortillas*

Weight loss was calculated estimating the difference between the weight of raw and baked *tortilla* and measured the yield of dough into final product.

Reliability is the ability to make *tortillas* taco-shaped without breaking. The method was carried out as follows: the *tortilla* was rolled around a wooden rod of 2 cm of diameter and the degree of rupture observed, using a subjective scale from 1 (no cracks) to 5 (cannot roll) [20].

Tensile strength and elasticity was performed on an Instron Texturometer 4411 with retention clamps. Three *tortillas* from each treatment were analyzed. They were cut off in strips and only the central portion of the tortilla was taken, avoiding the edges. The sample dimensions were 8 cm long and 2 cm wide and they were submitted to tension until the breaking point. The test conditions were: load cell of 49 N, applying a maximum load of 9.8 N, at a speed of 2 mm/s, and a displacement distance of maximum deformation of 15 mm [21].

Color determination was performed using a Minolta CR-200b colorimeter. Three readings were taken by placing the shutter on the sample at different points and the average reading was obtained for the following pa-

Table 1. Treatments for the fortification of tortillas.

Treatment	Dough g	Protein Concentrate g	Lysine g	Tryptophan g	Water g
Commercial corn flour					
Control (w/o fortification)	400	0	0	0	555
Added w/Lys + Tryp	400	0	0.826	0.1248	555
Added w/PC + L + T	370	30	0.596	0.1344	562
Grains of native maize submitted to the traditional preparation					
Control (w/o fortification)	500	0	0	0	132
Added w/Lys + Tryp	500	0	1.446	0.2995	132
Added w/PC + L + T	462.5	37.5	0.884	0.2515	132

rameters L^* , a^* , b^* and ΔE .

Crude protein was determined using Kjeldahl method. The methods to quantify available L, T and *in vitro* digestibility were previously described [22-24].

2.3. Sensory Evaluation

It was conducted with 80 untrained judges. A seven point hedonic scale was used [25]. Seven was the highest rating “*I like it very much*” and 1 the lowest “*I dislike it very much*”. The analysis was performed separately in two different sessions for each type of dough.

Data was analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance for a completely randomized design [26]. The following variables were analyzed for each one of the two factors tested (type of corn dough and type of fortification); in the dough, cohesiveness and adhesiveness; in the *tortillas*, crude protein, amino acid profile, available L, T, ΔE protein digestibility, weight loss, rolability, elasticity and color. Treatments were compared using Duncan’s multiple comparison test [26]. The statistical design applied to the sensory evaluation was a test of degree of liking, using a seven point hedonic scale, and it was analyzed by means of a one way analysis of variance [26].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physical Characterization of Dough

Cohesion of *tortillas* made with fortified CCF dough (**Table 2**) was similar ($p > 0.05$). However, average results differed ($p < 0.05$) with the control group which scored the highest value. The overall results were as expected for this kind of dough, because lower values would prevent the formation of *tortillas*.

All results of cohesion observed in dough made with *nixtamal* (**Table 2**) were similar ($p > 0.05$). These results were in accordance to those reported previously for *nixtamal* fortified with vitamins, minerals and defatted soybean meal [9].

Adhesion results were similar in all treatments (**Table**

2) ($p > 0.05$). These values are consistent with those reported for dough produced with different types of local corn from the state of Puebla, as well as those observed recently [27-28].

3.2. Physicochemical Characterization of *Tortillas*

No changes were detected in weight loss in treatments except in fortified *tortillas* prepared with CCF which lost more weight than the control ones ($p < 0.05$) (**Table 2**). These results are consistent with the findings of other authors [29].

Rolability showed no changes ($p > 0.05$) attributable to the fortification. In all cases values were 1 or close to this figure. These results are similar with those reported previously with *tortillas* made with *nixtamal* or CCF [9,30]. Obtained rolability indicated that *tortillas* have sufficient flexibility to prevent breakage during taco-shaped curl.

The maximum tensile strength was observed in fortified *tortillas* indistinctly the dough used ($p < 0.05$). The elasticity did not change in any case. These last results are consistent with those reported by other authors who found results between 4.17 to 4.80 mm for *tortillas* made with *nixtamal* added with conservatives [31].

The results of color showed that the values of lightness (L^*), a^* and ΔE were similar in *tortillas* prepared with CCF ($p < 0.05$) (**Table 3**). In general, *tortillas* had a clear hue, with a tendency towards yellow. Similar results were obtained previously with *tortillas* fortified with L and T [32]. Only b^* values showed differences ($p < 0.05$) between the three treatments, these values were positive and relatively low, indicating a trend towards yellow.

