

# On Some Procedures Based on Fisher's Inverse Chi-Square Statistic

**Kepher H. Makambi**

Department of Biostatistics, Bioinformatics, and Biomathematics, Georgetown University, Washington DC, USA

Email: [khm33@georgetown.edu](mailto:khm33@georgetown.edu)

Received February 1, 2013; revised March 1, 2013; accepted March 9, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Kepher H. Makambi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

We present approximations to the distribution of the weighted combination of independent and dependent  $P$ -values,  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i \{-2\log(P_i)\}$ . In case that independence of  $P_i$ 's is not assumed, it is argued that the quantity  $A$  is implicitly dominated by positive definite quadratic forms that induce a chi-square distribution. This gives way to the approximation of the associated degrees of freedom using Satterthwaite (1946) or Patnaik (1949) method. An approximation by Brown (1975) is used to estimate the covariance between the log transformed  $P$ -values. The performance of the approximations is compared using simulations. For both the independent and dependent cases, the approximations are shown to yield probability values close to the nominal level, even for arbitrary weights,  $\omega_i$ 's.

**Keywords:**  $P$ -Values; Weighting; Linear Combination; Correlation Coefficient; Estimated Degrees of Freedom

## 1. Introduction

Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m$  be  $m$  tail probabilities or probability values from continuous distributions. Associate null hypotheses  $H_{0i} : \theta_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m$ , to these  $m$  probability values. Using the probability integral transform, we know that  $P_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$  when  $H_{0i}$  is true. For  $S_i = -2\log(P_i)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_i > s) &= P\{-2\log(P_i) > s\} \\ &= P\{P_i < \exp(-s/2)\} = \exp(-s/2) \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $P(S_i < s) = 1 - \exp(-s/2)$ , which is the cumulative distribution function of a chi-square variable with 2 degrees of freedom. That is,  $S_i \sim \chi^2_2$ , and the decision rule is to reject  $H_{0i}$  if  $S_i > \chi^2_{2,1-\alpha}$ . Define a combined statistic by

$$M = -2\log\left(\prod_{i=1}^m P_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m -2\log(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i$$

For independent  $P_i$ 's, the variable  $M \sim \chi^2_{2m}$ . The overall test procedure is to reject  $H_0 : \theta_1 = \dots = \theta_m = 0$  if  $M > \chi^2_{2m,1-\alpha}$ . This is Fisher's Inverse Chi-square method. We notice that for the statistic  $M$ , all the  $P_i$ 's are weighted equally, which may not be acceptable in some situations and therefore unequal weighting may be

necessary. A number of authors have attempted to derive the distribution of a weighted form of  $M$ . For instance, let  $W = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i W(\delta_i)$ , where  $W(\delta_i)$  has a non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution with non-centrality parameter  $\delta_i$ . Solomon and Stephens [1] approximated the distribution of  $W$  by a random variable of the form  $b_0(\chi^2_{\nu})^{b_1}$  matching the first three moments. The disadvantage with this approximation is that there is no closed-form formula for computing the parameters. Buckley and Egleston [2] approximation of the distribution of  $W$  involves approximating  $W$  using a variable that takes the form  $T = b_0\chi^2_{\nu} + b_1$  and matching the first three cumulants of  $T$  and  $W$ . Zhang [3] showed that by equating the first three cumulants of  $W$  and  $R = b_0\chi^2_{\nu} + b_1$ , the distribution of  $W$  can be approximated by  $P(W \leq w) \approx P(R \leq w) = P[\chi^2_{\nu} \leq (w - b_1)/b_0]$ . Zhang [3] also proposed a chi-square approximation to the distribution of  $W$ . Others authors have approximated the null distribution of  $W$  by intensive bootstrap [4-8].

In this article, we concentrate on linear combinations of  $S_i$  (a function of  $P_i$ 's) that have a central chi-square distribution, and involve dependent and independent  $P_i$ 's and arbitrary weights,  $\omega_i$ 's. For dependent  $P_i$ 's, we use simulations to investigate the performance of the

approach by Makambi [9] when it is assumed that there is homogeneity in correlation coefficients between any pair of the  $P_i$ 's.

