

# Four Nontrivial Solutions for Kirchhoff Problems with Critical Potential, Critical Exponent and a Concave Term

Mohammed El Mokhtar Ould El Mokhtar

Departement of Mathematics, College of Science, Qassim University, Buraidah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
Email: med.mokhtar66@yahoo.fr, M.labdi@qu.edu.sa

Received 13 November 2015; accepted 27 December 2015; published 30 December 2015

Copyright © 2015 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.  
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

**In this paper, we consider the existence of multiple solutions to the Kirchhoff problems with critical potential, critical exponent and a concave term. Our main tools are the Nehari manifold and mountain pass theorem.**

## Keywords

**Kirchhoff Problems, Critical Potential, Concave term, Nehari Manifold, Mountain Pass Theorem**

---

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the multiplicity results of nontrivial solutions of the following Kirchhoff problem

$$\begin{cases} L_{\mu,a,b}u = h|u|^4 u + \lambda f|u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $L_{\mu,a,b}v := -\left(a + b\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \mu|x|^{-2}v^2\right)dx\right)\left(\Delta v + \mu|x|^{-2}v\right)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $1 < q < 2$ ,  $\mu < 1/4$ ,  $\lambda$  is a real parameter,  $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-1} \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  is the topological dual of  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying suitable conditions,  $h$  is a bounded positive function on  $\Omega$ .

The original one-dimensional Kirchhoff equation was introduced by Kirchhoff [1] in 1883. His model takes into account the changes in length of the strings produced by transverse vibrations.

In recent years, the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the nonlocal problem

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = g(x;u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

has been studied by various researchers and many interesting and important results can be found. For instance, positive solutions could be obtained in [2]-[4]. Especially, Chen *et al.* [5] discussed a Kirchhoff type problem when  $g(x;u) = f(x)u^{p-2}u + \lambda g(x)|u|^{q-2}u$ , where  $1 < q < 2 < p < 2^* = 2N/(N-2)$  if  $N \geq 3$ ,  $2^* = \infty$  if  $N = 1, 2$ ,  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  with some proper conditions are sign-changing weight functions. And they have obtained the existence of two positive solutions if  $p > 4$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0(a)$ .

Researchers, such as Mao and Zhang [6], Mao and Luan [7], found sign-changing solutions. As for in nitely many solutions, we refer readers to [8] [9]. He and Zou [10] considered the class of Kirchhoff type problem when  $g(x;u) = \lambda f(x;u)$  with some conditions and proved a sequence of a.e. positive weak solutions tending to zero in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

In the case of a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$ , Tarantello [8] proved, under a suitable condition on  $f$ , the existence of at least two solutions to (1.2) for  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$  and  $g(x;u) = |u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}}u + f$ .

Before formulating our results, we give some definitions and notation.

The space  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

wich equivalent to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu} = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - \mu|x|^{-2}|u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

with  $\mu < 1/4$ . More explicitly, we have

$$(1 - 4\mu^+)^{1/2} \|u\| \leq \|u\|_{\mu} \leq (1 - 4\mu^-)^{1/2} \|u\|,$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ , with  $\mu^+ = \max(\mu, 0)$  and  $\mu^- = \min(\mu, 0)$ .

Let  $S_{\mu}$  be the best Sobolev constant, then

$$S_{\mu}^{12} \leq \frac{\left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^2}{\|u\|_{\mu}^{12}} \quad (2.1)$$

Since our approach is variational, we define the functional  $J_{\lambda}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  by

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = (1/2)a\|u\|_{\mu}^2 + (1/4)b\|u\|_{\mu}^4 - (1/6)\int_{\Omega} h|u|^6 dx - (\lambda/q)\int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx, \quad (2.2)$$

A point  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of the Equation (1.1) if it is the critical point of the functional  $J_{\lambda}$ . Generally speaking, a function  $u$  is called a solution of (1.1) if  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  and for all  $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  it holds

$$(a+b\|u\|_{\mu}^2)\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - \mu|x|^{-2}uv) dx - \int_{\Omega} h|u|^5 uv dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q-1} uv dx = 0.$$

Throughout this work, we consider the following assumptions:

(F) There exist  $\nu_0 > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $f(x) \geq \nu_0$ , for all  $x$  in  $B(0, 2\delta_0)$ .

