

# Global Attractor for a Non-Autonomous Beam Equation\*

Yonghua Ren<sup>1</sup>, Jianwen Zhang<sup>2#</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematic, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, China

<sup>2</sup>CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Highett, Australia

Email: renyonghua@tyut.edu.cn, #jianwen.z2008@163.com

Received April 10, 2012; revised May 13, 2012; accepted May 21, 2012

## ABSTRACT

This work studies the global attractor for the process generated by a non-autonomous beam equation

$$u_t + \Delta^2 u + \eta u_t - \left[ \beta(t) + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx \right) \right] \Delta u + g(u,t) = f(x,t)$$

Based on a time-uniform priori estimate method, we first in the space  $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  establish a time-uniform priori estimate of the solution  $u$  to the equation, and conclude the existence of bounded absorbing set. When the external term  $f(x,t)$  is time-periodic, the continuous semigroup of solution is proved to possess a global attractor.

**Keywords:** Global Attractor; Non-Autonomous Beam Equation; Absorbing Set

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded connected domain in  $R^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . In this work, we are devoted to the investigation of the following problem for a perturbed non-autonomous beam equation

$$u_t + \Delta^2 u + \eta u_t - \left[ \beta(t) + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx \right) \right] \Delta u + g(u,t) = f(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, t > \tau \quad (1)$$

with the following initial and boundary conditions

$$u|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = \Delta u|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t \geq \tau \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, \tau) = u_{0\tau}(x), \quad u_t(x, \tau) = u_{1\tau}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (3)$$

where  $u(x,t)$  denotes a real-valued unknown function, and describes the transversal motion of the non-autonomous beam.  $\eta \geq 0$ ,  $g \in C^2(R \times R; R)$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(R; L^2(\Omega))$ ,

$$f'(\cdot, t) \in C_b(R; L^2(\Omega)) = C(R; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(R; L^2(\Omega)),$$

and  $\beta(t)$ ,  $g(u,t)$ ,  $f(x,t)$  is the time-periodic external force.

System (1)-(3) is derived from the vibrations of an non-autonomous beam equation, and its dynamical set-

ting is presented by Woinowsky-Krieger [1] as the new idea in fields of J. Appl. Mech. For the non-autonomous wave equations, there are many interesting results were published focusing different respects (see [2,3]). The focus of this work is the study of the long-term properties of the dynamical system generated by global attractor, please refer the reader to [4,5] and references therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the main assumptions and discuss the existence of a family of solution operators  $S(t, \tau)$  to the problem (1)-(3). Section 3 is devoted to the existence of the bounded absorbing set. In Section 4, we show the continuity of the semigroup operator. Finally, in Section 5, the global attractor is obtained.

## 2. Assumptions and the Existence of the Solution Operators $S(t, \tau)$

Firstly, we assume that the nonlinear functions  $M, g$  satisfied the following conditions. Namely, there exist two constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , such that

$$(H1) \quad \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(s,t)}{s^2} \geq 0, \quad G(s,t) = \int_0^s g(s,t) ds \quad (4)$$

$$(H2) \quad \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{sg(s,t) - C_1 G(s,t)}{s^2} \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$(H3) \quad G'_t(u,t) \leq \gamma G(u,t) + C_2, \quad \gamma \leq \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2} \quad (6)$$

\*This work is supported by the School Foundation of TUT (900103-03020715) and the Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi (2010011008) and (2011021002-2).

#Corresponding author.

(H4)  $M'(s) \geq 0, M(z)z \geq \tilde{M}(z)$  (7)

For simplicity, we define

$$\phi(u, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(u(x), t) dx, \tilde{M}(z) = \int_0^z M(s) ds,$$

and

$$z(t) = |\nabla u(t)|^2$$

with the usual notation, we write  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $E = H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  and the scalar products and norms on  $H, V$  and  $E$ , respectively

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx, \|u\|^2 = (u, u), \forall u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$$

$$((u, v)) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx, \|u\|^2 = ((u, u)), \forall u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

$$\forall \varphi_i = (u_i, v_i)^T, i = 1, 2$$

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_E = ((u_1, u_2)) + (v_1, v_2), |\varphi|_E^2 = (\varphi, \varphi)_E,$$

$$\varphi = (u, v)^T \in E$$

For the linear self-adjoint and positive operator  $A$ , let us write  $A = \Delta^2, A: D(A) \rightarrow H$ .

