

# Broad Antibacterial Activity of *Bothrops jararaca* Venom against Bacterial Clinical Isolates

Lucas Henrique Cendron<sup>1</sup>, Charise Dallazen Bertol<sup>1</sup>, Daiane Bopp Fuentefria<sup>1</sup>, Elza Maria Calegari<sup>1</sup>, Eliane Dallegrave<sup>2</sup>, Dinara Jaqueline Moura<sup>2</sup>, Kátia Moura<sup>3</sup>, Maria da Graça Marques<sup>3</sup>, Luciana Grazziotin Rossato<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Curso de Farmácia, Universidade de Passo Fundo, Passo Fundo, Brasil <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Farmacociências da Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brasil

<sup>3</sup>Fundação Estadual de Produção e Pesquisa em Saúde-Centro de Informação Toxicológica do Rio Grande do Sul Email: <sup>\*</sup><u>luciana.g.rossato@gmail.com</u>

Received 17 October 2014; revised 18 November 2014; accepted 1 December 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the antibacterial activity of *Bothrops jararaca* venom against bacterial clinical isolates. Methods: Antibacterial activity of *Bothrops jararaca* venom was evaluated through agar diffusion method against the following bacteria: *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Oxacillinase-producing *Acinetobacter baummanii*, extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing (ESBL) *Enterobacter aerogenes, Escherichia coli, Escherichia coli* ESBL, *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae* ESBL, *Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus*, oxacillin resistant *Staphylococus aureus* (ORSA), *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and oxacillin resistant *Staphylococus epidermidis*. Minimum inhibitory concentration was determined through microdilution plate protocol. Results: The venom presented antibacterial activity against all tested bacteria. More pronounced results were observed to Grampositive bacteria, especially against ORSA. Conclusion: The present study evidenced the great antibacterial potential of *Bothrops jararaca* venom showing promising results even with resistant bacterial clinical isolates.

## Keywords

Snake Venom, Antibiotic Potential, Toxinology, Bothrops

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

How to cite this paper: Cendron, L.H., Bertol, C.D., Fuentefria, D.B., Calegari, E.M., Dallegrave, E., Moura, D.J., Moura, K., Marques, M.D.G. and Rossato, L.G. (2014) Broad Antibacterial Activity of *Bothrops jararaca* Venom against Bacterial Clinical Isolates. *Advances in Microbiology*, **4**, 1174-1187. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/aim.2014.416127</u>

## **1. Introduction**

Increasing prevalence of multiresistant bacteria, mainly related to hospital environment, is a public health problem and elicits a negative economic impact due to increasing time of hospitalization, which brings morbidity and high costs associated with increased number of pharmacological approaches trying to counteract multiresistant bacteria and restore health [1] [2]. The inadequate use of antimicrobial and recurrent bacterial infections generates resistant strain [3]. Considering that bacteria becomes resistant in about 10 hours through mutations and that it takes about 10 to 24 years to develop new drugs, research focusing on compounds with promisor antibacterial activity is warranted [4].

In this context, venom from venomous animals is a rich source of protein and non-protein compounds of pharmacological interest [5]. The antimicrobial activity of the *Bothrops jararaca* (Taxonomy ID: 8724) crude venom was showed against *Staphylococcus aureus* [6] and the isolated peptide from *B. jararaca* (Pep5Bj) inhibited the growth of different fungi (*Fusarium oxysporum, Colletotrichum lindemuthianum, Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) [7]. Considering the relevance of the topic, we conducted a study on the antibacterial potential of *Bothrops jararaca* crude venom against bacterial clinical isolates.

### 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals

*Bothrops jararaca* crude venom was gently supplied by Fundação Estadual de Produção e Pesquisa em Saúde-Centro de Informação Toxicológica do Rio Grande do Sul (FEPPS/CIT-RS) (Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil). Albumin bovine serum was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Culture medium (Müeller-Hinton agar, blood agar, and Müeller-Hinton broth) were purchased from Oxoid Limited (Brooklin Novo, SP, Brazil). Positive controls (imipenem, polymyxin B, and vancomycin were purchased from Diagnósticos Microbiológicos Especializados (Araçatuba, SP, Brazil) and McFarland scale was obtained from Probac Brasil Produtos Bacteriológicos Ltda (Santa Cecília, SP, Brazil).

