

Finite Type Transcendental Entire Functions Whose Buried Points Set Contains Unbounded Positive Real Interval

Feng Guo

Department of Mathematics, China University Mining & Technology, Beijing, China
Email: sdsxguofeng@163.com

Received 4 March 2014; revised 4 April 2014; accepted 9 April 2014

Copyright © 2014 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Let $f_{\mu}(z) = z \cdot e^{p(z)+\mu}$ with $p(z)$ being real coefficient polynomial and its leading coefficient be positive, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$, when $p(z)$ and μ satisfy two certain conditions, buried point set of $f_{\mu}(z)$ contains unbounded positive real interval.

Keywords

Transcendental Entire Functions, Julia Set, Buried Points Set, Real Interval

1. Introduction and Main Result

Let $f(z)$ be an entire function on the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Define the iterated sequence $\{f^n\}$ of f as

$$f^0 = z, \quad f^{n+1}(z) = f \circ f^n(z) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

\mathbb{C} can be divided into two sets:

$$F(f) = \{z \mid \{f^n\} \text{ is normal at } z\}, \quad J(f) = \mathbb{C} \setminus F(f)$$

$F(f)$ is called Fatou set, which is open and contains at most countably many components. $J(f)$ is called Julia set, and it's closed and perfect. The fundamental theory of complex dynamical system can refer to [1]-[4].

For an entire function f , let $S = \{f \text{ is entire function} \mid \text{sing}(f^{-1}) \text{ is a finite set}\}$, with $\text{sing}(f^{-1})$ be the set of singular value. If f is not a smooth covering map over any neighborhood of α , then α is a singular

value. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, we call f is finite type entire function. The basic properties of the type entire function can refer to [5].

I.N. Baker has first structured the transcendental entire function whose Julia set is \mathbb{C} (See [6]):

Theorem A:

For a certain real value μ , $f_\mu = ze^{z+\mu}$ has the whole complex plane for its Julia set.

Notice the set $\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+ : J(f_\mu(z)) = \mathbb{C}, f_\mu = ze^{z+\mu}\}$. Baker's result show that the set is nonempty; Jang, C.M. proved that this set contains infinitely many elements in [7]; Qiao, J. proved that the set is unbounded in [8]. What's more, Qiao has researched the buried sets in [9], which contains unbounded positive real interval:

Theorem B:

If $\mu \in [0, +\infty)$ for $f_\mu = ze^{z+\mu}$ and $J(f_\mu(z)) \neq \mathbb{C}$, then \mathbb{R}^+ belongs to the set of buried points.

Here we study the function $f_\mu(z) = z \cdot e^{p(z)+\mu}$ with $p(z)$ is real coefficient polynomial and it's leading coefficient is positive, expend the function in Theorem B:

Theorem 1 Let $f_\mu(z) = z \cdot e^{p(z)+\mu}$, $p(z)$ is real coefficient polynomial and it's leading coefficient is positive, the zeros of $zp'(z)+1=0$ are $\{a_k | k=1, \dots, d\}$ which are real, unbounded positive real interval

$L = (c, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ with $c = \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} \{a_k\}$. $J(f_\mu(z)) \neq \mathbb{C}$ and μ satisfy:

- (1) $p(z) + \mu > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$);
- (2) $x_\mu p'(x_\mu) \in (-\infty, -2) \cup (0, +\infty)$ with x_μ is real zeros of $p(z) + \mu = 0$.

Then L belongs to the set of buried points set.

Remark: Qiao has given the example that satisfy the condition of Theorem B in [9], then the example show that the function satisfy conditions in Theorem 1 is nonempty.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1 Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of finite type. Then each Fatou component is eventually periodic, and $F(f)$ has only finitely many periodic compinents. They are attractive domains, superattractive domains, parabolic domains or Siegel discs.

Lemma 2 Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, D be a component of $F(f)$. If D is an attractive, a superattractive or a parabolic periodic domain, then the cycle of D contains at least one singularity of f^{-1} ; if D is a Siegel disc, then the forward orbits of the singularities of f^{-1} are dense on ∂D .

Lemma 3 Let $f(z)$ be transcendental entire function of finite type. Then $f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for $z \in F(f)$.

