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Abstract

Among the family of ferrites, M-type hexaferrites has many industrial applications ranging from simple magnets to microwave devices. Improvement in magnetic and dielectric properties of ferrites is of continuous interest. In this present work details study is done to observe the effect of co-doping of rare-earth (RE\(^{3+}\): Pr\(^{3+}\), Sm\(^{3+}\), and Gd\(^{3+}\)) and aluminum in Sr\(_{0.82}\)RE\(_{0.18}\)Fe\(_{12-x}\)Al\(_x\)O\(_{19}\) (x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0). The adopted samples were synthesized via auto-combustion technique. Detailed synthesis, structural, magnetic, and electrical measurements of samples were performed to understand structural-magnetic-electrical property relationship. The Al\(^{3+}\) substitution for Fe\(^{3+}\) brings in a significant enhancement in coercivity but reduces magnetization due to the magnetic dilution effect. Additional coercivity enhancement was possible with RE\(^{3+}\) doping without affecting the magnetization of samples. Among all RE\(^{3+}\) doped samples, Pr\(^{3+}\) doped samples showed the highest Curie temperature, (\(T_C \sim 465^\circ\)C), while Gd\(^{3+}\) doped samples showed little variation in dielectric properties in GHz frequency range. This makes RE\(^{3+}\) doped samples as an ideal candidate for high-frequency microwave applications. Pr\(^{3+}\) with oblate charge distribution (negative Stevens constant) was observed to substitute well into the lattice consequently bringing in desired improvements in physical properties of Sr\(_{0.82}\)RE\(_{0.18}\)Fe\(_{12-x}\)Al\(_x\)O\(_{19}\) ferrite.
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1. Introduction

M-types hexagonal ferrites like BaFe₁₂O₁₉ and SrFe₁₂O₁₉ with magnetoplumbite structure have been widely investigated and used as a permanent magnet because of their high magnetization ($M_s$) and coercivity ($H_c$), low manufacturing cost for industrial production and stability [1] [2] [3]. Crystal structure of this magnetoplumbite is characterized by close packing of oxygen and Sr ions with Fe atoms at the interstitial positions. The hexagonal Sr-Ferrite has 24 Fe$^{3+}$ ions per unit cell which are distributed on five different crystallographic sites viz. three octahedral sites, $12k$, $2a$, and $4f$, one tetrahedral site, $4f$, and one trigonal bipyramidal site, $2b$ [4]. Among them, three sites $12k$, $2a$, and $2b$ have spin-up, while $4f$ and $4f$ have spin down. Because of the competing effect of these spins, the ferromagnetic coupling is favored with the superexchange between Fe-O-Fe. Therefore, the distance between Fe-O-Fe becomes smaller. This lattice distortion leads to the situation where the magnetic moments of the five Fe sublattices are not mutually parallel. The magnetic structure is a collinear ferrimagnet with a net moment per unit cell of the only 40 μB at 4.2K [5]. It is known that Fe ions at $2b$ site provide the largest positive contribution to magnetic moment than at $4f$, $4f$, $2a$ (relatively weak and positive moments), $12k$ sites (negative moments) [6]. Hence, the intrinsic magnetic properties of Sr-Ferrite can be enhanced by substitution for Fe ions in different sites with other suitable ions. The intrinsic magnetic properties of Sr-hexaferrite are found to be affected by the partial substitution for Sr or Fe sites, or both. The different group reported significant improvement on magnetic properties by doping for Sr or Fe sites or both.

A significant improvement in magnetic properties has been reported in SrFe₁₂O₁₉ hexaferrites by the substitution of Sr$^{2+}$ by rare-earth (RE$^{3+}$) ions such as by La$^{3+}$ [7], Nd$^{3+}$ [8], Sm$^{3+}$ [9] [10], Gd$^{3+}$ [11], Fe$^{3+}$ ions by magnetic ions such as Co$^{2+}$ [12] [13] and Cr$^{3+}$ [14] ions and non-magnetic ions such as Al$^{3+}$ [15], Zn$^{3+}$ [16], Ga$^{3+}$ [17] and Cd$^{3+}$ [18] [19], and replacement of Sr$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ together with Pr-Zn [20], La-Cu [21], and La-Zn [22]. From this reported literature on hexaferrites, it is observed that the insertion of RE$^{3+}$ into hexaferrite lattice inhibits grain growth, reduces grain size, and thus controls the coercive force for wide practical applications. Recently our group has reported substantial enhancement in coercivity 300% in SrFe₈Al₄O₁₉ ferrite as compared to that of pure Sr-Ferrite [23]. The reported enhanced coercivity is attributed to the growth of monodomain particles. As ion size of the RE$^{3+}$ element is smaller than Sr$^{2+}$ (1.18 Å) [24], Fe$^{3+}$ would be closer in the O-Fe-O lattice and a stronger interaction might be anticipated, which would result in changed magnetic properties in RE$^{3+}$ ions doped Sr-Ferrite particles. Overall low concentration of RE$^{3+}$ substitution for Sr$^{2+}$ ion has been found effective in improving magnetization of Sr-Ferrite [25] [26]. So, proper substitution of magnetic RE$^{3+}$ for Sr$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{3+}$ brings enhancement in coercivity of Sr-Ferrites [15] [23].