#### 2.2. Protein Quantification of *B. jararaca* Venom

Crude venom was lyophilized in order to prevent proteolysis [8] and was kept at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Protein content was quantified through Bradford method using albumin bovine serum as standard [9]. Lyophilized venom was suspended in NaCl 0.9%, under laminar flow, and filtrated using a 0.20 µm membrane (Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Göttingen, LS, Germany) in order to obtain a concentrated solution containing 2 mg/mL of protein. New solutions were prepared daily.

#### 2.3. Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity through Agar Diffusion Method

Gram-negative bacteria strains (*Acinetobacter baumannii*, Oxacillinase-producing *Acinetobacter baumannii*, extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing (ESBL) *Escherichia coli*, ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Proteus mirabilis and* metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and Gram-positive bacteria strains (*Staphylococcus aureus*, oxacillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (ORSA), *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and oxacillin resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*) were used in the present study. All these bacteria were clinical isolates from Laboratório de Análises Clínicas do Hospital São Vicente de Paulo collection. Moreover, ATCC and NEWP bacteria were also used: *Enterobacter aerogenes* (NEWP 0048), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (NEWP 0083), *Proteus mirabilis* (NEWP 0133) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Staphylococcus aureus* (NEWP 0038) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (NEWP 0128).

In order to allow bacterial growing, clinical isolates were kept in blood agar at 37°C, for 24 hours, in aerobic conditions. ATCC and NEWP strains were recovered in nutritive broth and cultured in Petri dishes containing Müeller-Hinton agar. After growing, bacterial colonies were collected and suspended in 5 mL de NaCl 0.9%. Turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 in McFarland scale, which corresponds to  $2 \times 10^8$  UFC/mL [1]. Antibacterial activity assay was performed after 24 hour after the turbidity adjust.

Diffusion disks containing 10  $\mu$ L of crude snake venom solutions in a concentration range of 2 mg/mL, 500  $\mu$ g/mL, 250  $\mu$ g/mL, 100  $\mu$ g/mL, 50  $\mu$ g/mL, and 25  $\mu$ g/mL was added to petri dishes with above cited bacteria

strains. Negative control was NaCl 0.9% and positive controls were disks containing imipenem (10  $\mu$ g/mL), vancomycin (30  $\mu$ g/mL), and polymyxin B (300  $\mu$ g/mL) to enterobacteria, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, respectively. Dishes were incubated at 37°C, for 24 hours, as recommended by Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute [10]. Antibacterial activity was considered in the presence of an inhibition zone around the diffusion disk, measured in millimeters. Each condition was tested in triplicate.

## 2.4. Evaluation of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) through Microdilution Method

The MIC was determined for the most promisor clinical isolates using serial dilutions (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:8, 1:16, 1:32, 1:64, 1:128, 1:256, 1:512, 1:1024, 1:2048) of crude snake venom (initial concentration of 2 mg/mL) [11]. Five  $\mu$ L of bacterial inoculum was added (0.5 of McFarland scale) and plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. After this incubation period, plates were revealed with 10  $\mu$ L 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT). The NaCl 0.9%, Müeller-Hinton broth, snake venom at 2 mg/mL alone, and culture medium containing bacterial strains were used as sterility controls. Imipinem, polymyxin B, and vancomycin were used as positive controls, as already described above. This assay was performed in triplicate.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using One-Way ANOVA followed by Tukey *post hoc* test. Results presenting a p < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

#### **3. Results**

#### Broad Antibacterial Activity of Crude B. jararaca Venom

The protein content of the crude venom was 245  $\mu$ g/mL. The present work evidenced that crude *B. jararaca* venom presented antibacterial activity against all clinical isolates, ATCC and NEWP bacterial strains tested, as shown in the **Table 1** (images with the agar diffusion test are shown in supplementary material).

The magnitude of inhibition zones showed that better results were obtained against Gram-positive bacteria, especially oxacillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Hence, MIC assay was performed only for Gram-positive bacteria (Table 2).

