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Abstract

Aims: The aim of this undertaken investigation was designed to determine the comparative antimicrobial potential of ethanol extract of six commonly consumed spices such as Garlic (*Allium satilyvum*), Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), Cinnamon (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum*), Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) and Black cumin (*Nigella sativa*). Method: This study includes, the efficacy of individual and synergistic effect of these extracts that was tested against bacteria by agar well-diffusion method employing 100 μL spices-extract solution per well and was conducted in (Centre of Excellence Laboratory) Department of Microbiology, Primeasia University during November 2018 to April 2019. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by the micro-broth dilution method and compared with commercial antibiotic discs such as Amoxicillin, Vancomycin, Erythromycin, Ceftriaxone, Chloramphenicol, and Ciprofloxacin. Result: According to the findings of the antibacterial assay, the ethanol extracts of the spices showed inhibitory activity against common infectious bacterial pathogens. Spice extracts have the most significant activity against *B. cereus* and *E. coli* was the least sensitive among the tested organisms. The ethanol extract had individual antibacterial activity with mean zone of inhibition 22 ± 0.5 and 20.08 ± 0.58 mm and the synergistic effect of ethanol extract had a mean zone of inhibition 30 ± 0.75 and 28.25 ± 0.9 mm against *B. cereus* and *V. cholera*, respectively, which is highly comparable to the commercial antibiotic, Ciprofloxacin (25 mm). Conclusion: The ethanol extract of indigenous spices was shown to be highly potential to be applied as an alternative of commercial drugs.
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1. Introduction
Since the last decades, commercially available antimicrobial drugs have been used to control microbial pathogenicity and other infectious diseases. Abundant and arbitrary use of antibiotics has developed multiple drug resistance (MDR) in many bacterial pathogens and it also created an ecological imbalance in the environment. Nowadays, increasing drug resistance is one of the main obstacles in proper treatment of infectious diseases and to the control of microbial pathogenicity at an alarming rate [1]. To explore new antimicrobial agents for the development of drug resistance in pathogens, the development of effective and nontoxic antimicrobial compounds from natural sources such as extracts of plants and herbs for food preservation have greatly increased [2] [3]. Antimicrobial activity of cinnamon oil against spores of anthrax bacilli was reported in 1830; it was the most ancient scientific document of the preservation potential of spices. In the last few years, massive studies have been conducted on the antimicrobial activities of plant extract against different microbe’s strains. Use of different plants and spices based on antimicrobials can reduce or eliminate pathogenic microorganisms and increase the shelf life of food [4]. The natural products compared to commercial antibiotics are more effective with fewer side effects and it has become more demanding as natural antimicrobial preservatives and additives [5]. Spices are plant substances that are generally used to enrich flavor, aroma, and color which include leaves, flower, bud, seed, fruit, root, bark, berry, that is primarily used but spices have lots of medicinal value due to the antimicrobial activity exhibited by different bioactive compounds like alkaloids, flavonoids, isoflavonoids, tannins, cumarins, glycosides, terpenes and phenolic compounds [6]. Most of the Asian countries are rich in the heritage of the traditional medical system as well as in the biodiversity of the diverse range of spices for various treatment purposes [7]. In recent years, the use of natural substance especially spices has increased due to their biologically active status such as anti-oxidants, anti-fungal, anti-cancer agent and digestion facilitators and effects which provides body protection from various infections [8] [9] [10] [11]. Ethanol extract of six local Bangladeshi spices namely Cinnamon (Cinnamomum zeylanicum), Cumin (Cuminum cyminum), Turmeric (Curcuma longa), Garlic (Allium sativum), Ginger (Zingiber officinale) and Black cumin (Nigella sativa) was used for this study. A number of studies have conducted different assessments to evaluate the synergistic or antagonistic effects of various spice extracts. The efficiency of the combination of extracts can be more promising against the microbial growth where individual action is less likely to be effective. Synergism often results from components of one spice supporting the other while improv-
ing the total efficiency. Previously few reports were present on the synergistic/antagonistic effects of spice extracts especially on food-spoilage microorganisms [12] [13] [14]. A specific policy has been adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO) that primary health care sectors in developing countries throughout the world require more effective and efficient traditional medical practice [15].

