

Generalization of Certain Subclasses of Multivalent Functions with Negative Coefficients Defined by Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator

Elsayed A. Elrifai, Hanan E. Darwish, Abdusalam R. Ahmed

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt

E-mail: Rifai@mans.edu.eg, Darwish333@yahoo.com, Abdusalam5056@yahoo.com

Received November 1, 2010; revised July 6, 2011; accepted July 13, 2011

Abstract

Making use of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator, we introduce and study a certain $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ of p -valently analytic functions with negative coefficients. In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, convexity and modified Hadamard products of functions belonging to the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Finally, several applications investigate an integral operator, and certain fractional calculus operators also considered.

Keywords: Multivalent Functions, Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator, Modified-Hadamard Product, Fractional Calculus

1. Introduction

Let $T(j, p)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0; p, j \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be p -valently starlike of order α if it satisfies the inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N). \quad (1.2)$$

We denote by $T_j^*(p, \alpha)$ the class of all p -valently starlike functions of order α . Also a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be p -valently convex of order α if it satisfies the inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N). \quad (1.3)$$

We denote by $C_j(p, \alpha)$ the class of all p -valently convex functions of order α . We note that (see for example Duren [1] and Goodman [2])

$$f(z) \in C_j(p, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in T_j^*(p, \alpha) \quad (1.4)$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N).$$

The classes $T_j^*(p, \alpha)$ and $C_j(p, \alpha)$ are studied by Owa [3].

In [4] Wang *et al.* defined Cho-kwon-Srivastava operator which

$$\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c) f(z) : T(j, p) \rightarrow T(j, p),$$

by

$$\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c) f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)! (a)_{k-p}} a_k z^k \quad (1.5)$$

for

$$(a, c \in R/Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}, z \in U, \lambda > -p)$$

and

$$(\lambda)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & ; k = 0 \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+k-1) & ; k \in N. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\ell_{p,1}^\lambda(a, c)$ is the well-known Cho-kwon-Srivastava operator (see [5]) where

$$\ell_{p,j}^1(p+1, 1) = f(z), \quad \ell_{p,j}^1(p, 1) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p},$$

and

$$\ell_{p,1}^\lambda(a, a) = D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z) (\lambda > -p),$$

where $D^{\lambda+p-1}$ is the well-known Ruscheweyh derivative of $(\lambda + p - 1)$ -th order.

With the help of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava $\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z)$, we say that a function $f(z)$ belonging to $T(j, p)$ is in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))' + \delta z^2(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(1-\delta)\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z) + \delta z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'} \right\} > \alpha$$

($p \in N, j \in N_0$)

(1.6)

We note that:

1) when $\delta = 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'}{\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

which is the class of starlike of order α .

2) when $\delta = 0, a = p + 1, \lambda = 1, c = 1$, we have the class

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha; 0 \leq \alpha < p$$

which is the class of starlike functions of order α studied by Owa [3] and Yamakawa [6]

3) when $\delta = 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))''}{(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a, c)f(z))'} \right\} > \alpha;$$

$0 \leq \alpha \leq p$

that is, that

$$(j+p-\alpha) \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} (k-\alpha) [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k \leq (p-\alpha) [1+\delta(p-1)]$$

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k \leq \frac{(p-\alpha) [1+\delta(p-1)]}{j+p-\alpha}.$$

Since

which is the class of convex operator of order α .

4) when $\delta = 1, a = p + 1, c = 1, \lambda = 1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha; (0 \leq \alpha < p)$$

which is the class of convex functions of order α studied by Owa [3] and Yamakawa [6].

In our present paper, we shall make use of the familiar $J_{c,p}$ defined by (c.f. [7,8], see also [9])

$$(J_{c,p}f)(z) = \frac{c+p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \tag{1.7}$$

$$(f(z) \in T(j, p); c > -p, p \in N),$$

as well as the fractional calculus operator D_z^μ for which it is well known that (see, for details, [10,11]; see also Section 5 below)

$$D_z^\mu \{z^\rho\} = \frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)}{\Gamma(\rho+1-\mu)} z^{\rho-\mu}, \tag{1.8}$$

($\rho > -1; \mu \in R$)

in terms of Gamma functions.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1). Then $f(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} (k-\alpha) [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k$$

$$\leq (p-\alpha) [1+\delta(p-1)] \tag{2.1}$$

$$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, p, j \in N, n \in N_0).$$