#### 4. Discussion

The major finding of this work was to evidence the wide spectrum antibacterial activity of crude venom isolated from *B. jararaca*. For the first time, it was evidenced that crude snake venom was effective against both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria from commercial sources and clinical isolates presenting resistance mechanisms. It highlights that it might be considered a promisor source to be explored by pharmaceutical industry.

Snake venoms are a rich source of bioactive compounds to different pharmacological activities due to their complex composition. About 90% to 95% wet weight of the venom corresponds to proteins [12] [13]. Many proteins isolated from snake venoms present enzymatic activity, such as colinesterases, aminotransferases, AT-Pases,  $\beta$ -glucosaminidases, catalases, phosphodiesterases, phospholipases A2, hyaluronidase, L-amino acid oxidase (LAAO), metalloproteinases, NAD nucleosidases, proteases, and serineproteases [8] [13] [14].

To the best our knowledge, it is the first time that crude venom from *B. jararaca* presents wide spectrum antibacterial activity, being effective against all Gram-positive and Gram-negative tested strains, even those expressing resistance mechanisms. In the past, venom from *B. jararaca* (0.8 mg/mL) inhibited bacterial growth of *Eubacterium lentum*, *Peptoestreptococcus anaerobius*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. However, it failed against *Bacteroides fragilis*, *Eikenella corrondes*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus mutans* [5]. Moreover, Ferreira (2007), using the high concentration of 5 mg/mL demonstrated that *B. jararaca* venom presents antibacterial activity only against *Staphylococcus aureus*. However, in that study, author used a conservative criterion since it was considered positive for antibacterial activity inhibition zones  $\geq 15$  mm. It is important to point that other bacteria also presented inhibition zones, namely *Enterobacter cloacae* (6 mm), *Enterococcus faecalis* (13 mm), *Escherichia coli* (5 mm), *Pseudomonas* 

	Bacteria	Control+	2 mg/mL	500 μg/mL	250 μg/mL	100 µg/mL	50 μg/mL	25 μg/mL	NaCl
Gram-negative	Acinetobacter baumannii	35 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	$8^d$	-	-	-	-
	Oxacillinase-producing Acinetobacter baummanii	10 <sup>b</sup>	$11^{a}$	$10^{\rm b}$	9°	-	-	-	-
	Enterobacter aerogenes (NEWP 0048)	30 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	-	-	-	-	-
	ESBL-producing Enterobacter aerogenes	27 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	$8^d$	-	-	-	-
	Escherichia coli	35 <sup>a</sup>	9 <sup>b</sup>	8 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
	Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922)	35 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	-	-	-	-	-
	ESBL-producing Escherichia coli	36 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	7 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-
	Klebsiella pneumoniae	36 <sup>a</sup>	$10^{b}$	8 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-	-
	Klebsiella pneumoniae (NEWP 0083)	30 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	9°	8 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-
	ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae	29 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	8°	-	-	-	-	-
	Proteus mirabilis	13 <sup>a</sup>	12 <sup>b</sup>	11 <sup>c</sup>	9 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	-
	Proteus mirabilis (NEWP 0133)	23 <sup>a</sup>	13 <sup>b</sup>	12 <sup>c</sup>	11 <sup>d</sup>	9 <sup>e</sup>	$8^{\mathrm{f}}$	-	-
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	15 <sup>a</sup>	11 <sup>b</sup>	9°	$8^d$	-	-	-	-
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853)	15 <sup>a</sup>	11 <sup>b</sup>	9°	$8^d$	-	-	-	-
	Metallo β-lactamase producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa	15 <sup>a</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	7 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-
Gram-positive	Staphylococcus aureus	20 <sup>a</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	14 <sup>c</sup>	11 <sup>d</sup>	10 <sup>e</sup>	$8^{\mathrm{f}}$	-	-
	Staphylococcus aureus (NEWP 0038)	21 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>b</sup>	14 <sup>c</sup>	13 <sup>d</sup>	$10^{\rm e}$	$8^{\mathrm{f}}$	-	-
	Oxacillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus	21 <sup>a</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	15 <sup>c</sup>	$14^{d}$	$10^{e}$	$9^{\rm f}$	-	-
	Staphylococcus epidermidis	42 <sup>a</sup>	13 <sup>b</sup>	12 <sup>c</sup>	11 <sup>d</sup>	$10^{\rm e}$	$9^{\rm f}$	-	-
	Staphylococcus epidermidis (NEWP 0128)	54 <sup>ª</sup>	16 <sup>b</sup>	15 <sup>°</sup>	14 <sup>d</sup>	13 <sup>e</sup>	$8^{\mathrm{f}}$	-	-
	Oxacillin resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis	25 <sup>a</sup>	15 <sup>b</sup>	14 <sup>c</sup>	13 <sup>d</sup>	$10^{e}$	-	-	-

