

A Note on the Nullity of Unicyclic Graphs

Shengbiao Hu

Department of Mathematics, Qinghai Nationalities University, Xining, China Email: shengbiaohu@aliyun.com

Received 17 March 2014; revised 18 April 2014; accepted 26 April 2014

Copyright © 2014 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Abstract

The nullity of a graph is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue zero in its spectrum. In this paper we show the expression of the nullity and nullity set of unicyclic graphs with *n* vertices and girth *r*, and characterize the unicyclic graphs with extremal nullity.

Keywords

Eigenvalues (of Graphs), Nullity, Unicyclic Graphs

1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph with *n* vertices. The disjoint union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$. The *null graph* of order *n* is the graph with *n* vertices and no edges. As usual, the star, path, cycle and the complete graph of order *n* are denoted by S_n , P_n , C_n and K_n , respectively. An isolated vertex is sometimes denoted by K_1 .

Let A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ of A(G) are said to be the eigenvalues of G, and to form the spectrum of this graph. The number of zero eigenvalues in the spectrum of the graph G is called its nullity and is denoted by $\eta(G)$. Let r(G) be the rank of A(G). Clearly, $\eta(G) = n - r(G)$.

A graph is said to be *singular* (*nonsingular*) if its adjacency matrix A(G) is a singular (nonsingular) matrix.

In [1], L. Collatz and U. Sinogowitz first posed the problem of characterizing all graphs which satisfying $\eta(G) > 0$. This question is of great interest in chemistry, because, as has been shown in [2], for a bipartite graph *G* (corresponding to an alternant hydrocarbon), if $\eta(G) > 0$, then it indicates the molecule which such a graph

represents is unstable. The nullity of a graph is also important in mathematics, since it is related to the singularity of A(G). The problem has not yet been solved completely. Some results on trees and it's line graphs, bipartite graphs, unicyclic graphs, bicyclic graphs and tricyclic graphs are known (see [3]-[14]). For details and further references we see [15] [16].

A unicyclic graph is a simple connected graph in which the number of edges equals the number of vertices.

The length of the shortest cycle in a graph G is called the *girth* of G, denoted by g(G). If G is a unicyclic graph, then the girth of G is the length of the only cycle in G.

Let U_n be the set of all unicyclic graph with *n* vertices and let U(n, r) be the set of all unicyclic graphs with *n* vertices and girth *r*. A subset *N* of $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ is said to be the *nullity set* of U(n, r) provided that for any $k \in N$, there exists at least one graph $U \in \mathcal{U}(n, r)$ such that $\eta(U) = k$, and no $k \notin N$ satisfies this property.

A matching of G is a set of independent edges of G, a maximal matching is a matching with maximum possible number of edges. The collection of all maximal matching is denoted by M(G), for any $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, the size of M, *i.e.*, the maximum number of independent edges in G, is denoted by m = m(G). If n is even and m = n/2, then we call the maximal matching a perfect matching of G, shot for PM.

It is difficult to give an expression of the nullity of a graph, so many papers give that the upper bound of the nullity of some specific graphs and characterized the extremal graphs attaining the upper bound (see [6] [9] [11] [12] [14] [17]). For the trees we know the following concise formula:

Theorem 1.1 [3] If t is a tree with n vertices and m is the size of its maximal matchings, then its nullity is equal to $\eta(T) = n - m$.

Theorem 1.1 implies to $\eta(T) = 0$ if and only if T is a PM-tree.

In this paper we show the expression of the nullity and nullity set of unicyclic graphs with n vertices and girth r, and characterize the unicyclic graphs with extremal nullity. For terminology and notation not defined here we refer to [3].

2. Some Lemmas

The following lemmas are needed, Lemmas 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 are clear.

Lemma 2.1 Let *H* be an induced subgraph of *G*. Then $r(H) \le r(G)$,

Lemma 2.2 Let *H* be an induced subgraph of *G*. Then $\eta(G) \le \eta(H)$.

