

# Strong Local Non-Determinism of Sub-Fractional Brownian Motion

**Nana Luan**

School of Insurance and Economics, University of International Business and Economics, Beijing, China  
Email: luannana@uibe.edu.cn

Received 30 August 2015; accepted 27 November 2015; published 30 November 2015

Copyright © 2015 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

Let  $X^H = \{X^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  be a subfractional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We prove that  $X^H$  is strongly locally nondeterministic.

## Keywords

**Sub-Fractional Brownian Motion, Fractional Brownian Motion, Self-Similar Gaussian Processes, Strong Local Non-Determinism**

---

## 1. Introduction

The fractional Brownian motion (fBm for short) is the best known and most used process with long-dependence property for models in telecommunications, turbulence, image processing and finance. This process is first introduced by [1] and later studied by [2]. The self-similarity and stationarity of the increments are two main properties for which fBm enjoy success as a modeling tool. The fBm is the only continuous Gaussian process which is self-similar and has stationary increments; see [3]. Many authors have also proposed for using more general self-similar Gaussian processes and random fields as stochastic models; see e.g. [4]-[9]. Such applications have raised many interesting theoretical questions about self-similar Gaussian processes and fields in general. However, in contrast to the extensive studies on fractional Brownian motion, there has been little systematic investigation on other self-similar Gaussian processes until [10] fills the gap by developing systematic ways to study sample path properties of a class of self-similar Gaussian process, namely, the bifractional Brownian motion. Their main tools are the Lamperti transformation, which provides a powerful connection between self-similar processes and stationary processes; see [11], and the strong local non-determinism of Gaussian processes; see [12]. In particular, for any self-similar Gaussian processes  $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , the Lamperti transformation leads to a stochastic integral representation for  $X$ .

An extension of Bm which preserves many properties of the fBm, but not the stationarity of the increments, is

so called sub-fractional Brownian motion (sub-fBm, in short) introduced by [13]. The sub-fBm is another class of self-similar Gaussian process which has properties analogous to those of fBm; see [13]-[15]. Given a constant  $H \in (0, 1)$ , the sub-fractional Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a centered Gaussian process

$X_0^H = \{X_0^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  with covariance function

$$R^H(s, t) := R(s, t) = s^{2H} + t^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}[(s+t)^{2H} + |s-t|^{2H}] \tag{1}$$

and  $X_0^H(0) = 0$ .

Let  $X_1^H, \dots, X_d^H$  be independent copies of  $X_0^H$ . We define the Gaussian process  $X^H = \{X^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$X^H(t) = (X_1^H(t), \dots, X_d^H(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{2}$$

By (1), one can verify easily that  $X^H$  is a self-similar process with index  $H$ , that is, for every constant  $a > 0$ ,

$$\{X^H(at), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{a^H X^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \tag{3}$$

where  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$  means that the two processes have the same finite dimensional distributions. Note that  $X^H$  does not have stationary increments.

The strong local non-determinism is an important tool to study the sample path properties of self-similar Gaussian process, such as the small ball probability and Chung’s law of the iterated logarithm. In this paper, we apply the Lamperti transformation to prove the strong local non-determinism of  $X_0^H$ . Throughout this paper, a specified positive and finite constant is denoted by  $c_i$  which may depend on  $H$ .

## 2. Strong Local Non-Determinism

**Theorem 1.** For all constants  $0 < a < b$ ,  $X_0^H$  is strongly locally  $\varphi$ -nondeterministic on  $I = [a, b]$  with  $\varphi(r) = r^{2H}$ . That is, there exist positive constants  $c_1$  and  $r_0$  such that for all  $t \in I$  and all  $0 < r \leq \min\{t, r_0\}$ ,

$$\text{Var}(X_0^H(t) | X_0^H(s) : s \in I, r \leq |s-t| \leq r_0) \geq c_1 \varphi(r). \tag{4}$$

**Proof.** By Lamperti’s transformation (see [11]), we consider the centered stationary Gaussian process  $Y_0 = \{Y_0(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  defined by

$$Y_0(t) = e^{-Ht} X_0^H(e^t), \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5}$$