**Table 1.** Inhibition zones around diffusion disks containing antibiotic (positive control) or *B. jararaca* crude venom, indicating antibiacterial activity. Results are expressed in mm of diameter (mean of 3 independent experiments).

(Control+) Imipenem (enterobacteria), polymyxin B (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), and vancomycin (*Staphylococcus aureus*). (-) Absence of inhibition zone. <sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup> Means followed by different letters differ from each other (p < 0.05).

Table 2. MIC from	crude venom of B.	jararaca against	Gram-positive	clinical isolates.
		, <u> </u>	1	

Bacteria	MIC (µg/mL)
Staphylococcus aureus	62.5
Oxacillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus	31.25
Staphylococcus epidermidis	125
Oxacillin resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis	31.25

*aeruginosa* (8 mm), *Proteus mirabilis* (7 mm), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (10 mm), *Serratia marcencens* (7 mm) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (9 mm). Even so, it was not observed any inhibition zone against *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* [15].

It is important to refer that the present study is a screening since crude venom was used. Regarding promising results obtained, next steps involve working with different isolated fractions from *B. jararaca* in order to elucidate which fraction are responsible/more effective to the antibacterial activity. Considering known compounds

present in the bothropic venom, the enzyme LAAO is frequently associated to antibacterial activity [16]. LAAO is an oxidoreductase involved in the stereospecific oxidative desamination of L-amino acids, producing ammonia and hydrogen peroxide which can cross membranes and induce the oxidation of macromolecules such as protein, lipids and DNA [5] [16]-[19].

#### **5.** Conclusion

In summary, the present work evidenced that *B. jararaca* venom is a promising source from antibiotics development research. Its antibacterial activity is warranted to be explored considering the good results even with clinical isolates presenting resistant mechanisms. However, additional studies are needed in order to elucidate which substance in this complex matrix is in charge of antibacterial activity.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors thank to Centro de Informações Toxicológicas do Rio Grande do Sul for kindly provide *Bothrops jararaca* venom and to Laboratório de Análises Clínicas from Hospital São Vicente de Paulo de for the bacteria.