Proof of Theorem 1: The singularities of f_μ^{-1} are 0 and $f_\mu(a_k) \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$), then

$\{f_\mu^n(a_k)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$) if $J(f_\mu(z)) \neq \mathbb{C}$, so from Lemma 2 $F(f_\mu)$ have no Siegel disc and from

Lemma 1, the periodic component of $F(f_\mu)$ only be attractive, superattractive or parabolic. $f_\mu(0) = 0$ and

from $p(z) + \mu > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{R}^+$) we can have $f'_\mu(0) = e^{p(0)+\mu} > 1$, then 0 is a repelling fixed point, from Lemma 1 and 2, $F(f_\mu)$ has at most d cycles of periodic components $\{D_j^k\}_{j=1}^{p_k-1}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$):

$$\forall 1 \leq k \leq d, f_\mu(D_j^k) = D_{j+1}^k \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p_k - 2), f_\mu(D_{p_k-1}^k) = D_0^k$$

such that $f_\mu(a_k) \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{p_k-1} D_j^k$ and there exist $\{x_k \in \mathbb{R} | 1 \leq k \leq d\}$ such that

$$* f_\mu^{np_k+1}(a_k) \rightarrow x_k \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Here we first proof $L = (c, \infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ belong to $J(f_\mu)$. If there exist $t \in (c, \infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ and $t \in F(f_\mu)$, then t is contained in a component of $F(f_\mu)$ and from above we have that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{a_k | k = 1, \dots, d\}$, a cycle of component $\{D_j^p\}_{j=1}^p$ with $p \in \{p_k | k = 1, \dots, d\}$ and $x_0 \in \{x_k | k = 1, \dots, d\}$ such that $f_\mu^m(t)$ and $f_\mu(a)$ are in the same domain D_j , from * we have that $f_\mu^{m+np}(t) \rightarrow x_0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). However,

$p(z) + \mu > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{R}^+$) means $f_\mu(z) > z$ when $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f'_\mu(z) > 0$ when $z \in (c, \infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\{f_\mu^n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in L$ is montone increasing sequence, by the relation

$$f_\mu^{n+1}(z) = f_\mu^n(z) \exp(p(f_\mu^n(z)) + \mu)$$

we have $f_\mu^n(z) \rightarrow +\infty$ ($z \in L \cap F(f_\mu)$; $n \rightarrow \infty$) which give a contradiction to Lemma 3.

Then we will proof that $L = (c, \infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ belongs to the set of buried points. If there exist a point $a_0 \in (c, \infty) \cap \mathbb{R}^+$ and a_0 is on the boundary of a component of $F(f_\mu)$, from the discussion above, we know there exist some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, a cycle of component $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^p \in \{D_j^k\}_{j=1}^{pk-1}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$) with $p \in \{p_k | k=1, \dots, d\}$ such that when $n > N$,

$$f_\mu^n(a_0) \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \partial D_j \quad (n=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and there exist $x_0 \in \{x_k \in \mathbb{R} | 1 \leq k \leq d\}$ and some $a \in \{a_k | k=1, \dots, d\}$, $a \in \{D_j\}_{j=1}^p$ such that $f_\mu^{np+1}(a) \rightarrow x_0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Let $a_n = f_\mu^n(a_0)$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$), it's easy to have that $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $a_{n+1} > a_n$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Without the loss of generality, we can let $n > N$, $a_{np+j} \in \partial D_j$ ($j=0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$).

Here we prove a_{np+j} ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$) are all in the same connected component of ∂D_j . If not, there exist a_{kp+j}, a_{sp+j} ($k < s$) and two different component of ∂D_j called α_1 and α_2 such that

$$a_{kp+j} \in \alpha_1, a_{sp+j} \in \alpha_2$$

We can make curve ω , such that α_1 and α_2 belong to different components of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \omega$, then α_1 and α_2 belong to different components of $J(f_\mu)$, that gives a contradiction to $[a_{kp+j}, a_{sp+j}] \subset J(f_\mu)$.

