Scientific
Research
Publishing

A Full Asymptotic Series of European Call Option Prices in the SABR Model with Beta = 1

Z. Guo, H. Schellhorn

Claremont Graduate University, Claremont, California, USA
Email: henry.schellhorn@cgu.edu

Abstract

We develop two new pricing formulae for European options. The purpose of these formulae is to better understand the impact of each term of the model, as well as improve the speed of the calculations. We consider the SABR model (with $\beta = 1$) of stochastic volatility, which we analyze by tools from Malliavin Calculus. We follow the approach of Alòs *et al.* (2006) who showed that under stochastic volatility framework, the option prices can be written as the sum of the classic Hull-White (1987) term and a correction due to correlation. We derive the Hull-White term, by using the conditional density of the average volatility, and write it as a two-dimensional integral. For the correction part, we use two different approaches. Both approaches rely on the pairing of the exponential formula developed by Jin, Peng, and Schellhorn (2016) with analytical calculations. The first approach, which we call "Dyson series on the return's idiosyncratic noise" yields a complete series expansion but necessitates the calculation of a 7-dimensional integral. Two of these dimensions come from the use of Yor's (1992) formula for the joint density of a Brownian motion and the time-integral of geometric Brownian motion. The second approach, which we call "Dyson series on the common noise" necessitates the calculation of only a one-dimensional integral, but the formula is more complex. This research consisted of both analytical derivations and numerical calculations. The latter show that our formulae are in general more exact, yet more time-consuming to calculate, than the first order expansion of Hagan *et al.* (2002).

Keywords

SABR Model, Stochastic Volatility, Malliavin Calculus, Exponential Formula, Option Pricing

1. Introduction

European options are traditionally priced and hedged by Black-Scholes [1] (1973) model, one of the natural extensions of the Black-Scholes model to make volatility stochastic. The simplest stochastic volatility models assume that the volatility and the noise driving stock prices are uncorrelated. Moreover, the Hull-White formula [2] (1987) establishes that the European option price is the expectation of the Black-Scholes option pricing formula with a time-dependent volatility. An important success of this model is that it calculates European prices which implied volatilities smile. The development of local volatility models by Dupire and Derman (1994) was a major development in handling smiles and skews. However its predictions contradict empirical findings. Thus the SABR (stochastic alpha beta rho) model, a stochastic volatility model in which the asset price is correlated with its volatility was derived by Hagan *et al*. [3] (2002) to resolve this problem. Alòs [4] (2006) extended the classical Hull-White formula to the correlated case by means of Malliavin calculus. The new generalization decomposes option prices as the sum of the same derivative price if there was no correlation and a correction due by correlation. Another popular model is the Heston (1993) model. In that model, the volatility is mean-reverting. The general asymptotic method presented by Fouque, Papanicolau and Sircar (2000) [5] can be used to analyze Heston's model. For more information on stochastic volatility models, we refer the reader to Gatheral [6] (2006).

Nevertheless, there are still terms of conditional expectation of functions of non-adapted processes in the new generalization of Hull-White formula. Jin, Peng and Schellhorn [7] (2016) showed that under certain smoothness conditions, a Brownian martingale can be represented via an exponential formula when evaluated at a fixed time. It is a powerful tool similar to Clark-Ocone formula that allows us to work with the conditional expectation of a random variable instead of the random variable itself.

The main goal of this research was to obtain an option pricing formula for the special case of the SABR model with $\beta = 1$. We used two different approaches. Both approaches rely on the pairing of the exponential formula developed by Jin, Peng, and Schellhorn (2016) with analytical calculations, and start by conditioning on the path of the common noise term W. In the first approach, which we call "Dyson series in the return's idiosyncratic noise", we first apply a Dyson series in the idiosyncratic noise term Z and then apply Yor's [8] formula (1992) for the joint density of a Brownian motion and the time-integral of geometric Brownian motion to integrate with respect to the common noise term W. We note that Yor's formula is used for pricing Asian options, but it is ideally suited to analyze realized volatility in the SABR model with $\beta = 1$, since volatility is a geometric Brownian motion. Faà di Bruno's formula is used for analytical differentiation. The first approach yields a complete series expansion but necessitates the calculation of a 7-dimensional integral. Two of these dimensions come from the analytical expression of the joint density of a Brownian motion and the

time-integral of geometric Brownian motion. In the second approach, which we call "Dyson series in the common noise", we first integrate away the idiosyncratic noise term Z and then apply a Dyson series in the common noise term W. This results in a formula which necessitates the calculation of only a one-dimensional integral, but the formula is more complex, and we carried the calculation only of the first term of the series.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present a brief introduction to Malliavin Calculus as well as a representation theorem for smooth Brownian Martingales. Section 3 is a review of basic option pricing theory and an extension to stochastic volatility models. In Section 4, we present several Hull-White formulas for European call option prices with different model assumptions. In Section 5, we derive the Dyson series in the return's idiosyncratic noise for the call price. In Section 6, we derive the Dyson series in the common noise for the call price, and compare numerically all approaches.

2. Preliminaries on Malliavin Calculus

The following section briefly reviews some basic facts of Malliavin Calculus required along the paper. For a complete exposition we refer to Nualart [9] (1995) and Øksendal [10] (2008). Let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ be a complete filtered probability space where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is generated by a standard Brownian motion $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. In Section 2.4, we will enlarge our probability space to consider two standard Brownian motions.

2.1. Malliavin Derivative

Let $L^2\left([0,T]^n\right)$ be the standard space of square integrable Borel real functions on $[0,T]^n$ and let $\tilde{L}^2\left([0,T]^n\right) \in L^2\left([0,T]^n\right)$ be the space of symmetric square integrable Borel real functions on $[0,T]^n$, consider the set

$$S_n = \left\{(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in [0,T]^n : 0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n \leq T\right\}.$$

Definition 2.1 If f is a deterministic function defined on S_n $(n \geq 1)$ such that $\|f\|_{L^2(S_n)}^2 := \int_{S_n} f^2(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_n < \infty$, then the n-fold iterated Itô integral is defined as

$$J_n(f) := \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_3} \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \mathrm{d}W_{t_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}W_{t_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}W_{t_n}, \tag{1}$$

and if $g \in \tilde{L}^2\left([0,T]^n\right)$ we define

$$I_n(g) = \int_{[0,T]^n} g(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \mathrm{d}W_{t_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}W_{t_n} := n! J_n(g). \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2.2 *The Wiener-Itô Chaos Expansion. Let F be an $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variable in $L^2(P)$. Then there exists a unique sequence $\{f_n\}_0^\infty$ of functions $f_n \in \tilde{L}^2\left([0,T]^n\right)$ such that $F = \sum_0^\infty I_n(f_n)$.*

Definition 2.3 Let $u(t)$, $t \in [0,T]$, be a measurable stochastic process such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ the random variable $u(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable and $E\left[\int_0^T u^2(t) \mathrm{d}t\right] < \infty$. Let its Wiener-Itô chaos expansion be

$$u(t) = \sum_0^\infty I_n\left(f_{n,t}\right) = \sum_0^\infty I_n\left(f_n(\cdot,t)\right). \tag{3}$$

Then we define the Skorohod integral of u by

$$\delta(u) := \int_0^T u(t)\delta W_t := \sum_0^\infty I_{n+1}\left(\tilde{f}_n\right), \tag{4}$$

when converge in $L^2(P)$, we say that u is Skorohod integrable and we write $u \in Dom(\delta)$ if the series in (4) converges in $L^2(P)$.

The operator δ is an extension of the Itô integral, in the sense that the set $L^2(P)$ of square integrable and adapted processes is included in $Dom(\delta)$ and the operator δ restricted to $L^2(P)$ coincides with the Itô stochastic integral.

Theorem 2.4 Let $u = u(t), t \in [0,T]$, be a measurable $\mathbb{F}$-adapted stochastic process such that $E\left[\int_0^T u^2(t)\mathrm{d}t\right] < \infty$. Then $u \in Dom(\delta)$ and its Skorohod integral coincides with the Itô integral

$$\int_0^T u(t)\delta W_t = \int_0^T u(t)\mathrm{d}W_t. \tag{5}$$

Definition 2.5 Let $F \in L^2(P)$ be $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable with chaos expansion $F = \sum_0^\infty I_n(f_n)$, where $f_. \in \tilde{L}^2\left([0,T]^n\right)$, for $n = 1,2,\cdots$, we say that $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ if $\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}_{1,2}}^2 := \sum_0^\infty nn!\|f_n\|_{L^2([0,T]^n)}^2 < \infty$. If $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ we define the Malliavin derivative $D_t F$ of F at time t as the expansion

$$D_t F = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n I_{n-1}\left(f_n(\cdot,t)\right), t \in [0,T]. \tag{6}$$

We will need the following results on the Malliavin derivative.