In the present work, we report the effect of co-doping RE$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ on magnetic and dielectric properties of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ ($x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$) na-
nparticles. The M-type Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ ferrites were prepared via auto-combustion method. The process has the advantages of using inexpensive precursors, and the powders obtained are nanosized and homogeneous as compared to ferrites prepared via traditional solid-state reaction, a process which often leaves secondary phases in the compound [15].

2. Experimental

Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ nanocomposite materials were prepared via a one-pot auto-combustion method using nitrate salt. All the chemicals required for the synthesis were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The stoichiometric weight of precursor used for the synthesis of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ composites is listed in Table 1. A stoichiometric amount of RE(NO$_3$)$_3$∙6H$_2$O, Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, and Al(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O were dissolved in a minimum amount of deionized water (100 ml for 0.1 mol of Fe$^{3+}$) by stirring on a hotplate at 60°C for 30 minutes. Citric acid was dissolved into the solutions to give a molar ratio of metal ions to citric acid of 1:1. NH$_4$OH was added dropwise to the solution until the pH value ~6.5 maintained. Then the solution was heated on a hotplate at 300°C until gel ignites with the formation of large amounts of gas, resulting in lightweight voluminous powder. The resulting “precursor” powder was calcined at 950°C for 12 hours to obtain pure Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ hexaferrite powder.

Table 1. Details of the chemicals used for 1 g preparation of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Pr doped Samples</th>
<th>Sm doped Samples</th>
<th>Gd doped Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, Pr(NO$_3$)$_3$∙6H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Citric Acid</td>
<td>Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, Sm(NO$_3$)$_3$∙6H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Citric Acid</td>
<td>Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$∙6H$_2$O, Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Al(NO$_3$)$_3$∙9H$_2$O, Citric Acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Pr$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{12}$O$</em>{19}$</td>
<td>0.1616</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
<td>0.1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Pr$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11.5}$Al$</em>{0.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1639</td>
<td>0.0762</td>
<td>0.1641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Pr$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11}$Al$</em>{1}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1659</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
<td>0.1663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Pr$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10.5}$Al$</em>{1.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>0.1687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Pr$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10}$Al$</em>{2}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1706</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
<td>0.1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Sm$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{12}$O$</em>{19}$</td>
<td>0.1618</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
<td>0.1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Sm$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11.5}$Al$</em>{0.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1641</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>0.1637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Sm$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11}$Al$</em>{1}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1663</td>
<td>0.0766</td>
<td>0.1659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Sm$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10.5}$Al$</em>{1.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1687</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Sm$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10}$Al$</em>{2}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1706</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
<td>0.1707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Gd$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{12}$O$</em>{19}$</td>
<td>0.1615</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>0.1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Gd$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11.5}$Al$</em>{0.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1637</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
<td>0.1637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Gd$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{11}$Al$</em>{1}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1659</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>0.1659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Gd$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10.5}$Al$</em>{1.5}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.0789</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$<em>{0.82}$Gd$</em>{0.18}$Fe$<em>{10}$Al$</em>{2}$O$_{19}$</td>
<td>0.1707</td>
<td>0.0799</td>
<td>0.1707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The balanced chemical reaction during the process is given as:

\[
0.82\text{Sr(NO}_3\text{)}_2 + 0.18\text{RE(NO}_3\text{)}_3 + (12 - x) \text{Fe(NO}_3\text{)}_3 + x\text{Al(NO}_3\text{)}_3 + C_6H_8O_7 + 3\text{NH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Sr}_{60.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19} + 3\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 + 3\text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\] (1)