Proof. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| [1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k z^{k-p} \right| \\ & \geq [1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k |z|^{k-p} \\ & \geq [1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k \geq \frac{j[1+\delta(p-1)]}{j+p-\alpha} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \delta z^2(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\delta)\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \delta z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} - p \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} (k-p)[1+\delta(k-1)] a_k |z|^{k-p}}{[1+\delta(k-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k |z|^{k-p}} \tag{2.2} \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} (k-p)[1+\delta(k-1)] a_k}{[1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k} \leq p-\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the values of the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \delta z^2(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\delta)\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \delta z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} \tag{2.3}$$

lie in a circle which is centered at $w=p$ and whose radius is $(p-\alpha)$. Hence $f(z)$ satisfies the condition (1.6).

Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ is in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))' + \delta z^2(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))''}{(1-\delta)\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z) + \delta z(\ell_{p,j}^\lambda(a,c)f(z))'} \right\} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p[1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} k [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k z^{k-p}}{[1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k z^{k-p}} \right\} > \alpha \tag{2.4} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < p)$, some $\delta(0 \leq \delta \leq 1)$, $p, j \in N$, $n \in N_0$, and $z \in U$. Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\phi(z)$ given by (2.3) is real. Upon clearing the

denominator in (2.4) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & p[1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} k [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k \\ & \geq \alpha \left\{ [1+\delta(p-1)] - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} [1+\delta(k-1)] a_k \right\}. \tag{2.5} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the inequality (2.1).

Corollary 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Then

$$a_k \leq \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]} \frac{j!(a)_j}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} \quad (2.6)$$

$(k \geq j+p, p, j \in N).$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\left\{ \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} - \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)](j+p)!}{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j j!(a)_j (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)](j+p-m)!} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p-m}$$

$$\leq |f^m(z)| \leq \left\{ \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} + \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)](j+p)!}{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j j!(a)_j (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)](j+p-m)!} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p-m}$$

$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, p, j \in N, n \in N_0).$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j j!(a)_j (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} z^{j+p}. \quad (p, j \in N, n \in N_0). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{\left(\frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} \right) (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)](j+p)!} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k! a_k \leq \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p} (p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} a_k \leq 1$$

which readily yields

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k! a_k \leq \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)](j+p)!}{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j j!(a)_j (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]}. \quad (3.3)$$

Now, by differentiating both sides of (1.1) m times, we obtain

$$f^{(m)}(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} z^{p-m} - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} a_k z^{k-m} \quad (3.4)$$

$(k \geq j+p, j, p \in N).$

Theorem 2, follows from (3.3) and (3.4).

Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (3.1) are at-

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]} z^k$$

$(k \geq j+p, p, j \in N, n \in N_0).$

3. Distortion Theorem

Theorem 2. If a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ then

tained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.2).

4. Radii of Close-to-Convexity, Starlikeness and Convexity

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ then

- 1) $f(z)$ is p -valently close-to-convex of order

$\varphi(0 \leq \varphi < p)$ in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{(\lambda + p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)! (a)_{k-p}} (k-\alpha) [1 + \delta(k-1)] \right)^{\frac{1}{k-p}}}{(p-\alpha) [1 + \delta(p-1)]} \left(\frac{p-\varphi}{k} \right) \right\}, \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N, n \in N_0), \tag{4.1}$$

2) $f(z)$ is p -valently starlike of order $\phi(0 \leq \phi < p)$ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{(\lambda + p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)! (a)_{k-p}} (k-\alpha) [1 + \delta(k-1)] \right)^{\frac{1}{k-p}}}{(p-\alpha) [1 + \delta(p-1)]} \left(\frac{p-\phi}{k-\phi} \right) \right\}, \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N) \tag{4.2}$$

3) $f(z)$ is p -valently convex of order $\varphi(0 \leq \varphi < p)$ in $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{(\lambda + p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)! (a)_{k-p}} (k-\alpha) [1 + \delta(k-1)] \right)^{\frac{1}{k-p}}}{(p-\alpha) [1 + \delta(p-1)]} \cdot \frac{p(p-\varphi)}{k(k-\varphi)} \right\}, \quad (k \geq j+p, j, p \in N). \tag{4.3}$$

Each of these results is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.7).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - \varphi \right| \leq p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_1; 0 \leq \varphi < p, p \in N), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_2; 0 \leq \varphi < p, p \in N), \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \leq p - \varphi \quad (|z| < r_3; 0 \leq \varphi < p, p \in N), \tag{4.6}$$

for a function $f(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ where r_1, r_2 and r_3 are defined by (4.1) - (4.3) respectively. The details involved are fairly straightforward and may omi-

tited.