The covariance function  $r(t) := \mathbb{E}(Y_0(0)Y_0(t))$  is given by

$$r(t) = e^{-Ht} \left\{ 1 + e^{2Ht} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ (e^t + 1)^{2H} + |e^t - 1|^{2H} \right] \right\} = e^{Ht} \left\{ e^{-2Ht} + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 + e^{-t})^{2H} + |1 - e^{-t}|^{2H} \right] \right\}, \tag{6}$$

where  $r(t)$  is an even function. By (6) and Taylor expansion, we verify that  $r(t) = O(e^{-\beta t})$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\beta = \min\{H, 1-H\}$ . It follows that  $r(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Also, by using (6) and the Taylor expansion again, we also have

$$r(t) \sim 2 - \frac{1}{2} (2^{2H} + |t|^{2H}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \tag{7}$$

Using Bochner’s theorem,  $Y_0$  has the following stochastic integral representation

$$Y_0(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda t} W(d\lambda), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{8}$$

where  $W$  is a complex Gaussian measure with control measure  $\Delta$  whose Fourier transform is  $r(\cdot)$ . The measure  $\Delta$  is called the spectral measure of  $Y_0$ .

Since  $r(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the spectral measure  $\Delta$  of  $Y_0$  has a continuous density function  $f(\lambda)$  which can be represented as the inverse Fourier transform of  $r(\cdot)$ :

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty r(t) \cos(t\lambda) dt. \tag{9}$$

We would like to prove that  $f$  has the following asymptotic property

$$f(\lambda) \sim c_2 |\lambda|^{-(1+2H)} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \tag{10}$$

where  $c_2 > 0$  is an explicit constant depending only on  $H$ .

In the following we give a direct proof of (10) by using (9) and an Abelian argument similar to that in the proof of **Theorem 1** of [16]. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\lambda > 0$ . Applying integration-by-parts to (9), we get

$$f(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\pi\lambda} \int_0^\infty r'(t) \sin(t\lambda) dt \tag{11}$$

with

$$r'(t) = He^{Ht} \left[ 1 - e^{-2Ht} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-t})^{2H-1} (e^{-t} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} |1 - e^{-t}|^{2H-1} (1 + e^{-t}) \right]. \tag{12}$$

We need to distinguish three cases:  $2H < 1$ ,  $2H = 1$  and  $2H > 1$ . In the first case, it can be verified from (12) that  $r(t) = O(e^{-\beta t})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $r'(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$r'(t) \sim -H |t|^{2H-1} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0. \tag{13}$$

We will also make use of the properties of higher order derivatives of  $r(t)$ . It is elementary to compute  $r''(t)$  and verify that, when  $2H < 1$ , we have

$$r''(t) \sim -H(2H-1) |t|^{2H-2} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \tag{14}$$

and  $r''(t) = O(e^{-\beta t})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  which implies  $r''(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

The behavior of the derivatives of  $r(t)$  is simpler when  $2H = 1$ . (12) becomes

$$r'(t) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$r''(t) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\frac{t}{2}}. \tag{16}$$

Hence, we have  $r'(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r''(0) = \frac{1}{4}$ , and both  $r'(\cdot)$  and  $r''(\cdot)$  are in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

When  $2H > 1$ , it can be shown that (14) still holds, and  $r''(t) = O(e^{-\beta t})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, we proceed to prove (10). First, we consider the case when  $0 < 2H < 1$ . By a change of variable, we can write

$$f(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\pi\lambda^2} \int_0^\infty r' \left( \frac{t}{\lambda} \right) \sin t dt. \tag{17}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{f(\lambda)}{-(\pi\lambda^2)^{-1} r'(1/\lambda)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{r'(t/\lambda)}{r'(1/\lambda)} \sin t dt. \tag{18}$$

Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$  be a fixed constant. It follows from (13) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^p \frac{r'(t/\lambda)}{r'(1/\lambda)} \sin t dt = \int_0^p t^{2H-1} \sin t dt. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, integration-by-parts yields

$$\int_p^\infty r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt = r'(p/\lambda) \cos p + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_p^\infty r''(t/\lambda) \cos t dt. \tag{20}$$