Proof. $\eta(G) = n - r(G) \leq n - r(H) = \eta(H)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_t$, then $\eta(G) = \sum_{i=1}^t \eta(G_i)$,

where G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t are connected components of G. Lemma 2.4 [14]

$$r(C_p) = \begin{cases} n-2, & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ n, & \text{if } p \neq 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(n, r)$, if r = n, then by Lemma 2.4 we have **Lemma 2.5**

$$\eta(C_n) = n - r(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

So we discuss that r < n in the following unicyclics. Let $U_0(n, r)$ be the set of all unicyclic graphs with n vertices and girth r and r < n, let $U_{0,1}(n, r)$ be the subset of $U_0(n, r)$ with odd girth r and let $U_{0,2}(n, r)$ be the subset of $U_0(n, r)$ with even girth r, clearly $\mathcal{U}(n, r) = \mathcal{U}_0(n, r) \cup \{C_n\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_0(n, r) = \mathcal{U}_{0,1}(n, r) \cup \mathcal{U}_{0,2}(n, r)$.

Lemma 2.6 [3] For a graph G containing a vertex of degree 1, if the induced subgraph H (of G) is obtained by deleting this vertex together with the vertex adjacent to it, then the relation $\eta(H) = \eta(G)$ holds.

The characteristic polynomial of graph G is denoted by

$$\phi(G, x) = \det(xI - A(G)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i x^{n-i}$$
(1)

Lemma 2.7 [3] Let $\phi(G, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i x^{n-i}$. Then the coefficient of x^{n-i} is

$$c_i = \sum_{H} \left(-1 \right)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} .$$
⁽²⁾

where the sum is over all subgraphs H of G consisting of disjoint edges and cycles, and having i vertices. If H is such a subgraph then k(H) is the number of components in it and c(H) is the number of cycles.

Let i = n in (2), then $c_n = \sum_{H} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)}$, where *H* is spanning subgraphs of *G* consisting of disjoint edges and cycles.

3. Main Results

In [18], Ashraf and Bamdad considered the opposite problem: which graphs have nullity zero? Clearly, for a graph G, $\eta(G) = 0$ if and only if $c_n \neq 0$ and $\eta(G) > 0$ if and only if $c_n = 0$ in (1). So by (1) we have following theorem, that is

Theorem 3.1 For a graph *G*,

- 1) $\eta(G) = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{H} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$,
- 2) $\eta(G) > 0$ if and only if $\sum_{H} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = 0$.

where the sum is over all spanning subgraphs H of G consisting of disjoint edges and cycles.

Proof. By (1) it is clear.

By (1) we know also that $\eta(G) = n - i$ if and only if there exist $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, such that $c_i \neq 0$ and $c_{i+1} = c_{i+2} = \dots = c_n = 0$ (Note that $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = 0$). So we have

Corollary 3.1 For a graph *G*, $\eta(G) = n - i = n - |V(H)|$ if and only if $\sum_{H} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$ for |V(H)| = i and $\sum_{H} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = 0$ for |V(H)| > i in (2).

Let *U* be a unicyclic graph with girth *r*, Let *H* be a subgraphs of *U* consisting of disjoint edges and cycles with maximum possible number of vertices. Let *H* be the collection of all *H*. Since *U* is unicyclic graph, then *H* have two types: $C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2$ and $m(U)P_2$, where C_r is induced subgraph of *U* and mP_2 is disjoint union of *m* edges P_2 . Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{m(U)P_2\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, clearly $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{M}(U)$. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $|V(C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2)| = |V(m(U)P_2)| = 2m(U)$. Since *U* doesn't contains a subgraph G_1 consisting of disjoint edges and cycles, such that

 $|V(G_1)| > \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\}, \text{ hence for } |V(G_1)| > \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\}, \sum_{G_1} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = 0. \text{ So we have}$

Corollary 3.2 Let U be a unicyclic graph with girth r, then $\eta(G) = n - |V(H)|$ if and only if $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where $|V(H)| = \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(n, r)$, then

$$\eta(U) = \begin{cases} n - \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\}, & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ n - 2m(U), & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ n - 2m(U), & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and satisfies (i)}; \\ n - 2m(U) + 2, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and satisfies (ii)}. \end{cases}$$

1) there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, for any r/2 edges in M, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$;

2) for any $M \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, there exist r/2 edges in M, such that they all belong to $E(C_r)$.