Let $\delta_n \subset \partial D_j$ be an bounded continuum containing a_{np+j} and $a_{(n+1)p+j}$, we will prove that $[a_{np+j}, a_{(n+1)p+j}] \subset \delta_n$. If not, δ_n and $[a_{np+j}, a_{(n+1)p+j}]$ can form a bounded domain and $J(f_\mu)$ have no interior point, therefore $F(f_\mu)$ have to have a bounded domain, notice that $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = A$, due to $a_{n+1} = a_n e^{p(a_n) + \mu}$, then $A = A e^{p(A) + \mu}$, notice that $a_n, A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p(z) + \mu > 0$ when $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ therefore $A = 0, \infty$, from $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have $A = +\infty$. That means D_j is a unbounded component, however any component of $F(f_\mu)$ have to turn into cycle $\{D_j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ from Lemma 1, therefore the components of $F(f_\mu)$ are all unbounded, it's contradiction. What's more, we can have that

$$\bigcup_{n=N}^\infty [a_{np+j}, a_{(n+1)p+j}] \subset \partial D_j \quad (j=1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1)$$

$$[a_{Np+j}, \infty] \subset \partial D_j \quad (j=1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1)$$

that means $[a_{N_0}, \infty]$ is the common boundary of D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{p-1} with $N_0 = Np + p - 1$. The common boundary is at most of two domains, therefore $p \leq 2$. Here we divide two cases to discuss:

Case 1: $p=1$. $f_\mu(a) \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} D_j$ is $f_\mu(a) \in D_0$. Considering

$$f_\mu^{n+1}(a) = f_\mu^n(a) e^{p(f_\mu^n(a)) + \mu}$$

and * we have

$$x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_\mu^{n+1}(a) = 0 \text{ or } x_0 \text{ are the zeros of } p(z) + \mu = 2k\pi i \quad (k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$$

Notice $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we only need to consider 0 and the real zeros of $p(z) + \mu = 0$, from Lemma 2

x_0 is an attractive, superattractive, or rational indifferent fixed point, but from conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1, 0 and x_0 are repelling fixed point, it's a contradiction.

Case 2: $p = 2$. Without the loss of generality, we take D_0 be the component above $[a_{N_0}, +\infty)$ and D_1 be the component under $[a_{N_0}, +\infty)$, for $r \in [a_{N_0}, +\infty)$ with r is large enough, take a sequence

$\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in D_0 \cap \{z \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ such that $z_n \rightarrow r (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Let $z_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$ with $r_n > 0, \theta_n \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, then

$$f_{\mu}(z_n) = r_n e^{i\theta_n} e^{p(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) + \mu}$$

We can suppose that $p(z) = c_n z^d + \dots + c_1 z + c_0$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Im} p(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) = c_n r_n^d \sin(\theta_n d) + \dots + c_1 r_n \sin(\theta_n) > (c_n r_n^d + \dots + c_1 r_n) \sin \theta_n = p(r_n) \sin \theta_n$$

Notice that $p(z) + \mu > 0 (z \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\})$ and we can easily deduce that $\operatorname{Im} p(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) > p(r_n) \sin \theta_n > 0$ and $f_{\mu}(z_n)$ belong to the above half plane when n and r is large enough, but it contradicts that $f_{\mu}(z_n) \in D_1$. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

Author thanks professor Jianyong Qiao and Yuhua Li for their discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] Eremenko, A.E. and Lyubich, M.Yu (.1990) The Dynamics of Analytic Transformations. *Leningrad Mathematical Journal*, **1**, 563.
- [2] Beardon, A.F. (1991) Iteration of Rational Functions. Springer, Berlin. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4422-6>
- [3] Milnor, J. (2006) Dynamics in One Complex Variable. 3rd Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- [4] Qiao, J. (2010) Complex Dynamics on Renormalization Transformations. Science Press, Beijing. (in Chinese)
- [5] Morosawa, S., Nishimura, Y., Taniguchi, M. and Ueda, T. (2000) Holomorphic Dynamics, Cambridge University Press.
- [6] Baker, I.N. (1970) Limit Functions and Sets of Non-Normality in Iteration Theory. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Series A I, Mathematica*, **467**, 1-11.
- [7] Jang, C.M. (1992) Julia Set of the Function $z \exp(z + \mu)$. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, **44**, 271-277.
- [8] Qiao, J. (1994) The Set of the Mapping $z \rightarrow \exp(z + \mu)$. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **39**, 529.
- [9] Qiao, J. (1995) The Buried Points on the Julia Sets of Rational and Entire Functions. *Science in China Series A*, **38**, 1409-1419.