Theorem 2.6 *Product rule for Malliavin derivative.* Suppose $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}^0$. Then $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and also $F_1 F_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ with

$$D_t\left(F_1 F_2\right) = F_1 D_t F_2 + F_2 D_t F_1. \tag{7}$$

Theorem 2.7 *Chain rule.* Let $G \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded derivative. Then $g(G) \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and

$$D_t g(G) = g'(G) D_t G. \tag{8}$$

Example 2.1

$$D_t\left(\int_0^T f(s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right)^n = n\left(\int_0^T f(s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right)^{n-1} D_t\left(\int_0^T f(s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right) = n\left(\int_0^T f(s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right)^{n-1} f(t).$$

Theorem 2.8 *The fundamental theorem of calculus.* Let $u = u(s), s \in [0,T]$, be a stochastic process such that $E\left[\int_0^T u^2(s)\mathrm{d}s\right] < \infty$ and assume that, for all $s \in [0,T], u(s) \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and that, for all $t \in [0,T], D_t u \in Dom(\delta)$. Assume also that $E\left[\int_0^T \left(\delta(D_t u)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t\right] < \infty$. Then $\int_0^T u(s)\delta W_s$ is well-defined and belongs to $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and

$$D_t\left(\int_0^T u(s)\delta W_s\right) = \int_0^T D_t u(s)\delta W_s + u(t). \tag{9}$$

2.2. Exponential Formula

A Brownian motion martingale can be represented via an exponential formula

when evaluated at a fixed time under certain smoothness conditions.

Definition 2.9 *Given* $\omega \in \Omega$, *a freezing operator* ω^t *is defined as:*

$$W\left(s, \omega^t(\omega)\right) = \begin{cases} W(s, \omega), & \text{if } s \leq t; \\ W(t, \omega), & \text{if } t < s \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

The freezing operator ω^t is a mapping from Ω to Ω. The following equations show some properties of the freezing operator:

Proposition 2.10

1) *For* $p \in \mathcal{P}$, *space of polynomials, suppose* $F = p\left(W_{s_1}, \cdots, W_{s_n}\right)$, *then* $F\left(\omega^t\right) = p\left(W_{s_1 \wedge t}, \cdots, W_{s_n \wedge t}\right)$;

2) $\left(\int_0^T f(s) \mathrm{d}W_s\right)\left(\omega^t\right) = \int_0^t f(s) \mathrm{d}W_s$;

3) $\left(\int_0^T W_s \mathrm{d}s\right)\left(\omega^t\right) = \int_0^t W_s \mathrm{d}s + W_t(T-t)$;

4) $\left(\int_0^T W_s \mathrm{d}W_s\right)\left(\omega^t\right) = \left(\frac{W_T^2 - T}{2}\right)\left(\omega^t\right) = \frac{W_t^2 - T}{2}$.

We denote the Malliavin derivative of order l of F at time t by $D_t^l F$, as a shorthand notation for $D_t \cdots D_t F$. We call $\mathbb{D}_\infty([0,T])$ the set of random variables which are $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable and infinitely Malliavin differentiable.

Definition 2.11 A random variable F is said to be infinitely Malliavin differentiable if for any integer n:

$$E\left[\left(\sup_{s_1, \cdots, s_n \in (t,T)} \left|\left(D_{s_n}^2 \cdots D_{s_1}^2 F\right)\right|\right)^2\right] < +\infty. \tag{11}$$

In particular, we denote by $\mathbb{D}^N([0,T])$ the space of all random variables F which satisfy (14) for all $n \leq N$.

The next theorem, or exponential formula, was obtained by Jin *et al.* (2016). The resulting series (12) is called a *Dyson series*.

Theorem 2.12 *Suppose* $F \in \mathbb{D}_\infty([0,T])$ *satisfies the following condition:*

$$\frac{(T-t)^{2n}}{(2^n n!)^2} E\left[\left(\sup_{u_1, , u_n \in (t,T)} \left|\left(D_{u_n}^2 \cdots D_{u_1}^2 F\right)\left(\omega^t\right)\right|\right)^2\right] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,$$

for fixed $t \in [0,T]$, *then*

$$E[F \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^i i!} \int_{[t,T]^i} \left(D_{s_i}^2 \cdots D_{s_1}^2 F\right)\left(\omega^t\right) \mathrm{d}s_i \cdots \mathrm{d}s_1. \tag{12}$$

Example 2.2 *An example of applying the Exponential formula: Let* $F = W_T^2$, *then for* $t \leq s \leq T$: $F\left(\omega^t\right) = W_t^2$ *and* $\left(D_s^2 F\right)\left(\omega^t\right) = 2$, *then by Theorem* 2.2 *we have*

$$E[F \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = F\left(\omega^t\right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \left(D_s^2 F\right)\left(\omega^t\right) \mathrm{d}s = W_t^2 + T - t. \tag{13}$$

2.3. Faà di Bruno's Formula

Lemma 2.13 *Faà di Bruno's formula. If f and g are functions with a sufficient*

number of derivatives, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} f\left(g(x)\right) = \sum \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i!} f^{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n m_k\right)}\left(g(x)\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{g^{(j)}(x)}{j!}\right)^{m_j}, \quad (14)$$

where the sum is over all n-tuples of non-negative integers $(m_1, \cdots, m_n)$ satisfying the constraint $\sum_{k=1}^n k m_k = n$. Combining the terms with the same value of $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = k$ leads to a simpler formula expressed in terms of Bell polynomials $B_{n,k}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k+1})$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} f\left(g(x)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n f^{(k)}\left(g(x)\right) \cdot B_{n,k}\left(g'(x), g''(x), \cdots, g^{n-k+1}(x)\right). \quad (15)$$

Definition 2.14 Exponential Bell polynomials. The partial or incomplete exponential Bell polynomials are a triangular array of polynomials given by

$$B_{n,k}\left(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-k+1}\right) = \sum \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1} j_i!} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \left(\frac{x_i}{i!}\right)^{j_i}, \quad (16)$$

where the sum is taken over all sequences $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{n-k+1}$ non-negative integers such that these two conditions are satisfied: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} j_i = k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} i \cdot j_i = n$. The sum

$$B_n\left(x_1, \cdots, x_n\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n B_{n,k}\left(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-k+1}\right), \quad (17)$$

is called the *n* th complete exponential Bell polynomials.

The Faà di Bruno's formula can be generalized to Malliavin derivative in the following way:

Lemma 2.15 *Faà di Bruno's formula for Malliavin derivative.* *If f and g are functions with a sufficient number of derivatives, then for a random variable $F \in \mathbb{D}^N\left([0,T]\right)$ and $\forall n \leq N$, by theorem 2.7 and lemma 15 we have*

$$D_t^n f\left(g(F)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n f^{(k)}\left(g(F)\right) \cdot B_{n,k}\left(g'(F), g''(F), \cdots, g^{n-k+1}(F)\right) D_t^n F, \quad (18)$$

where $B_{n,k}\left(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-k+1}\right)$ are the incomplete exponential Bell polynomials.

2.4. Extension to Two Brownian Motions

In what follows, we work with two independent Brownian motions $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Z_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined in a probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$, let $\left\{\mathcal{F}_t^W\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{F}_t^Z\right\}$ be the filtrations generated by the Brownian motion W_t and Z_t respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^Z := \sigma\left\{W_{s_1}, Z_{s_2}, s_1 \leq t_1, s_2 \leq t_2\right\}$ be the filtration generated by two Brownian motions W_t and Z_t. When $t_1 = t_2 = t$, we keep the symbol $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z$ for the sigma-algebra generated by both Brownian motions.

Let D^W and D^Z be the Malliavin derivation operator w.r.t the Brownian motion W_t and Z_t, this implies that for a $\mathcal{F}_T$ measurable random variable $F(\omega)$, the 2-dimensional directional derivative of *F* at the point $\omega \in \Omega$ in the direction $\gamma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Omega$ by:

$$D_\gamma F(\omega) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{F(\omega + \epsilon\gamma) - F(w)}{\epsilon}$$

$$= \int_0^T D_s^W F(\omega) \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_1}{\mathrm{d}s} \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T D_s^Z F(\omega) \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_2}{\mathrm{d}s} \mathrm{d}s. \tag{19}$$

The freezing operators ω_W^t and ω_Z^t follow the same definition 2.9 as the one dimension case. However, each random variable are depend on the the path of single Brownian motion indicated by its subscript.

3. Preliminaries on Option Pricing

Throughout this paper we shall operate in the context of a complete financial market. Options are an example of a broader class of assets called contingent claims. We will study European call option pricing under stochastic framework. The aim of this section is to review the basic objects, ideas and results of the classical Black-Scholes theory, stochastic volatility models of derivative pricing [11].

Definition 3.1

1) A contingent claim is any asset whose future payoff is contingent on the outcome of some uncertain event.

2) A European call option is a contract that gives its holder the right, but not the obligation, to buy one unit of an underlying asset for predetermined strike price K on the maturity date T.

3.1. The Black-Scholes Theory

The Black-Scholes model is widely used for the dynamics of a financial market containing derivative investment instruments. From the Black-Scholes equation, one can deduce the Black-Scholes formula, which gives a theoretical estimate of the price of European-style options. The Black-Scholes model with constant volatility under risk-neutral probability measure is that the stock price S_t satisfies the following stochastic differential equation:

$$\mathrm{d}S_t = rS_t\mathrm{d}t + \sigma S_t\mathrm{d}W_t, \tag{20}$$

where r and σ are constants. For reasons of convenience, we make the change of variable in the following sections, let $X_t = \ln S_t$ denote the logarithm of stock price, then

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\mathrm{d}t + \sigma\mathrm{d}W_t, \tag{21}$$

the price V_t of an European call option with payoff $(X_T - K)_+$ at time t for this model with constant volatility σ, current stock price e^x, maturity time T and interest rate r, satisfy the risk-neutral pricing formula [12]:

$$V_t = \mathrm{e}^{-r(T-t)}E\left[(S_T - K)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \tag{22}$$

And the closed-form solution of Black-Scholes PDE is the Black-Scholes-Merton formula:

$$V_t = BS(t,x,\sigma) := e^x N(d_+) - Ke^{-r(T-t)} N(d_-), \tag{23}$$

where

$$d_\pm(t,\sigma) = \frac{X_t - \ln K + \left(r \pm \dfrac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2}} \, dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2}} \, dy. \tag{25}$$

is the standard normal cumulative distribution function. The derivation consists of finding a self-financing investment strategy, that replicates the call option payoff structure and assume that one continuously adjusts the replicating portfolio over time.