The crystal phases of the synthesized powders were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance, Germany) using Cu Kα (λ = 1.5406 Å) as the radiation source (40 kV, step size 0.02, scan rate 0.2 min/step, 20° ≤ 2θ ≤ 70°). FTIR spectra were collected in transmission geometry on a disc-shaped sample prepared by mixing KBr 95 Wt% with samples of 5 Wt%. Thermo Nicolet iS 10 was used to collect FTIR spectra. Room temperature magnetic parameters were obtained from demagnetization curves measured using SQUID (Quantum Design, Inc.) with the sweeping magnetic field ± 50 Koe. A 6 mm diameter and 2 mm thick disc were prepared by mixing the powder with PVA Wt% in 200 mg powder samples. The pressure of 5 MPa was applied on samples using a press die. Electric measurement, including resistivity, was performed on the disc-shaped samples in a temperature range up to 190°C using two probe method (TPR-EXP, SVS Labs, CA, USA). The activation energy was measured using the Arrhenius equation [25] is given by

\[
\rho = \rho_0 \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{K_B T} \right)
\]

where \( E_a \) is the activation energy and \( K_B \) is the Boltzmann constant. The activation energy in the present case was obtained by fitting the DC resistivity data.

Dielectric measurements were performed on the same sample in the low-frequency range from 10 kHz to 10 MHz using HP 4275A Multi-Frequency LCR Meter and high-frequency range from 200 MHz to 13,700 MHz using Field Fox Analyzer (N9915A).

3. Result and Discussion

The room temperature XRD pattern of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19} \) is shown in Figures 1(a)-(c). It is found from the diffraction pattern that samples are single-phase magnetoplumbite structure (ICCD 080-1198) with small additional secondary phase \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \). The phase of the as-prepared ferrite corresponds to the hexagonal \( \text{P}_6/\text{mmc} \) symmetry group. The inset of Figure 1 gives the expanded view of the diffracted peaks (107), (200) and (203) between \( 2\theta = 34° - 38° \). The peaks are shifting towards higher \( 2\theta \) values implying the contraction of the lattice with \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) substitution. Observed peak broadening with \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) content also indicate a decrease in crystallite size of the \( \text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19} \). Furthermore, the presence of secondary phase \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) was observed to increase with the atomic weight of the rare-earth viz., \( \text{Pr}^{3+}, \text{Sm}^{3+}, \text{Gd}^{3+} \). Additional, secondary phases, such as \( \text{Gd}(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4), \text{PrFe}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{SmFe}_2\text{O}_3 \) were also observed. The secondary phase obtained in \( \text{Pr}^{3+} \) doped samples is found to be smaller as compared to \( \text{Sm}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Gd}^{3+} \) doped samples.

The XRD data of all three sets of the sample was fitted and analyzed using
TOPAS software to determine the lattice parameters “a”, “c” and the unit cell volume, “V” of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12-x}Al_{x}O_{19}. Lattice parameters of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12-x}Al_{x}O_{19} are listed in Table 2 and plotted as a function of RE^{3+} and Al^{3+} content in Figures 2(a)-(c). It is observed from Figures 2(a)-(c) that “a” and “c” and “V” decrease at a rate of ~0.0238 Å, ~0.0979 Å and ~8.33 Å³ per Al^{3+} content, respectively with increasing Al^{3+} substitution. The decrease in lattice parameters “a” and “c,” and the unit cell volume, “V,” is due to smaller radii of Al^{3+} (0.535 Å)
Table 2. Lattice parameters “a”, “c” and “V” and crystallite size of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12-x}Al_{x}O_{19}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>a (Å)</th>
<th>c (Å)</th>
<th>V (Å³)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (HWL) nm</th>
<th>Micro deformation (-ε)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{12}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.88563</td>
<td>23.0744</td>
<td>692.224</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{11.5}Al_{0.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.86557</td>
<td>22.9962</td>
<td>685.183</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{11}Al_{1}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.85334</td>
<td>22.9462</td>
<td>680.397</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{10.5}Al_{1.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.84753</td>
<td>22.9147</td>
<td>678.562</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{10}Al_{2}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.87716</td>
<td>23.0498</td>
<td>689.493</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{12}Al_{1.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.86394</td>
<td>22.9882</td>
<td>684.475</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{11.5}Al_{0.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.85402</td>
<td>22.9404</td>
<td>678.345</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{11}Al_{1}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.84225</td>
<td>22.8972</td>
<td>676.823</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{10.5}Al_{1.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.83245</td>
<td>22.8635</td>
<td>673.558</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{10}Al_{2}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.87874</td>
<td>23.0475</td>
<td>688.891</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Gd_{0.18}Fe_{12}Al_{1.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.86246</td>
<td>22.9741</td>
<td>683.799</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Gd_{0.18}Fe_{11.5}Al_{0.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.84994</td>
<td>22.9343</td>
<td>676.984</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Gd_{0.18}Fe_{11}Al_{1}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.83809</td>
<td>22.8907</td>
<td>674.356</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Gd_{0.18}Fe_{10.5}Al_{1.5}O_{19}</td>
<td>5.83107</td>
<td>22.8607</td>
<td>673.157</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Lattice parameter as (a) “a” and (b) “c” (c) volume “V” of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12-x}Al_{x}O_{19} as a function of Al³⁺ content (RE³⁺ = Pr³⁺, Sm³⁺, Gd³⁺, x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0).
replacing Fe$^{3+}$ (0.65 Å) [24]. It is evident from Table 2 that overall Pr$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ substituted samples display higher lattice parameter values as compared to other RE$^{3+}$ (Sm$^{3+}$, Gd$^{3+}$) doped Sr$^{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$. The higher lattice parameter value of Pr$^{3+}$ doped sample results from its bigger ionic radii (1.13 Å) as compared to the radii of Sm$^{3+}$ (1.098 Å) and Gd$^{3+}$ (1.078 Å) upon replacing Sr$^{2+}$ (1.38 Å) [24].