### 2. Distribution of Independent and Dependent Weighted $\chi^2$ 's

Let's focus on the mixture

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i S_i,$$

where  $S_i$  has a central  $\chi^2$ -distribution with 2 degrees of freedom and  $\omega_i$  are arbitrary weights. For independent  $P_i$ 's, Good [10] provided the following approximation:

$$P(A \leq a) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \Lambda_i \exp\left\{-\frac{a}{2\omega_i}\right\}$$

where  $\Lambda_i = \omega_i^{m-1} / \left\{ \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (\omega_i - \omega_j) \right\}$ ,  $\omega_i \neq \omega_j$ . This approximation is usually regarded as the exact distribution of  $A$ . The approximation has been criticized because the calculations become ill-conditioned when any two weights,  $\omega_i$  and  $\omega_j, i \neq j$ , are equal. To avoid this problem, Bhoj [11] proposed the approximation

$$P(A \leq a) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{\omega_i \cdot IG(1/\omega_i, a/2\omega_i)}{\Gamma(1/\omega_i)} \right\}$$

where  $IG$  denotes the incomplete gamma function. This approximation is also for independent probability values.

For an alternative and more general approximation to the distribution of  $A$  where independence of  $P_i$ 's is not assumed, it may be argued that  $A$  is a quantity that is implicitly dominated by positive definite quadratic forms that induce a chi-square distribution. Thus by Satterthwaite [12] or Patnaik [13], we have

$$\nu \frac{A}{E(A)} \sim \chi_\nu^2$$

It follows that

$$\text{var} \left\{ \nu \frac{A}{E(A)} \right\} = \frac{\nu^2}{\{E(A)\}^2} \cdot \text{var}(A) = 2\nu$$

Therefore, the degrees of freedom can be obtained by solving the above equation for  $\nu$ , namely,

$$\nu = 2 \cdot \frac{\{E(A)\}^2}{\text{var}(A)}$$

Now,

$$E(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i E(S_i) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A^2 &= \text{var}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i^2 \text{var}(S_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq i=1}^m \omega_i \omega_j \text{cov}(S_i, S_j) \\ &= 4 \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq i=1}^m \omega_i \omega_j \text{cov}(S_i, S_j) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{cov}(S_i, S_j)$  denotes the covariance between  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ , for  $i \neq j$ . An estimate of the degrees of freedom,  $\nu$ , is given by  $\hat{\nu} = 8 \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i \right)^2 / \hat{\sigma}_A^2$  (see [9,14]).

We can now synthesize the  $m$  probability values  $P_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , based on the decision rule

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } A > 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\hat{\nu}, 1-\alpha}^2}{\hat{\nu}} \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i$$

For normalized weights, that is,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i = 1$ , the decision rule is:

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } A > 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\hat{\nu}, 1-\alpha}^2}{\hat{\nu}}$$

with an estimate of the degrees of freedom  $\nu$  given by  $\hat{\nu}$ . Notice that for independent  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  and normalized weights, Makambi [9] and Hou [14] utilize  $\hat{\nu} = 2 / \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i^2$ . For  $m = 2$  and 4, Hou [14] presented simulation results indicating that the approximation given above attains probability values close to the nominal level, similar to the Good [10] and Bhoj [11] approximations.

For  $m = 4$  independent  $P$ -values, we use **Table 1** in Hou [14] to obtain **Table 1**, just for purposes of comparing the performance of the approaches. We notice that using  $\hat{\nu}$  (column 5, **Table 1**) yields results that are close to both the exact method by Good [10] and the method by Bhoj [11].

To illustrate the application of the methods for independent probability values, we use data from Canner [15] on four selected multicenter trials involving aspirin and post-myocardial infarction patients carried out in Europe and the United States in the period 1970-1979. Two of these trials, referred to as UK-1 and UK-2 were carried out in the United Kingdom; the Coronary Drug Project Aspirin Study (CDPA); and the Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study (PARIS) (**Table 2**).

The  $P$ -values provided in column 4 of **Table 2** are for the log odds ratio as the outcome measure of interest. Using the  $P$ -values in **Table 2** and the weights from **Table 1** of [14], we obtain the values in **Tables 3**. We have also included results for normalized inverse variance weights determined from the data. The three approximations yield values that are close to each other, and are in good agreement with the exact method by

Good [10].