3.2. Stochastic Volatility Models

That it might make sense to model volatility as a random variable should be clear to the most casual observer of equity markets. Nevertheless, given the success of the Black-Scholes model in parsimoniously describing market option prices, it's not immediately obvious what the benefit of making such a modeling choice might be.

3.2.1. SABR (Stochastic Alpha Beta Rho) Model with $\beta = 1$

Stochastic volatility models are useful because they explain in a self-consistent way why options with different strike and expiration have different Black-Scholes implied volatility. And moreover, stochastic volatility models assume realistic dynamics for the underlying. Specifically, the SABR model is an extension of the Black Scholes model in which the volatility parameter follows a stochastic process:

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma_t S_t^\beta \left(\rho dW_t + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} dZ_t\right), \tag{26}$$

$$d\sigma_t = \alpha \sigma_t dW_t. \tag{27}$$

The two Brownian motions, W_t and Z_t are independent. It can be shown by Lévy's Theorem that $M_t := \rho dW_t + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} dZ_t$ is a Brownian motion, thus $dM_t dW_t = \rho dt$. Volatility does note mean revert in the SABR model, so it is only good for short expirations. Nevertheless the model has the virtue of having an exact expression for the implied volatility smile in the short-expiration limit $\tau := T - t \to 0$. The resulting functional form can be used to fit observed short-dated implied volatilities and the model parameters α, β and ρ thereby extracted.

Hagan *et al.* derived, with perturbation techniques, an approximating direct formula for this implied volatility under the SABR model in [3]:

$$\sigma_{BS}(S_0, K)$$

$$
= \frac{\sigma_0}{(S_0 K)^{(1-\beta)/2}\left[1+\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{24}\ln^2\frac{S_0}{K}+\frac{(1-\beta)^4}{1920}\ln^4\frac{S_0}{K}+\cdots\right]}\frac{z}{x(z)} \tag{28}
$$

$$
\cdot\left[1+\left(\frac{(1-\beta)^2}{24}\frac{\sigma_0^2}{(S_0 K)^{1-\beta}}+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\rho\beta\alpha\sigma_0}{(S_0 K)^{(1-\beta)/2}}+\frac{2-3\rho^2}{24}\alpha^2\right)\tau+O(\tau^2)\right],
$$

where $z := -\dfrac{\alpha}{\sigma_0}(S_0 K)^{(1-\beta)/2}\log\left(\dfrac{S_0}{K}\right)$ and $x(z)=\ln\left(\dfrac{\sqrt{1-2\rho z+z^2}+z-\rho}{1-\rho}\right)$.

For the case of at-the money options, *i.e.* when $S_0 = K$, this formula reduces to

$$
\sigma_{BS}(S_0, S_0)=\frac{\sigma_0}{S_0^{1-\beta}}\cdot\left[1+\left(\frac{(1-\beta)^2\sigma_0^2}{24 S_0^{2-2\beta}}+\frac{\rho\beta\alpha\sigma_0}{4 S_0^{1-\beta}}+\frac{2-3\rho^2}{24}\alpha^2\right)\tau+O(\tau^2)\right]. \tag{29}
$$

In the special case $\beta = 1$, the SABR implied volatility formula reduces to

$$
\sigma_{BS}(S_0, K)=\sigma_0\frac{y}{f(y)}\left[1+\left(\frac{1}{4}\rho\alpha\sigma_0+\frac{2-3\rho^2}{24}\alpha^2\right)\tau+O(\tau^2)\right], \tag{30}
$$

where $y := -\dfrac{\alpha}{\sigma_0}\log\left(\dfrac{S_0}{K}\right)$ and $f(y)=\ln\left(\dfrac{\sqrt{1-2\rho y+y^2}+y-\rho}{1-\rho}\right)$.

3.2.2. Exponential Functions of Brownian Motion

Marc Yor's discovery (1992) of an integral formula for joint density of the distribution of a Brownian motion and the integral of exponential Brownian motion taken over a finite time interval has been computed in the case $\sigma = 2$.

Proposition 3.2 *Marc Yor's formula. Applying Brownian motion rescaling* [13], *this joint density of* $\left(\int_0^t e^{\sigma W_s}\,ds, W_t\right)$, $\sigma > 0$ *can be written for an arbitrary volatility parameter* σ *as*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{t,\sigma}(x,y) &:= \frac{1}{dx\,dy}\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^t e^{\sigma W_s}\,ds \in dx, W_t \in dy\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{2x}e^{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2 x}\left(1+e^{\sigma y}\right)}\cdot\theta\left(\frac{4 e^{\sigma y/2}}{\sigma^2 x}, \frac{\sigma^2 t}{4}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{31}
$$

for $x > 0, y \in R, t > 0$, *where*

$$
\theta(r,t) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi^3 t}}e^{\frac{\pi^2}{2t}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}\cdot e^{-r\cosh\xi}\sinh\xi\sin\frac{\pi\xi}{t}\,d\xi, \quad r,t > 0. \tag{32}
$$

By Lyasoff [14], *(32) is equivalent to the following:*

$$
\theta(r,t) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2\pi^3 t}}e^{\frac{\pi^2}{2t}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}\cdot \cosh\xi\cos\left(r\sinh\xi - \frac{\pi\xi}{2t}\right)d\xi, \quad r,t > 0. \tag{33}
$$

From computational point of view, the $\dfrac{\pi}{2}$-formula: (31) with $\theta(\cdot)$ defined

as (33), may be preferable to the π-formula: (31) with $\theta(\cdot)$ defined as (32).

Proposition 3.3 *A straightforward application of the Cameron-Martin-Girsanov theorem implies that the joint density of* $\left(\int_0^t e^{\sigma W_s - \mu s} ds, W_t\right)$, $\sigma > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, *which we denote by* $\phi_{t,\sigma,\mu}(x,y)$, $x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}$, *can be connected with the density* $\phi_{t,\sigma}(x,y) = \phi_{t,\sigma,0}(x,y)$ *through the formula*

$$\phi_{t,\sigma,\mu}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\mu}{\sigma}y + \frac{\mu^2 t}{2\sigma^2}} \phi_{t,\sigma,0}\left(x, y - \frac{\mu}{\sigma}t\right). \tag{34}$$

4. Hull and White Formula and Extension

The no-arbitrage price at time t using the risk-neutral theory for any derivatives with terminal time T and payoff function $h(x)$ is given by the risk-neutral formula below:

$$V_t = E\left[e^{-r(T-t)}h(X_T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \tag{35}$$

Thus V_t is a no-arbitrage price for the contingent claim. In what follows, we consider the pricing of a call option, *i.e.*:

$$h(X_T) = \left(e^{X_T} - K\right)^+. \tag{36}$$

4.1. Hull-White Formula: Uncorrelated Volatility

Under the assumption that the volatility σ_t is uncorrelated with the asset price driven by another Brownian motion Z_t, *i.e.* when $\rho = 0$, the pricing formula (35) can be further simplified. By conditioning on the path of the volatility process and using the iterated conditioning property, the European call option price is given by

$$V(t, X_t, \sigma_t) = e^{-r(T-t)} E\left[E\left[\left(e^{X_T} - K\right)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{F}_T^W\right]\right]. \tag{37}$$

The inner expectation is the Black-Scholes computation with a time-dependent volatility. Since σ_t is a Markov process, we can apply the Black-Scholes formula, and obtain:

$$V(t, x, y) = E\left[BS(t, x; K, T; v_t) \mid Y_t = y\right], \tag{38}$$

where

$$v_t^2 = \frac{1}{T-t}\int_t^T \sigma_s^2 ds, \tag{39}$$

is the root-mean-square time future average volatility.

4.2. Hull-White Formula: Correlated Volatility

In general, the situation is more complicated when volatility is correlated with the Brownian motion W_t driving the stock price. Again we can use iterated expectation to price a European call option.

$$V(t, x, y) = E\left[\xi_t BS\left(t, x; K\xi_t^{-1}, T; \bar{\sigma}_\rho\right) \mid Y_t = y\right], \tag{40}$$

where

$$\xi_t = \exp\left(\rho \int_t^T \sigma_s \mathrm{d}\hat{Z}_s - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \int_t^T \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s \right), \tag{41}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{T-t} \int_t^T \left(1 - \rho^2\right) \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{42}$$

The Hull-White formula is of practical use for Monte Carlo simulation of prices in a correlated stochastic volatility model since only one Brownian motion path has to be generated. However, it does not directly reveal any information about the implied volatility curve like the uncorrelated case.

4.3. A Generalization of Hull-White Formula

The classical Hull-White formula for option pricing can be extended, by means of Malliavin Calculus, to the correlated case. The main problem is that average future volatility is not adapted, however, this issue can be resolved by anticipating stochastic calculus. And this method decomposes option prices as the sum of the same derivative price if there is no correlation and a correction due by correlation. The following theorem is due to Alòs *et al.* (2006).

