

Study and Enhanced Design of RF Dual Band Bandpass Filter Validation and Confirmation of Experimental Measurements

Mohamed Mabrouk, Leila Bousbia

CIRTACOM and ISETCOM of Tunis, University of Carthage, Tunis, Tunisia E-mail: mohamed.mabrouk@isetcom.rnu.tn Received July 22, 2011; revised August 12, 2011; accepted August 21, 2011

Abstract

Dual band bandpass filter is designed and optimized for RF wireless applications. The performances of that RF dual band filter are improved especially parameters describing the insertion loss, return losses and rejection. Dual band bandpass filter using stub loaded resonators is designed and characterized. Theoretical results are compared with experimental data. This comparison shows that the magnitude of reflection coefficient S_{11} from ADSTM simulation is better than 28.0 dB, and the insertion loss S_{21} is less than 0.5 dB. The two rejections are also better than 32.0 dB. The simulated results also show that two central frequencies are located at desired 1.82 and 2.95 GHz. Comparison of measured and simulated results shows frequency drift. The main reason for this frequency shifting is due to some uncertainties. These are obviously due to geometrical and physical parameters H and ϵ respectively of Duroid substrate used during design and measurements.

Keywords: RF Filters, Dual Bandpass, Effective Permittivity, Physical and Geometrical Parameters

1. Introduction

RF circuits with a dual pass band operation are required by modern wireless communication systems [1]. For example, the RF transceiver length (TX/RX) for second generation GSM and third-generation WCDMA mobile communications must be able to receive and transmit 900 MHz and 1900 MHz signals. Also 2.4 GHz and 5.2 GHz bands are two bands operated by high-speed wireless LANs [1,2]. The band pass filter is necessary to generate two or more transmission frequency bands, in particular dual-band filters, as they have an essential role in transmit-receive systems [2]. RF filters have particularly an important role for signals filtering, rejection and isolation between parts in transceivers systems (TX/RX). Filters have the characteristic to be frequency selective devices for transmitting and attenuating signal in desired frequency ranges [3]

Many different ways are considered to design dualband bandpass filters such combination of two filters operating on two different bandwidths [4] and using dual band stepped impedances resonator [5] or dual band stubs resonators [6]. Quasi lumped with open loop band pass filters operating at different frequency bands [7] and using square loop dual mode resonators [8] are also used for designing this type of filters. Small insertion loss, low return loss and high rejection band are the desired characteristics of a good filter. The design of this kind of filter is considered using electromagnetic (EM) simulators. The studied filter was simulated using IE3DTM [6], and in this paper we report our ADSTM simulation optimized results which are very close to the experimental measurements. ADSTM software has been used successfully many times for simulation design filter so that the resulting performances meet the microwave filter specifications. Simulated results confirm that two central frequencies are located at desired values 1.82 GHz and 2.95 GHz.

2. Design Description of Band Pass Filter

The studied filter is composed of two ring-resonators loaded with two open stubs. **Figure 1** shows the physical layout of the dual band pass filter using uniform microstrip lines. This filter consists of two microstrip open loops. Two open circuited stubs are attached [6] at

the center of the respective microstrip lines. The total length of each resonator is around half wave length $(\lambda_g/2)$.

The design parameters dimensions are chosen [6] as following: W = 1.2 mm; W₁ = 1.5 mm; W₂ = 0.9 mm; L=11.2 mm; L₁ = 6.7 mm; g₁ = g₂ = 0.3 mm. The structure of open-loop resonators filter with center frequency of 1.82 GHz and 2.94 GHz is designed on an RT Duroid 6006 substrate with a thickness H = 0.635 mm \pm 0.0254 and a relative dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r = 6.15 \pm 0.15$ [9].

3. Analysis and Comparison of Simulation with Measurement Results

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate simulated and measured S_{21} and S_{11} parameters respectively of our studied dual band filter.

Simulated results show that two central frequencies are located at the desired values 1.82 GHz and 2.95 GHz, and a small frequency shifting is observed. Thus, the ADSTM simulation results are shifted of -5.0 MHz, (1.825 GHz instead 1.830 GHz), and of +13.0 MHz (2.953 GHz instead 2.940 GHz). To understand the main reason for this frequency shifted aberration which is certainly due to the uncertainties on the guided wave length λ_{g} , we have highlighted the following relationships:

$$\lambda_{\rm g} = \frac{\rm c}{\rm F\sqrt{\epsilon_{\rm eff}}} \tag{1}$$

where F is the center frequency of the filter and c is the light velocity. The effective dielectric constant is depending on relative permittivity ε_r and ratio W/H between the width W of the transmission line and the thickness H of the substrate which is given in the following forms. The RT Duroid 6006 laminate substrate is available with relative permittivity ε_r value $\varepsilon_r = 6.15 \pm 0.15$.