Where C_r is induced subgraph of U.

Proof. Let $U \in U_0(n, r)$ and let C_r be an induced subgraph of U. By Corollary 2.2, we only need to discuss that $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)}$ whether equals zero. We give a sign e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r for the edges of C_r , in nature order. **Case 1.** $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Since $|C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2| = r + 2m(U - V(C_r))$ is odd and $|m(U)P_2| = 2m(U)$ is even, $r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) \neq 2m(U)$, hence either $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ or $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$. If $r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) > 2m(U)$, then $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $H \notin \mathcal{H}_2$. Since for all $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$, they have the same number of component, hence $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where $|V(H)| = r + 2m(U - V(C_r))$. If $r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) < 2m(U)$, then $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and $H \notin \mathcal{H}_1$. Similarly, $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where |V(H)| = 2m(U). Thus $\eta(U) = n - \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\}$. **Case 2.** $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. **Subcase 2.1** There exist $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, where $H_0 = C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2$. In this case, the $H_1 = e_1 \cup e_3 \cup \cdots \cup e_{r-1} \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2 = m(U)P_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $H_2 = e_2 \cup e_4 \cup \cdots \cup e_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2 = m(U)P_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}$, where the $m(U - V(C_r))P_2$ in H_0 , H_1 and H_2 are same, and we call H_1 and H_2 are conjugate subgraph of H_0 . Since r/2 is odd, hence for any $H \in H$, the number of component of H have the same odevity, hence $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where |V(H)| = 2m(U).

Subcase 2.2 There doesn't exist $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In this case, since all $H \in \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}$ and they have the same edges,

hence $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where |V(H)| = 2m(U). So $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U)$.

Case 3. $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, for any r/2 edges in M, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$.

Subcase 3.1 There exist $H_0 \in H_1$, where $H_0 = C_r \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2$. In this case, the $H_1 = e_1 \cup e_3 \cup \cdots \cup e_{r-1} \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2 = m(U)P_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}$ and

 $H_2 = e_2 \bigcup e_4 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup e_r \bigcup m \left(U - V \left(C_r \right) \right) P_2 = m \left(U \right) P_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H} \text{. Let } \mathcal{H}' = \left\{ H_0, H_1, H_2 \right\} \subset \mathcal{H} \text{. For } H \in \mathcal{H}', \text{ we have } H \in \mathcal{H}' = \left\{ H_0, H_1, H_2 \right\} \subset \mathcal{H} \text{. For } H \in \mathcal{H}', \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}' \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}' = \left\{ H_0, H_1, H_2 \right\} \subset \mathcal{H} \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}', \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}' \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}' \text{ for$

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = (-1)^{1+m(U-V(C_r))} 2 + (-1)^{r/2+m(U-V(C_r))} + (-1)^{r/2+m(U-V(C_r))}$$
$$= (-1)^{1+m(U-V(C_r))} 2 + (-1)^{r/2+m(U-V(C_r))} 2 = 0$$

Since we know that there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, for any r/2 edges in M, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$, hence we assume that $M = H_3(=m(U)P_2) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any r/2 edges in H_3 , such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$. Except H_3 , if there exist others $H_i \in \mathcal{H}_2$ $(i \ge 4)$ and for any r/2 edges in H_i $(i \ge 4)$, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$, then we have

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = (-1)^{1+m(U-V(C_r))} 2 + (-1)^{r/2+m(U-V(C_r))} + (-1)^{r/2+m(U-V(C_r))} + (-1)^{m(U)} + (-1)^{m(U)} + \cdots = (-1)^{m(U)} + (-1)^{m(U)} + \cdots \neq 0$$

and |V(H)| = 2m(U), so $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U)$.

