

An Integral Representation of a Family of Slit Mappings

Adrian W. Cartier, Michael P. Sterner

Department of Biology-Chemistry-Mathematics, University of Montevallo, Montevallo, USA
 Email: sternerm@montevallo.edu

Received January 4, 2012; revised February 17, 2012; accepted February 28, 2012

ABSTRACT

We consider a normalized family F of analytic functions f , whose common domain is the complement of a closed ray in the complex plane. If $f(z)$ is real when z is real and the range of f does not intersect the nonpositive real axis, then f can be reproduced by integrating the biquadratic kernel $\frac{t(t-1)z^2 - z + 1}{(1-tz)^2}$ against a probability measure $\mu(t)$. It is shown that while this integral representation does not characterize the family F , it applies to a large class of functions, including a collection of functions which multiply the Hardy space H^p into itself.

Keywords: Herglotz Formula; Integral Representations; Subordination; Slit Mappings; Hardy Spaces; Multipliers; Hadamard Product

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and let $\partial\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Suppose f is analytic in Δ with the real part of f nonnegative. Then there is a nondecreasing function μ defined on

$[0, 2\pi]$ such that $f(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} d\mu(t) + ib$, where b

is a real constant. This representation of such functions by integrating a bilinear kernel against a measure is due to G. Herglotz ([1], pp. 21-24) and ([2], pp. 27-30). In this paper, we examine a family of functions defined on the complex plane with a closed ray removed, which may be represented by integrating a biquadratic kernel against a probability measure (A measure μ is called a probability measure on $[0, 1]$ provided μ is nonnegative with $\int_0^1 d\mu(t) = 1$). In what follows, given functions f and g analytic in Δ , we say that f is subordinate to g (written $f \prec g$) provided $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ for some ω analytic in Δ with $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$.

2. The Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C} - [1, \infty)$, $\Phi = \mathbb{C} - (-\infty, 0]$, and let F be the family of functions f having the following properties:

- 1) f is analytic in Ω ;
 - 2) $f(0) = 1$;
 - 3) $f(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ whenever $-\infty < z < 1$;
 - 4) $f(\Omega) \subseteq \Phi$.
- Then

$$F \subseteq \left\{ f : f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{t(t-1)z^2 - z + 1}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t) \right\},$$

where μ is a probability measure.

Proof. Let $\varphi(w) = -\left(\frac{1-w}{1+w}\right)^2 + 1$. Then φ is an analytic, bijective mapping of Δ in the w -plane onto Ω in the z -plane with $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let $f \in F$. Then $f(\Omega) \subseteq \Phi$ by 4). Let $g = f \circ \varphi$, and let $G(w) = \left(\frac{1+w}{1-w}\right)^2$. Then G is an analytic, bijective mapping of Δ onto Φ with $g \prec G$. Define $s(G)$ to be the collection of all functions h analytic in Δ with $h \prec G$. By a result due to D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, and W. E. Kirwan [3],

$$\overline{\text{co}} s(G) = \left\{ h \text{ analytic in } \Delta : h(z) = \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\frac{1+\bar{\zeta}z}{1-\bar{\zeta}z} \right)^2 d\nu(\zeta) \right\},$$

where ν is a probability measure and $\overline{\text{co}} s(G)$ denotes the closed convex hull of $s(G)$. Let $F(z) = -z + 1$. Then $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Phi$ is an analytic bijection with $F(0) = 1$. Since $g \in s(G)$,

$$g(w) = \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\frac{1+\bar{\zeta}w}{1-\bar{\zeta}w} \right)^2 d\nu(\zeta)$$

for $w \in \Delta$ and ν a probability measure. Since φ is injective with $\varphi(\Delta) = \Omega$, we have $g(w) = f(\varphi(w)) = f(z)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\frac{1 + \bar{\zeta} \varphi^{-1}(z)}{1 - \bar{\zeta} \varphi^{-1}(z)} \right)^2 d\nu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\frac{1 + \bar{\zeta} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-z}}{1 + \sqrt{1-z}}}{1 - \bar{\zeta} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-z}}{1 + \sqrt{1-z}}} \right)^2 d\nu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\frac{(1 + \bar{\zeta}) + (1 - \bar{\zeta})\sqrt{1-z}}{(1 - \bar{\zeta}) + (1 + \bar{\zeta})\sqrt{1-z}} \right)^2 d\nu(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

By 3) $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ whenever $z \in (-\infty, 1)$. Since Ω is symmetric about the real axis, by the identity theorem $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ throughout Ω . Let $X = \{\zeta \in \partial\Delta : \text{Im } \zeta \leq 0\}$. For any measurable subset A of X define $\nu^*(A) = 1/2(\nu(A) + \nu(\bar{A}))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2} [f(z) + \overline{f(\bar{z})}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Delta} \left\{ \left(\frac{(1 + \bar{\zeta}) + (1 - \bar{\zeta})\sqrt{1-z}}{(1 - \bar{\zeta}) + (1 + \bar{\zeta})\sqrt{1-z}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{(1 + \zeta) + (1 - \zeta)\sqrt{1-z}}{(1 - \zeta) + (1 + \zeta)\sqrt{1-z}} \right)^2 \right\} d\nu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_X \frac{([\text{Re } \zeta]^2 - 1)z^2 - 4z + 4}{(\text{Re } \zeta + 1)^2 - 4(\text{Re } \zeta + 1)z + 4} d\nu^*(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^0 \frac{1/4(\cos^2 \theta - 1)z^2 - z + 1}{\left(1 - \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2} z\right)^2} d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{t(t-1)z^2 - z + 1}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(\theta) = \nu^*(e^{i\theta})$ and $\mu(t) = \sigma(\cos^{-1}(2t-1))$. This integral representation does not characterize F , as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f : C - [1, \infty) \rightarrow C$ is defined via

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{t(t-1)z^2 - z + 1}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t)$$

where μ is a probability measure.