(H)  $h \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $h(0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) = h_0 + o(x^{\beta})$ ,  $x \in B(0, 2\delta_0)$ ,  $\beta > 6\left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Here,  $B(a, r)$  denotes the ball centered at  $a$  with radius  $r$ .

In our work, we research the critical points as the minimizers of the energy functional associated to the problem (1.1) on the constraint defined by the Nehari manifold, which are solutions of our system.

Let  $\lambda_0$  be positive number such that

$$\lambda_0 = E(A + BD)^k (A' + B'D),$$

where

$$A = \left(\frac{2-q}{6-q}\right)a, \quad B = \left(\frac{4-q}{6-q}\right)b, \quad A' = \left(\frac{4}{6-q}\right)a, \quad B' = \left(\frac{2}{6-q}\right)b,$$

$$D = \frac{2q(q-2)}{(4-q)(q+2)}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right), \quad E = (h_0)^{\frac{q-2}{4}} \|f\|_{H^{-1}}^{-1} (S_\mu)^{\frac{3(2-q)}{2}} \text{ and } k = \frac{q-2}{4}.$$

Now we can state our main results.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $1 < q < 2$ ,  $-\infty < \mu < \frac{1}{4}$  and (F) satisfied and  $\lambda$  verifying  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

**Theorem 2.** In addition to the assumptions of the Theorem 1, if (H) hold and  $b > \frac{3(6-q)}{(4-q)}$  then there exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda$  verifying  $0 < \lambda < \min(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$  the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

**Theorem 3.** In addition to the assumptions of the Theorem 2, assuming  $\lambda < 0$  then the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions and two opposite solutions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. Section 3 and 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. In the last Section, we prove the Theorem 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E$  a Banach space and  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ .

i)  $(u_n)_n$  is a Palais-Smale sequence at level  $c$  (in short  $(PS)_c$ ) in  $E$  for  $I$  if

$$I(u_n) = c + o_n(1) \text{ and } I'(u_n) = o_n(1),$$

where  $o_n(1)$  tends to 0 as  $n$  goes at infinity.

ii) We say that  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition if any  $(PS)_c$  sequence in  $E$  for  $I$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  Banach space, and  $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  verifying the Palais-Smale condition. Suppose that  $J(0) = 0$  and that:

i) there exist  $R > 0$ ,  $r > 0$  such that if  $\|u\| = R$ , then  $J(u) \geq r$ ;

ii) there exist  $(u_0) \in X$  such that  $\|u_0\| > R$  and  $J(u_0) \leq 0$ ;

let  $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} (J(\gamma(t)))$  where

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0,1]; X) \text{ such that } \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ et } \gamma(1) = u_0 \right\},$$

then  $c$  is critical value of  $J$  such that  $c \geq r$ .

## Nehari Manifold

It is well known that the functional  $J_\lambda$  is of class  $C^1$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  and the solutions of (1.1) are the critical points of  $J_\lambda$  which is not bounded below on  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ . Consider the lowing Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0 \right\},$$

Thus,  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$  if and only if

$$(a + b\|u\|_\mu^2) \|u\|_\mu^2 - \int_\Omega h|u|^6 \, dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q \, dx = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

Define

$$\phi_\lambda(u) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle.$$

Then, for  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle &= (2a + 4b\|u\|_\mu^2)\|u\|_\mu^2 - 6\int_\Omega h|u|^6 dx - \lambda q \int_\Omega f|u|^q dx \\
 &= [(2-q)a + (4-q)b\|u\|_\mu^2]\|u\|_\mu^2 - (6-q)\int_\Omega h|u|^6 dx \\
 &= (6-q)\lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q dx - (4a + 2b\|u\|_\mu^2)\|u\|_\mu^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

Now, we split  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  in three parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+ &= \{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : \langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle > 0\} \\
 \mathcal{M}_\lambda^0 &= \{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : \langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\} \\
 \mathcal{M}_\lambda^- &= \{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : \langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$  contains every nontrivial solution of the problem (1.1). Moreover, we have the following results.