Subcase 3.2 There aren't exist $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In this case, similar to Subcase 2.2 of Case 2, we have $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U)$.

Case 4. $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and for any $M \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, there exist r/2 edges in M, such that they all belong to $E(C_r)$. In this case, for any $M = H_1 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, let $H_1 = e'_1 \cup e'_2 \cup \cdots \cup e'_{r/2} \cup m(U - V(C_r))P_2$, where $e'_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, r/2)$ is independent edges in C_r . For the same $m(U - V(C_r))P_2$ with H_1 , let

 $H_2 = e'_{r/2+1} \bigcup e'_{r/2+2} \bigcup \cdots \bigcup e'_r \bigcup m (U - V(C_r)) P_2 \text{ and } H_0 = C_r \bigcup m (U - V(C_r)) P_2, \text{ where } e'_{r/2+i} (i = 1, 2, \dots, r/2)$ is also independent edges in C_r , then $H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$. In fact, in this case for any one $H' \in \mathcal{H}_2$, there exist a conjugate graph $H''(\in \mathcal{H}_2)$ of H', such that $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$, where H' and H'' are conjugate subgraphs of H, that is V(H) = V(H') = V(H'') and $E(H) = E(H') \bigcup E(H'')$. Similarly, for any one $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$, it corresponding two conjugate subgraphs $H', H'' \in \mathcal{H}_2$. So

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \left(-1\right)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = \left(-1\right)^{1+m\left(U-V(C_r)\right)} 2 + \left(-1\right)^{r/2+m\left(U-V(C_r)\right)} + \left(-1\right)^{r/2+m\left(U-V(C_r)\right)} + \dots = 0$$

where |V(H)| = 2m(U). Since $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} = 0$ if |V(H)| = 2m(U), thus we consider the subgraph *H* of *U* consisting of disjoint edges and cycles, and having m(U) - 1 edges. Clearly there exist a

(m(U) - 1)-matching, such that there exist r/2 - 1 edges belong in $E(C_r)$ and $m(U - V(C_r))$ edges belong in

$$U - V(C_r)$$
. Similar to Case 3, $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{k(H)} 2^{c(H)} \neq 0$, where $|V(H)| = 2(m(U) - 1)$. So $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U) + 2$.

Let C_r be a cycle and let P_{n-r} be a path. Suppose that v is a vertex of C_r and u is a pendant vertex of P_{n-r} . Joining v and u by an edge, the resulting graph (Figure 1) is denoted by U(r, n-r).

Corollary 3.3 Let
$$U \in \mathcal{U}_0(n,r)$$
, then $\eta(U) \leq \begin{cases} n-r-1, & \text{if } r=1 \pmod{2}; \\ n-r, & \text{if } r=0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$

Proof. Since r < n, hence U contains an induced subgraph U(r, 1) (see Figure 1).

Case 1. $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. In this case, by Theorem 2.2 we have

 $\eta(U(r,1)) = n - \max\{r + 2m(U(r,1) - V(C_r)), 2m(U(r-1))\} = n - 2m(U(r-1)) = n - r - 1, \text{ by Lemma 2.2 we}$ have $\eta(U) \le n - r - 1.$

Case 2. $r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. In this case, if $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, by Theorem 2.2 we have

 $\eta(U(r,1)) = n - 2m(U(r,1)) = n - r$. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U(r,1))$, such that the pendant edge belong to M, that is for any r/2 edges in M, it not all belong to $E(C_r)$, so $\eta(U(r,1)) = n - 2m(U(r,1)) = n - r$, by Lemma 2.2 we have $\eta(U) \le n - r$. \Box

Let r = 3 if *r* is odd and let r = 4 if *r* is even in Corollary 2.3, and combine to Lemma 2.7 we have **Corollary 3.4** [18] For any $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ $(n \ge 5)$, $\eta(U) \le n-4$.

Corollary 3.5 Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_{0,1}(n,r)$, then $\eta(U) = 0$ if and only if *n* is even and *U* contains *PM* or *n* is odd and $U - V(C_r)$ contains *PM*.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_{0,1}(n,r)$, where *r* is odd.