The average crystallite size and micro-deformation were determined by using Halder-Wagner-Langford’s (HWL) plot technique applied to the XRD data [26]. According to HWL, the relationship between FWHM of x-ray diffraction peaks, “$\beta^*$”, with the mean crystallize size, “$T$”, and the micro-deformation of a grain, “$\varepsilon$”, is given

\[
\left(\frac{\beta^*}{d^*}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\beta^*}{d^*}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2
\]

where $\beta^*$ is given by $\beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \cos(\theta)$, $\lambda$ the x-rays wavelength, and $d$ is given as $d^* = \frac{2}{\lambda} \sin(\theta)$. The plot of the Equation (3) is shown in Figures 3(a)-(c).
Crystallite size and micro-strain deformation measured from the plot is summarized in Table 2. The variation of the crystallite size of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{Pr}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) as a function of Al\(^{3+}\) content is shown in Figure 4. The decrease in the crystallite size is because RE\(^{3+}\)-Al\(^{3+}\) substitution inhibits the grain growth as they enter into grain boundaries and accelerate the formation of secondary phases. The observed secondary phases cause the grain refinement accompanied by reduced strain [27].

The mean crystallite size of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{RE}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \) calculated using Halder-Wagner Langford’s (HWL) plot technique follows a decreasing trend with increasing Al\(^{3+}\) content. The measured mean crystallite size of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{Pr}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) (47.8 to 40.8 nm), \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{Sm}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) (49.4 to 40.1 nm) and \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{Gd}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) (46.3 to 38.6 nm) for \( x = 0.0 \) to \( x = 2.0 \). It has been observed that the value of crystallite size is highest for Pr\(^{3+}\) and lowest for Gd\(^{3+}\) doped samples in agreement with their ionic radii i.e. Pr\(^{3+}\) (1.13 Å) > Sm\(^{3+}\) (1.098 Å) > Gd\(^{3+}\) (1.078 Å).

Overall observed strain in Gd\(^{3+}\) doped \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{Gd}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) is higher than other RE\(^{3+}\) (Pr\(^{3+}\), Sm\(^{3+}\)) doped samples. The higher value of strain in Gd\(^{3+}\) (with spherical 4f charge distribution) doped samples is due to its smaller radii, which may result in the secondary phases as confirmed via XRD [28] [42]. It has been reported earlier by Lechiviller et al. [29] that Pr\(^{3+}\), with oblate 4f charge distribution, is easily accommodated in \( \text{SrFe}_{12} \text{O}_{19} \) unit cell. Thus, our results confirm the fact that the 4f charge symmetry of rare-earth controls the accommodation of RE\(^{3+}\) in \( \text{SrFe}_{12} \text{O}_{19} \) unit cell. Sm\(^{3+}\) (positive Stevens constant, \( a_0 \)) and Gd\(^{3+}\) (zero Stevens constant) are thus not as desired as Pr\(^{3+}\) (negative Stevens constant), as the latter produces minimum lattice distortion and secondary phases [30].

