Estimation of Land Surface Temperature of Yola, North Eastern Nigeria Using Landsat-7 ETM+ Satellite Image
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Abstract
The land surface temperature of Yola (latitude 9°11′N to 9°20′N and longitude 12°23′E to 12°33′E) North-eastern Nigeria was estimated using landsat-7 ETM+ satellite images. ENVI 4.5 software, and Thermal band 6.2 were used for the estimation, land surface temperature, from Landsat-7 ETM+ imagery sensors acquired as a digital number (DN) range from 0 - 255 in thermal band. DNs were first converted to radiance values in Wm⁻²·sr⁻¹·µm⁻¹, using the bias and gain values specific to an individual pixel, then the radiance was converted eventually to surface temperature (in Kelvin). The results indicate that there is a significant variation in land surface temperature between the two different seasons in Yola. The mean surface temperatures estimated are 307.9 K and 298.1 K during the dry and rainy seasons respectively. The result obtained was compared with data obtained from Meteorological Department. The estimated land surface temperature showed a good correlation, with a difference of 2 K to 3 K.
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1. Introduction
Land Surface Temperature (LST) can be defined as the temperature felt when the land surface is touched with bare hands or it is the skin temperature of the ground [1]. It is the temperature emitted by the surface and measured in Kelvin. It is greatly affected by the increasing greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Land
use/Land cover of an area can be used for estimating the amount of LST. Natural and anthropogenic activities change the Land use/Land cover of an area which in turn influences surface temperature of that area. As its value changes the local climate of the area also changes. It is an important phenomenon to be investigated. Hence, many researchers have calculated LST using various algorithms and techniques [1].

LST is maintained by the incoming solar and long wave irradiation, the outgoing terrestrial infrared radiation, the sensible and latent heat flux and the ground heat flux. Long-term and reliable estimates of LST are required for many purposes, e.g. as input to general circulation models, numerical weather prediction, climate change detection, vegetation health monitoring, change detection related to desertification processes etc. Only satellite based radiance measurements provide the temporal coverage and spatial resolution required to run these models and analyze the processes. For measurement in the atmospheric windows the top of the atmosphere radiance is dominated by surface leaving radiance. Therefore, LST can be estimated from radiances measured by satellite sensors [2].

LST is a good indicator of energy balance at the Earth’s surface but its estimation is much more complicated due to the earth surface heterogeneity. Apart from the attenuation in the transmitted radiance caused by the atmosphere, the problem is also complicated by the highly variable land surface emissivity (LSE). But in the case of land surface, the emissivity dynamics has a wider range and can vary over short distances. Moreover, a proper LST validation is also difficult because the derived Surface Temperature is representative for the whole pixel, while point temperature measurement can vary over short distances. Hence, a field validation is possible only for homogeneous areas such as dense vegetation. The main problem is that it is not possible to obtain separately the LST and the emissivity from passive radiometry, because the number of unknowns is always larger than the number of measurements. For the case of a sensor with N spectral channels, there are N equations (one per channel) for solving a problem with $N + 1$ unknown (the N emissivity’s plus the surface temperature). Thus the system of equations is indeterminate, and there is need of some hypothesis to solve the problem [3].

LST is one of the key parameters in the physics of Land Surface processes on a regional as well as a global scale. It combines result of all surface-atmosphere interaction and energy fluxes between the atmosphere and the ground. Therefore the ability to accurately determine thermodynamic LST is essential to many scientific problems and to the management of renewable resources [4]. With advances in thermal remote sensing techniques and in computer capabilities, measurement of LST from space allows investigations of surface climate at local, regional and global scales. The knowledge of LST is important to a range of issues and themes in earth sciences central to urban climatology, global environmental change, and human-environment interactions [5].
Satellite data are very useful in various fields like, astronomy, atmospheric studies, earth observation, communications, navigation, search and rescue. LST is an important parameter in atmospheric sciences as it combines the result of all surface-atmosphere interaction and energy fluxes between the ground and the atmosphere and is, therefore, a good indicator of the energy balance at the Earth’s surface [6]. Surface temperature controls the surface heat and water exchange with the atmosphere which affects climatic change in the region.

Owing to the business nature and the population density of the people of Yola, the knowledge of land surface temperature is very important [7] and [8]. In this research, a land sat imaging techniques was employed to estimate the land surface temperature of some locations in Yola North-Eastern Nigeria due to its inadequacy and in accuracy of some instruments available for this purpose.

2. Methodology

2.1. The Study Area

The study area Yola is a municipality that sprawls across the hillside of North-Eastern region of Nigeria. Established in 1841, it was the capital of Fulani State until it was taken over by the British in 1901 [7]. Today, it is the capital of Adamawa State, which was formed in 1991 from Gongola State. Yola is the capital city and administrative center of Adamawa State, Nigeria. The city is made up of two parts the old and new Yola. Jimeta (new Yola) is about 5 km North West of the old Yola. Geographically Yola is located between latitudes 9˚11’N to 9˚20’N and longitudes 12˚23’E to 12˚33’E and covers an area of about 305 km². The area has a mean annual rainfall of 919 mm, monthly minimum temperature of 19˚C and maximum temperature of 37.9˚C. The mean monthly temperature is 28.5˚C. While the annual average relative humidity is 85%. It is characterized by broadly flat topography with gentle undulations and hill ranges and is largely drained by the Benue River [8] [9]. The population of the study area is about 336648. The area has an internal border with republic of Cameroon due east and within the country, Gombe, Borno and Taraba States to the north, northeast and west respectively as in [8] presented in Figure 1.