"⇒" If $\eta(U) = 0$, then by Theorem 2.2 we have $\max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} = n$.

Case 1. If *n* is even, then 2m(U) = n, *U* contains *PM*.

Case 2. If *n* is odd, then $r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) = n$, $2m(U - V(C_r)) = n - r$, $U - V(C_r)$ contains *PM*. " \in "

Case 1. If *n* is even and *U* contains *PM*, then $\max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} = 2m(U) = n$, by Theorem 2.2, n(U) = 0.

Case 2. If *n* is odd and $U - V(C_r)$ contains *PM*, then

$$\max \{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} = r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) = r + (n - r) = n, \text{ by Theorem 2.2, } \eta(U) = 0. \square$$

Corollary 3.6 Let $U \in U_{0,2}(n,r)$, then $\eta(U) = 0$ if and only if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and U contains PM or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and U contains PM, and for any r/2 edges in the PM, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$.



Figure 1. The unicyclic graph U(r, n - r) and U(r, 1).

Proof. Let $U \in U_{0,2}(n,r)$, where *r* is even.

"⇒" If $\eta(U) = 0$, then by theorem 2.2 we have n - 2m(U) = 0 or n - 2m(U) + 2 = 0. If

n-2m(U)+2=0, then m(U)=n/2+1, a contradiction. So we have n-2m(U)=0, U contains PM. Since r is even, hence $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then there exist PM, for any r/2 edges in the PM, such that they not all belong to $E(C_r)$. Otherwise, by Theorem 2.2 we have n-2m(U)+2=0, a contradiction.

"⇐"

Case 1. If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and U contains PM, then by Theorem 2.2 we have $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U) = 0$.

Case 2. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and U contains PM, and for any r/2 edges in the PM, such that it not all belong to $E(C_r)$, then by Theorem 2.2 we have $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U) = 0$.

An edge belonging to a matching of a graph G is said to *cover* its two end-vertices. A vertex v is said to be *perfectly covered* (PC) if it is covered in all maximal matching of G [7].

Any vertex adjacent to a pendent vertex is a *PC*-vertex. However, there may be exist *PC*-vertices adjacent to no pendent vertex. For instance, the central vertex in the path on an odd number of vertices is *PC*.

Let v_i $(i = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil r/2 \rceil)$ be the *PC*-vertices of C_r . Let U'_r be a graph is obtained from C_r , by adding r_i

 $(0 \le r_i \le n-r)$ pendant edges in the *PC*-vertex v_i $(i = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil r/2 \rceil)$ of C_r , respectively. Where

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil r/2 \rceil} r_i = n - r > 0$. The degree of *PC*-vertices of U'_r needn't equality, even for some *PC*-vertices, no pendant vertex joint to the *PC*-vertex, but the sum of number of all pendant vertices is n - r. For r = 5 and 6, an U'_5 and U'_6 see **Figure 2**, the *PC*-vertices are indicated by numbers 1, 2, 3.

Let $\mathcal{U}'_1(n,r)$ be the set of all U'_r , where *r* is odd and let $\mathcal{U}'_2(n,r)$ be the set of all U'_r , where *r* is even. Clearly $\mathcal{U}'_1(n,r) \subset \mathcal{U}_{0,1}(n,r)$ and $\mathcal{U}'_2(n,r) \subset \mathcal{U}_{0,2}(n,r)$. For any $U \in \mathcal{U}'_1(n,r)$ (i = 1, 2), the *PC*-vertices of *C*_r is also the *PC*-vertices of *U*, where *C*_r is inducted subgraph of *U*.

Let d(v, G) denote the *distance* from a vertex v to the graph G, if $v \in V(G)$, then d(v, G) = 0.

Corollary 3.7 Let $U \in U_{0,1}(n,r)$, then $\eta(U) = n - r - 1$ if and only if $U \in U'_1(n,r)$.

Proof. Since $U \in \mathcal{U}_{0,1}(n, r)$, hence *r* is odd.