**Lemma 2.**  $J_\lambda$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* If  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ , then by (2.3) and the Hölder inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &= (1/2)a\|u\|_\mu^2 + (1/4)b\|u\|_\mu^4 - (1/6)\int_\Omega h|u|^6 dx - (\lambda/q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q dx \\
 &\geq (1/3)a\|u\|_\mu^2 + (1/12)b\|u\|_\mu^4 - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}\|u\|_\mu^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $J_\lambda$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ .

We have the following results.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $u_0$  is a local minimizer for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ . Then, if  $u_0 \notin \mathcal{M}_\lambda^0$ ,  $u_0$  is a critical point of  $J_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* If  $u_0$  is a local minimizer for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ , then  $u_0$  is a solution of the optimization problem

$$\min_{\{u/\phi_\lambda(u)=0\}} J_\lambda(u).$$

Hence, there exists a Lagrange multipliers  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$J'_\lambda(u_0) = \theta\phi'_\lambda(u_0) \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^{-1}$$

Thus,

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \theta \langle \phi'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle.$$

But  $\langle \phi'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle \neq 0$ , since  $u_0 \notin \mathcal{M}_\lambda^0$ . Hence  $\theta = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** There exists a positive number  $\lambda_0$  such that, for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  we have  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^0 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us reason by contradiction.

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^0 \neq \emptyset$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . Moreover, by the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we obtain

$$\|u\|_\mu^4 \geq (A' + B'\|u\|_\mu^2)^{\frac{4}{q-2}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}^{\frac{-4}{q-2}} \lambda^{\frac{-4}{q-2}}$$

and

$$\|u\|_\mu^4 \leq (A + B\|u\|_\mu^2)^{-1/(2-q)} h_0(S_\mu)^{-6},$$

with

$$A = \left(\frac{2-q}{6-q}\right)a, \quad B = \left(\frac{4-q}{6-q}\right)b, \quad A' = \left(\frac{4}{6-q}\right)a, \quad B' = \left(\frac{2}{6-q}\right)b.$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , which contradicts an hypothesis.

Thus  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ . Define

$$c := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u), \quad c^+ := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) \quad \text{and} \quad c^- := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u).$$

For the sequel, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.** i) For all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , one has  $c \leq c^+ < 0$ .

ii) There exists  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , one has

$$c^- > C_0 = C_0(a, b, q, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}).$$

*Proof.* i) Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ . By (2.4), we have

$$\left[ \left( (2-q)a + (4-q)b \|u\|_\mu^2 \right) / (6-q) \right] \|u\|_{\mu,a}^2 > \int_\Omega h|u|^6 \, dx$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &= \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{q} \right) b \|u\|_\mu^4 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) a \|u\|_\mu^2 + \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \int_\Omega h|u|^6 \, dx \\ &< - \left[ \frac{(4-q)}{12q} b \|u\|_\mu^4 + \frac{(2-q)}{3q} a \|u\|_\mu^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $c \leq c^+ < 0$ .

ii) Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ . By (2.4) and the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq (1/3)a \|u\|_\mu^2 + (1/12)b \|u\|_\mu^4 - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}} \|u\|_\mu^q. \\ &\geq \left[ (1/3)a + (1/12)b \right] \min \left( \|u\|_\mu^4, \|u\|_\mu^q \right) - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}} \max \left( \|u\|_\mu^4, \|u\|_\mu^q \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1 = \frac{(4a+b)q}{(6-q)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}}$ , we have  $J_\lambda(u) \geq C_0$ .

For each  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $P = \int_\Omega h|u|^6 \, dx > a \|u\|_\mu^2$ , we write

$$t_m := t_{\max}(u) = \left[ \frac{3(P - a \|u\|_\mu^2) \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{20aP \|u\|_\mu^2}{9(P - a \|u\|_\mu^2)}} \right]}{3P} \right]^{1/2} > 0.$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\lambda$  real parameters such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . For each  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\int_\Omega h|u|^6 \, dx > a \|u\|_\mu^2$ , there exist unique  $t^+$  and  $t^-$  such that  $0 < t^+ < t_m < t^-$ ,  $(t^+u) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ ,  $(t^-u) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ ,

$$J_\lambda(t^+u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} J_\lambda(tu) \quad \text{and} \quad J_\lambda(t^-u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu).$$

*Proof.* With minor modifications, we refer to [11].