It's known that the Equation (2) shows below the effective permittivity \mathcal{E}_{eff} of the microstrip structure, used



Figure 1. Layout of dual band filter.



Figure 2. Simulated and measured $S_{21}\ parameters of dual band filter.$



Figure 3. Simulated and measured S_{11} parameters of dual band filter.

in our design, depends on the relative dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{\rm r}$, which is one of physical parameters of Duroid substrate, and the guided wave length $\lambda_{\rm g}$ also depends on $\varepsilon_{\rm eff}$, so the resonant frequencies of our filter are closely depending on $\lambda_{\rm g}$ according to the Equation (1).

For W/H \geq 1, the empirical relationship of the effective permittivity is summarized below [10-12]:

$$\varepsilon_{\rm eff} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm r} + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm r} - 1}{2} \left[1 + 12 \frac{\rm H}{\rm W} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2}$$

Because of the uncertainty on the dielectric relative permittivity ε_r of Duroid substrate recalled previously (in §2), we can evaluate ε_{eff} as following:

$$4.476 \le \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \le 4.553 \tag{3}$$

CS

$$76 \text{ mm} \le \lambda_{\alpha} \le 78 \text{ mm}$$
 (4)

Thus, we can deduce that the first resonant frequency F_{C1} is the following:

$$1.79 \text{ GHz} \le F_{C1} \le 1.84 \text{ GHz}$$
 (5)

For the second frequency F_{C2} , we can also do the same line of argument as following:

$$47 \text{ mm} \le \lambda_{\sigma} \le 48 \text{ mm} \tag{6}$$

That means F_{C2} is:

$$2.94 \text{ GHz} \le F_{C2} \le 3.06 \text{ GHz}$$
 (7)

These equations confirm the first explicit reason for this frequency shifting is due to the uncertainty on the first physical parameter, *i.e.* the dielectric relative permittivity ε_r of Duroid substrate.

In the same line of argument, we can see that the uncertainty on the substrate thickness H can lead the following limits for the first frequency F_{C1} :

$$4.47 \le \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \le 4.507 \tag{8}$$

$$77 \text{ mm} \le \lambda_{\sigma} \le 78 \text{ mm} \tag{9}$$

$$1.810 \text{ GHz} \le F_{C1} \le 1.850 \text{ GHz}$$
(10)

For the second frequency F_{C2} :

$$4.47 \le \varepsilon_{\rm eff} \le 4.507 \tag{11}$$

$$47 \text{ mm} \le \lambda_{\sigma} \le 48 \text{ mm} \tag{12}$$

2.910 GHz
$$\leq F_{C2} \leq 3.0$$
 GHz (13)

Here again, it's confirmed that the uncertainty on thickness H can obviously contribute to the frequency shifting that we observed, and the differences between center frequencies are surely due to the cumulative effects of both uncertainties on ε_r and H. We have made a comparative study of our simulation results and experimental measurements obtained and provided by our partner Zhang. Nevertheless, these differences that we observed on the frequency values, between our simulations and the measurements of our partner Zhang, are remaining very small. Thus, the difference of 5.0 MHz on the first resonance frequency (1.830 GHz) between our simulation results and the measurements of Zhang is about 0.27%, while the difference of 150.0 MHz observed (1.680 GHz instead 1.830 GHz) between the first simulation results of Zhang and the experimental measurements is about 8.0%. The difference of 13.0 MHz on the second resonance frequency (2.94 GHz) between our simulation results and the measurements of Zhang is about 0.44%, and the difference of 130.0 MHz observed by Zhang (2.81 GHz instead 2.94 GHz) is about 4.4%. The little disagreement we have obtained with the experimental measurements confirms our predictions, and our indepth analysis shows that we can notably improve some characteristics of the studied filter with going in detail and making further development, consequently of the global performances become enhanced.

Regarding the insertion and return losses, **Figure 4** shows simulated and measured insertion loss S_{21} and return loss S_{11} parameters respectively of our dual band filter. We obtained S_{21} significantly lower than 0.28 dB instead of 0.9 dB measured at F_{C1} , and 0.4 dB instead of 1.1 dB measured at F_{C2} respectively. Our simulated S_{21} results depicted on **Figure 2** are up to 10.0 dB better at 4.0 GHz than the measurements. **Figure 3** also shows that our simulated results of return loss S_{11} are up to 8.0 dB better at 4.0 GHz than the measurements, and the obtained return loss S_{11} is better than 28.0 dB.

Moreover, the simulated filter using two open loop ring resonators shows better rejections than the measured ones, 31.0 dB instead of 28.0 dB measured at 0.5 GHz and 37.0 dB instead of 31.0 dB measured at 4.5 GHz. **Table 1** shows comparative results.