"⇒" Let $U \in U_{0,1}(n,r)$, if $\eta(U) = n - r - 1$, by Theorem 2.1 we have

 $\max \left\{ r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U) \right\} = r + 1. \text{ Since } r \text{ is odd, hence } 2m(U) = r + 1, m(U) = (r + 1)/2, \text{ so for any} \\ \text{pendant } v \text{ of } U, d(v, C_r) \le 2. \text{ Otherwise, } m(U) \ge (r + 3)/2, \text{ a contradiction. If there exist at least one pendant} \\ \text{vertex } v \text{ in } U, \text{ such that } d(v, C_r) = 2, \text{ then there exist at least one independent edge in } U - V(C_r), \text{ so} \end{cases}$

 $\max \left\{ r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U) \right\} \ge r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) \ge r + 2, \quad \eta(U) \le n - r - 2 < n - r - 1, \text{ a contradiction.}$ So for any pendant vertex of U, $d(v, C_r) = 1$. Since there exist (r+1)/2 *PC*-vertices in C_r , if there exist pendant edges for every vertices of C_r in U, then $\max \left\{ r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U) \right\} = 2m(U) = 2r > r + 1$, a contradiction. Hence there exist pendant edges for part of vertices of C_r in U. If there exist (r+1)/2 + 1 vertices in C_r such that every vertex have pendant edges, then $\max \left\{ r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U) \right\} \ge 2[(r+1)/2+1] > r+1$, a contradiction. So there exist at most (r+1)/2 vertices, such that every vertex have pendant edges, that is all pendant vertices of U joint to at most (r+1)/2 vertices in C_r . In the neighbor vertices of all pendant vertices of U, if there exist (r-1)/2 *PC*-vertices and one non *PC*-vertex of C_r , then

$$\max\{r+2m(U-V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} \ge 2m(U) \ge 2(m(U(r,1))+1) = 2((r+1)/2+1) > r+1, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Thus all pendant vertices of U are joint to the PC-vertices of C_r , thus $U \in U'_1(n, r)$.

"⇐" Let $U \in U'_1(n, r)$ (see Figure 2), since r is odd, $r + 2m(U - V(C_r)) = r$ and 2m(U) = r + 1, hence $\max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} = r + 1$, by Theorem 2.1, we have



Figure 2. An U'_5 and an U'_6 , its *PC*-vertices are indicated by numbers 1, 2, 3..

 $\eta(U) = n - \max\{r + 2m(U - V(C_r)), 2m(U)\} = n - r - 1.$

Let *u* be a vertex of C_r , and let *v* be a *k*-degree vertex of $K_{1,k+1}$. Joining *u* and *v* by a path P_1 , the resulting graph is denoted by U(r, l, k+1), where r+l+k=n. When l=2, we get U(r, 2, k+1) (Figure 3).

For convenience, we call the star in U(r, 2, k + 1) is pendant star. Let U'(r, l, k) be a unicyclic graph come from U(r, l, k + 1), by removing a pendant edge and adding it to another vertex of C_r , where r + l + k = n (See Figure 4).

Corollary 3.8 Let $U \in U_{0,2}(n,r)$, then $\eta(U) = n-r$ if and only if $U \in U'_2(n,r)$ or $U \cong U(r,2,k+1)$ and $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Proof. Since $U \in U_{0,2}(n,r)$, hence *r* is even.

"⇒" Let $U \in U_{0,2}(n,r)$, if $\eta(U) = n - r$, by Theorem 2.2 we have 2m(U) = r or 2m(U) - 2 = r.

Case 1. 2m(U) = r. In this case, since r is even, hence for any pendant v of U, $d(v, C_r) \le 1$. Otherwise, $m(U) \ge r/2 + 1$, a contradiction. For an edge $uv \in E(C_r)$, If u and v both have at lest one pendant edge in U, respectively. Then $m(U) \ge r/2 + 1$, a contradiction. So all pendant vertices of U join to some *PC*-vertices of U, thus $U \in U'_2(n, r)$.