**Proposition 1.** (see [11])

i) For all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , there exists a  $(PS)_{c^+}$  sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ .

ii) For all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , there exists a  $(PS)_{c^-}$  sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Now, taking as a starting point the work of Tarantello [8], we establish the existence of a local minimum for  $J_\lambda$

on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ .

**Proposition 2.** For all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , the functional  $J_\lambda$  has a minimizer  $u_0^+ \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$  and it satisfies:

- i)  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = c = c^+$ ,
- ii)  $(u_0^+)$  is a nontrivial solution of (1.1).

*Proof.* If  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , then by Proposition 1. i) there exists a  $(u_n)_n$   $(PS)_{c^+}$  sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ , thus it bounded by Lemma 2. Then, there exists  $u_0^+ \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  and we can extract a subsequence which will denoted by  $(u_n)_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^+ \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^+ \text{ weakly in } L^6(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^+ \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^+ \text{ a.e in } \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, by (3.1),  $u_0^+$  is a weak nontrivial solution of (1.1). Now, we show that  $u_n$  converges to  $u_0^+$  strongly in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose otherwise. By the lower semi-continuity of the norm, then either  $\|u_0^+\|_{\mu,a} < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\mu,a}$  and we obtain

$$c \leq J_\lambda(u_0^+) = (a/3)\|u_0^+\|_\mu^2 + (b/12)\|u_0^+\|_\mu^4 - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \int_\Omega f |u_0^+|^q dx < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = c.$$

We get a contradiction. Therefore,  $u_n$  converge to  $u_0^+$  strongly in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, we have  $u_0^+ \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ . If not, then by Lemma 6, there are two numbers  $t_0^+$  and  $t_0^-$ , uniquely defined so that  $(t_0^+ u_0^+) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$  and  $(t_0^- u_0^+) \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ . In particular, we have  $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(tu_0^+) \Big|_{t=t_0^+} = 0 \text{ and } \frac{d^2}{dt^2} J_\lambda(tu_0^+) \Big|_{t=t_0^+} > 0,$$

there exists  $t_0^+ < t^- \leq t_0^-$  such that  $J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_\lambda(t^- u_0^+)$ . By Lemma 6, we get

$$J_\lambda(t_0^+ u_0^+) < J_\lambda(t^- u_0^+) < J_\lambda(t_0^- u_0^+) = J_\lambda(u_0^+),$$

which contradicts the fact that  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = c^+$ . Since  $J_\lambda(u_0^+) = J_\lambda(|u_0^+|)$  and  $|u_0^+| \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda^+$ , then by Lemma 3, we may assume that  $u_0^+$  is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1). By the Harnack inequality, we conclude that  $u_0^+ > 0$  and  $v_0^+ > 0$ , see for example [12].

### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Next, we establish the existence of a local minimum for  $J_\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ . For this, we require the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.** Assume that  $b > \frac{3(6-q)}{(4-q)}$  then for all  $\lambda$  such that  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , the functional  $J_\lambda$  has a mini-

mizer  $u_0^-$  in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$  and it satisfies:

- i)  $J_\lambda(u_0^-) = c^- > 0$ ,
- ii)  $u_0^-$  is a nontrivial solution of (1.1) in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* If  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , then by Proposition 1. ii) there exists a  $(u_n)_n$ ,  $(PS)_{c^-}$  sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^-$ , thus it bounded by Lemma 2. Then, there exists  $u_0^- \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  and we can extract a subsequence which will denoted by  $(u_n)_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^- \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u_0^- \text{ weakly in } L^6(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^- \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u_0^- \text{ a.e in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} h|u_n|^6 dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} h|u_0^-|^6 dx, \text{ as } n \text{ goes to } \infty.$$

Moreover, by (H) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} h|u_n|^6 dx &> \left[ \frac{(4-q)}{(6-q)} b \|u_n\|_{\mu}^4 + \frac{(2-q)}{(6-q)} a \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 \right] \\ &> \left[ \frac{(4-q)}{(6-q)} b \|u_n\|_{\mu}^4 + \frac{(2-q)}{(6-q)} a \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 \right] - \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 \\ &> C_1 = \left[ \frac{(2-q)}{(6-q)} a \right]^2 \left[ \frac{(4-q)}{(6-q)} b - 3 \right] \left[ \frac{2(4-q)}{(6-q)} b - 2 \right]^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

if  $b > \frac{3(6-q)}{(4-q)}$  we get

$$\int_{\Omega} h|u_n|^6 dx > C_1 > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} h|u_0^-|^6 dx \geq C_1.$$