The space  $D(A) = \{v \in V, Av \in H\}$  is dense in  $H$ . Next, we define the power  $A^s$  of  $A, \forall s \in R$ , which operate on the spaces  $D(A^s)$ , and write  $V_{2s} = D(A^s)$ , which turns out to be a Hilbert space with the inner product and the norm

$$(u, v)_{2s} = (A^s u, A^s v), \|u\|_{2s}^2 = ((u, u))_{2s}, \forall u, v \in D(A^s)$$

and  $A^\gamma$  is an isomorphism from  $D(A^s)$  onto  $D(A^{s-\gamma}), \forall s, \gamma \in R$ .

From the Poincaré inequality, there exists the constant  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , such that:

$$|\Delta u| \geq \lambda_1 |u|, \forall u \in V,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first-eigenvalue of  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For  $\eta > 0$ , we consider the abstract Cauchy problem on  $E$  in the unknown variables  $u = u(\cdot, t)$

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + Au + \eta u_t + \left[ \beta(t) + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \right] A^{\frac{1}{2}} u \\ \quad \quad \quad + g(u, t) = f(x, t) \\ u(x, \tau) = u_{0\tau}(x), \quad u_t(x, \tau) = u_{1\tau}(x) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The following well-posedness result holds.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\eta > 0$  and the conditions (4)-(7) hold. If the nonlinear functions  $g(u, t) \in C^2(R \times R; R), f(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(R; L^2(\Omega))$ . Then,

for any initial value  $(u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau})$  is given in  $E$ , problem (8) admits a unique solution  $u = u(x, t)$  in the class

$$(u(t), u_t(t)) \in C(R_\tau; V) \times C(R_\tau; H)$$

where  $R_\tau = [\tau, +\infty)$ .

Furthermore, calling  $\varphi(t)$  the difference of any two solutions corresponding to initial data having norm less than or equal to  $\tau \geq 0$ , there exists  $C = C(\tau) \geq 0$  such that

$$\varphi(t, \varphi_{0\tau}) = e^{C(t-\tau)} \varphi_{0\tau} + \int_\tau^t e^{C(t-s)} F(\varphi(s), s) ds \quad (9)$$

We omit the proof, based on a standard Feodo-Galerkin approximation procedure together with a slight generalization of the usual Gronwall's lemma. Theorem 1 translates into the existence of the solution operators  $S(t, \tau): E \rightarrow E, t \geq \tau$  acting as

$$\varphi_{0\tau} = \{u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}\} \mapsto S(t, \tau) \varphi_{0\tau} = \varphi(t) = (u(t), u_t(t))$$

**Remark 1.** In the non-autonomous case, namely, when both  $\beta(t), g(u, t)$  and  $f(x, t)$  are time-dependent, the two-parameter family  $S(t, \tau)$  fulfills the semigroup property

$$S(t+s) = S(t) + S(s), \forall t, s \geq 0.$$

$S(\tau, \tau) = I$  is the identity operator,  $\tau \geq 0$ .

Thus,  $S(t, \tau)$  is a continuous semigroup of operators on  $E$ .

### 3. The Absorbing Set

In this section, we prove the existence of an absorbing set for the semigroup  $S(t, \tau)$ . Combining with (4) and (5), there exist two constants  $K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that

$$\varphi(u, t) + \frac{1}{8} \|u\|^2 \geq -K_1 \quad (10)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} ug(u, t) dx - C_1 \varphi(u, t) + \frac{1}{4} \|u\|^2 \geq -K_2 \quad (11)$$

**Lemma 1.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, For the ball  $B = B(0, M), \forall (u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}) \in E$ , centered at 0 of radius  $M$ , is an absorbing set for the semigroup  $S(t, \tau)$  in  $E$ .