The main purpose of the present study was to analyze the antimicrobial activity of the plant extracts of some selected spices against some food-borne isolates of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and also to determine their efficiency both as individually and synergistically.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Spice Samples and Preparation of Their Extraction

Six of the most common Bangladeshi spices such as Garlic (Allium sativum), Ginger (Zingiber officinale), Turmeric (Curcuma longa), Cinnamon (Cinnamomum zeylanicum), Cumin (Cuminum cyminum) and Black cumin (Nigella sativa) were procured from the local market in Mohakhali bazar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The fresh bulb of garlic, fresh rhizomes of ginger, inner bark of cinnamon, fresh rhizomes of turmeric, fresh fruit of cumin and the fresh seed of Black cumin were collected in sterile zip lock bags (Table 1). The separable part (peel) of garlic, ginger, and turmeric was removed and the collected spices were cleaned and washed with fresh sterile water. After cleaning the spices were sliced and dried in a tray in a hot air oven at 40˚C for 72 hours and dried spices were ground finely in a sterilized laboratory blender. For the preparation of extracts, 10 g of the prepared ground of dried spices were soaked in 90 ml of 95% (w/v) ethanol in sterilized Duran glass bottle and shaken at 100 rpm in a reciprocal shaker (WIS-10, Wisecube, Germany) at room temperature for two days. The fraction of ethanol was separated by sterilized cheesecloth, then filtered through sterilized Whatman filter paper (No.01) and allowed the ethanol to evaporate at 40˚C using a dry oven (ED53, Binder, Germany). After the evaporated extract was weighed, and dissolved in ethanol to a concentration of 200 mg/ml, it was then stored at a refrigerator in a sterile vial for further experiments.

Table 1. Ethnobotanical description, part of plants and major components used in the antimicrobial study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Spice</th>
<th>Local Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Part(s) Used</th>
<th>Major Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garlic</td>
<td>Rohsun</td>
<td>Allium Sativum</td>
<td>Amaryllidaceae</td>
<td>Rhizome</td>
<td>Allicin, S-allylcysteine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Ada</td>
<td>Zingiber officinale</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Bulb</td>
<td>Zingiberene, Gingerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric</td>
<td>Holud</td>
<td>Curcuma longalim</td>
<td>Zingiberaceae</td>
<td>Rhizome</td>
<td>Curcuminoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamon</td>
<td>Daarchini</td>
<td>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</td>
<td>Lauraceae</td>
<td>Bark</td>
<td>Trans-cinnamaldehyde and eugenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumin</td>
<td>Jira</td>
<td>Cumin cyaninum</td>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Fruit/seed</td>
<td>Thymoquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Cumin</td>
<td>Kalojira</td>
<td>Nigella sativa</td>
<td>Ranunculaceae</td>
<td>Fruit/seed</td>
<td>Cuminaldehyde</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Test Microorganisms

Four Gram negative and two Gram positive bacterial strains were used in our study. *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922, Gram – ve), *Vibrio cholerae* (ATCC 14035, Gram – ve), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538, Gram + ve), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 14579, Gram + ve), *Salmonella typhi* (ATCC 14028, Gram – ve) and *Pseudomonas* spp. (Gram – ve) were tested for our study. Five ATCC strains and one isolated foodborne strain were collected from Department of Microbiology, Primeasia University, Dhaka. All freeze stored cultures were grown on nutrient broth medium by incubating at 37°C for 24 h.

2.3. Antimicrobial Agents, Media and Chemical

The antibiotics used were Amoxicillin (AME -30 µg), Vancomycin (VA -30 µg), Erythromycin (E-15 µg), Ceftriaxone (CRO-30 µg), Chloramphenicol (C-30 µg), and Ciprofloxacin (CIP-5 µg) which were purchased from local laboratory market (Dhaka, Bangladesh). Nutrient Broth (NB) and Nutrient Agar (NA), Normal saline water, Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB), Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) were used in our study. All chemicals used were of analytical-reagent grade.

2.4. Screening for Antibacterial Activity

In this study, the agar diffusion method was used for evaluation of the antibacterial activity of ethanol extracts of different spices. In this method, freeze stored nutrient broth cultures of bacterial strains were grown on nutrient agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. One plate of each microorganism was taken and the colony was transferred into normal saline (0.89%) under aseptic conditions. The density of each microbial suspension was adjusted to be equal to 0.5 Mcf anland units (approximately 10^6 CFU/ml for bacteria) to use it as the inoculum for the agar well diffusion assay [16]. Selective bacterial strains were spread inoculated with a sterile cotton swab on the surface of sterile MHA plates so as to achieve a confluent growth. Following inoculation, agar well of 8 mm in diameter, 4 mm in depth and about 2 cm apart were punched in the MHA plate with a sterile cork borer. One hundred microliters (100 µl) of the inoculum of each test organisms were poured into the labeled wells and kept aside for 3 hours before incubation at 37°C for 18 - 24 hours as described in previous research [14] [17]. By using the disc diffusion method, the antibacterial activity of commercial drugs were determined [18]. Subsequent incubation under specified conditions, the results were recorded by evaluating the diameter of the zone of inhibition (ZOI) in mm. The extracts were considered to be active, moderately active and highly active depending on their ability of clear zone parameter, respectively [19]. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the mean values of the diameter of inhibition zone ± standard deviations were also calculated [20].