The results of *tortillas* prepared with *nixtamal* showed that those fortified with PC + L + T showed different results ($p < 0.05$) in relation to the other two.

As expected, crude protein, available L and T content increased in all fortified *tortillas* with PC compared with the other two treatments ($p < 0.05$) (**Table 4**). T content for fortified *tortillas* exceeded the requirements estab-

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of the dough of maize.

Treatment	Cohesion N	Adhesion N	Weight lost %	Tensile strength N	Elasticity mm
Commercial corn flour					
Control (w/o fortification)	34.49 ^a	25.09 ^a	26.4 ^a	0.6429 ^a	4.427 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	29.20 ^b	24.40 ^a	32.4 ^b	0.9202 ^b	4.565 ^a
Added w/PC + L + T	27.64 ^b	19.99 ^a	29.9 ^b	0.8389 ^b	4.130 ^a
Grains of native maize submitted to the traditional preparation					
Control (w/o fortification)	48.80 ^a	25.09 ^a	26.3 ^a	0.5236 ^a	4.429 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	49.19 ^a	23.72 ^a	27.0 ^a	0.9643 ^b	5.237 ^a
Added w/PC + L + T	49.19 ^a	24.50 ^a	28.6 ^a	0.9202 ^b	5.149 ^a

^{a, b, c}Different letters in the same column, for each type of flour, means ($p < 0.05$).

Table 3. Color properties of tortillas.

Treatment	L*	a*	b*	ΔE
Commercial corn flour				
Control (w/o fortification)	74.0 ^a	-1.5 ^a	14.7 ^a	26.4 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	74.7 ^a	-1.4 ^a	15.8 ^b	26.3 ^a
Added w/PC + L + T	74.4 ^a	-1.1 ^a	17.3 ^c	27.3 ^a
Grains of native maize submitted to the traditional preparation				
Control (w/o fortification)	72.6 ^a	-0.9 ^a	35.6 ^a	41.4 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	70.6 ^{ab}	-0.3 ^a	35.9 ^a	42.9 ^a
Added w/PC + L + T	68.3 ^b	-1.4 ^b	26.2 ^b	37.5 ^b

^{a, b, c}Different letters in the same column, for each type of flour, means ($p < 0.05$).

Table 4. Nutritional content of tortillas.

Treatment	Crudeprotein	Available lysine	Tryptophan	<i>In vitro</i> digestibility
Commercial corn flour				
Control (w/o fortification)	8.9 ^a	2.97 ^a	1.04 ^a	84.9 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	9.0 ^a	4.99 ^b	1.80 ^b	88.5 ^b
Added w/PC + L + T	13.7 ^b	5.56 ^b	1.74 ^b	90.2 ^c
Grains of native maize submitted to the traditional preparation				
Control (w/o fortification)	11.7 ^a	3.18 ^a	1.99 ^a	81.5 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	11.8 ^a	5.34 ^b	2.57 ^b	86.7 ^b
Added w/PC + L + T	16.5 ^b	5.75 ^b	2.53 ^b	88.6 ^c

^{a, b, c}Different letters in the same column, for each type of flour, indicates ($p < 0.05$).

lished by the FAO of 1.1 g/100g protein [6].

Despite the significant increase in the content of L, this did not meet the requirements established by FAO [6]. Probably the heat treatment undergoing *tortillas* processing affected L availability. With heat, a reaction occurs between the amino group of lysine and the amino group of asparagine and glutamine, leading to a cross-linking, reducing enzymatic attack in the digestive track. Also crosslinking reactions occur between polypeptide chains by acylation of free amino groups. All these induce a decrease in L availability [33].

Fortification favorably affected the digestibility of all *tortillas*. The best results were obtained with the treatment of PC + L + T ($p < 0.05$). These results were similar to those obtained for *tortillas* supplemented with 8% of soybean meal [3].

3.3. Sensory Evaluation

The overall results were similar ($p > 0.05$) for control *tortillas* and those fortified with L + T. The addition of PC led to a decrease ($p < 0.05$) in almost all parameters compared with the control group, but did not mean a re-

Table 5. Sensory evaluation of tortillas.

Treatment	Color	Odor	Taste
Commercial corn flour			
Control (w/o fortification)	5.13 ^a	5.25 ^a	4.95 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	5.47 ^a	5.53 ^a	5.40 ^b
Added w/PC + L + T	5.41 ^a	4.83 ^b	4.17 ^c
Grains of native maize submitted to the traditional preparation			
Control (w/o fortification)	5.56 ^a	5.51 ^a	5.44 ^a
Added w/Lys + Tryp	5.58 ^a	5.34 ^a	5.08 ^a
Added w/PC + L + T	2.91 ^b	4.89 ^b	4.30 ^b

^{a, b, c}Different letters in the same column, for each type of flour, means ($p < 0.05$).

jection, as mean values of 4 represent “I do not like, nor dislike it” (Table 5).