**Proof.** Let us begin with  $\eta > 0$  be fixed and  $\varepsilon$  is chosen such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left\{\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\lambda_1^2}{2\eta}\right\}$ . We set

$v = u_t + \varepsilon u$  and rewrite (1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + (\eta - \varepsilon)v + \Delta^2 u - \left[ \beta(t) + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \right] \Delta u \\ - \varepsilon(\eta - \varepsilon)u + g(u, t) = f(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Taking the scalar product in  $H$  of (12) with  $v$ , and we obtain the desired form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ |v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \beta(t) |\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{M}(z) \right] + (\eta - \varepsilon) |v|^2 \\ & + \varepsilon |\Delta u|^2 - \varepsilon (\eta - \varepsilon) (u, v) + \varepsilon \tilde{M}(z) + (g(u, t), v) \quad (13) \\ & + \left( \varepsilon \beta(t) - \frac{\dot{\beta}(t)}{2} \right) |\nabla u|^2 \leq (f(x, t), v) \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality and the Poincaré inequality, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta - \varepsilon) |v|^2 + \varepsilon |\Delta u|^2 - \varepsilon (\eta - \varepsilon) (u, v) \\ & \geq \frac{3\eta}{4} |v|^2 + \varepsilon |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon (\eta - \varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} |\Delta u| |v| \\ & \geq \frac{3\eta}{4} |v|^2 + \varepsilon |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\Delta u|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon \eta^2}{2\lambda_1} |v|^2 \\ & \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} |v|^2 \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting (11), we lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & (g(u, t), v) \\ & = (g(u, t), u) + \varepsilon (g(u, t), u) \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u, t) - G'_t(u, t) + \varepsilon (g(u, t), u) \quad (15) \\ & \geq \frac{d}{dt} [G(u, t)] + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2} G(u, t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} |\Delta u|^2 - \varepsilon K_2 - |\Omega| C_2 \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$(f, v) \leq \frac{|f|^2}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{4} |v|^2 \quad (16)$$

where  $|f| = \sup_{t \in R} |f(x, t)|$ . From (13)-(16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ |v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \beta(t) |\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{M}(z) + 2G(u, t) \right] \\ & + \left( \varepsilon \beta(t) - \frac{\dot{\beta}(t)}{2} \right) |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \tilde{M}(z) + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2} G(u, t) \quad (17) \\ & + \frac{\eta}{4} |v|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} |\Delta u|^2 \leq \varepsilon K_2 + |\Omega| C_2 + \frac{|f|^2}{\eta} \end{aligned}$$

So, in the light of condition (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(t) & = |v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \beta(t) |\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{M}(z) \\ & + 2G(u, t) + 2K_1 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\theta = \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2}, \left( 2\varepsilon - \frac{\dot{\beta}(t)}{\beta(t)} \right) \right\}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(t) + \theta L(t) \leq C \quad (18)$$

where  $C = 2\theta K_1 + 2\varepsilon K_2 + 2|\Omega| C_2 + \frac{2|f|^2}{\eta}$ .

Applying the Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain the following absorbing inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t)|_E^2 & \leq L(t) \\ & \leq L(\tau) \exp(-\theta(t-\tau)) + \frac{C}{\theta} (1 - \exp(-\theta(t-\tau))) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E^2 \leq M, \quad t \geq \tau \quad (19)$$

Taking

$$M = L(\tau) \exp(-\theta(t-\tau)) + \frac{C}{\theta} (1 - \exp(-\theta(t-\tau))).$$

Obviously,  $|\varphi(t)|_E^2 \leq M$ . Furthermore, let us denote  $B$  be a bounded closed ball of  $E$  centered at 0 with radius  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} B & = B_E(0, M) \\ & = \left\{ (u, v) \in E : |\varphi(t)|_E^2 = \|u(t)\|^2 + |v(t)|^2 \leq M \right\} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $B$  is a bounded absorbing set of analytic semigroup  $\{S(t, \tau)\}$  of (1)-(3). We complete the proof.