By Riemann-Lebesgue lemma,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_p^\infty r''(t/\lambda) \cos t dt = \int_{p/\lambda}^\infty r''(x) \cos(\lambda x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty 1_{\{x \geq p/\lambda\}} r''(x) \cos(\lambda x) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \tag{21}$$

Moreover, since  $r'\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right) \sim -H\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)^{2H-1}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  by (13) and  $\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)^{2H-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$\left|r'\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_p^\infty r''\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \cos t dt\right| \leq \left|r'\left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\right)\right| \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \tag{22}$$

Then for all  $\lambda$  large enough, we derive

$$\left|\int_p^\infty r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt\right| \leq |r'(p/\lambda) \cos p| + \left|\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_p^\infty r''(t/\lambda) \cos t dt\right| \leq 2|r'(p/\lambda)|. \tag{23}$$

Hence, we have

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left|\int_p^\infty r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt\right| \leq 2p^{2H-1}. \tag{24}$$

Combining (18), (19), and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{-(\pi\lambda^2)^{-1} r'(1/\lambda)} &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{r'(t/\lambda)}{r'(1/\lambda)} \sin t dt \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^p \frac{r'(t/\lambda)}{r'(1/\lambda)} \sin t dt + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_p^\infty \frac{r'(t/\lambda)}{r'(1/\lambda)} \sin t dt \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^\infty t^{2H-1} \sin t dt \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Then we see that, when  $0 < 2H < 1$ , (10) holds with  $c_2 = H\pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty t^{2H-1} \sin t dt$ .

Secondly, we consider the case  $2H = 1$ . Since  $r'(t)$  is continuous and  $r'(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$ , (19) becomes

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^p r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt = r'(0) \int_0^p \sin t dt = r'(0)(1 - \cos p). \tag{26}$$

Using (20) and integration-by-parts again we derive

$$\int_p^\infty r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt = r'(p/\lambda) \cos p + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_p^\infty r''(t/\lambda) \cos t dt. \tag{27}$$

It follows from the (27), (16) and Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_p^\infty r'(t/\lambda) \sin t dt = r'(0) \cos p. \tag{28}$$

We see from the above and (17) that

$$f(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} |\lambda|^{-2} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \tag{29}$$

This verifies that (10) holds when  $2H = 1$ .

Finally we consider the case  $1 < 2H < 2$ . Note that (19) and (24) are not useful anymore and we need to modify the above argument. By using integration-by-parts to (11) we obtain

$$f(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\pi\lambda^2} \int_0^\infty r''(t) \cos(t\lambda) dt. \tag{30}$$

Note that we have  $-1 < 2H - 2 < 0$ . Hence  $r''(t)$  is integrable in the neighborhood of  $t = 0$ . Consequently, the proof for this case is very similar to the case of  $0 < 2H < 1$ . From (30) and (14), we can verify that (10) holds as well and the constant  $c_2$  is explicitly determined by  $H$ . Hence we have proved (10) in general.

It follows from (10) and Lemma 1 of [17] (see also [12] for more general results) that  $Y_0 = \{Y_0(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is strongly locally  $\varphi$ -nondeterministic on any interval  $J = [-T, T]$  with  $\varphi(r) = r^{2H}$  in the following sense: There exist positive constants  $\delta$  and  $c_3$  such that for all  $t \in [-T, T]$  and all  $r \in (0, |t| \wedge \delta)$ ,

$$\text{Var}(Y_0(t) | Y_0(s) : s \in J, r \leq |s-t| \leq \delta) \geq c_3 \varphi(r). \tag{31}$$