5. Modified Hadamard Products

For the functions $f_i(z) (i=1,2)$ defined by

$$f_i(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \quad (a_{k,i} \geq 0; i=1,2), \tag{5.1}$$

we denote by $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ the modified Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k. \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 4. Let the functions $f_i(z) (i=1,2)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \gamma, \delta)$, where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{j(p-\alpha)^2 [1 + \delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda + p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)^2 [1 + \delta(j+p-1)] - (p-\alpha)^2 [1 + \delta(p-1)]}. \tag{5.3}$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_i(z) (i=1,2)$ given by

$$f_i(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\alpha) [1 + \delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda + p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha) [1 + \delta(j+p-1)]} z^{j+p}, \quad (p, j \in N, i=1,2). \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [12], we need to find the largest γ such that

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\gamma)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p} (p-\gamma)[1+\delta(p-1)]} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \leq 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Since $f_i(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta) (i=1,2)$, we readily see that

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p} (p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} a_{k,i} \leq 1 \tag{5.6}$$

$(i=1,2).$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]} \leq \frac{(p-\gamma)(k-\alpha)}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p} (k-\gamma)}, \tag{5.10}$$

$(k \geq j+p, p, j \in N),$

It follows from (5.10) that

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{(k-p)(p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(k-1)] - (p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}, \tag{5.11}$$

$(k \geq j+p, j, p \in N).$

Now, defining the function $G(k)$ by

$$G(k) = p - \frac{(k-p)(p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(k-1)] - (p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}, \tag{5.12}$$

$(k \geq j+p, j, p \in N).$

We see that $G(k)$ is an increasing function of k . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\gamma \leq G(j+p) = p - \frac{j(p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j (j+p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(j+p-\alpha)] - (p-\alpha)^2 [1+\delta(p-1)]}, \tag{5.13}$$

$j!(a)_j$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remark: Putting 1) $a = p+1, \lambda = 1, c = 1, \delta = 0$ and 2) $a = p+1, \lambda = 1, c = 1, \delta = 1$ in Theorem 4, we obtain

Corollary 2. Let the functions $f_i(z) (i=1,2)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $T_j^*(p, \alpha)$. Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in T_j^*(p, \gamma)$, where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{j(p-\alpha)^2}{(j+p-\alpha)^2 - (p-\alpha)^2}. \tag{5.14}$$

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p} (k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p} (p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Thus we only need to show that

$$\frac{(k-\gamma)}{(p-\gamma)} a_{k,1} \cdot a_{k,2} \leq \frac{(k-\alpha)}{(p-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{k,1} \cdot a_{k,2}} \tag{5.8}$$

$(k \geq j+p, p, j \in N),$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1} \cdot a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{(p-\gamma)(k-\alpha)}{(p-\alpha)(k-\gamma)} \tag{5.9}$$

$(k \geq j+p, p, j \in N),$

Hence, in light of the inequality (5.7), it is sufficient to prove that

The result is sharp.

Corollary 3. Let the functions $f_i(z) (i=1,2)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $C_j(p, \alpha)$. Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in C_j(p, \gamma)$, where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{j(p-\alpha)^2 p}{(j+p-\alpha)^2 (1+j+p-\alpha) - (p-\alpha)^2 p}. \tag{5.15}$$

The result is sharp.

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of

Theorem 4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. Let the function $f_1(z)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ Suppose also that the

function $f_2(z)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \tau, \delta)$, Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \zeta, \delta)$, where

$$\zeta = p - \frac{j(p-\alpha)(p-\tau)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(j+p-\alpha)(j+p-\tau)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]-\Omega}, \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\Omega = (p-\alpha)(p-\tau)[1+\delta(p-1)]. \tag{5.17}$$

The result is the best possible for the functions

$$f_1(z) = z^p - \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j}(j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} z^{j+p}, \quad (p, j \in N) \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z^p \frac{(p-\tau)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j}(j+p-\tau)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} z^{j+p}, \quad (p, j \in N). \tag{5.19}$$

Theorem 6. Let the functions $f_i(z) (i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ defined by (5.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Then the function

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{k,i}^2 \right) z^k, \tag{5.20}$$

belongs to the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \zeta, \delta)$ where

$$\zeta = p - \frac{jm(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j}(j+p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(j+p-1)]-m(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}. \tag{5.21}$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_i(z) (i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ given by (5.4).