Case 2. 2m(U) - 2 = r. In this case m(U) = r/2 + 1, since r is even, hence for any one pendant v of U, $d(v, C_r) \le 3$. Otherwise, $m(U) \ge r/2 + 2$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.1. There exist $v \in U$, such that $d(v, C_r) = 3$. In this case, U(n, 3) (see Figure 1) is an induced subgraph of U, then there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U(n,3))$, such that the pendant edge belong to M, so for any r/2 edges in M, it not all belong to $E(C_r)$, by Theorem 2.1 we have $\eta(U(n,3)) = n - 2m(U(n,3)) = n - r - 2$, by Lemma 2.2, $\eta(U) \le \eta(U(n,3)) = n - r - 2$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. There exist $v \in U$, such that $d(v, C_r) = 2$. In this case, U(r, 2, k+1) (see Figure 3, specially take k=0) is an induced subgraph of U, and only one vertex of U have only one pendant star. Otherwise $m(U) \ge r/2 + 2$, a contradiction. If there exist at lest one pendant edge in other one vertex of C_r , the resulting graph is denoted by U'(r, 2, k) (see Figure 4). Since there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}(U'(r, 2, k))$, such that the two independent pendant edges in (U'(r, 2, k)) belong to M, we know that m(U'(r, 2, k)) = r/2 + 1, hence for any r/2

edges in M, they not all belong to $E(C_r)$, by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.2 we have

 $\eta(U) \le \eta(U'(r,2,k)) = n - 2m(U'(r,2,k)) = n - r - 2$, a contradiction. So $U \cong U(r,2,k+1)$ (see Figure 3). If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, by Theorem 2.2 we have $\eta(U(r,2,k+1)) = n - 2m(U(r,2,k+1)) = n - r - 2$, a contradiction. So $U \cong U(r,2,k+1)$ and $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

"⇐" **Case 1.** Let $U \in U'_2(n,r)$ (see **Figure 2**), since *r* is even, hence 2m(U) = r. If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then by Theorem 2.1 we have $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U) = n - r$. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, since r < n, hence *U* contains a induced subgraph U(r, 1) (see **Figure 1**), for a $M \in \mathcal{M}(U(r, 1))$, let the pendant edge of U(r, 1) belong to the *M*, then the r/2 edges in *M*, not all belong to $E(C_r)$, by Theorem 2.2 we have $\eta(U) = n - 2m(U) = n - r$.

Case 2. Let $U \cong U(r, 2, k+1)$ and $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then m(U(r, 2, k+1)) = r/2 + 1. Since for any





 $M \in \mathcal{M}(U(r,2,k+1))$, there exist r/2 edges in M, such that they all belong to $E(C_r)$, by Theorem 2.1 we have $\eta(U(r,2,k+1)) = n - 2m(U(r,2,k+1)) + 2 = n - r$. \Box

Let l = 1 in U(r, l, k+1) (Figure 3), we get the following graph U(r, 1, k+1) (Figure 5).

Theorem 3.3 The nullity set of $U_{0,1}(n, r)$ is $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n-r-1\}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.3, we only need to show that for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-r-1\}$, there exist a unicyclic graph $U \in U_{0,1}(n, r)$ such that $\eta(U) = k$, where *r* is odd.

Case 1. k = 0. Let U = U(r, n-r) (see Figure 1). If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, using Lemma 2.6, after (n - r)/2 steps, we get C_r , by Lemma 2.6 and 2.5 we have $\eta(U(r, n-r)) = \eta(C_r) = 0$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, using Lemma 2.6, after (n - 2)/2 steps, we get a P_2 , by Lemmas 2.6 we have $\eta(U(n, r)) = \eta(P_2) = 0$.

Case 2. k = n - r - 1. Let U = U(r, 1, k + 1) (see **Figure 5**), where r + k + 1 = n, using Lemma 2.5, after (r+1)/2 steps, we get kK_1 , by Lemmas 2.3 we have $\eta(U(r, 1, k + 1)) = \eta(kK_1) = k = n - r - 1$.