FTIR spectra of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82} \text{RE}_{0.18} \text{Fe}_{12-x} \text{Al}_x \text{O}_{19} \) is shown in Figure 5. From Figure 5,
Figure 5. FTIR spectra of (a) $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{Pr}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$, (b) $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{Sm}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$, and (c) $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{Gd}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$.
the FTIR spectrum of $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ exhibit two high-frequency bands in the range between 900 cm$^{-1}$ to 400 cm$^{-1}$. The frequency absorption bands at 609.4 cm$^{-1}$, and 447.4 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to tetrahedral and octahedral $\text{M-O}$ stretching vibration of the pure $\text{Sr}$-ferrite, respectively. These absorption bands correspond to the typical absorption of $\text{SrFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ [31] [32] [33]. A very approximate bands were also observed in the $\text{SrZr}_{0.2}\text{Cd}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{11.8}\text{O}_{19}$ [18]. The shifting of absorption bands towards higher frequency has been observed with increasing $\text{Al}^{3+}$ content. This shift in the wavenumber is due to higher energy absorption accompanied by a change in bond-length. **Table 3** shows the wavenumber of the corresponding absorption peaks of $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$. As the wavenumber shift is inversely proportional to the atomic mass, substitution for heavier $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ by lighter $\text{Al}^{3+}$ will thus lead to an increase in wavenumbers [27]. This shift in wavenumber to the higher values with increasing $\text{Al}^{3+}$ content could also result from the decrease in bond length between $\text{Fe}^{3+}$-$\text{O}^{2−}$ in the B-sites with the lattice contraction. The higher wavenumber shift is observed in $\text{Pr}^{3+}$ doped samples as compared to other $\text{RE}^{3+}$ ($\text{Sm}^{3+}$, $\text{Gd}^{3+}$) doping in $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$. Even though $\text{Pr}^{3+}$ doped $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ has a higher lattice volume, the shift in wavenumber to high values suggest tight bonding with near neighbor $\text{O}^{2−}$. This again indicates that $\text{RE}^{3+}$ with negative $\alpha_j$ ($\text{Pr}^{3+}$) can fit well into $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ unit cell. Also, $\text{Pr}^{3+}$ is lighter than $\text{Sm}^{3+}$ and $\text{Gd}^{3+}$, thus $\text{Pr}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$ display slightly greater shift in wavenumber than $\text{Sm}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$ and $\text{Gd}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$ doped samples [34]. The vibrations bands are broadened by the substitution of $\text{RE}^{3+}$ ions shown in **Figures 5(a)-(c)** is due to the decrease in particle size with doping ions [35].

**Figure 6** shows RT demagnetization curves $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ obtained using SQUID. The magnetic parameters saturation magnetization, remanence, and coercivity were extracted from the demagnetization curves and are listed in **Table 4**. The demagnetization curves show the characteristic behavior of hard ferrites with high coercivity. The saturation magnetization, $M_s$ of $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ as a function of $\text{Al}^{3+}$ content, is plotted in **Figure 7**. The magnetic properties of the substituted ferrite in comparison to pure $\text{SrFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ has been changed significantly upon $\text{RE}^{3+}$ and $\text{Al}^{3+}$ substitution in $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$. On an average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$\text{Pr}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$ samples</th>
<th>$\text{Sm}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$-doped samples</th>
<th>$\text{Gd}^{3+}$-$\text{Al}^{3+}$-doped samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td>Peak 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>447.40</td>
<td>609.40</td>
<td>447.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>455.12</td>
<td>617.11</td>
<td>455.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>462.83</td>
<td>624.82</td>
<td>455.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>462.83</td>
<td>624.82</td>
<td>455.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>470.55</td>
<td>632.54</td>
<td>462.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 6.** Room temperature demagnetization curves of (a) Sr$_{0.82}$Pr$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ (b) Sr$_{0.82}$Sm$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ (c) Sr$_{0.82}$Gd$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$.

**Figure 7.** Variation of saturation magnetization, $M_s$, of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ as a function of Al$^{3+}$ content (RE$^{3+}$ = Pr$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$, Gd$^{3+}$, $x$ = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0).
Table 4. Resistivity (×10^7 Ω-cm) of Sr_0.82RE_0.18Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19} (RE^{3+} = Pr^{3+}, Sm^{3+}, Gd^{3+}; x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>x = 0.0</th>
<th>x = 0.5</th>
<th>x = 1.0</th>
<th>x = 1.5</th>
<th>x = 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Pr_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19}</td>
<td>0.1498</td>
<td>0.1828</td>
<td>0.1983</td>
<td>1.1940</td>
<td>1.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Sm_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19}</td>
<td>0.1262</td>
<td>0.1521</td>
<td>0.7061</td>
<td>0.9106</td>
<td>1.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr_{0.82}Gd_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19}</td>
<td>1.0580</td>
<td>10.222</td>
<td>10.920</td>
<td>10.223</td>
<td>15.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the saturation magnetization, $M_s$ decreases linearly with Al^{3+} content at the rate of −15.8 emu/g per Al^{3+} substitution.