If  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  are non-independent, the expression for  $\sigma_A^2$  contains a covariance term between  $S_i$  and

$S_j$  that has to be estimated. Let  $\rho_{ij}$  be the correlation between  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  i.e.,  $\rho_{ij} = \text{corr}(S_i, S_j)$ . An approximation of the variance of  $A$  is given by [16]

$$\sigma_A^2 = \begin{cases} 4 \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq i=1}^m \omega_i \omega_j (3.25 \rho_{ij} + 0.75 \rho_{ij}^2), & 0 \leq \rho_{ij} \leq 1 \\ 4 \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq i=1}^m \omega_i \omega_j (3.27 \rho_{ij} + 0.71 \rho_{ij}^2), & -0.5 \leq \rho_{ij} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

### 3. A Procedure for Constant Correlation Coefficient

We require estimates of  $\rho_{ij}$  to implement the procedures above for dependent  $P_i$ 's. Let's consider the case of homogeneous nonnegative correlation coefficients, that is,  $\rho_{ij} = \rho$  for  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . Let  $S = (S_1, \dots, S_m)$  and define the quadratic form [9]

$$Q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (S_i - \bar{S})^2}{m-1}, \quad \bar{S} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m S_i}{m}$$

We can write  $(m-1)Q = S'BS$ ,  $B = I_m - \frac{1}{m}J_m$ ,

where  $I_m$  is identity matrix of order  $m$  and  $J_m$  is a square matrix of order  $m$  with every element equal to unity. It can be shown that  $(m-1)E(Q) = \text{tr}(B\Sigma_S)$ ,

**Table 1.  $P(A \leq a)$  for independent  $P_i$ 's.**

$(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$	$a$	Good-Exact	Bhoj	M/H
(0.05, 0.15, 0.20, 0.60)	3.696	0.9000	0.9085	0.8955
	4.531	0.9500	0.9538	0.9510
	6.460	0.9900	0.9895	0.9923
(0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40)	3.456	0.9000	0.9039	0.8986
	4.082	0.9500	0.9518	0.9505
	5.470	0.9900	0.9897	0.9911
(0.22, 0.23, 0.27, 0.28)	3.346	0.9000	0.9003	0.9000
	3.888	0.9500	0.9502	0.9500
	5.050	0.9900	0.9900	0.9901
(0.20, 0.25, 0.25, 0.30)	3.347	-	0.9000	0.8992
	3.892	-	0.9500	0.9500
	5.070	-	0.9900	0.9902
(0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.40)	3.373	-	0.9000	0.8945
	3.960	-	0.9500	0.9477
	5.330	-	0.9900	0.9912
(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)	3.340	-	0.9000	0.9000
	3.877	-	0.9500	0.9500
	5.023	-	0.9900	0.9900

M/H is Makambi/Hou method using  $\hat{\nu}$ .

where  $\Sigma_S = (\sigma_{S_i, S_j})_{i,j=1, \dots, m}$ ;  $\sigma_{S_i, S_i} = \text{var}(S_i) = 4$ , and  $\text{tr}(A)$  is the trace of the matrix  $A$ . For homogeneous  $\rho_{ij} = \rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ , and using results from Brown [16] we have  $\sigma_{S_i, S_j} = \text{cov}(S_i, S_j) = 3.25\rho + 0.75\rho^2$ . We can show that  $E(Q) = \text{tr}(B\Sigma_S)/(m-1) = 4 - (0.75\rho^2 + 3.25\rho)$ . Solving the preceding equation for  $\rho$  yields the approximate admissible solution  $\rho = -2.16667 + \{10.02778 - 4Q/3E(Q)\}^{1/2}$  with an estimate for  $\rho$  given by

$$\hat{\rho} = -2.16667 + \{10.02778 - 4Q/3\}^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq Q \leq 4 \quad (1)$$

We investigate how well this approximation works compared with the other approximations by simulating data from a  $m$ -variate normal distribution with covariance matrix  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ , with  $\sigma_{ii} = 1, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\sigma_{ij} = \rho, i \neq j = 1, \dots, m$ . Just as in Hou [14], we simulated