Theorem 4.1 *Consider model* (26)-(27) *with* $\beta = 1$, *and assume the following hypotheses hold*:

1) *The payoff function* $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is continuous and piecewise* $\mathcal{C}^1$;

2) *There exists a positive real constant a such that* $a \le \sigma_t^2$ *for all* $t \in [0,T]$;

3) $\sigma^2 \in \mathcal{L}_W^{1,2}([0,T])$;

4) *For all* $t \in [0,T]$ *there exists a positive constant C such that for all* $s \in [0,T]$,

$$\left| E\left[\left(\int_s^T D_s^W \sigma_r^2 \mathrm{d}r \right) \sigma_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right| \le C. \tag{43}$$

Then, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$V_t = E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} E\left[\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{-r(s-t)} H(s, X_s, v_s) \Lambda_s \mathrm{d}s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right], \tag{44}$$

where v_t^2 *is the future average volatility defined* (39) *in Subsection* 4.1 *and*

$$H(s, X_s, v_s) := \left(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) BS(s, X_s, v_s), \tag{45}$$

$$\Lambda_s := \left(\int_s^T D_s^W \sigma_r^2 \mathrm{d}r \right) \sigma_s. \tag{46}$$

Notice that formula (44) does not reduce the dimensionality of the problem but identifies the impact of correlation. When $\rho = 0$, it is the same as (38).

5. Dyson Series in the Return's Idiosyncratic Noise

5.1. Application of Marc Yor's Formula

Throughout this and next section we denote by $V_{t_1,t_2} := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sigma_u^2 \mathrm{d}u$ a cumulative time integral, from t_1 to t_2, of future volatility, *i.e.* $V_{s,T} = v_s^2(T-s)$. The aim

of this paper is to extend Theorem 4.1 to a deterministic form by specifically assuming the underlying asset and volatility process follow (26), then σ_t is a square integrable process adapted to $\left\{ \mathcal{F}_t^W \right\}$.

Lemma 5.1 *The conditional probability density function of* $V_{s,T}$ *is*

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} \psi_{V_{s,T}} \left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right).$$

where

$$y_{V_{s,T}}(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{T-s,2\alpha,\alpha^2}(v,z)\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{47}$$

One straightforward application of (47) is using the conditional density of $V_{t,T}$ to obtain the first conditional expectation in (44):

Theorem 5.2 *The conditional expectation of* $BS(t, X_t, v_t)$ *is*

$$E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \int_0^{\infty} BS\left(t, X_t, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-t}}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma_t^2} \psi_{V_{t,T}} \left(\frac{v}{\sigma_t^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v. \tag{48}$$

5.2. Application of Exponential Formula

Theorem 5.3 *For* $t \leq s$, *define* $G(s, X_s, v_s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n n!} g_n(s, X_t, v_t)$, *where*

$g_n(s, X_t, v_t) = \omega_Z^t \circ \int_{[t,T]^n} D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,Z} H(s, X_s, v_s)\,\mathrm{d}\tau^{\otimes n}$. *Let* G_s, H_s *be the short notation for* $G(s, X_s, v_s)$ *and* $H(s, X_s, v_s)$, *then the option price* (44) *can be further simplified as the following:*

$$V_t = E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] + \frac{\rho}{2} \int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{-r(s-t)} E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]\mathrm{d}s. \tag{49}$$

here $\otimes$ denotes the tensor power. In general, the tensor product $f \otimes g$ of two functions f, g is defined by $f \otimes g(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1)g(x_2)$. See [15] for more detail.

Now we use Malliavin calculus to deduce a full asymptotic series for $G(s, X_s, v_s)$ and use it to obtain $E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$ in (49), which gives us a deterministic formula for European option price. By (21) and (23),

$$\begin{aligned} X_s &= X_t + \int_t^s r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_u^2\,\mathrm{d}u + \int_t^s \sigma_u \left(\rho\,\mathrm{d}W_u + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}\,\mathrm{d}Z_u \right) \\ &= X_t + r(s-t) - \frac{1}{2}V_{t,s} + \frac{\rho}{\alpha}(\sigma_s - \sigma_t) + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \int_t^s \sigma_u\,\mathrm{d}Z_u. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Note that the volatility process in (26) is the differential notation for $\sigma_t - \sigma_0 = \int_0^t \alpha\sigma_u\,\mathrm{d}W_u$, and obviously for $0 < t < s$, $\sigma_s - \sigma_t = \int_t^s \alpha\sigma_u\,\mathrm{d}W_u$. And accordingly by (50) and (24), $d_{\pm}(s, X_s, v_s) = \dfrac{X_s - \ln K + \left(r \pm \dfrac{v_s^2}{2} \right)(T-s)}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}}$.

Therefore by Theorem 2.7,

$$D_\tau^Z X_s = D_\tau^Z \int_t^s \sigma_u \sqrt{1-\rho^2}\,\mathrm{d}Z_u = \sigma_\tau \sqrt{1-\rho^2}\,\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}}, \tag{51}$$

$$D_\tau^Z d_+ = D_\tau^Z d_- = \frac{D_\tau^Z X_s}{v_s \sqrt{T-s}} = \frac{\sigma_\tau \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \le s\}}}{v_s \sqrt{T-s}}. \qquad (52)$$

Lemma 5.4 *Let two real-valued functions* $p(t,x,\sigma)$ *and* $q(t,x,\sigma)$ *be defined as following:*

$$p(t,x,\sigma) = x - \frac{d_+^2(x,t)}{2} + \ln(-d_-(x,t)), \qquad (53)$$

$$q(t,x,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2 (T-t)}} e^x. \qquad (54)$$

Then the $2n^{th}$ *order Malliavin derivative of* H_s *can be expressed as:*

$$D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,Z} H_s = \left(1-\rho^2\right)^n H_s B_{2n}\left(p'(\cdot), p''(\cdot), \cdots, p^{(2n)}(\cdot)\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \le s\}}, \qquad (55)$$

where

$$p^{(j)}(s, X_s, v_s) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(-1)^{j+1} - d_-^2}{\left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} d_-\right)^j} & \text{when } j = 1,2; \\[4mm] \dfrac{(-1)^{j+1}(j-1)!}{\left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} d_-\right)^j} & \text{for } j \ge 3. \end{cases} \qquad (56)$$

for d_- *evaluated at* (s, X_s, v_s).

The second step to calculate $G(s, X_s, v_s)$ is to apply freezing operator ω_Z^t to $D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,Z} H_s$ for $n = 0,1,2,\cdots$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any random variable depend on Brownian motion $\{Z_t\}_{t \ge 0}$, denote $\mathcal{X}_Z^\omega := \omega_Z^t \circ \mathcal{X}$ be the random variable $\mathcal{X}$ applied by the freezing operator ω_Z^t, by Proposition 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned} X_s^\omega &:= X_t + r(s-t) - \frac{1}{2}\int_t^s \sigma_u^2 du + \frac{\rho}{\alpha}(\sigma_s - \sigma_t) + \omega_Z^t \circ \int_t^s \sigma_u \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \, dZ_u \\ &= X_t + r(s-t) - \frac{1}{2}V_{t,s} + \frac{\rho}{\alpha}(\sigma_s - \sigma_t), \end{aligned} \qquad (57)$$

and accordingly we have

$$d_\pm^\omega(s, X_s, v_s) = d_\pm(s, X_s^\omega, v_s) = \frac{X_s^\omega - \ln K + \left(r \pm \dfrac{v_s^2}{2}\right)(T-s)}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}}, \qquad (58)$$

$$H_s^\omega = \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} - d_+^\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{s,T}}} e^{X_s^\omega - \frac{d_+^{\omega 2}}{2}} = \frac{-d_-^\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{s,T}}} e^{X_s^\omega - \frac{d_+^{\omega 2}}{2}}. \qquad (59)$$

Therefore in general, for $n = 0,1,2,\cdots$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,Z} H_s^\omega \\ &= \omega_Z^t \circ \left[\left(1-\rho^2\right)^n H_s B_{2n}\left(p'(s, X_s, v_s), \cdots, p^{(2n)}(s, X_s, v_s)\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau_i}^2\right] \\ &= \left(1-\rho^2\right)^n H_s^\omega B_{2n}\left(p'(s, X_s^\omega, v_s), \cdots, p^{(2n)}(s, X_s^\omega, v_s)\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \le s\}}, \end{aligned} \qquad (60)$$

where $p^{(j)}(s, X_s^\omega, v_s) := \omega_Z^t \circ p^{(j)}(s, X_s, v_s)$ is given by (56) except that d_- is

now evaluated at $\left(s, X_s^\omega, v_s\right)$. Thus, by (60), we are able to compute G_s in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_s &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n n!} \omega_Z^t \circ \int_{[t,T]^n} D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,Z} H\left(s, X_s, v_s\right) \mathrm{d}\tau^{\otimes n} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\left(1-\rho^2\right)^n}{2^n n!} H_s^\omega B_{2n}\left(p'\left(s, X_s, v_s\right), \cdots, p^{(2n)}\left(s, X_s, v_s\right)\right) \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{[t,T]^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \le s\}} \mathrm{d}\tau^{\otimes n} \\
&= H_s^\omega \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\left(1-\rho^2\right)^n}{2^n n!}\left(V_{t,s}\right)^n B_{2n}\left(p'\left(s, X_s^\omega, v_s\right), \cdots, p^{(2n)}\left(s, X_s^\omega, v_s\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{61}
$$

5.3. Option Pricing Formula for SABR Model

Lemma 5.5 *Let* $L_s^\omega = V_{s,T} H_s^\omega = \dfrac{-d_-^\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{X_s^\omega - \frac{d_+^{\omega 2}}{2}}$ *and*

$f\left(s, X_s, v_s\right) = V_{s,T} G\left(s, X_s, v_s\right)$, *given that* G_s *is a function in terms of* X_s *and* $V_{s,T}$ *in* (61), *then conditional expectation of the product of* Λ_s *and* G_s *can be calculated as the following:*

$$
E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] = 2\alpha \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty h\left(\sigma_t^2 x, \sigma_s(y)\right) \phi_{s-t,2\alpha,\alpha^2}(x,y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x,
\tag{62}
$$

where $h\left(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s\left(W_s - W_t\right)\right) = \dfrac{1}{\sigma_s} \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s\left(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s\right), \sqrt{\dfrac{v}{T-s}}\right) \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\dfrac{v}{\sigma_s^2}\right) \mathrm{d}v$.