4. Conclusion

The fortification of a commercial corn flour or *nixtamal*, with either, a protein concentrate from *Phaseolus lunatus* alone or in combination with lysine and tryptophan, did not altered rolability or elasticity in the *tortillas* elaborated thereafter. Few changes were attributed to fortification on tensile strength or color. Fortification improved amino acid content and *in vitro* digestibility, without negative effects on acceptance of obtained *tortillas*.

REFERENCES

- [1] INEGI (National Institute of Statistics, Geography and Informatics), “Population and Housing Census,” México DF, 2010.
- [2] M. Sierra-Macías, A. Palafox-Caballero, G. Vázquez-Carrillo, F. Rodríguez-Montalvo and A. Espinosa-Calderón, “Agronomic Characterization, Industrial and Nutritional Quality of Corn Cultivated in Tropical areas of México,” *Agronomy in Mesoamérica*, Vol. 21, 2010, pp. 21-29.
- [3] S. S. Serna, “Nutritive Value of Tortillas Fortified with Soybeans,” *Soyanoticias*, Vol. 251, 1997, pp. 1-6.
- [4] E. Cruz-Huerta and I. Verdalet-Guzmán, “Corn Tortillas: A Nutritive tradition,” *The Magazine of Science and Man-kind*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2007, pp. 41-44.
- [5] J. Landry and T. Moureaux, “Distribution and Amino Acid Composition of Protein Fractions in Opaque-2 Maize-grain,” *Phytochemistry*, Vol. 21, No. 8, 1982, pp. 1865-1869. [doi:10.1016/0031-9422\(82\)83004-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(82)83004-5)
- [6] FAO/WHO, “Protein Quality Evaluation. Report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation. Food and Nutrition,” Paper No. 51, Food Agriculture Organizations and the World Health Organization, Rome, Italy, 1991.
- [7] FAO, “The State of Food Insecurity in the World,” 2009. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/y1500e/y1500e00.htm>
- [8] Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición, “Mexican National Health and Nutrition Survey,” Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, Cuernavaca, 2006.
- [9] J. D. Figueroa-Cárdenas, M. G. Acero-Godínez, N. L. Vasco-Méndez, A. Lozano-Guzmán, L. M. Flores-Acosta and J. González-Hernández, “Fortification and Evaluation of the Nixtamal Tortillas,” *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 51, No. 3, 2001, pp. 293-302.
- [10] G. Massieu, O. Y. Cravioto, R. O. Cravioto and F. de M. Figueroa, “Evaluation of Protein and Amino Acids in Mexican Diets. 111. Protein Efficiency of Tortilla-Based Diets Supplemented with Beans, Peas or Milk Using the Growing White Rat Methodology,” *Science (Mexican Journal)*, Vol. 14, 1954, pp. 93-97.
- [11] R. O. Cravioto and M. M. Cervantes, “Protein Efficiency Corn Dough Enriched with Soybean Flour or with Sesame Protein,” *Science (Mexican Journal)*, Vol. 24, 1965, pp. 159-162.
- [12] M. A. Sánchez and S. Maya, “Industrial Corn Flour Enrichment with Whole Amaranth Flour and Milling Fractions in Corn-Based Products,” *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 35, No. 3, 1985, pp. 518-537.
- [13] H. Uc-Cetz, V. Pérez-Flores, L. Chel-Guerrero and A. Betancur-Ancona, “Production of Corn Tortillas Added with a Protein Concentrate Form *Phaseolus lunatus*,” *Food Technology*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2003, pp. 7-16.
- [14] V. A. Obatolu, A. Okoruwa and J. E. Iken, “Improvement of Home-Made Maize Tortilla with Soybean,” *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, Vol. 42, No. 4, 2007, pp. 420-426. [doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01242.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01242.x)
- [15] M. L. Hurtado, B. A. Escobar and A. M. Estévez, “Deep Frying Snack Product of Legume/Cereal Mixture Based on Corn and Three Varieties of Beans,” *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 51, No. 3, 2001, pp. 303-308.
- [16] A. M. Estévez, B. Escobar, I. Zacarras and M. L. Hurtado, “Nutritional Evaluation and Accelerated Storage at 37°C of Fried Bean and Corn Mixtures,” *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 53, No.1, 2003, pp. 70-73.
- [17] J. E. Briones, M. I. Cuatzo, F. López and Y. T. Gallardo, “Development of Tamales (Corn Patties) from Precooked Corn-Soybean Flour by Extrusion,” *Proceedings of the XII Food Science and Technology Congress*, Guanajuato, 27-28 May 2010.
- [18] L. Chel-Guerrero, V. Pérez-Flores, D. Betancur-Ancona and G. Dávila-Ortiz, “Functional Properties of Flours and Protein Isolates from *Phaseolus lunatus* and *Canavalia ensiformis* Seeds,” *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, Vol. 50, No. 3, 2002, pp. 584-591. [doi:10.1021/jf010778j](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010778j)
- [19] B. Ramirez-Wong, V. E. Sweat, P. I. Torres and L. W. Rooney, “Development of Two Instrumental Methods for Corn Masa Texture Evaluation,” *Cereal Chemistry*, Vol. 70, No. 3, 1993, pp. 286-290.
- [20] S. Bedolla, “Development and Characterization of Instant Tortilla Flours from Sorghum and Corn by Infra-Red Cooking (Micronixing) and Extrusion Cooking,” Ph.D. Dissertation, A & M University, College Station, 1983.