The $M_s$ value is maximum for Pr^{3+}-Al^{3+} doped ferrite (62.24 emu/g) and minimum for Gd^{3+} doped ferrite (56.88 emu/g) for (x = 0). This variation of magnetic properties can be explained based on the site occupancy of the substituted ions. The magnetic moment in M-type hexaferrite is due to the distribution of iron on five non-equivalent sublattices of which three are octahedral (2a, 12k, and 4f2), one tetrahedral (4f1) and one trigonal bipyramidal (2b) [4]. The sites 12k, 2a, and 2b have upward spins, and 4f1 and 4f2 have a downward spin of electrons. The non-magnetic Al^{3+} ion replaces Fe^{3+} ion (5 $\mu_B$) from the sites having spin up direction, mainly 12k, which is responsible for the reduction in saturation magnetization and remanence of the synthesized materials [15] [36] [37].

The decrease in magnetization is also attributed to the lattice contraction with the substitution of RE^{3+} and Al^{3+}, which changes the bond angle of Fe^{3+}-O^{2−}-Fe^{3+} and alters the strength of the super-exchange interaction. To maintain the charge neutrality, the substitution of Sr^{2+} with RE^{3+} also changes some Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} and thus further reduces the net magnetic moment per unit volume, causing the decrease in magnetization. The magnetization also decreases due to the increased amount of paramagnetic secondary phases in heavier RE^{3+} doped Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19} samples.

The variation of $M_r/M_s$ of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19} as a function of Al^{3+} content is plotted in Figure 8. Most of the samples exhibit the squareness ratio of approximately 0.5. This ratio measures the squareness of the hysteresis loop. According to Stoner-Wohlfarth relation [38], a squareness ratio of 0.5 or more indicates that the particles are single magnetic domain while with values much lower than 0.5 indicate the formation of the multidomain structure in the material. The observed $M_r/M_s$ values are very close to 0.5, which indicate the presence of monodomain particles in the sample.

The variation of coercivity of Sr_{0.82}RE_{0.18}Fe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19} as a function of Al^{3+} content is shown in Figure 9. The coercivity of samples increases linearly with increasing value of Al^{3+} content. It is observed that the coercivity of the RE^{3+}-Al^{3+} doped samples is significantly higher than that of coercivity of only Al^{3+} doped SrFe_{12−x}Al_xO_{19}. The coercivity of SrFe_{12}O_{19} is often associated to the magneto-crystalline anisotropy of trigonal pyramidal 2b site [15] [39] [40]. The higher concentration of Al^{3+} doping causes a decrease in a number of Fe^{3+} ions at 2b
sites, which in turn, changes magnetocrystalline anisotropy significantly [15]. In addition, Al^{3+} doping case distortion of 2b site, which alters the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of 2b site [41]. This collective change in anisotropy promotes an increase in coercivity.
Stoner-Wohlfarth relation [38] shows that $H_c = K_1/M_s$, where $K_1$ is magneto-crystalline anisotropy, and $M_s$ is saturation magnetization. With Al$^{3+}$ doping, saturation magnetization, $M_s$ decreases thus coercivity, $H_c$ increases. A decrease in saturation magnetization, $M_s$, and changes in $K_1$, enhance the coercivity of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ with Al$^{3+}$ substitution. The grain size also affects the coercivity since the grain size of Al$^{3+}$ doped sample is smaller the critical size 560 nm of SrFe$_{12}$O$_{19}$ [4]; the particles in the sample are single domain. In the absence of a domain wall, the energy required to flip the moment is high. Thus, the grain refinement additionally contributes to the coercivity [23]. Among doped samples, Gd$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ doped samples show high coercivity, most likely due to its finer grain size (Table 2) as compared to other RE$^{3+}$ (Pr$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$)-Al$^{3+}$ doped Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ samples.