### 4. Continuity of the Semigroup

In this section, we prove the continuity of the semigroup  $S(t, \tau)$  in  $E$ . For this reason, we assume

$$\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|g'(s, t)|}{|s|^2} = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq \gamma_0 < +\infty, \quad s \in R \quad (20)$$

**Lemma 2.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the mapping  $\{u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}\} \rightarrow \{u(t), u_t(t)\}$ , for  $\forall (u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}) \in E$ , is continuous in  $E$ , and the semigroup  $S(t, \tau)$  associated with the initial-boundary value problem (1) is a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $E$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $B \subset E$  be a bounded positive invariant set for  $S(t, \tau)$ , and initial-data  $\{u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}\}, \{v_{0\tau}, v_{1\tau}\} \in E$ . Let  $u, v$  be the two corresponding solutions of (1).

Assume  $\xi = u - v$ . We claim that the proof is similar to the Lemma 1. Then, from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_{tt} + \Delta^2 \xi - \beta(t) \Delta \xi + \eta \xi \\ & - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \Delta u \\ & + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \Delta v \\ & + (g(u, t) - g(v, t)) = f_1(x, t) - f_2(x, t) \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying (21) by  $w = \xi_t + \varepsilon \xi$  and integrating

over  $\Omega$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ |w|^2 + |\Delta \xi|^2 \right] + (\eta - \varepsilon) |w|^2 + \varepsilon |\Delta \xi|^2 \\ & - \varepsilon (\eta - \varepsilon) (\xi, w) - \beta(t) (\Delta \xi, w) \\ & \left( -M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \Delta u + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx \right) \Delta v, w \right) \\ & + (g(u, t) - g(v, t), w) = (f_1(x, t) - f_2(x, t), w) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Next, we are devoted to estimate (22). By the same method that we obtained (14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta - \varepsilon) |w|^2 + \varepsilon |\Delta \xi|^2 - \varepsilon (\eta - \varepsilon) (\xi, w) \\ & \geq \frac{\eta}{2} |w|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\Delta \xi|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

And, according to (20), for  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon > 0$ , such that

$$|g'(s, t)| \leq \varepsilon |s| \gamma_0 + C_\varepsilon, \quad \forall 0 \leq \gamma_0 < +\infty \tag{24}$$

By (24) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we can obtain  $g(u, t), g'(u, t)$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^\infty$ , that is, there exists a constant  $K_3 > 0$ , such that

$$|g(u, t)|_{L^\infty} \leq K_3, |g'(u, t)|_{L^\infty} \leq K_3 \tag{25}$$

From (24), (25), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (g(u, t) - g(v, t), w) = \int_{\Omega} g'(u + \vartheta \xi) \cdot \xi \cdot w dx, \\ & \vartheta = \vartheta(x) \in [0, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & |(g(u, t) - g(v, t), w)| \\ & = \left| \int_{\Omega} g'(u + \vartheta \xi) \cdot \xi \cdot w dx \right| \\ & \geq -K_3 \int_{\Omega} |\xi| \cdot |w| dx \geq -\frac{K_3}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \xi| \cdot |w| dx \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we know easily

$$\begin{aligned} & |\beta(t) (\Delta \xi, w)| \\ & \leq \beta(t) |\Delta \xi| |w| \leq \frac{C_3}{2} (|\Delta \xi|^2 + |w|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Due to the continuity of  $M'$ , we can note the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x^2) - M(y^2) \\ & \leq M'(\sup\{x^2, y^2\}) |x + y| \cdot |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

We see that easily

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \Delta v, w \right) \\ & \leq C_4 |\Delta \xi| |w| \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left( M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \Delta v, w \right) \right| \\ & = \left| M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta v \right. \\ & \quad \left. + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta v - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \Delta v, w \right| \\ & = \left| M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta \xi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \right) \Delta v, w \right| \\ & \leq C_5 |\Delta \xi| \cdot |w| + C_4 |\Delta \xi| \cdot |w| \\ & \leq \frac{C_6}{2} (|\Delta \xi|^2 + |w|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where  $C_6 = \max\{C_4, C_5\}$ .