Proof. Noting that

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)] \right]^2}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} \right\} a_{k,i}^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} a_{k,i} \right\}^2 \leq 1, \tag{5.22}$$

$$(f_i(z) \in SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta) (i=1, 2, \dots, m))$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \frac{\left[\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)] \right]^2}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{k,i}^2 \right) \leq 1. \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore, we have find largest ζ such that

$$\frac{(k-\zeta)}{(p-\zeta)} \leq \frac{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(k-1)]}{m(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]} \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N), \tag{5.24}$$

that is, that

$$\zeta \leq p - \frac{m(k-p)(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(k-1)] - m(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}, \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N). \tag{5.25}$$

Now, defining the function $\psi(k)$ by

$$\psi(k) = p - \frac{m(k-p)(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p}(c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}}(k-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(k-1)] - m(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}, \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N) \tag{5.26}$$

we observe that $\psi(k)$ is an increasing function of k . We thus conclude that

$$\zeta \leq \psi(j+p) = p - \frac{mj(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j}(j+p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(j+p-1)] - m(p-\alpha)^2[1+\delta(p-1)]}, \tag{5.27}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 6.

6. Applications of Fractional Calculus

Various operators of fractional calculus (that is, fractional integral and fractional derivatives) have been studied in (cf., e.g., [9,10,13-15]; see also the various references cited therein).

For our present investigation, we recall the following definitions.

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order μ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\mu}} d\zeta \quad (\mu > 0), \tag{6.1}$$

where the function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected domain of the complex z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\mu-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\zeta)$ to be real when $(z-\zeta) > 0$.

$$D_z^\mu \{J_{c,p} f(z)\} = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\mu)} z^{p-\mu} - \sum_{k=j+p}^\infty \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1-\mu)} a_k z^{k-\mu}, \quad (\mu \in R; c > -p, p, j \in N) \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$J_{c,p} (D_z^\mu \{f(z)\}) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(p+1)}{(c+p-\mu)\Gamma(p+1-\mu)} z^{p-\mu} - \sum_{k=j+p}^\infty \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(c+k-\mu)\Gamma(k+1-\mu)} a_k z^{k-\mu}, \quad (\mu \in R; c > -p, p, j \in N) \tag{6.5}$$

provided that no zeros appear in the denominators in (6.4) and (6.5).

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of order μ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^\mu f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\mu} d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1), \tag{6.2}$$

where the function $f(z)$ is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\mu}$ is removed, as in Definition 1.

Definition 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $n+\mu$ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{n+\mu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \{D_z^\mu f(z)\} \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1, n \in N_0). \tag{6.3}$$

In this section, we shall investigate the growth and distortion properties of functions in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$ involving the operators $J_{c,p}$ and D_z^μ . In order to derive our results, we need the following Lemma given by Chen *et al.* [14].

Lemma 1 (see [14]). Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1). Then

Theorem 7. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Then

$$\left| D_z^{-\mu} \left\{ (J_{c,p} f)(z) \right\} \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\mu)} - \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p+\mu},$$

$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \delta \leq 1; \mu > 0; c > -p, p, j \in N)$

(6.6)

and

$$\left| D_z^{-\mu} \left\{ (J_{c,p} f)(z) \right\} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\mu)} + \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p+\mu},$$

$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 \leq \delta \leq 1; \mu > 0; c > -p, p, j \in N)$.

(6.7)

Each of the assertion (6.6) and (6.7) is sharp.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{(\lambda+p)_{k-p} (c)_{k-p}}{(k-p)!(a)_{k-p}} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(k-1)]}{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]} a_k \leq 1,$$

(6.8)

which readily yields

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{\frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]}.$$

(6.9)

Consider the function $f(z)$ defined in U by

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1+\mu)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\mu} D_z^{-\mu} \left\{ (J_{c,p} f)(z) \right\} = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\mu)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1+\mu)\Gamma(p+1)} a_k z^k \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \phi(k) a_k z^k \quad (z \in U), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(k) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\mu)}{(c+k)\Gamma(k+1+\mu)\Gamma(p+1)} \quad (k \geq j+p, p, j \in N, \mu > 0).$$

(6.10)