The variation of Curie temperature, $T_c$, as a function of Al$^{3+}$ content for Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ is shown in Figure 10, and values are listed in Table 4. From Figure 10, it is observed that $T_c$ is decreasing with Al$^{3+}$ content. The $T_c$ decreases at the rate of −58˚C per Al$^{3+}$ content of all the samples. The Pr$^{3+}$ substituted ferrite exhibits a maximum value of $T_c \sim 465.21˚C$ for $x = 0$. The following factors affect the $T_c$; 1) substitution of Al$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{3+}$ decreases the number and strength of the super-exchange interaction, Fe$^{3+}$-O$^{2-}$-Fe$^{3+}$, 2) conversion of higher spin Fe$^{3+}$ to lower spin Fe$^{2+}$, to maintain charge neutrality, with RE$^{3+}$ substitution also reduces the strength of super-exchange interaction, and 3) lattice contraction alters the bond length of Fe$^{3+}$-O$^{2-}$-Fe$^{3+}$ from its optimum value. The above-combined factors lead to the reduction in $T_c$ with RE$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ substitution. The observed variation of $T_c$ values among RE$^{3+}$ substituted Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ results from subtle difference in exchange interaction ensuing from the variation in the lattice distortion upon inserting rare-earth ions of varying ionic radii.
Figures 11(a)-(c) shows the variation of electrical resistivity as a function of temperature of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$. It is observed that electrical resistivity decreases with temperature exhibiting semiconducting behavior of samples. It is observed that the Al$^{3+}$ doping increases electrical resistivity at room temperature. The highest electrical resistivity was observed for Gd$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ samples ($\rho \sim 15.2 \times 10^{7}$ ohm-cm) while the lowest for Pr$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ sample ($\rho \sim 1.28 \times 10^{7}$ ohm-cm) for $x = 0.0$. According to Verwey’s hopping model, the change in carrier mobility with temperature leads to conduction current by hopping electron between Fe$^{3+}$ ↔ Fe$^{2+}$ at various sites [42] [43]. The increasing resistivity with Al$^{3+}$ content can be explained based on on-site occupancy, grain size, grain boundaries, etc. As Al$^{3+}$ ion predominantly replaces Fe$^{3+}$ ion at 12$k$ octahedral sites [17], leading to an increase in electrical resistivity. The number of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions is greater in large grain due to which hoping of an electron is easy in the large grain than in smaller grains. Therefore, strontium ferrite with Gd$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ doped shows higher resistivity.

![Figure 11. Electrical resistivity as a function of temperature for (a). Sr$_{0.82}$Pr$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ (b) Sr$_{0.82}$Sm$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ and (c) Sr$_{0.82}$Gd$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$.](image-url)
The activation energy was calculated using the Arrhenius equation by plotting \( \ln(\rho) \) vs. \( 1000/T \). The \( \ln(\rho) \) vs. \( 1000/T \) plots are shown in Figures 12(a)-(c). The slope of these plots gives activation energy and are listed in Table 5. Figure 13 shows the plot of activation energy vs. RE\(^{3+}\)-Al\(^{3+}\) content. The activation energy increases with Al\(^{3+}\) content in all set of the samples. The activation energy for Gd\(^{3+}\)-Al\(^{3+}\) doped samples is higher than Sm\(^{3+}\)-Al\(^{3+}\) and Pr\(^{3+}\)-Al\(^{3+}\) doped samples.

**Table 5.** Activation energy (eV) of \( \text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19} \) (RE\(^{3+}\) = Pr\(^{3+}\), Sm\(^{3+}\), Gd\(^{3+}\), \( x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>( x = 0 )</th>
<th>( x = 0.5 )</th>
<th>( x = 1 )</th>
<th>( x = 1.5 )</th>
<th>( x = 2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Sr}<em>{0.82}\text{Pr}</em>{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}<em>x\text{O}</em>{19} )</td>
<td>0.2319</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.2972</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.3623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Sr}<em>{0.82}\text{Sm}</em>{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}<em>x\text{O}</em>{19} )</td>
<td>0.2692</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.3271</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.3875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Sr}<em>{0.82}\text{Gd}</em>{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}<em>x\text{O}</em>{19} )</td>
<td>0.3633</td>
<td>0.4158</td>
<td>0.4283</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.4532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12.** Plot of \( \ln(\rho) \) as a function of \( 1000/T \) for \( \text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19} \) (RE\(^{3+}\) = Pr\(^{3+}\), Sm\(^{3+}\), Gd\(^{3+}\), \( x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 \)).
due to reduced grain size and increased grain boundaries. The activation energy was observed to increase with decreasing ionic radii of RE$^{3+}$ [44]. The increase in activation energy with increasing RE$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ means that higher energy is required to eject the trapped electron and participate in the conduction mechanism. Also, higher activation energy and enhanced DC resistivity with RE$^{3+}$ substitution are due to the formation of a small amount of additional phases REFeO$_2$ [45].