Remark *Equation* (57) *shows that* X_s^ω *is a function depends only on two random variables:* $V_{t,s}$ *and* σ_s, *i.e.*

$X_s^\omega\left(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s\right) = X_t + r(s-t) - \dfrac{1}{2}\int_t^s \sigma_u^2 \mathrm{d}u + \dfrac{\rho}{\alpha}\left(\sigma_s - \sigma_t\right)$. *While* σ_s *itself is a function of* $W_s - W_t$. *The joint density for* $\left(V_{t,s}, W_{s-t}\right)$ *is given by Marc Yor's formula, Proposition* (3.2) *in Section* 3, *with properly parameters.*

Remark $X_s^{x,y}$ *represent a real-valued function of* (s, x, y) *which mimic the definition of* X_s^ω *but replace* $V_{t,s}$ *and* $W_s - W_t$ *with x and y.*

Theorem 5.6 *Full Dyson Series Expansion. For SABR model* (26)-(27) *with* $\beta = 1$, *let* $c = \dfrac{(T-t)\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ *and assume that*

$$
\frac{c^{2n}}{n!^2} E\left[\left(\sup_{\tau_i \in (t,T)} \mid H_s B_{2n}\left(p'\left(s, X_s, v_s\right), \cdots, p^{(2n)}\left(s, X_s, v_s\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \le s\}}\right)^2\right]
$$
$$
\xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.
$$

Let $p(\cdot)$ *and* $f(\cdot)$ *be defined in Lemma* 5.4 *and Lemma* 5.5, *respectively, then for all* $t \in [0, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_t &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_t^2} BS\left(t, X_t, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-t}}\right) \phi_{T-t,2\alpha,\alpha^2}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_t^2}, z\right) \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}v \\
&\quad + \rho\alpha \int_t^T \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty l(s, v, z, x, y) \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s,
\end{aligned}
\tag{63}
$$

where

$$l(s,v,z,x,y) = \frac{e^{-r(s-t)}}{\sigma_s(y)} \cdot f\left(s, X_s^{x,y}, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-s}}\right) \cdot \phi_{T-s,2\alpha,\alpha^2}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2}, z\right)$$
$$\cdot \phi_{s-t,2\alpha,\alpha^2}(x,y). \tag{64}$$

Example 5.1 First Order Approximation. Let $m > 0$, define

$$f_m(s, X_s, v_s) := L_s^\omega \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\left((1-\rho^2)V_{t,s}\right)^n}{2^n n!} B_{2n}\left(p'(X_s^\omega), p''(X_s^\omega), \cdots, p^{2n}(X_s^\omega)\right), \tag{65}$$

then the first order approximation $f_1(s, v_s, X_s)$ is calculated as following:

$$f_1(s, X_s, v_s) = L_s^\omega \left(1 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)V_{t,s}}{2}\left[\left(p^{(1)}(X_s^\omega)\right)^2 + p^{(2)}(X_s^\omega)\right]\right)$$

$$= L_s^\omega \left(1 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)V_{t,s}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1-d_-^2}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}d_-}\right)^2 + \frac{-1-d_-^2}{\left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}}d_-\right)^2}\right]\right) \tag{66}$$

$$= \frac{-d_-^\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{X_s^\omega - \frac{d_+^{\omega 2}}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)V_{t,s}}{2}\frac{d_-^{\omega 2} - 3}{V_{s,T}}\right).$$

6. Dyson Series in the Common Noise

6.1. First Order Approximation Pricing Formula for SABR Model

One obvious drawback of formula (66) is that the option price is a 7-dimensional integral when the volatility is correlated with underlying asset, which could be computationally expensive, even for the first order approximation. In this section, we reverse the order of the two major steps that have been used in previous section by first using the conditional probability density to solve one Brownian motion, then apply Exponential formula to the remaining. For simplicity, we denote $J = \frac{\rho}{2}E\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)}H_s\Lambda_s ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$ as the correlation correction term of option price (44) in Theorem 4.1. Therefore the option price is the sum of conditional expectation of Black-Scholes and the correction term: $V_t = E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] + J$.

Theorem 6.1 Let $C_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\rho\alpha Ke^{-r(T-t)}$, $Q_s = E\left[-d_- e^{-\frac{d_-^2}{2}} \mid \mathcal{F}_T^W \cup \mathcal{F}_t^Z\right]$, then

the correction term can be written as $J = C_1\int_t^T E[\sigma_s Q_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t]ds$.

Lemma 6.2 Let $C_2 = -\frac{1}{(2-\rho^2)^{3/2}}$, $C_3 = -\frac{1}{2(2-\rho^2)}$,

$\kappa = X_t - \ln K + r(T-t)$ and define

$$\gamma(V_{t,s}, V_{s,T}, \sigma_s) := \frac{\kappa + \frac{\rho}{\alpha}(\sigma_s - \sigma_t) - \frac{1}{2}(V_{t,s} + V_{s,T})}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}}, \text{ for simplicity, we write } \gamma \text{ in-}$$

stead of $\gamma\left(V_{t,s}, V_{s,T}, \sigma_s\right)$ hereafter, then Q_s defined in the above theorem is calculated as $Q_s = C_2 \gamma e^{C_3 \gamma^2}$.

Theorem 6.3 For $\forall t \le s$, define $R\left(s, X_s, v_s\right) = \dfrac{\sigma_s Q_s}{C_2}$, let R_s be the short notation for $R\left(s, X_s, v_s\right)$, define $r_n\left(s, X_t, v_t\right) = \omega_W^t \circ \int_{[t,T]^n} D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,W} R_s \, d\tau^{\otimes n}$. Let $c = \dfrac{T-t}{\sqrt{2}}$, assume that $\dfrac{c^{2n}}{n!^2} E\left[\left(\sup_{\tau_i \in (t,T)} \mid D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n,W} R_s\right)^2\right] \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$, then the correction term of the option price in (44) can be further simplified as the following:

$$J = C_1 \int_t^T E\left[\sigma_s Q_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds = C_1 C_2 \int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n n!} r_n\left(s, X_t, v_t\right) ds. \quad (67)$$

Corollary 1 By Theorem 6.3, let $m > 0$, then the mth order approximation for the correction term can be obtained by

$$J \approx J_m = C_1 C_2 \int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{1}{2^n n!} r_n\left(s, X_t, v_t\right) ds. \quad (68)$$

Corollary 2 *First order approximation by time integral.* For $\forall s \in [t,T]$, there exists two analytical functions $p(s)$ and $q(s)$, (71) and (70), such that the first order approximation for the correction term of the option price is a time integral of the sum of those two functions:

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2} C_1 C_2 \left[\int_t^T \left[p(s) + q(s)\right] ds + 2(T-t)\right]. \quad (69)$$

$$q(s) = R_s^{\omega}\left[-2\alpha^2\left(2C_3^2\left(\gamma^{\omega 3} + \sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega}} \gamma^{\omega 2}\right) + 1 + \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega}}}{\gamma^{\omega}}\right) + 4\alpha^2 V_{s,T}^{\omega\,2} \mathcal{A}_3^{\omega}\right](T-s), \quad (70)$$

$$p(s) = R_s^{\omega}\left[\left[\frac{\rho\alpha^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}(s-t)}{\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}} - \frac{2\alpha\sigma_t\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\left(1 - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right) - (s-t)e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)}{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}\right.\right.$$

$$-2\alpha^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2}\left(e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)} + \gamma^{\omega}\right) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\left(1 - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right) - (s-t)e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}$$

$$\left. +2\frac{\left(\rho\alpha^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} + \alpha\sigma_t e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)(s-t) - \frac{\sigma_t}{\alpha}\left(1 - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)}{\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{\omega}} + 2C_3\gamma^{\omega}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\rho\alpha e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} + \sigma_t e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)^2 (s-t) - 2\frac{\rho}{\alpha}\sigma_t\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}\right) + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{2\alpha^2}\left(3e^{-2\alpha^2(s-t)} - 4e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} + 1\right)}{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}$$

$$\left. \cdot \left(6C_3 + 4C_3^2\gamma^{2,\omega}\right) - 2\sigma_t^3\frac{\rho}{\alpha}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\left(1 - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right) - (s-t)e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)\mathcal{A}_1^{\omega}\right.$$

$$+ \frac{4\sigma_t^4}{\alpha^4}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)+\left(e^{-2\alpha^2(s-t)}+e^{-2\alpha^2(s-t+T-t)}-e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}-e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)+\alpha^2 e^{-\alpha^2(T-t+s-t)}(s-t)\right)\mathcal{A}_2^\omega\right.$$