1) If μ has support $\{0, 1\}$, then $f \notin F$.

2) If μ is a point mass, $f \in F$ if and only if μ has support $\{0\}$ or $\{1\}$.

Proof. Let f be as defined in the theorem. Suppose μ has support $\{0, 1\}$, and the weight at 0 is a , where $a \in (0, 1)$. Since μ is a probability measure, the corresponding weight at 1 is $1 - a$. We have

$f(z) = \frac{az^2 - 2az + 1}{1-z}$. Since $0 < a < 1$, the value $z = 1 + \sqrt{1-1/a}$ lies in the domain of f , and is mapped to the origin in the w -plane. Therefore $f \notin F$, proving 1).

Observe that point mass at 0 gives $f(z) = -z + 1$ and point mass at 1 gives $f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$, each of which is an analytic bijection from Ω onto Φ , and clearly in F . Suppose μ has support $\{t\}$, where $0 < t < 1$. Then

$$f(z) = \frac{t(t-1)z^2 - z + 1}{(1-tz)^2}.$$

Let

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-4t(t-1)}}{2t(t-1)}.$$

Then $\zeta'(t) = 0$ precisely when $t = 1/2$. It follows that ζ lies in the domain of f for each $t \in (0, 1)$, and $f(\zeta) = 0$. Therefore $f \notin F$.

3. An Application

In [4], T. H. MacGregor and M. P. Sterner investigate multipliers of Hardy spaces of analytic functions using asymptotic expansions and power functions of the form $(1-z)^{-b}$, where b is a complex constant. A subclass of F which multiplies H^p into H^p is given in the following theorem. Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ are analytic in Δ . Then the Hadamard product of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

for $z \in \Delta$. We say that f multiplies H^p into H^p provided $f * g \in H^p$ whenever $g \in H^p$.

Theorem 3. Let μ be a finite complex-valued Borel measure defined on $[0, 1]$ and let

$$f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-tz} d\mu(t) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Then f is a multiplier of H^p into H^p for every $p > 0$. Moreover, there is a constant C_p depending only on p such that $\|f * g\|_{H^p} \leq \|\mu\| C_p \|g\|_{H^p}$ for all $g \in H^p$.

Proof. Let f be as described in the hypotheses of the theorem, and suppose $g \in H^p$ for some $p > 0$. Then for $z \in \Delta$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(rz) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(ze^{-i\theta}) g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-tze^{-i\theta}} d\mu(t) g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{1-tze^{-i\theta}} d\theta \right\} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=r} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi-z} d\xi \quad (|z| < r, 0 < r < 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{1-\frac{z}{r}e^{-i\theta}} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(f^*g)(rz) = \int_0^1 g(rt) d\mu(t).$$

Therefore for $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ we have

$$(f^*g)(\rho e^{i\varphi}) = \int_0^1 g(\rho t e^{i\varphi}) d\mu(t).$$

Let $G(\varphi) = \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} |g(xe^{i\varphi})|$ for $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. Then G is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function for g , and so lies in $L^p[0, 2\pi]$ ([5], p. 12). Moreover, there is a constant C_p depending only on p such that $\|G\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|g\|_{H^p}$ (In fact, for $p \geq 1$, $C_p = 1$). Since $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|(f^*g)(\rho e^{i\varphi})| \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} |g(xe^{i\varphi})| d\mu(t) = G(\varphi) \|\mu\|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |(f^*g)(\rho e^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(\varphi)^p \|\mu\|^p d\varphi \\ &\leq \|\mu\|^p C_p^p \|g\|_{H^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{0 \leq \rho < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |(f^*g)(\rho e^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right\}^{1/p} \leq \|\mu\| C_p \|g\|_{H^p}.$$

If we restrict the measure μ to be a probability measure, then the formula implies the analyticity of f on

$C - [1, \infty)$, the value of f is unity at the origin, and $f(z)$ is real when z is real ($-\infty < z < 1$). Finally, observe that the range of f is contained in $C - (-\infty, 0]$. To see this last statement, fix $z \in C - [1, \infty)$. Then $\{tz : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$

is the line segment from 0 to z . Hence $\left\{ \frac{1}{1-tz} : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right\}$

is the arc of the circle determined by 1, $\frac{1}{1-z}$, and 0,

having endpoints 1 and $\frac{1}{1-z}$ and not including the ori-

gin. Since μ is a probability measure, $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-tz} d\mu(t)$

lies in the circular segment which is the closed convex hull of that arc, and this circular segment does not intersect $(-\infty, 0]$. Hence each such multiplier function f lies in F .

REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Duren, "Univalent Functions," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [2] D. J. Hallenbeck and T. H. MacGregor, "Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory," Pitman Publishing Ltd., London, 1984.
- [3] D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan, "On the Coefficient Problem for Functions of Bounded Boundary Rotation," *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Series AI. Mathematica*, Vol. 523, 1972, pp. 403-489.
- [4] T. H. MacGregor and M. P. Sterner, "Hadamard Products with Power Functions and Multipliers of Hardy Spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 282, No. 1, 2003, pp. 163-176.
[doi:10.1016/S0022-247X\(03\)00128-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(03)00128-8)
- [5] P. L. Duren, "Theory of H^p Spaces," Academic Press, New York, 1970.