The variation of dielectric constant and tangent loss ($\delta$) of Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ was measured as a function of frequency at room temperature. The dielectric behavior of each sample was found different depending upon types of RE$^{3+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ content. RE$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ dependence of dielectric constant as function of frequency for Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ in low (100 Hz - 10 MHz) and high (200 MHz - 13,700 MHz) frequency range shown in Figures 14-16. It is observed that dielectric constant decreases with the increase in frequency in the low-frequency range. However, in the high-frequency range, the dielectric constant initially decreases and becomes constant at above $f \approx 3.5$ GHz.

The variation of dielectric constant at frequency shows the dispersion due to Maxwell-Wagner type interfacial polarization [46] associated with Koop’s phenomenological theory [47]. Based on these models, the dielectric structure of the ferrite consists of well-conducting grains, separated by highly resistive thin layer grain boundaries formed during the sintering process [22] [48]. At low frequency, there is a large value of dielectric constant due to the grain boundary defects, interfacial dislocation, oxygen vacancies, etc. [49]. At low frequency, applied alternating voltage on the ferrite drops across the thin grain boundaries so that space charge polarization is set up across the grain boundaries. The space charge
Figure 14. (a) Dielectric Constant and (b) tangent loss of Sr$_{0.82}$Pr$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ as a function of frequency in low and high frequency range.

Figure 15. (a) Dielectric Constant and (b) tangent loss of Sr$_{0.82}$Sm$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ as a function of frequency in low and high frequency range.
polarization is governed by the available free charges on the grain boundaries and conductivity of the sample [50]. For high-frequency range, the dielectric constant decreases with increasing frequency of an external field and become frequency-independent due to the fact that electron hopping between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ cannot follow the alternating field means that frequency of exchange electrons lags behind the frequency of the applied field [36] [43]. The dielectric constant of all set of samples remains almost constant in GHz range, but a sudden drop at ~12.7 GHz is observed due to the fact that the frequency of hopping electron between Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ becomes equal to the frequency of the applied alternating field leading to the resonance absorption. The dielectric loss factor decreases with increasing frequency for all sets of samples. The origin of dielectric loss in ferries came from electron hopping in response to low frequency and charged dipole defects response to high frequency [48]. The dielectric loss factor is minimum for Gd$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ samples, which is attributed to the smallest radial size used among three sets of samples [51].

4. Conclusion

The structural, magnetic, and electrical properties of Re$^{3+}$-Al$^{3+}$ substitution in Sr$_{0.82}$RE$_{0.18}$Fe$_{12-x}$Al$_x$O$_{19}$ hexaferrite synthesized via auto-combustion were investi-
gated. The room temperature magnetization was observed to decrease with increase in Al$^{3+}$ substitution in $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ due to the magnetic dilution effect, reduction in Fe$^{3+}$-$\text{O}^{2-}$-Fe$^{3+}$ strength due to lattice contraction, reduction in a number of super-exchange interactions, and conversion of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ with RE$^{3+}$ substitution. The substitution of Al$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{3+}$ was observed to increase the coercivity of $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$. The increase in coercivity is attributed to a reduction in grain size leading monodomain grains and change in magnetocrystalline anisotropy. A maximum value of 12.21 KOe coercivity was observed for $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{Gd}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ as it also has the smallest grain size among all rare-earth substituted $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$. Our study suggests that magnetic RE$^{3+}$ enhances coercivity but have a detrimental effect on the saturation magnetization. The oblate charge distribution of Pr$^{3+}$ ions with Stevens’s constant $a_j \sim -2.2 \times 10^{-2}$ gets well fitted in the lattice sites, which brings the desired high coercivity. The variation of magnetic properties arises due to the change in magneto-crystalline anisotropy attributed to the site occupancy of RE$^{3+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ ions. The $T_c$ value was observed to decrease with Al$^{3+}$ substitution due to a reduction in super-exchange interactions. The DC electrical resistivity of $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{RE}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$ was observed to decrease with increase in temperature. The activation energy was observed to be highest for Gd$^{3+}$ doped $\text{Sr}_{0.82}\text{Gd}_{0.18}\text{Fe}_{12-x}\text{Al}_x\text{O}_{19}$, which is attributed to increased grain boundaries and reduced grain size. The dielectric constant and dielectric loss were observed to decrease with frequency. This behavior of dielectric constant was attributed to the lagging of hopping electrons behind the applied alternating field. Also, dielectric constant decreases with the Al$^{3+}$ content due to a reduced number of Fe$^{3+}$ ions. As the coercivity and resistivity can be increased without much affecting the magnetization, RE$^{3+}$ substitution in the strontium ferrite is beneficial for microwave devices.
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