2.2. Satellite Data

For the study Landsat satellite images of Yola were acquired for two different seasons within a year. Primarily the data was downloaded from Global Land Cover Facility home page (http://glcf.umaics.umd.edu). The spatial resolution is 30m for the reflective bands. These are; band1 (0.45 - 0.52 μm), band2 (0.52 - 0.60 μm), band3 (0.63 - 0.69 μm), band4 (0.76 - 0.90 μm), band5 (1.55 - 1.75 μm) and band7 (2.08 - 2.35 μm), the spatial resolution of panchromatic (band8) and thermal infrared (band6) (10.4 - 12.5 μm) are 15 m and 60 m respectively. The approximate scene size is 200 × 200 km. The data is in GeoTIFF format with geographic latitude/longitude coordinates and a (approximately 30 m) grid. It is referenced to the WGS84.
2.3. Data Processing

The first process is to convert the Digital numbers, DNs to radiance values using the bias and gain values specific to the individual pixel, then the radiance values were eventually converted to surface temperature in Kelvin. The effective at-sensor brightness temperature is obtained from the spectral radiance using Plank’s inverse function. The surface emissivity is used to retrieve the final Surface Temperature.

**Step 1. Conversion of Digital Number (DN) to Spectral Radiance**

The landsat-7 ETM+ thermal data was converted to spectral radiance using an equation by [10] thus

\[ L_\lambda = \text{Gain} \times \text{DN} + \text{Bias} \quad (1) \]

where:

- \( L_\lambda \) is the spectral radiance measured over spectral bandwidth of a channel.
and DN is the digital number value recorded. The gain is computed using the relation
\[
\text{Gain} = \left( \frac{L_{\text{max}} - L_{\text{min}}}{255} \right) = \text{Slope of response function} \quad [5]
\]

\( L_{\text{Max}} \) (Bias) is the intercept of response function, \( L_{\text{Max}} \) is the radiance measured at detector saturation in \( \text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m} \) and \( L_{\text{Min}} \) is the minimum radiance measured by detector in \( \text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m} \). Table 1 depicts their values for both low and high sensors for two different band numbers [11].

**Step 2. Conversion of Radiance to Surface Temperature**

The solar radiances \((L_{\lambda})\) will be converted into effective satellite temperatures \(T(a)\) [12].
\[
T(a) = \frac{K_2}{\ln\left(\frac{K_1}{L_{\lambda}} + 1\right)}
\]

where \(T(a)\) = Effective at-satellite temperature in Kelvin, \(K_1\) = First calibration constant in \(\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m}^{-1}\), \(K_2\) = Second calibration constant in Kelvin, \(L_{\lambda}\) = Spectral radiance \(\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m}^{-1}\).

For Landsat ETM+ the NASA handbook gives \(K_1 = 666.09 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m}^{-1}\) and \(K_2 = 1282.71 \text{ K}\) respectively. For Landsat ETM+ the values were also given in the header information of the thermal bands. Then, corrections for emissivity \((\varepsilon)\) were applied to the radiant temperatures according to the nature of land cover [13]. The emissivity corrected surface temperature can be computed as follows:
\[
T_s = \frac{T(a)}{1 + \left(\frac{T(a)}{b}\right) \ln \varepsilon}
\]

where \(b = \frac{hc}{k_B} = 1.438 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m} \cdot \text{K}\)

\[
\text{where } k_B \text{ is Stefan Boltzmann’s constant } = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}, \text{ } h \text{ is Planck’s constant } = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}, \text{ and } c \text{ is the velocity of light } = 2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}.
\]

**3. Results and Discussion**

The results estimated from landsat-7 ETM+ satellite images of two different seasons are presented in Table 2, Figure 2 and Figure 3 for dry and rainy

**Table 1.** The \(L_{\text{Max}}\) and \(L_{\text{Min}}\) values of Landsat data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band No</th>
<th>Satellite/Sensor</th>
<th>(L_{\text{Max}}) ((\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m}))</th>
<th>(L_{\text{Min}}) ((\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1} \cdot \mu \text{m}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Landsat7/ETM+ Low</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Landsat7/ETM+ High</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>03.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Land surface temperature for the two different seasons within a year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>$T_{\text{maximum}}$ (K)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{minimum}}$ (K)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{mean}}$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>320.1</td>
<td>295.6</td>
<td>307.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>309.3</td>
<td>286.9</td>
<td>298.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Dry season land surface temperature.

seasons respectively. The mean land surface temperature estimated is 307.9 K for dry season and 298.1 K for rainy season.

The thermal energy responses for the two different seasons in the study area indicate the variation in land surface temperature from a thermal band of landsat-7 ETM+ as shown in Table and figures. The difference is as a result of the presence of moist atmosphere reflecting most of the radiation, low relative humidity as well as increase in the wind speed during the rainy season than the dry season. The analysis of imagery indicates that dry season is the season with highest land surface temperature, while rainy season with lowest temperature.

The mean surface temperature (K) for the two sets of data (*i.e.* Landsat-7 ETM+ satellite image data and the corresponding temperatures measured from meteorological stations in the study area) were compared for validation. Table 3 presents the results for two selected years during the rainy and dry seasons respectively.
Figure 3. Rainy season land surface temperature.

Table 3. Mean values of land surface temperature of meteorological data and estimated satellite data for the selected years of study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dry season mean satellite Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Dry season mean meteorological Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Rainy season mean satellite Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Rainy season mean meteorological Temperature (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>303.9</td>
<td>301.8</td>
<td>301.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>307.9</td>
<td>304.8</td>
<td>298.1</td>
<td>301.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

The landsat-7 ETM+ thermal band data are the freely available data, for processing land surface temperature for any topographic and climatic conditions of an area. There was an indication of the land surface temperature variations for the two different seasons as indicated in Table 2. The results are compared with meteorological data from a station in the study area (Table 3). The estimated land surface temperature showed a good correlation with little variation ranging between 2 K to 3 K.
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