- [21] V. G. Arámbula, A. L. Barrón, H. J. González and M. E. Moreno, "Effects of Cook and Steep Time of Nixtamalized Corn Grain (*Zea mays* L.) on the Physicochemical, Rheological, Structural and Textural Characteristics of Grain, Masa and Tortillas," *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 2001, pp. 187-194.
- [22] V. H. Booth, "Problems in the Determination of FDNB-Available Lysine," *Journal of Food Science and Agriculture*, Vol. 22, No. 12, 1972, pp. 658-665.
[doi:10.1002/jsfa.2740221214](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740221214)
- [23] R. J. Spies and D. C. Chambers, "Chemical Determination of Tryptophan in Proteins," *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 21, No. 10, 1949, pp. 1249-1246.
[doi:10.1021/ac60034a033](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60034a033)
- [24] H. Hsu, O. Vavak, L. Satterlee and G. A. Miller, "Multienzyme Technique for Estimating Protein Digestibility," *Journal of Food Science*, Vol. 42, 1977, pp. 1269-1279.
[doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.1977.tb14476.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1977.tb14476.x)
- [25] M. R. Torricela, U. E. Zamora and A. H. Pulido, "Sensory Evaluation Applied to the Development of Quality in the Food Industry," Research Institute for the Food Industry, La Habana, 1989.
- [26] D. Montgomery, "Designs and Analysis of Experiments," Limusa-Wiley, México DF, 2004.
- [27] E. Rangel-Meza, A. Muñoz-Orozco, G. Vázquez-Carrillo, J. Cuevas-Sánchez, J. Merino-Castillo and S. Miranda-Colin, "Alkaline Cooking, Preparation and Quality of Corn Tortillas from Ecatlán, Puebla, México," *Agroscience*, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2004, pp. 53-61.
- [28] F. J. Solorza, L. Chel, P. J. Parra, D. Betancura and A. F. Castellanos, "Rheological and Mechanical Evaluation of Maize Dough and Tortillas Containing Cassava and Malanga Flour," *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2012, in Press.
- [29] R. A. Mauricio-Sánchez, J. Figueroa-Cárdenas, S. Taba, M. Reyes-Vega, F. Rincón-Sánchez and A. Mendoza-Galván, "Characterization of Maize Accessions by Grain and Tortilla Quality Traits," *Crop Production Magazine*, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2004, pp. 213-222.
- [30] R. Flores-Farías, F. Martínez-Bustos, Y. Salinas-Moreno, Y. Kil-Chang, J. González-Hernández and E. Ríos, "Physicochemical and Rheological Characteristics of Commercial Nixtamalized Mexican Maize Flours for Tortillas," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, Vol. 80, 2000, pp. 657-664.
[doi:10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0010\(20000501\)80:6<657::AID-JSFA576>3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(20000501)80:6<657::AID-JSFA576>3.0.CO;2-J)
- [31] O. J. J. Ordaz and C. G. M. Vázquez, "Shelf Life and Sensory Evaluation in Corn Tortillas Made with Preservative Enhancers," *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 4, 1997, pp. 372-376.
- [32] K. N. Waliszewski, Y. Estrada and V. Pardío, "Sensory Properties Changes of Fortified Nixtamalized Corn Flour with Lysine and Tryptophan during Storage," *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, Vol. 59, No. 1-4, 2004, pp. 23-31.
- [33] V. Quitral, L. Abugoch, J. Vinagre and M. Larrain, "Effect of Thermal Treatments on the Chemical Characteristics of Mora Crab Meat (*Homalaspisplana*)," *American Archives of Nutrition*, Vol. 53, No. 1, 2003, pp. 90-95.