Now, we prove that  $(u_n)_n$  converges to  $u_0^-$  strongly in  $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose otherwise. Then, either  $\|u_0^-\|_{\mu} < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\mu}$ . By Lemma 6 there is a unique  $t_0^-$  such that  $(t_0^- u_0^-) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^-$ . Since

$$u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^-, J_{\lambda}(u_n) \geq J_{\lambda}(t u_n), \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

we have

$$J_{\lambda}(t_0^- u_0^-) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(t_0^- u_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = c^-,$$

and this is a contradiction. Hence,

$$(u_n)_n \rightarrow u_0^- \text{ strongly in } \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega).$$

Thus,

$$J_{\lambda}(u_n) \text{ converges to } J_{\lambda}(u_0^-) = c^- \text{ as } n \text{ tends to } +\infty.$$

Since  $J_{\lambda}(u_0^-) = J_{\lambda}(|u_0^-|)$  and  $u_0^- \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^-$ , then by (4.1) and Lemma 3, we may assume that  $u_0^-$  is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1). By the maximum principle, we conclude that  $u_0^- > 0$ .

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 2. By Propositions 2 and Lemma 7, we obtain that (1.1) has two positive solutions  $u_0^+ \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^+$  and  $u_0^- \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^-$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^+ \cap \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^- = \emptyset$ , this implies that  $u_0^+$  and  $u_0^-$  are distinct.

### 5. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we consider the following Nehari submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_{\lambda}(u), u \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \|u\|_{\mu} \geq \varrho > 0 \right\}.$$

Thus,  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  if and only if

$$(a + b \|u\|_{\mu}^2) \|u\|_{\mu}^2 - \int_{\Omega} h|u|^6 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^q dx = 0 \text{ and } \|u\|_{\mu} \geq \varrho > 0.$$

Firstly, we need the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 8.** *Under the hypothesis of theorem 3, there exist  $\varrho_0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  is nonempty for any  $\lambda < 0$  and  $\varrho \in (0, \varrho_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  and let

$$g(t) = \langle J'_\lambda(tu_0), tu_0 \rangle = at^2 \|u_0\|_\mu^2 + bt^4 \|u_0\|_\mu^4 - t^6 \int_\Omega h|u_0|^6 dx - \lambda t^q \int_\Omega f|u_0|^q dx.$$

Clearly  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(1) &= a \|u_0\|_\mu^2 + b \|u_0\|_\mu^4 - \int_\Omega h|u_0|^6 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f|u_0|^q dx \\ &\geq \left[ a \|u_0\|_\mu^2 - (S_\mu)^{-3} h_0 \|u_0\|_\mu^6 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\|u_0\|_\mu \geq \varrho > 0$  for  $0 < \varrho < \varrho_0 = (ah_0^{-1})^{1/4} (S_\mu)^{3/4}$ , then there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $g(t_0) = 0$ . Thus,  $(t_0 u_0) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  is nonempty for any  $\lambda < 0$ .

**Lemma 9.** *There exist  $M$  positive real such that*

$$\langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < -M < 0,$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  and any  $\lambda < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$ , then by (2.3), (2.4) and the Holder inequality, allows us to write

$$\langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle \leq \|u\|_\mu^2 \left[ (6-q)\lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}} - (4a+2b) \right].$$

Thus, if  $\lambda < 0$  then we obtain that

$$\langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0, \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}. \tag{5.1}$$

**Lemma 10.** *There exist  $r$  and  $\eta$  positive constants such that*

i) *we have*

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \eta > 0 \text{ for } \|u\|_{\mu, a} = r.$$

ii) *there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$  when  $\|\sigma\|_\mu > r$ , with  $r = \|u\|_\mu$ , such that  $J_\lambda(\sigma) \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We can suppose that the minima of  $J_\lambda$  are realized by  $(u_0^+)$  and  $u_0^-$ . The geometric conditions of the mountain pass theorem are satisfied. Indeed, we have

i) By (2.4), (5.1), the Holder inequality and the fact that  $\int_\Omega h|u|^6 dx \leq (S_\mu)^{-3} h_0 \|u\|_\mu^6$ , we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{a}{2} \|u\|_\mu^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|_\mu^4 - S_\mu^{-3} \left( \frac{h_0}{2} \right) \|u\|_\mu^6 - \frac{\lambda}{q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}} \|u\|_\mu^q.$$