**Table 2. Data on total mortality in six aspirin trials (Number of Deaths/Number of patients).**

Study	Aspirin	Placebo	$P$ -value
CDPA	44/758	64/771	0.029
UK--1	49/615	67/624	0.048
UK--2	102/832	126/850	0.063
PARIS	85/810	52/406	0.115

**Table 3.  $P(A \leq a)$  for independent  $P_i$ 's using Canner (1987) data for  $m = 4$ .**

$(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$	$a$	Good	Bhoj	M/H
(0.05, 0.15, 0.20, 0.60)	4.966	0.9652	0.9671	0.9674
(0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40)	5.312	0.9880	0.9877	0.9891
(0.22, 0.23, 0.27, 0.28)	5.659	0.9959	0.9959	0.9960
(0.20, 0.25, 0.25, 0.30)	5.614	-	0.9954	0.9956
(0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.40)	5.467	-	0.9915	0.9927
(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)	5.752	-	0.9966	0.9966
(0.19, 0.20, 0.22, 0.39)*	5.463	0.9919	0.9918	0.9929

\*inverse variance weights determined from the data; M/H is Makambi/Hou method using  $\hat{\nu}$ .

10,000 multivariate normal samples and computed the present values for  $P(A \leq a)$  at selected nominal levels corresponding values of  $A$ . For  $m = 2$  and 4, we and weights (Tables 4-6).

**Table 4. Simulated estimates of  $P(A \leq a)$  at selected nominal levels for non-independent  $P_i$ 's from bivariate normal distribution with  $\mu = (0,0)'$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ , with  $\sigma_{ii} = 1, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\sigma_{ij} = \rho, i \neq j = 1, \dots, m : m = 2$ .**

		Simulated $P(A \leq a)$					
		$\rho = 0.1$		$\rho = 0.5$		$\rho = 0.9$	
$(\omega_1, \omega_2)$	$1 - \alpha$	H/M	Proposed*	H/M	Proposed*	M/H	Proposed*
(0.1, 0.9)	0.90	0.9062	0.9016	0.8969	0.9017	0.9007	0.8988
	0.95	0.9490	0.9510	0.9464	0.9462	0.9487	0.9480
	0.99	0.9898	0.9881	0.9888	0.9870	0.9880	0.9892
(0.3, 0.7)	0.90	0.8959	0.9054	0.9022	0.8983	0.9030	0.8968
	0.95	0.9486	0.9512	0.9530	0.9462	0.9521	0.9425
	0.99	0.9899	0.9885	0.9902	0.9848	0.9902	0.9837
(0.5, 0.5)	0.90	0.9041	0.9056	0.9032	0.8954	0.8964	0.9010
	0.95	0.9513	0.9540	0.9509	0.9400	0.9465	0.9458
	0.99	0.9900	0.9900	0.9890	0.9820	0.9902	0.9895

\* $\rho$  is estimated from Equation (1); M/H is Makambi/Hou method using  $\hat{v}$ .

**Table 5. Simulated estimates of  $P(A \leq a)$  at selected nominal levels for non-independent  $P_i$ 's from multivariate normal distribution with  $\mu = (0,0,0,0)'$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ , with  $\sigma_{ii} = 1, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\sigma_{ij} = \rho, i \neq j = 1, \dots, m : m = 4$ .**