Since $\phi(k)$ is a decreasing function of k when $\mu > 0$, we get

$$0 < \phi(k) \leq \phi(j+p) = \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\mu)}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu)\Gamma(p+1)} \quad (c > -p, p, j \in N, \mu > 0).$$

(6.11)

Thus, by using (6.9) and (6.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \phi(j+p) |z|^{j+p} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\geq |z|^p - \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\mu)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu)\Gamma(p+1) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j (c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^{j+p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|F(z)| \leq |z|^p + \phi(j+p)|z|^{j+p} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\leq |z|^p + \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\mu)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu)\Gamma(p+1) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^{j+p},$$

which yield the inequalities (6.6) and (6.7) of Theorem 7. The equalities in (6.6) and (6.7) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$D_z^{-\mu} \{(J_{c,p}f)(z)\} = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\mu)} - \frac{(c+p)(p-\alpha)\Gamma(j+p+1)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^j \right\} z^{p+\mu} \tag{6.12}$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(J_{c,p}f)(z) = z^p - \frac{(c+p)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1+\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} z^{j+p}. \tag{6.13}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 7. **Theorem 8.** Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 7, we obtain the following result. be in the class $SC_n(j, p, \lambda, \alpha, \delta)$. Then

$$\left| D_z^{\mu} \{(J_{c,p}f)(z)\} \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\mu)} - \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1-\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p-\mu},$$

$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, c > -p, j, p \in N),$

(6.14)

and

$$\left| D_z^{\mu} \{(J_{c,p}f)(z)\} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\mu)} + \frac{(c+p)\Gamma(j+p+1)(p-\alpha)[1+\delta(p-1)]}{(c+j+p)\Gamma(j+p+1-\mu) \frac{(\lambda+p)_j(c)_j}{j!(a)_j} (j+p-\alpha)[1+\delta(j+p-1)]} |z|^j \right\} |z|^{p-\mu},$$

$(z \in U; 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, j, p \in N).$

(6.15)

Each of the assertions (6.14) and (6.15) is sharp.

Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

7. References

[1] P. L. Duren, "Univalent functions," In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[2] A. W. Goodman, "Univalent Functions, Vols. I and II," Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, 1983.

[3] S. Owa, "The Quasi-Hadamard Products of Certain Ana-

- lytic Functions,” In: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992, pp. 234-251.
- [4] Z.-G. Wang, R. Aghalary, M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, “Some Properties of Certain Multivalent Analytic Functions Involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator,” *Journal of Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, Vol. 49, No. 9-10, 2009, pp. 1969-1984.
- [5] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, “Inclusion Relationships and Argument Properties for Certain Subclasses of Multivalent Functions Associated with a Family of Linear Operators,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 292, No. 2, 2004, pp. 470-483. [doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.12.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.12.026)
- [6] R. Yamakawa, “Certain Subclasses of p-Valently Starlike Functions with Negative Coefficients,” In: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Eds., *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992, pp. 393-402.
- [7] S. D. Bernardi, “Convex and Starlike Univalent Functions,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 135, 1969, pp. 429-446. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1969-0232920-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1969-0232920-2)
- [8] A. E. Livingston, “On the Radius of Univalence of Certain Analytic Functions,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 1966, pp. 352-357. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1966-0188423-X](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1966-0188423-X)
- [9] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), “Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory,” World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992.
- [10] S. Owa, “On Distortion Theorems. I,” *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 18, 1978, pp. 55-59.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava and M. K. Aouf, “A Certain Fractional Derivative Operator and Its Applications to a New Class of Analytic and Multivalent Functions with Negative Coefficients. I and II,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 171, No. 1, 1992, pp. 1-13. [doi:10.1006/jmaa.1995.1197](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1995.1197)
- [12] A. Schild and H. Silverman, “Convolutions of Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficients,” *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Section A*, Vol. 29, 1975, pp. 99-107.
- [13] O. Altintas, H. Irmak and H. M. Srivastava, “Fractional Calculus and Certain Starlike Functions with Negative Coefficients,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 30, No. 2, 1995, pp. 9-15. [doi:10.1016/0898-1221\(95\)00073-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221(95)00073-8)
- [14] M.-P. Chen, H. Irmak and H. M. Srivastava, “Some Families of Multivalently Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 214, No. 2, 1997, pp. 674-690. [doi:10.1006/jmaa.1997.5615](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5615)
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), “Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications,” Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, 1989.