4. Conclusions

We have studied and enhanced the design of dual band bandpass filter for RF and wireless applications. The performances of RF dual-band filter are improved especially parameters describing the insertion loss, return losses and rejections. We have obtained a good agreement between our simulations and experimental results. Insertion loss S_{21} lower than 0.5 dB and return loss S_{11} better than 28.0 dB were obtained from ADS simulations. The rejections are also better than 32.0 dB. Simulated results show that two central frequencies are located at desired 1.820 and 2.950 GHz. Comparison of measured and simulated results shows frequency shift. This is obviously due to the uncertainties on the geometrical and

 Table 1. Comparison of Zhang measurements [5] and our simulations.

	Measurement Results of Zhang [5]	Our results
Resonance Frequency F _{C1}	1. 830 GHz	1.825 GHz
Resonance frequency F _{C2}	2.940 GHz	2.953 GHz
Band Pass 1	9.4%	6.7%
Band Pass 2	7.5%	4.37%
Insertion losses at F _{C1}	0.9 dB	0.28 dB
Insertion losses2 at F _{C2}	1.1 dB	0.4 dB
Return loss at F _{C1}	24.0 dB	29.25 dB
Return loss at F _{C2}	20.0 dB	28.81 dB
Rejection at 0.5 GHz	28.0 dB	31.64 dB
Rejection at 4.0 GHz	31.0 dB	38.64 dB



Figure 4. Simulated and measured S₁₁, S₂₁ parameters of dual-band filter.

physical parameters H and ε_r respectively of Duroid substrate used during design and measurements.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge and extend their gratitude to our partner Xiu Yin Zhang, Associate Professor with the School of Electronic and Information Engineering (South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510641, China) who has carried out and provided the experimental measurements of studied prototype of filter.

6. References

- [1] C. Y. Chen, C. Y. Hsu and H. R. Chuong, "Design of Miniature Planar Dual Band Filter Using Dual-Feeding Structures and Embedded Resonators," *IEEE Microwave* and Wireless Components Letters, Vol. 16, No. 12, 2006, pp. 669-671. <u>doi:10.1109/LMWC.2006.885621</u>
- [2] S. F. Chang, Y. H. Jeng and J. L. Chen, "Dual-Band Step Impedance Band Pass Filter for Multimode Wireless LANs," *Electronic Letters*, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2004, pp. 38-39. doi:10.1049/el:20040065
- [3] I. C. Hunter, L. Billonet, B. Jarry and P. Guillon, "Microwave Filters—Applications and Technology," *IEEE Microwave Theory and Techniques Transactions*, Vol. 50, No. 3, March 2002, pp. 794-805. doi:10.1109/22.989963
- [4] H. Miyake, S. Kitazawa, T. Ishizaki, T. Ymanda and Y. Nagatomi, "A Miniaturized Monolithic Dual-band Filter Using Ceramic Lamination Technique for Dual Mode Portable Telephones," *IEEE MTT-S International Micro-*

wave Symposium Digest, 8-13 June 1997, Vol. 2, pp. 789-792.

- [5] J. T. Kuo, T. H. Yeh and C. Yeh, "Design of Microstrip Bandpass Filters with a Dual Pass Band Response," *IEEE Microwave Theory and Techniques Transactions*, Vol. 53, No. 4, April 2005, pp. 1331-1337. doi:10.1109/TMTT.2005.845765
- [6] X. Y. Zhang, J. X. Chen and Q. Xue, "Dual-band Band Pass Filters Using Stub-Loaded Resonators," *IEEE Micro*wave and Wireless Components Letters, Vol. 17, No. 8, 2007, pp. 583-585. doi:10.1109/LMWC.2007.901768
- [7] A. Balalem, W. Menzel and A. Omar, "Quasi Lumped Open Loop Suspended Stripline Bandpass Filters," 36th European Microwave Conference, Manchester, 10-15 September 2006, pp. 568-571.
- [8] A. Balalem, J. Machac and A. Omar, "Dual-band Bandpass Filter by Using Square Loop Dual Mode Resonator," *International Journal of Microwave and Optical Technology*, Vol. 50, No. 6, June 2008, pp. 1567-1570. doi:10.1002/mop.23427
- "RT/duroid® 6006/6010LM High Frequency Laminates," 1998. http://www.rogerscorp.com/documents/612/acm/RT-duro id-6006-6010-laminate-data-sheet
- [10] M. V. Schneider, "Microstrip Lines for Microwave Integrated Circuits," *The Bell System Technical Journal*, Vol. 48, No. 5, 1969, pp. 1421-1444.
- [11] H. A. Wheeler, "Transmission Line Properties of a Strip on a Dielectric Sheet on a Plane," *IEEE Microwave Theory* and Techniques Transactions, Vol. 25, No. 8, 1977, pp. 631-647. doi:10.1109/TMTT.1977.1129179
- [12] K. C. Gupta, *et al.*, "Computer-Aided Design of Microwave Circuits," Artech House, Dedham, 1981, pp. 131-134.