		Simulated $P(A \leq a)$					
		$\rho = 0.1$		$\rho = 0.5$		$\rho = 0.9$	
$(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)$	$1 - \alpha$	M/H	Proposed*	M/H	Proposed*	M/H	Proposed*
(0.05,0.15,0.20,0.60)	0.90	0.9023	0.9041	0.9011	0.8868	0.8990	0.8957
	0.95	0.9503	0.9492	0.9536	0.9325	0.9519	0.9417
	0.99	0.9885	0.9868	0.9913	0.9784	0.9902	0.9836
(0.10, 0.20,0.30,0.40)	0.90	0.9018	0.9006	0.9016	0.8776	0.9029	0.8966
	0.95	0.9502	0.9443	0.9516	0.9222	0.9530	0.9437
	0.99	0.9890	0.9862	0.9912	0.9680	0.9909	0.9796
(0.22,0.23,0.27,0.28)	0.90	0.8991	0.8960	0.9001	0.8695	0.8975	0.8885
	0.95	0.9498	0.9405	0.9503	0.9125	0.9493	0.9361
	0.99	0.9886	0.9830	0.9904	0.9620	0.9896	0.9750
(0.20,0.25,0.25,0.30)	0.90	0.8983	0.8972	0.9072	0.8769	0.9024	0.8928
	0.95	0.9482	0.9425	0.9533	0.9197	0.9511	0.9361
	0.99	0.9883	0.9842	0.9908	0.9652	0.9893	0.9753
(0.20,0.20,0.20,0.40)	0.90	0.8998	0.8964	0.8969	0.8759	0.8978	0.8910
	0.95	0.9488	0.9416	0.9483	0.9175	0.9516	0.9380
	0.99	0.9908	0.9855	0.9894	0.9653	0.9902	0.9772
(0.25,0.25,0.25,0.25)	0.90	0.8994	0.8989	0.8984	0.8704	0.8985	0.8967
	0.95	0.9496	0.9430	0.9498	0.9123	0.9496	0.9383
	0.99	0.9894	0.9848	0.9902	0.9616	0.9896	0.9762

\* $\rho$  is estimated from Equation (1); M/H is Makambi/Hou method using  $\hat{v}$ .

**Table 6. Simulated estimates of  $P(A \leq a)$  (with weights,  $\omega_i$  simulated from  $\beta(2,2)$ ) at selected nominal levels for non-independent  $P_i$ 's from bivariate normal distribution with  $\mu = (0,0)'$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ , with  $\sigma_{ii} = 1, i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\sigma_{ij} = \rho, i \neq j = 1, \dots, m : m = 2, 4$ .**

$\rho$	$1 - \alpha$	Simulated $P(A \leq a)$			
		$m = 2$		$m = 4$	
		M/H	Proposed*	M/H	Proposed*
0.01	0.90	0.9000	0.8976	0.9030	0.9081
	0.95	0.9483	0.9496	0.9503	0.9512
	0.99	0.9888	0.9898	0.9899	0.9903
0.1	0.90	0.8983	0.8996	0.9021	0.8997
	0.95	0.9475	0.9508	0.9520	0.9440
	0.99	0.9899	0.9895	0.9899	0.9862
0.3	0.90	0.8973	0.8968	0.8999	0.8798
	0.95	0.9466	0.9480	0.9511	0.9319
	0.99	0.9881	0.9851	0.9903	0.9776
0.5	0.90	0.8975	0.8926	0.9011	0.8975
	0.95	0.9478	0.9487	0.9512	0.9446
	0.99	0.9890	0.9869	0.9910	0.9856
0.7	0.90	0.9015	0.8938	0.8986	0.8851
	0.95	0.9529	0.9478	0.9478	0.9254
	0.99	0.9899	0.9884	0.9887	0.9663
0.9	0.90	0.9025	0.8975	0.9039	0.8977
	0.95	0.9509	0.9458	0.9507	0.9446
	0.99	0.9887	0.9846	0.9899	0.9825
0.99	0.90	0.8950	0.8967	0.8997	0.8992
	0.95	0.9469	0.9462	0.9505	0.9496
	0.99	0.9895	0.9890	0.9887	0.9882

\* $\rho$  is estimated from Equation (1); M/H is Makambi/Hou method using  $\hat{\nu}$ .

For  $m = 2$  (Table 4) the proposed method attains probability levels that are close to the nominal level, similar to the Makambi/Hou method.

For  $m = 4$  (Table 5) the proposed estimate of the constant correlation coefficient  $\rho$  leads to attained probability level that are close to the nominal level,  $1 - \alpha$ , for  $\rho = 0.1$  and  $0.9$ . However, for values of  $\rho$  close to  $0.5$ , the estimate leads to underestimation of the probability level.

Now, instead of using pre-defined weights, we simulated weights from a beta distribution with parameters  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 2$ . That is, for  $b_i \sim \beta(2, 2)$ ,  $w_i = b_i / \sum_{i=1}^m b_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i = 1$ .

Results are given in Table 6 for selected nominal levels.