2.5. Determination of MIC and MBC

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) represents the lowest concentration
of antimicrobial compound that can inhibit the visible growth of a microorganism after incubation overnight. The MIC values of extracts were determined based on a micro-broth dilution method by using 96 well microtiter plate [13]. In the first row of the plate, a volume of 100 µl of test spices extract of ethanol (a stock concentration of 200 mg/ml of crude extract) was added. 100 µl of nutrient broth was added to each well of the plate. Serial dilution of crude extracts were performed using a pipette such that every well had 100 µl of serially descending concentration. Finally, 100 µl of microbial suspension was added to each well to achieve a concentration of 5 × 10^6 CFU/ml. One well of fresh extract and one well with tested bacteria was used as negative and positive control, respectively. Each plate was wrapped with a plastic cover lid to ensure that bacteria did not become dehydrated. The plates were prepared in triplicate and placed in an incubator at 37˚C for overnight growth. The Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) is the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent that will kill any organism. In this study, the lowest concentration value of extracts that represented the absence of microbial growth on NA plates was recorded as the MBC [21].

2.6. Synergistic Effect of Extracts

Following the evaluation of the synergistic/combined effect of the various extracts by agar well diffusion method, their MIC and MBC was also tested where 1/2 × MIC of the extracts were applied.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The experiment was done in triplicate for each spice and was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means and standard deviations were calculated, while P-values were significant at the P < 0.05 level [22].

3. Result

In the current study, the antibacterial activity of each of the six spice extracts in ethanol solvent was examined. The mean diameters of the inhibition zone in millimeter of all tested spice extracts against six (4 Gram-positive, 2 Gram-negative) microbes were associated. Each tested spices of ethanol solvent for the antibacterial activity showed significant variation (p < 0.05). Using Anova: single factor was conducted for determining statistical significance between different spice extract which revealed P value of 0.00015, which is significant at the P < 0.05 level. Following preparation of spice extracts, 100 µl of extracts were tested for their antibacterial activity using agar well diffusion method by the diameter of zone of inhibition.

From observing the result, Bacillus cereus (Gram-positive) was found to be more sensitive and E. coli (Gram-negative) was found to be more resistant among the six strains of bacteria used in this experiment. The antibacterial efficacy of spice extracts were found in the following order: Cinnamon > Garlic >
Ginger > Turmeric > Cumin > Black cumin. Among the entire tested organism, *B. cereus* showed the highest sensitivity against maximum spices, whereas other organisms showed heterogeneous degrees of sensitivity. Ethanolic extract of cinnamon exhibited maximum zone of inhibition (22 and 20.08 mm) for *B. cereus* and *V. cholera*. Turmeric and cumin showed (15.5 and 12.58 mm) and (16.67 and 16 mm) ZOI for the similar organisms. However, Black cumin exhibited the lowest activity against three bacterial strains and other three strains showed no inhibitory activity. Garlic and ginger represented moderate inhibitory activity against all the selected bacterial species (Figure 1).

The antibacterial activity of spices was compared with six commercially available antibiotics using disc diffusion method as shown in Figure 2. Ciprofloxacin and chloramphenicol showed the highest activities of the zone of inhibition against all of the tested organisms. In the case of *B. cereus*, commercial disc chloramphenicol showed a zone of inhibition of 22 mm that was similar to that of the cinnamon extract. Furthermore, this study represents satisfying antibacterial activity compared to most commercial antibiotic drugs.

Less concentration of a drug is always preferable for the active result. In our present study, the synergistic effect of combination of every two spice was performed by agar well diffusion method to detect their zone of inhibition along with their MIC and MBC for all of the tested organisms. In a combined extract of cumin and cinnamon, a broader activity (30.16 mm ZOI) against *B. Cereus* was observed, whereas they individually showed 22 mm and 16.67 mm, respectively. Moreover, cinnamon extract combined with ginger, turmeric and garlic extract showed better effect against *B. cereus* than individual effect. Furthermore, individually, cumin, turmeric, garlic, and ginger exhibited (16 mm, 12.58 mm, 12.5 mm and 16.75 mm) ZOI for *V. cholerae*, however, in combination with cinnamon extract, they showed an extensive zone of inhibition (26 mm, 28.17 mm, 27.56 mm and 28.25 mm, respectively) for the similar organism.

**Figure 1.** Antibacterial activity of spices extract against bacterial pathogens by agar well diffusion method. *Values, including the diameter of the well (8 mm), mean of triplicates ±SD. *Stranded deviation, within spices against six selected microorganisms, was found to be significant at *P* < 0.05.
Figure 2. Antibacterial activity of commercial drugs against bacterial pathogens by agar well diffusion method.

All the tested spices showed the lowest ZOI both individually and synergistically for E. coli. (Table 2).