Case 3. $1 \le k \le n-r-2$. Let U = U(r,l,k+1) (see Figure 3), where r+l+k = n. If $n \ne k \pmod{2}$, Using Lemma 2.6, after l/2 steps, we get $C_r \bigcup kK_1$, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 we have $\eta(U) = \eta(C_r \bigcup kK_1) = \eta(C_r) + \eta(kK_1) = k$. Similarly, If $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$, we have

$$\eta\left(U\left(r,l,k+1\right)\right) = \eta\left(kK_{1}\right) = k .$$

Theorem 3.4 The nullity set of $U_{0,2}(n, r)$ is $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-r\}$.

Proof. Similar to Theorem 2.3, if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, we consider the graph U(r, l, k) with k pendants (see Figure 3), where r+l+k-1=n. If $l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we consider the graph U'(r, l, k) with k pendants (see Figure 4), where r+l+k=n.

If we take r = 3 in Theorem 2.3 and r = 4 in Theorem 2.4, then we have the following Corollary:

Corollary 3.9 [18] The nullity set of U_n is $\{0,1,2,\dots,n-4\}$.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Qinghai Province (Grant No. 2011-Z-911).

References

- Von Collatz, L. and Sinogowitz, U. (1957) Spektren Endlicher Grafen. Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, 21, 63-77. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02941924</u>
- [2] Longuet-Higgins, H.C. (1950) Resonance Structures and MO in Unsaturated Hydrocarbons. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 18, 265-274. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1747618</u>
- [3] Cvetkovic, D., Doob, M. and Sachs, H. (1980) Spectra of Graphs. Academic Press, New York.
- [4] Cvetkovic, D.M. and Gutman, I. (1972) The Algebraic Multiplicity of the Number Zero in the Spectrum of a Bipartite Graph. *Matematički Vesnik*, 9, 141-150.
- [5] Cvetkovic, D.M., Gutman, I. and Trinajstic, N. (1972) Graph Theory and Molecular Orbitals, II. *Croatica Chemica Acta*, **44**, 365-374.
- [6] Fiorini, S., Gutman, I. and Sciriha, I. (2005) Trees with Maximum Nullity. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 397, 245-251. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2004.10.024</u>
- [7] Sciriha, I. (1998) On Singular Line Graphs of Trees. Congressus Numeratium, 135, 73-91.
- [8] Sciriha, I. and Gutman, I. (2001) On the Nullity of Line Graphs of Trees. Discrete Mathematics, 232, 35-45.
- Hu, S., Tan, X. and Liu, B. (2008) On the Nullity of Bicyclic Graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 429, 1387-1391. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.12.007</u>
- [10] Li, J., Chang, A. and Shiu, W.C. (2008) On the Nullity of Bicyclic Graphs. *Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, **60**, 21-36.
- [11] Li, S. (2008) On the Nullity of Graphs with Pendent Vertices. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 429, 1619-1628. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2008.04.037
- [12] Li, W. and Chang, A. (2006) On the Trees with Maximum Nullity. *Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, 56, 501-508.
- [13] Nath, M. and Sarma, B.K. (2007) On the Null-Spaces of Acyclic and Unicyclic Singular Graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 427, 42-54. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2007.06.017</u>
- [14] Tan, X.Z. and Liu, B.L. (2005) On the Nullity of Unicyclic Graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, **408**, 212-220. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.06.012
- [15] Sciriha, I. (1998) On the Contruction of Graphs of Nullity One. Discrete Mathematics, 181, 193-211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(97)00036-8
- [16] Sciriha, I. (1999) On the Rank of Graphs. In: Alavi, Y., Lick, D.R. and Schwenk, A., Eds., Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Algrithms, (2), New Issue Press, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, 769-778.
- [17] Cheng, B. and Liu, B. (2007) On the Nullity of Graphs. Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 16, 60-67.
- [18] Ashraf, F. and Bamdad, H. (2008) A Note on Graphs with Zero Nullity. *Match Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry*, **60**, 15-19.