## 4. Conclusion

In this article, we have presented chi-square approximations to the distribution of Fisher's inverse chi-square statistic for independent and dependent  $P$ -values. It has also been shown that, for dependent  $P$ -values, the proposed estimate of the constant correlation coefficient  $\rho$  performs well by attaining probability levels close to the nominal level for correlation coefficients close to  $0.1$  and  $0.9$ . We expect the proposed estimate to underestimate probability levels for relatively large numbers of studies, especially when  $\rho$  is close to  $0.5$ . However, for values close to  $0.1$  and  $0.9$ , the proposed estimate works quite well and can be recommended.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Solomon and M. A. Stephens, "Distribution of a Weighted Sum of Chi-Squared Variables," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 72, No. 360a, 1977, pp. 881-885.
- [2] M. J. Buckley and G. G. Eagleson, "An Approximation to the Distribution of Quadratic Forms in Normal Random Variables," *Australian Journal of Statistics*, Vol. 30A, No. 1, 1988, pp. 150-159. [doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.1988.tb00471.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.1988.tb00471.x)
- [3] J.-T. Zhang, "Approximate and Asymptotic Distributions of Chi-Squared-Type Mixtures with Applications," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 100, No. 469, 2005, pp. 273-285. [doi:10.1198/016214504000000575](https://doi.org/10.1198/016214504000000575)
- [4] R. L. Eubank and C. H. Spiegelman, "Testing the Goodness of Fit of a Linear Model via Non-Parametric Regression techniques," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 85, No. 410, 1990, pp. 387-392. [doi:10.1080/01621459.1990.10476211](https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1990.10476211)
- [5] A. Azzalini and A. W. Bowman, "On the Use of Non-Parametric Regression for Checking Linear Relationships," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, Vol. 55, No. 2, 1993, pp. 549-557.
- [6] J. C. Chen, "Testing the Goodness of Fit of Polynomial Models via Spline Smoothing Techniques," *Statistics and Probability Letters*, Vol. 19, No. 1, 1994, pp. 65-76. [doi:10.1016/0167-7152\(94\)90070-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(94)90070-1)
- [7] W. Gonzalez-Manteiga and R. Cao, "Testing the Hypothesis of a General Linear Model Using Non-Parametric Regression Estimation," *Test*, Vol. 2, No. 1-2, 1993, pp. 161-188. [doi:10.1007/BF02562674](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02562674)
- [8] J. Fan, C. Zhang, J. Zhang, "Generalized Likelihood Ratio Statistics and Wilks Phenomenon," *The Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2001, pp. 153-193. [doi:10.1214/aos/996986505](https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/996986505)
- [9] K. H. Makambi, "Weighted Inverse Chi-Square Method for Correlated Significance Tests," *Journal of Applied Statistics*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 2003, pp. 225-234. [doi:10.1080/0266476022000023767](https://doi.org/10.1080/0266476022000023767)

- [10] I. J. Good, "On the Weighted Combination of Significance Tests," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1955, pp. 264-265.
- [11] D. S. Bhoj, "On the Distribution of the Weighted Combination of Independent Probabilities," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1992, pp. 37-40. [doi:10.1016/0167-7152\(92\)90282-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(92)90282-A)
- [12] F. E. Satterthwaite, "An Approximate Distribution of the Estimates of Variance Components," *Biometrics Bulletin*, Vol. 2, No. 6, 1946, pp. 110-114. [doi:10.2307/3002019](https://doi.org/10.2307/3002019)
- [13] P. B. Patnaik, "The Non-Central  $\chi^2$ -and  $F$ -Distributions and Their Applications," *Biometrika*, Vol. 36, No. 1-2, 1949, pp. 202-232.
- [14] C. D. Hou, "A Simple Approximation for the Distribution of the Weighted Combination of Nonindependent or Independent Probabilities," *Statistics and Probability Letters*, Vol. 73, No. 2, 2005, pp. 179-187. [doi:10.1016/j.spl.2004.11.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2004.11.028)
- [15] P. L. Canner, "An Overview of Six Clinical Trials of Aspirin in the Coronary Heart Disease," *Statistics in Medicine*, Vol. 6, No. 3, 1987, pp. 255-263.
- [16] M. B. Brown, "A Method for combining Non-Independent, One-Sided Tests of Significance," *Biometrics*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1975, pp. 987-992. [doi:10.2307/2529826](https://doi.org/10.2307/2529826)