$$\left.+\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2\alpha^2(s-t)}\right)+2\left(e^{-\alpha^2(T-t+s-t)}-e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)+\alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha^2(T-t)}(s-t)\right)\mathcal{A}_3^\omega\right]+\alpha^2(s-t)\Bigg], \tag{71}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1^\omega = \frac{4C_3^2\gamma^{\omega3}+4C_3^2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^{\omega2}+8C_3\gamma^\omega+6C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega\,3}}}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega\,3}}\gamma^\omega}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}\left(\frac{2C_3\gamma^{\omega2}+2C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^\omega+1}{\sigma_s^\omega V_{s,T}^\omega}+\frac{1}{\sigma_s^\omega\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^\omega}\right), \tag{72}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2^\omega = \frac{2C_3^2\gamma^{\omega3}+2C_3^2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^{\omega2}+C_3\left(V_{s,T}^\omega+3\right)\gamma^\omega+3C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega\,3}}}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^\omega}, \tag{73}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3^\omega = \frac{C_3^2\gamma^{\omega4}+2C_3^2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^{\omega3}+\left(C_3^2 V_{s,T}^\omega+3C_3\right)\gamma^{\omega2}+4C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}\gamma^\omega}{V_{s,T}^{\omega\,2}}$$

$$+\frac{6C_3 V_{s,T}^\omega+3}{4V_{s,T}^{\omega\,2}}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^{\omega\,3}}\gamma^\omega}, \tag{74}$$

$$V_{s,T}^\omega = \frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2}\left(e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}-e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right), \tag{75}$$

$$\gamma^\omega\left(V_{t,s},V_{s,T},\sigma_s\right)=\frac{\alpha\kappa+\rho\sigma_t\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}-1\right)-\frac{\sigma_t^2}{2\alpha}\left(1-e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)}{\sigma_t\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}-e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}}. \tag{76}$$

6.2. Numerical Approximation

In Tables 1-3 we compare the values of the approximate European call option prices approximated by different approaches with the corresponding estimation prices obtained by generalized Hull-White formula in Alòs (2006). The Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS) used number of simulation times by $N=10^6$ in order to achieve accuracy up to second decimal point. We have chosen $T-t=1,\ln X_t=100, r=0.1, \sigma_t=0.3, \alpha=1, \rho=0,\pm0.5$ and varying values for the strike price K listed in the first column. Column 2-column 5 are corresponding option prices through MCS, Hagan's implied volatility formula (30), first order approximation by Full Dyson Series Expansion (63) and the one-dimensional time integral approximation formula (69), respectively.

The average calculation speed for each methods are listed in Table 4.

Although providing high accuracy, both Monte Carlo and Quasi Monte Carlo used in Dyson (63) is time-consuming. While Hagan (30) has a great advantage in calculation speed because the formula is analytic. Notice that it cost almost

same amount of time when comparing 1-Dim integral (69) and Uncorrelated pricing formula (48), which implies that not only (69) provides accuracy but also can be viewed as time efficiency.

Table 1. $\rho = 0$.

K	Monte Carlo	Hagan (30)	Uncorrelated pricing formula (48)
90	23.573138	23.415000	23.626726
95	20.440334	20.337570	20.457574
100	17.562962	17.624483	17.594033
105	15.066565	15.291032	15.063452
110	12.885739	13.322697	12.875527

Table 2. $\rho = -0.5$.

K	Monte Carlo	Hagan (30)	Formula I (63)	Formula II (69)
90	23.972526	22.025500	23.762565	23.704533
95	20.640584	19.229952	20.539753	20.772327
100	17.500136	16.889528	17.505670	17.792294
105	14.688296	14.952772	14.836533	14.581574
110	12.121686	13.353472	12.884976	11.002132

Table 3. $\rho = 0.5$.

K	Monte Carlo	Hagan (30)	Formula I (63)	Formula II (69)
90	22.352943	24.228522	22.979063	21.234073
95	20.035690	20.836574	20.304502	18.059835
100	17.186214	17.691469	17.555458	17.124973
105	15.172375	14.842598	14.965057	16.082605
110	13.080356	12.333288	12.802697	14.488551

Table 4. Methods comparison.

Methods	Time in seconds
Monte Carlo Simulation	29.117930
Hagan (30)	0.008773
Formula I (63)	27.315683
Formula II (69)	4.220214
Uncorrelated pricing formula (48)	4.172000

7. Conclusion

We derived that the European call option price for SABR model with $\beta = 1$ in two different approaches by means of Malliavin Calculus. The full Dyson series expansion is a high dimension integration with its integrand to be an infinite sum of asymptotic series. The second approach uses similar method as previous one but with different order; it yields to a first order approximation by time integral for the correction part of option price. A big advantage of the latter is that the integrand is analytic function. Besides, some partial results can be further extended to fractional Brownian motion case, which will be our future work.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Black, F. and Scholes, M. (1973) The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities. *Journal of Political Economy*, **3**, 637-654. https://doi.org/10.1086/260062

[2] Hull, J. and White, A. (1987) The Pricing of Options on Assets with Stochastic Volatilities. *The Journal of Finance*, **42**, 281-300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1987.tb02568.x

[3] Hagan, P.S., Kumar, D., Lesniewski, A.S. and Woodward, D.E. (2002) Managing Smile Risk. *Wilmott*, **1**, 84-108.

[4] Als, E. (2006) A Generalization of Hull and White Formula with Applications to Option Pricing Approximation. *Finance and Stochastics*, **10**, 353-365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00780-006-0013-5

[5] Fouque, J.-P., Papanicolaou, G. and Sircar, K.R. (2000) Derivatives in Financial Markets with Stochastic Volatility. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[6] Gatheral, J. (2006) The Volatility Surface: A Practitioner's Guide. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken.

[7] Jin, S., Peng, Q. and Schellhorn, H. ((2016)) A Representation Theorem for Expectations of Functionals of Brownian Motion. *Stochastics*, **88**, 651-679. https://doi.org/10.1080/17442508.2015.1116537

[8] Yor, M. (1992) On Some Exponential Functionals of Brownian Motion. *Advances in Applied Probability*, **24**, 509-531. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0001867800024381

[9] Nualart, D. (2008) The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics. Springer, Berlin.

[10] Di Nunno, G., *et al.* (2008) Malliavin Calculus for Levy Process with Applications to Finance. Springer, Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78572-9

[11] Bodie, Z., Cleeton, D. and Merton, R.C. (2008) Financial Economics. Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.

[12] Shreve, S.E. (2004) Stochastic Calculus for Finance II Continuous-Time Models. Springer, Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4296-1

[13] Pintoux, C. and Privault, N. (2011) The Dothan Pricing Model Revisited. *Mathematical Finance*, **21**, 355-363. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9965.2010.00434.x

[14] Lyasoff, A. (2016) Another Look at the Integral of Exponential Brownian Motion

and the Pricing of Asian Options. *Finance and Stochastics*, **20**, 1061-1096. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00780-016-0307-1

[15] Ito, K. (1951) Multiple Wiener Integral. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **3**, 157-169. https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00310157

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 5.1

The conditional density of $\int_s^T \sigma_u^2 \mathrm{d}u$ can be obtained by integrating the joint probability density of $\left(\int_0^t e^{\sigma W_s - \mu s} \mathrm{d}s, W_t \right), t > 0$. By Markov property of the volatility process σ_t and proposition 3.2 we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\int_s^T \sigma_u^2 \mathrm{d}u \leq v \mid \sigma_s \right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{T-s} e^{2\alpha(W_u) - \alpha^2 u} \mathrm{d}u \leq \frac{v}{\sigma_s^2}, W_{T-s} < \infty \right) \qquad \blacksquare \tag{77}
$$
$$
= \int_0^{\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{T-s, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.3

Let $F = H(s, X_s, v_s) \Lambda_s$, recall that $\mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z := \sigma\{W_T, Z_t\}$ is the filtration generated by W_T and Z_t, using iterated conditioning we have, for $s \geq t$,

$$
E[F \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = E\left[E\left[H_s \Lambda_s \mid \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = E[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t], \tag{78}
$$

where $G_s = E\left[H_s \mid \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z \right]$ is a random variable depending only on Brownian motion $\{Z_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, and we can apply exponential formula (12) to H_s. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Lemma 5.4

From the framework Black-Scholes Theory we know that
$\dfrac{\partial BS(t, x, \sigma)}{\partial x} = N(d_+) e^x$, and accordingly

$H_s = \left(\dfrac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) BS(s, X_s, v_s) = \dfrac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} - d_+}{\sqrt{2\pi} V_{s,T}} e^{X_s - \frac{d_+^2}{2}}$, for d_+ evaluated at (s, X_s, v_s).