Now we prove the strong local nondeterminism of  $X_0^H$  on  $I$ . To this end, note that  $X_0^H(t) = t^H Y_0(\log t)$  for all  $t > 0$ . We choose  $r_0 = a\delta$ . Then for all  $s, t \in I$  with  $r \leq |s-t| \leq r_0$  we have

$$\frac{r}{b} \leq |\log s - \log t| \leq \delta. \tag{32}$$

Hence, it follows from (31) and (32) that for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $r < r_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}(X_0^H(t) | X_0^H(s) : s \in I, r \leq |s-t| \leq r_0) \\ &= \text{Var}(t^H Y_0(\log t) | s^H Y_0(\log s) : s \in I, r \leq |s-t| \leq r_0) \\ &= t^{2H} \text{Var}(Y_0(\log t) | Y_0(\log s) : s \in I, r \leq |s-t| \leq r_0) \\ &\geq a^{2H} \text{Var}(Y_0(\log t) | Y_0(\log s) : s \in I, \frac{r}{b} \leq |\log s - \log t| \leq \delta) \\ &\geq a^{2H} c_3 \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{2H} = c_1 r^{2H} = c_1 \varphi(r), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where  $c_1 = c_3 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2H}$ . This proves **Theorem 1**.

### Funding

Supported by NSFC (No. 11201068) and ‘‘The Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities’’ in UIBE (No. 14YQ07).

### References

- [1] Kolmogorov, A.N. (1940) Wiener'sche Spiralen und einige andere interessante Kurven im Hilbertschen Raum. *C.R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)*, **26**, 115-118.
- [2] Mandelbrot, B. and van Ness, J.W. (1968) Fractional Brownian Motions, Fractional Noises and Applications. *SIAM Review*, **10**, 422-437. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/1010093>
- [3] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M.S. (1994) Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes. Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance. Stochastic Modeling. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- [4] Anh, V.V., Angulo, J.M. and Ruiz-Medina, M.D. (1999) Possible Long-Range Dependence in Fractional Random Fields. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **80**, 95-110. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758\(98\)00244-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3758(98)00244-4)
- [5] Benassi, A., Bertrand, P., Cohen, S. and Istas, J. (2000) Identification of the Hurst Index of a Step Fractional Brownian Motion. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*, **3**, 101-111. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009997729317>
- [6] Benson, D.A., Meerschaert, M.M. and Baeumer, B. (2006) Aquifer Operator-Scaling and the Effect on Solute Mixing and Dispersion. *Water Resources Research*, **42**, W01415. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004wr003755>
- [7] Bonami, A. and Estrade, A. (2003) Anisotropic Analysis of Some Gaussian Models. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, **9**, 215-236. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00041-003-0012-2>

- 
- [8] Cheridito, P., Kawaguchi, H. and Maejima, M. (2003) Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, **8**, 14 p.
- [9] Mannersalo, P. and Norros, I. (2002) A Most Probable Path Approach to Queueing Systems with General Gaussian Input. *Computer Network*, **40**, 399-412. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1286\(02\)00302-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1286(02)00302-X)
- [10] Tudor, C.A. and Xiao, Y. (2007) Sample Path Properties of Bifractional Brownian Motion. *Bernoulli*, **13**, 1023-1052. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3150/07-BEJ6110>
- [11] Lamperti, J. (1962) Semi-Stable Stochastic Processes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **104**, 62-78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1962-0138128-7>
- [12] Xiao, Y. (2007) Strong Local Non-Determinism of Gaussian Random Fields and Its Applications. In: Lai, T.-L., Shao, Q.-M. and Qian, L., Eds., *Asymptotic Theory in Probability and Statistics with Applications*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 136-176.
- [13] Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Talarczyk, A. (2004) Sub-Fractional Brownian Motion and Its Relation to Occupation Times. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **69**, 405-419. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2004.06.035>
- [14] Dzhaparidze, K. and Van Zanten, H. (2004) A Series Expansion of Fractional Brownian Motion. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **103**, 39-55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00440-003-0310-2>
- [15] Tudor, C. (2007) Some Properties of the Sub-Fractional Brownian Motion. *Stochastics*, **79**, 431-448. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17442500601100331>
- [16] Pitman, E.J.G. (1968) On the Behavior of the Characteristic Function of a Probability Distribution in the Neighborhood of the Origin. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **8** 423-443. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700006121>
- [17] Cuzick, J. and Du Preez, J.P. (1982) Joint Continuity of Gaussian Local Times. *Annals of Probability*, **10**, 810-817. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176993789>