Thus, for  $\lambda < 0$  there exist  $\eta, r > 0$  such that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \eta > 0 \text{ when } r = \|u\|_{\mu, a} \text{ small.}$$

ii) Let  $t > 0$ , then we have for all  $\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$

$$J_\lambda(t\theta) = \frac{a}{2} t^2 \|\theta\|_\mu^2 + \frac{b}{4} t^4 \|\theta\|_\mu^4 - \frac{1}{6} t^6 \int_\Omega h|\theta|^6 dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q \int_\Omega f|\theta|^q dx.$$

Letting  $\sigma = t\theta$  for  $t$  large enough, we obtain  $J_\lambda(\sigma) \leq 0$ . For  $t$  large enough we can ensure  $\|\sigma\|_{\mu, a} > r$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  and  $c$  defined by

$$\Gamma := \{ \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho} : \gamma(0) = u_0^- \text{ and } \gamma(1) = u_0^+ \}$$

and

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (J_\lambda(\gamma(t))).$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.**

If  $\lambda < 0$  then, by the Lemmas 2 and Proposition 1. ii),  $J_\lambda$  verifying the Palais-Smale condition in  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \varrho}$ . Moreover, from the Lemmas 3, 9 and 10, there exists  $u_c$  such that



$$J_\lambda(u_c) = c \text{ and } u_c \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda,c}.$$

Thus  $u_c$  is the third solution of our system such that  $u_c \neq u_0^+$  and  $u_c \neq u_0^-$ . Since (1.1) is odd with respect  $u$ , we obtain that  $-u_c$  is also a solution of (1.1).

## References

- [1] Kirchhoff, G.R. (1883) Vorlesungen über mathematische Physik—Mechanik. 3 Edition. Teubner, Leipzig.
- [2] Alves, C.O., Correa, F.J.S.A. and Ma, T.F. (2005) Positive Solutions for a Quasilinear Elliptic Equation of Kirchhoff type. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **49**, 85-93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2005.01.008>
- [3] Cheng, C.T. and Wu, X. (2009) Existence Results of Positive Solutions of Kirchhoff Type Problems. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **71**, 4883-4892. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.03.065>
- [4] Ma, T.F. and Rivera, J.E.M. (2003) Positive Solutions for a Nonlinear Nonlocal Elliptic Transmission Problem. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **16**, 243-248. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659\(03\)80038-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659(03)80038-1)
- [5] Chen, C., Kuo, Y. and Wu, T. (2011) The Nehari Manifold for a Kirchhoff Type Problem Involving Sign Changing Weight Functions. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **250**, 1876-1908.
- [6] Mao, A.M. and Zhang, Z.T. (2009) Sign-Changing and Multiple Solutions of Kirchhoff Type Problems without the P.S. Condition. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **70**, 1275-1287. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.02.011>
- [7] Mao, A.M. and Luan, S.X. (2011) Sign-Changing Solutions of a Class of Nonlocal Quasilinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **383**, 239-243. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.05.021>
- [8] Jin, J.H. and Wu, X. (2010) Infinitely Many Radial Solutions for Kirchhoff-Type Problems in RN. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **369**, 564-574. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.03.059>
- [9] Wei, L. and He, X.M. (2012) Multiplicity of High Energy Solutions for Superlinear Kirchhoff Equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, **39**, 473-487. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12190-012-0536-1>
- [10] He, X.M. and Zou, W.M. (2009) Infinitely Many Positive Solutions for Kirchhoff-Type Problems. *Nonlinear Analysis*, **70**, 1407-1414. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.02.021>
- [11] Brown, K.J. and Zhang, Y. (2003) The Nehari Manifold for a Semilinear Elliptic Equation with a Sign Changing Weight Function. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **2**, 481-499. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396\(03\)00121-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0396(03)00121-9)
- [12] Drabek, P., Kufner, A. and Nicolosi, F. (1997) Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities, Walter de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications Vol. 5. New York. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110804775>