Combining with (27) and (28), it is obtained directly

$$\begin{aligned} & |\beta(t) (\Delta \xi, w)| \\ & + \left( M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u - M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \right) \Delta v, w \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (C_3 + C_6) (|\Delta \xi|^2 + |w|^2) \leq C_7 (|\Delta \xi|^2 + |w|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Thanks to (22)-(29), and the usual Gronwall's Lemma, we have

$$|\Delta \xi(t)|^2 + |w(t)|^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } |\Delta \xi(\tau)|^2 + |w(\tau)|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

So we complete the proof.

### 5. Existence of the Global Attractor

**Theorem 2.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the semigroup  $S(t, \tau)$  associated with the initial-boundary value problem (1) possesses a global attractor  $\beta$  in  $E$  which attracts all bounded subsets of  $E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(u(t), u_t(t))$  be a solution of (12) with initial value, for any  $(u_{0\tau}, u_{1\tau}) \in E$ , and, it can be decomposed into  $(u, u_t) = (u_1, u_{1t}) + (u_2, u_{2t})$ , where  $v_i(t) = u_{ii}(t) + \varepsilon u_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfy, respectively,

$$\begin{cases} v_i + (\eta - \varepsilon)v + \Delta^2 u - \varepsilon(\eta - \varepsilon)u = 0, & t \geq \tau \\ u_1(\tau) = u_{0\tau}, \quad u_{1t}(\tau) = u_{1\tau} \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} v_i + (\eta - \varepsilon)v + \Delta^2 u - \varepsilon(\eta - \varepsilon)u = b(t), & t \geq \tau \\ u_2(\tau) = 0, \quad u_{2t}(\tau) = 0, \\ b(t) = \left[ \beta(t) + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \right] \Delta u - g(u, t) + f(x, t) \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

Applying two lemmas showed below. We also define a new inner product and norm in  $E = H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ . Obviously, by embedding theorem, (30) are easily con-

cluded through some simple computation  $\|v_1(t)\|_E^2 \leq C_8$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $S(t, \tau)$  is asymptotically smooth in  $E$ .

Taking the inner product in  $E$  of (12) with  $v_2 = u_{2t} + \varepsilon u_2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|v_2\|^2 + \|\Delta u_2\|^2 + \beta(t) \|\nabla u_2\|^2 + \tilde{M}(z) \right] + (\eta - \varepsilon) \|v_2\|^2 \\ & + \varepsilon \|\Delta u_2\|^2 - \varepsilon(\eta - \varepsilon)(u_2, v_2) + \varepsilon \tilde{M}(z) + (g(u, t), v_2) \\ & + \left( \varepsilon \beta(t) - \frac{\dot{\beta}(t)}{2} \right) \|\nabla u_2\|^2 \leq (f(x, t), v_2) \end{aligned}$$

According to the uniform boundedness of  $u$  in space  $V$ , the Sobolev Embedding theorem and the Gromwall's Lemma, combining with Lemma 1, we have the conclusion similar to below,  $\|v_2(t)\|_E^2 \leq C_9$ . Thus, this leads to  $S(t, \tau)$  possesses a global attractor  $\beta$  in  $E$  which attracts all bounded subsets of  $E$ . So we end the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Woinowsky-Krieger, "The Effect of Axial Force on the Vibration of Hinged Bars," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 17, 1950, pp. 35-36.
- [2] O. F. Ma, S. H. Wang and C. K. Zhong, "Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of Global Attractor for Semigroup and Application," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, Vol. 51, 2002, pp. 529-551. [doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2002.51.2255](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2002.51.2255)
- [3] R. Temam, "Infinite Dimensional Dynamical System in Mechanics and Physical," 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Nork York, 1997.
- [4] Q. Z. Ma and C. K. Zhong, "Existence of Strong Global Attractors for Hyperbolic Equation with Linear Memory," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 157, No. 1, 2004, pp. 745-758. [doi:10.1016/j.amc.2003.08.080](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2003.08.080)
- [5] Q. Z. Ma and C. K. Zhong, "Global Attractors of Strong Solutions for Nonclassical Diffusion Equation," *Journal of Lanzhou University*, Vol. 40, 2004, pp. 7-9.