It is obvious that $\dfrac{\mathrm{d}q^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} = q$ for $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}p^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} =
\begin{cases}
\dfrac{(-1)^{j+1} - d_-^2(x,t)}{\left(\sigma \sqrt{T-s} \, d_-(x,t) \right)^n} & \text{when } j = 1, 2; \\[4mm]
\dfrac{(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!}{\left(\sigma \sqrt{T-s} \, d_-(x,t) \right)^n} & \text{for } j \geq 3.
\end{cases}
\tag{79}
$$

Then $q\left(s, p(s, X_s, v_s), v_s \right) = \dfrac{-d_-}{\sqrt{2\pi} V_{s,T}} e^{X_s - \frac{d_+^2}{2}} = H_s$, and by Lemma 2.15,

$$
D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n, Z} H_s = D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n, Z} q\left(s, p(s, X_s, v_s), v_s \right)
$$
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} q^{(k)}\left(\cdot, p(\cdot) \right) \cdot B_{2n, k}\left(p'(\cdot), p''(\cdot), \cdots, p^{(2n-k+1)}(\cdot) \right) D_{\tau \otimes n}^{2n, Z} X_s
$$
$$
= q(\cdot, p(\cdot)) \sum_{k=1}^{2n} B_{2n, k}\left(p'(\cdot), p''(\cdot), \cdots, p^{(2n-k+1)}(\cdot) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \rho^2\right) \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq s\}} \qquad \blacksquare \tag{80}
$$
$$
= \left(1 - \rho^2\right)^n H_s B_{2n}\left(p'(\cdot), p''(\cdot), \cdots, p^{(2n)}(\cdot) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{\tau_i}^2 \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq s\}}.
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.5

Note that the volatility in model (26) is an exponential martingale, thus Λ_s can be further simplified as $\Lambda_s := \left(\int_s^T D_s^W \sigma_r^2 \mathrm{d}r \right) \sigma_s = \left(\int_s^T 2\alpha \sigma_r^2 \mathrm{d}r \right) \sigma_s = 2\alpha V_{s,T} \sigma_s$. Then, for $t \le s \le T$, by Iterated conditioning property, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] &= 2\alpha E\left[\sigma_s E\left[V_{s,T} G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= 2\alpha E\left[\sigma_s E\left[f(s, X_s, v_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{81}
$$

where

$$
f(s, X_s, v_s) = L_s^\omega \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\left((1-\rho^2) V_{t,s} \right)^n}{2^n n!} B_{2n}\left(p'(s, X_s^\omega, v_s), \cdots, p^{(2n)}(s, X_s^\omega, v_s) \right).
$$

Recall that the conditional probability density of $V_{s,T}$ is given by (47), therefore the conditional expectation $E\left[f(s, X_s, v_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right]$, through probabilistic approach, is $E\left[f(s, X_s, v_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s, \sqrt{\dfrac{v}{T-s}} \right) \dfrac{1}{\sigma_s^2} \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\dfrac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v$, and

$$
E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = 2\alpha E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma_s} \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-s}} \right) \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].
\tag{82}
$$

Denote $\sigma_s(W_s - W_t) = \sigma_t \mathrm{e}^{\alpha(W_s - W_t) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}$, $X_s^{x,y} = X_s^\omega\left(\sigma_t^2 x, \sigma_s(y) \right)$, define $h\left(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s(W_s - W_t) \right) = \dfrac{1}{\sigma_s} \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s), \sqrt{\dfrac{v}{T-s}} \right) \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\dfrac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v$, then again using proposition 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma_s} \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-s}} \right) \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= E\left[h\left(V_{t,s}, \sigma_s(W_s - W_t) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \qquad \blacksquare \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty h\left(\sigma_t^2 x, \sigma_s(y) \right) \phi_{s-t, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}(x, y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.6

This theorem is an extension result of Theorem 5.3, the proof is easily combine of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.5, then Equation (49) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_t &= E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \frac{\rho}{2} \int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{-r(s-t)} E\left[\Lambda_s G_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathrm{d}s \\
&= E\left[BS(t, X_t, v_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&\quad + \rho\alpha \int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{-r(s-t)} E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma_s} \int_0^\infty f\left(s, X_s, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-s}} \right) \psi_{V_{s,T}}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathrm{d}s \\
&= \int_0^\infty BS\left(t, X_t, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-t}} \right) \frac{1}{\sigma_t^2} \psi_{V_{t,T}}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_t^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v \\
&\quad + \rho\alpha \int_t^T \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-r(s-t)} h\left(\sigma_t^2 x, \sigma_s(y) \right) \phi_{s-t, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}(x, y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma_t^2} BS\left(t, X_t, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-t}}\right) \phi_{T-t, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_t^2}, z\right) dz dv$$

$$+ \rho\alpha \int_t^T \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty l(s, v, z, x, y) dz dv dy dx ds, \tag{84}$$

where

$$l(s, v, z, x, y)$$
$$= \frac{e^{-r(s-t)}}{\sigma_s(y)} \cdot f\left(s, X_s^{x,y}, \sqrt{\frac{v}{T-s}}\right) \cdot \phi_{T-s, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_s^2}, z\right) \cdot \phi_{s-t, 2\alpha, \alpha^2}(x, y). \qquad \blacksquare \tag{85}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1

From Theorem 5.3 we see the expression of X_s and $d_\pm$, a straightforward algebra calculation shows that $X_s - \frac{d_+^2}{2} = -\frac{d_-^2}{2} + (\ln K - r(T-s))$. Therefore we have the correction term as the following:

$$J = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} E\left[\frac{-d_-}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{s,T}}} e^{X_s - \frac{d_+^2}{2}} 2\alpha V_{s,T} \sigma_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds$$

$$= \frac{\rho}{2} \int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)} \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E\left[\sigma_s E\left[-d_- e^{-\frac{d_-^2}{2} + (\ln K) - r(T-s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds \qquad \blacksquare \tag{86}$$

$$= C_1 \int_t^T E\left[\sigma_s Q_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.2

Recall that X_s by (50) is a linear function in $Z = \int_t^s \sigma_u dZ_u$, where Z is conditional normal with zero mean and variance of $V_{t,s}$ i.e. $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, V_{t,s})$. Denote $\lambda(V_{s,T}) := \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho^2}{V_{s,T}}}$ and for simplicity we will write λ for $\lambda(V_{s,T})$ in all derivation following, thus

$$d_-(s, X_s, v_s) = \frac{X_s + r(T-s) - \ln K - \frac{1}{2} V_{s,T}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} = \lambda Z + \gamma, \tag{87}$$

is also a linear function of Z. Substitute (87) into Q_s and use the normal probability density of Z we can solve for Q_s. For simplicity, we write $a = \lambda^2 V_{t,s} + 1$, $a = \lambda^2 V_{t,s} + 1$, $c = \frac{\gamma^2 V_{t,s}}{a}$, then

$$Q_s = E\left[-(\lambda Z + \gamma) e^{-\frac{(\lambda Z + \gamma)^2}{2}} \mid \mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^Z\right]$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} -(\lambda z + \gamma) e^{-\frac{(\lambda z + \gamma)^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{t,s}}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2V_{t,s}}} dz \qquad \blacksquare \tag{88}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi V_{t,s}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda z + \gamma) e^{-\frac{a(z^2 + 2bz + c)}{2V_{t,s}}} \, dz$$

$$= -e^{\frac{a(b^2 - c)}{2V_{t,s}}} \left(\frac{\gamma - \lambda b}{\sqrt{a}} \right) = -\frac{\gamma}{(2 - \rho^2)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2(2 - \rho^2)}} = C_2 \gamma e^{C_3 \gamma^2}$$

Notice that we used the fact that $\lambda^2 V_{t,s} = 1 - \rho^2$ and $a = 2 - \rho^2$ for the substitution in the second last equality.

Proof of Theorem 6.3

Since $R_s = \sigma_s \gamma e^{C_3 \gamma^2}$ is a random variable depends only on Brownian motion $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, we may apply exponential formula (12) to R_s such that:

$$E[R_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n n!} r_n (s, X_t, v_t). \quad \blacksquare \tag{89}$$

Sketch of Proof of Corollary 6.2

The formal proof use no more techniques than calculating the first and second order Malliavin derivative of R_s based on the stochastic process of the volatility, and then apply freezing operator ω_W^t to Malliavin derivative of R_s for $t \leq s \leq T$, the integration result will be the correction term of the option price.

Step 1: Calculation of $D_\tau^{2,W} \gamma (V_{t,s}, V_{s,T}, \sigma_s)$

Denote γ as a short notation for $\gamma (V_{t,s}, V_{s,T}, \sigma_s)$ defined in lemma 6.2, and

by chain rule (Theorem 2.7), $D_\tau^W \sqrt{V_{s,T}} = \dfrac{D_\tau V_{s,T}}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} = \dfrac{\int_s^T D_\tau \sigma_u^2 \, du}{2\left(\int_s^T \sigma_u^2 \, du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, then we have

$$D_\tau^W \gamma = \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma \right) D_\tau^W V_{s,T}}{V_{s,T}}, \tag{90}$$

$$\left(D_\tau^W \gamma \right)^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s} \right)^2}{V_{s,T}} + \frac{\left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma \right)^2}{4 V_{s,T}^2} \left(D_\tau^W V_{s,T} \right)^2$$

$$- \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s} \right) \left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma \right)}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}} D_\tau^W V_{s,T}. \tag{91}$$

$$D_\tau^{2,W} \gamma = D_\tau^W \left(\frac{\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W \left(\frac{\left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma \right) D_\tau^W V_{s,T}}{V_{s,T}} \right) \tag{92}$$

$$= M - \frac{1}{2} N,$$

where

$$M = \frac{\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{t,s}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} - \frac{\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s}}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}} D_\tau V_{s,T}, \tag{93}$$

$$N = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}} - \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma}{V_{s,T}^2} \right) \left(D_\tau^W V_{s,T} \right)^2 + \frac{D_\tau^W \gamma}{V_{s,T}} D_\tau^W V_{s,T} + \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma}{V_{s,T}} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{s,T}. \tag{94}$$

Therefore, substitute M and N in (92) and combining like terms, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_\tau^{2,W} \gamma &= \frac{\rho/\alpha}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{t,s} - \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma}{2 V_{s,T}} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{s,T} \\
&\quad - \frac{\rho/\alpha}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}} D_\tau^W \sigma_s D_\tau^W V_{s,T} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} D_\tau^W V_{t,s} D_\tau^W V_{s,T} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + 3\gamma}{4 V_{s,T}^2} \left(D_\tau^W V_{s,T} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{95}
$$