#### References

- [1] Queiroz, S. (2010) Identificação da atividade antimicrobiana no veneno da serpente *Bothrops moojeni* em bactérias gram negativas. 94 p.
- [2] Tapia, C. (2012) Antifúngicos y resistencia. Revista Chilena de Infectología, 29, 357. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0716-10182012000300020
- [3] Chumpolkulwong, N. (2004) Effects of *E. coli* Ribosomal Protein S12 Mutations on Cell-Free Protein Synthesis. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 271, 1127-1134. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.2004.04016.x</u>
- [4] Chopra, I., Hesse, L. and O'neil, L. (2002) Exploiting Current Understanding of Antibiotic Action for Discovery of New Drugs. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 92, 4S-15S. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.92.5s1.13.x</u>
- [5] Ciscotto, P. (2005) Purificação e caracterização biolóGica (estrutural, antibacteriana, antiparasitária, hemolítica e antigênica) de componentes do veneno da serpente *Bothrops jararaca*. 88 p.
- [6] Ferreira, B.L., Santos, D.O., Dos Santos, A.L., et al. (2011) Comparative Analysis of Viperidae Venoms Antibacterial Profile: A Short Communication for Proteomics. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2011, Article ID: 960267.
- [7] Gomes, V.M., Carvalho, A.O., Da Cunha, M., et al. (2005) Purification and Characterization of a Novel Peptide with Antifungal Activity from *Bothrops jararaca* Venom. *Toxicon*, 45, 817-827. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2004.12.011</u>
- [8] Castro, F. (2011) Avaliação da atividade não citotóxica do veneno da cobra *Bothrops pauloensis* em células mononucleares do sangue periférico humano. 67 p.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976) A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3
- [10] CLSI (2009) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard. 1-76.
- [11] CLSI (2009) Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard. 1-88.
- [12] Neves, M. (2008) Avaliação da atividade antifúngica *in vitro* do veneno das serpentes amazônicas *Bothrops* atrox (LINNAEUS, 1758) e crotalus durissus ruruima (HOGE, 1965). UEA.
- [13] Cunha, E., Martins, O. (2012) Principais compostos químicos presente nos venenos de cobras dos gêneros Bothrops e Crotalus—uma revisão. Revista Eletrônica de Educação e Ciência, 2, 21-26.
- [14] Caproni, P. (2009) Ação da Bothropstoxina-1 e do veneno total de *Bothrops jararacussu* irradiados sobre o sistema imune. 60 p.
- [15] Ferreira, B. (2007) Identificação da atividade antibiótica e relação Estrutura-atividade de moléculas de origem sintética e animal. 110 p.
- [16] Du, X.Y. and Clemetson, K.J. (2002) Snake Venom L-Amino Acid Oxidases. *Toxicon*, 40, 659-665. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0041-0101(02)00102-2</u>
- [17] Faust, A., Niefind, K., Hummel, W. and Schomburg, D. (2007) The Structure of a Bacterial L-Amino Acid Oxidase

from *Rhodococcus opacus* Gives New Evidence for the Hydride Mechanism for Dehydrogenation. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **367**, 234-248.

- [18] Kommoju, P., Macheroux, P. and Ghisla, S. (2007) Molecular Cloning, Expression and Purification of L-amino Acid Oxidase from the Malayan Pit Viper *Calloselasma rhodostoma*. *Protein Expression and Purification*, **52**, 89-95. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2006.09.016</u>
- [19] Braga, M., Martins, A., Amora, D., et al. (2008) Purification and Biological Effects of L-Amino Acid Oxidase Isolated from *Bothrops insularis* Venom. *Toxicon*, 51, 199-207. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2007.09.003</u>

## **Supplementary Material**

Inhibition zones after around diffusion disks containing antibiotic (positive control) or *B. jararaca* crude venom, indicating antibacterial activity.

Acinetobacter baumannii

C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 35 mm.

MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm

1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm

- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm

C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Oxacillinase-producing Acinetobacter baummanii

C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 10 mm

MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 11 mm

- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL =0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



ESBL-producing Enterobacter aerogenes

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 27 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



## Escherichia coli

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 35 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 9 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



ESBL-producing Escherichia coli

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 36 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 7 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Pseudomonas aeruginosa

- C+: Positive control (Polymyxin B) = 15 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 11 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



metallo  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* C+: Positive control (Polymyxin B) = 15 mm

- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 7 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Klebsiella pneumoniae

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 36 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



- ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae
- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 29 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Enterococcus faecalis

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 23 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 10 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Proteus mirabilis

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 13 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 12 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 11 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Staphylococcus aureus

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 20 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 16 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 14 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 11 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 8 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Staphylococus aureus

- C+: Positive control (Vancomycin) = 21 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 16 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 15 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 14 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



Staphylococcus epidermidis

- C+: Positive control (Vancomycin) = 42 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 13 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 12 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 11 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom 25  $\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm



## OSRA

- C+: Positive control (Imipinem) = 25 mm
- MS: Mother solution of *B. jararaca* venom (2 mg/mL) = 15 mm
- 1: *B. jararaca* venom 500  $\mu$ g/mL = 14 mm
- 2: *B. jararaca* venom 250  $\mu$ g/mL = 13 mm
- 3: *B. jararaca* venom 100  $\mu$ g/mL = 10 mm
- 4: *B. jararaca* venom 50  $\mu$ g/mL = 9 mm
- 5: *B. jararaca* venom  $25 \,\mu$ g/mL = 0 mm
- C-: Negative control (saline solution) = 0 mm





Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either submit@scirp.org or Online Submission Portal.





IIIIII II

 $\checkmark$