Step 2: Calculation of $D_\tau^{2,W} R(s, X_s, v_s)$

Let $f(x,y) = yx e^{C_3 x^2}$, then $R(s, X_s, v_s) = f(\gamma, \sigma_s)$ and $f_{yy} = 0$, by Product rule and Chain rule as well as Theorem 2.6 and 2.7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_\tau^{2,W} R_s &= f_x(\gamma, \sigma_s) D_\tau^{2,W} \gamma + f_{xx}(\gamma, \sigma_s) \left(D_\tau^W \gamma \right)^2 \\
&\quad + f_y(\gamma, \sigma_s) D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s + 2 f_{xy}(\gamma, \sigma_s) D_\tau^W \gamma D_\tau^W \sigma_s \\
&= R_s \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 2C_3\gamma \right) D_\tau^{2,W} \gamma + \left(6C_3 + 4C_3^2 \gamma^2 \right) \left(D_\tau^W \gamma \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma_s} D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s + 2\left(\frac{1}{\gamma\sigma_s} + \frac{2C_3\gamma}{\sigma_s} \right) D_\tau^W \gamma D_\tau^W \sigma_s \right] \\
&= R_s \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_s} D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 2C_3\gamma \right) \left(\frac{\rho/\alpha}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} D_\tau^{2,W} \sigma_s \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{t,s} - \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}} + \gamma}{2 V_{s,T}} D_\tau^{2,W} V_{s,T} \right) \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{1}{\gamma\sigma_s} + \frac{2C_3\gamma}{\sigma_s} \right) \left(\frac{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}\left(D_\tau^W \sigma_s \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W \sigma_s D_\tau^W V_{t,s}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(6C_3 + 4C_3^2 \gamma^2 \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha} D_\tau^W \sigma_s - \frac{1}{2} D_\tau^W V_{t,s} \right)^2}{V_{s,T}} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\rho}{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_1 D_\tau^W \sigma_s D_\tau^W V_{s,T} + \mathcal{A}_2 D_\tau^W V_{t,s} D_\tau^W V_{s,T} + \mathcal{A}_3 \left(D_\tau^W V_{s,T} \right)^2 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{96}
$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{4C_3^2 \gamma^3 + 4C_3^2 \sqrt{V_{s,T}} \gamma^2 + 8C_3\gamma + 6C_3 \sqrt{V_{s,T}}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}} \tag{97}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}\gamma}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}\left(\frac{2C_3\gamma^2+2C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma+1}{\sigma_s V_{s,T}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_s\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2=\frac{2C_3^2\gamma^3+2C_3^2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma^2+C_3\left(V_{s,T}+3\right)\gamma+3C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}}}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma}, \qquad (98)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3=\frac{4\left(C_3^2\gamma^4+2C_3^2\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma^3+\left(C_3^2V_{s,T}+3C_3\right)\gamma^2+4C_3\sqrt{V_{s,T}}\gamma\right)+6C_3V_{s,T}+3}{4V_{s,T}^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^3}\gamma}. \qquad (99)$$

Step 3: Apply freezing operator ω_W^t to $D_\tau^{2,W}R\left(s,X_s,v_s\right)$ to obtain an analytical expression

Recall that the volatility process σ_t for $t\in[0,T]$ is defined by (27), which implies that for $0<t<s<T$, $\sigma_s=\sigma_t\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)+\alpha(W_s-W_t)}$. By the structure of σ_t for $t\in[0,T]$, we have the following results:

$$D_\tau^W\sigma_s=\alpha\sigma_s\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau\le s\}},\qquad D_\tau^{2,W}\sigma_s=\alpha^2\sigma_s\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau\le s\}}, \qquad (100)$$

$$D_\tau^W V_{s,T}=2\alpha V_{\tau\wedge s,T},\qquad D_\tau^{2,W}V_{s,T}=4\alpha^2 V_{\tau\wedge s,T}, \qquad (101)$$

$$D_\tau^W V_{t,s}=2\alpha V_{\tau,s}\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau\le s\}},\qquad D_\tau^{2,W}V_{t,s}=4\alpha^2 V_{\tau,s}\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau\le s\}}. \qquad (102)$$

The following results can be obtained by applying freezing operator ω_W^t to each integral of square of volatility for $t\le s\le T$,

$$\sigma_s^\omega:=\omega_W^t\circ\sigma_s=\sigma_t\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}, \qquad (103)$$

$$V_{t,s}^\omega:=\omega_W^t\circ V_{t,s}=\frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}\right), \qquad (104)$$

$$V_{s,T}^\omega:=\omega_W^t\circ V_{s,T}=\frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right), \qquad (105)$$

$$V_{t,T}^\omega:=\omega_W^t\circ V_{t,T}=\frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right). \qquad (106)$$

Thus, it is straightforward to calculate $\gamma^\omega\left(V_{t,s},V_{s,T},\sigma_s\right):=\omega_W^t\circ\gamma\left(V_{t,s},V_{s,T},\sigma_s\right)$ which we write γ^ω and $\mathcal{A}_k^\omega:=\omega_W^t\circ\mathcal{A}_k$, for $k=1,2,3$.

$$\gamma^\omega\left(V_{t,s},V_{s,T},\sigma_s\right)=\omega_W^t\circ\frac{\kappa+\frac{\rho}{\alpha}\left(\sigma_s-\sigma_t\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{t,s}+V_{s,T}\right)}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}}}$$
$$=\frac{\alpha\kappa+\rho\sigma_t\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}-1\right)-\frac{\sigma_t^2}{2\alpha}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}\right)}{\sigma_t\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(s-t)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}}. \qquad (107)$$

Combine these results with (96), we have for $\tau>s$,

$$D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega := \omega_W^t \circ D_\tau^{2,W} R_s$$

$$= R_s^\omega \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^\omega} + 2C_3^2 \gamma^{\omega 2} \right) \left(-2\alpha^2 \left(\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega} + \gamma^\omega \right) \right) + \mathcal{A}_3^\omega \left(2\alpha V_{s,T}^\omega \right)^2 \right]. \tag{108}$$

Notice that when $\tau > s$, $D_\tau^W \sigma_s = D_\tau^W V_{t,s} = 0$. When $\tau \leq s$,

$$D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega$$

$$= R_s^\omega \left[\alpha^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^\omega} + 2C_3 \gamma^\omega \right) \left(\frac{\rho/\alpha}{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}} \alpha^2 \sigma_s^\omega - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}} 4\alpha^2 V_{\tau,s}^\omega \right. \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{\sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega} + \gamma^\omega}{2V_{s,T}^\omega} 4\alpha^2 V_{\tau,T}^\omega + 2 \left(\frac{\frac{\rho}{\alpha} \left(\alpha \sigma_s^\omega \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \sigma_s^\omega 2\alpha V_{\tau,s}^\omega}{\sigma_s \sqrt{V_{s,T}^\omega}} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \left(6C_3 + 4C_3^2 \gamma^{2,\omega} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha} \alpha \sigma_s^\omega - \frac{1}{2} 2\alpha V_{\tau,s}^\omega \right)^2}{V_{s,T}^\omega}$$

$$\left. - \frac{\rho}{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_1^\omega \alpha \sigma_s^\omega 2\alpha V_{\tau,T}^\omega + \mathcal{A}_2^\omega 2\alpha V_{\tau,s}^\omega 2\alpha V_{\tau,T}^\omega + \mathcal{A}_3^\omega \left(2\alpha V_{\tau,T}^\omega \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= R_s^\omega \left[\alpha^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^\omega} + 2C_3 \gamma^\omega \right) \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)}}{\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}} - \frac{2\alpha \sigma_t \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} \right)}{\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}} \right. \right.$$

$$- 2\alpha^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha^2} \left(e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)} \right)} + \gamma^\omega \right) \cdot \frac{e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}$$

$$\left. + 2 \frac{\rho \alpha^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} - \alpha \sigma_t \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} \right)}{\sqrt{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}} \right)$$

$$+ \left(6C_3 + 4C_3^2 \gamma^{2,\omega} \right) \frac{\left(\rho \alpha e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} - \sigma_t \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} \right) \right)^2}{e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)}}$$

$$- 2\sigma_t^3 \frac{\rho}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(s-t)} \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)} \right) \mathcal{A}_1^\omega$$

$$+ \frac{4\sigma_t^4}{\alpha^2} \left[\left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(s-t)} \right) \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)} \right) \mathcal{A}_2^\omega \tag{109}$$

$$\left. + \left(e^{-\alpha^2(\tau-t)} - e^{-\alpha^2(T-t)} \right)^2 \mathcal{A}_3^\omega \right] \right]$$

Step 4: A time integral formula for the correction term of option price

Let $p(s) := \int_t^s D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega d\tau$ and $q(s) := \int_s^T D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega d\tau$ be the integration of $D_\tau^{2,W} R(s, X_s, v_s)$ for both $\tau \leq s$ and $\tau > s$ case, respectively. By Corollary 1, the first order approximation for the correction term is

$$J_1 = C_1 C_2 \int_t^T 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega \, \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{2} C_1 C_2 \left[\int_t^T p(s) + q(s) \, \mathrm{d}s + 2(T - t) \right]. \quad (110)$$

The detail integration calculation for $p(s)$ and $q(s)$ is omitted here, a remark for $q(s)$ is that when $\tau > s$, $D_\tau^{2,W} R_s^\omega$ does not depend on τ, which yields an simpler expression of $q(s)$ than $p(s)$. ∎