The MIC and MBC of spice extracts against tested bacterial strains were performed by broth dilution assay. Based on the concentration of the spice extracts, the pattern of inhibition of growth of the test organisms varied. The susceptibility of B. cereus, E. coli, S. aureus, V. cholerae, S. typhi, and Pseudomonas sp. to spice extracts were evaluated and the result was showed in (Figure 3). Cinnamon was the most effective spice with the MIC and MBC values ranged from 6.4 - 25.6 mg/ml and 25.6 - 51.2 mg/ml respectively. The lowest values of MIC and MBC of cinnamon were observed against B. cereus. In addition, the MIC and MBC values of garlic, ginger, turmeric, and cumin against B. cereus were ranged from 1.6 - 12.8 mg/ml and 3.2 - 25.6 mg/ml respectively. Among the other spices black cumin exhibited the highest MIC and MBC value (25.6 mg/ml and 51.2 mg/ml respectively), against B. cereus.

In the synergistic effect, most of the combine spice extracts showed convincing MIC and MBC against the tested organisms (Table 3). The synergistic effect of MIC and MBC was more effective than the individual effect against the tested strains. Combination of garlic-turmeric, garlic-ginger, garlic-cinnamon and also turmeric-cinnamon showed more efficiency against all of the tested bacteria.

4. Discussion

In this study, six spice extracts were tested to analyze the inhibitory effects of broad-spectrum activity against the tested microbes. Most of the tested spice extracts showed their antimicrobial effect against the tested microorganisms except black cumin which showed the lowest activity. In our study, ethanol extract of cinnamon showed 22 mm zone of inhibition (ZOI) for B. cereus whereas in previous reports of antimicrobial activity of ethanol extracts of cinnamon for similar organisms showed 18 - 20 mm ZOI in agar well diffusion method [14] [23] [24].
Table 2. Synergistic effect of all selected spices in combinations against tested bacterial strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B. cereus</th>
<th>V. cholerae</th>
<th>Pseudomonas spp.</th>
<th>Salmonella typhi</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Turmeric</td>
<td>20.09 ± 0.63</td>
<td>21.17 ± 0.77</td>
<td>11.09 ± 0.38</td>
<td>10.17 ± 0.77</td>
<td>13.09 ± 0.63</td>
<td>10 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Garlic</td>
<td>15.17 ± 0.77</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.25</td>
<td>15 ± 0.66</td>
<td>10 ± 0.5</td>
<td>9 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Cinnamon</td>
<td>26.5 ± 0.87</td>
<td>28.25 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.03 ± 0.29</td>
<td>16.92 ± 0.52</td>
<td>12.99 ± 0.23</td>
<td>9.09 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Black Cumin</td>
<td>13 ± 0.44</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.75</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.46</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.87</td>
<td>10.98 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric + Ginger</td>
<td>13.09 ± 0.38</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.66</td>
<td>11.09 ± 0.88</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>11.96 ± 0.52</td>
<td>10.96 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Cinnamon</td>
<td>25.5 ± 1</td>
<td>27.36 ± 0.38</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.66</td>
<td>14.17 ± 0.73</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Cumin</td>
<td>16.45 ± 0.77</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.87</td>
<td>13.25 ± 0.66</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10 ± 0.25</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric + Cumin</td>
<td>14.42 ± 0.77</td>
<td>14 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.92 ± 0.38</td>
<td>8.98 ± 0.47</td>
<td>11.09 ± 0.52</td>
<td>10.92 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Black Cumin</td>
<td>14.25 ± 0.66</td>
<td>12.92 ± 0.72</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.88</td>
<td>13.59 ± 0.15</td>
<td>12 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Black Cumin</td>
<td>25.60 ± 0.75</td>
<td>26 ± 0.25</td>
<td>10.92 ± 0.52</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 0.25</td>
<td>12.75 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumin + Black Cumin</td>
<td>14.11 ± 0.66</td>
<td>9.03 ± 0.29</td>
<td>10.97 ± 0.46</td>
<td>12.06 ± 0.42</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.33</td>
<td>9.61 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***No zone of inhibition. *Values, including the diameter of the well (8 mm), mean of triplicates ± SD.

Table 3. Synergistic effect of MIC and MBC spices combinations against tested bacterial strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B. cereus</th>
<th>V. cholerae</th>
<th>Pseudomonas spp.</th>
<th>Salmonella typhi</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Turmeric</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Garlic</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Cinnamon</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger + Black Cumin</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric + Ginger</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric + Cinnamon</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric + Black Cumin</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Cinnamon</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic + Black Cumin</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamon + Black Cumin</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>102.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 3. Individual effect of MIC and MBC of spices against tested bacterial strains.

*S. aureus, E. coli, Pseudomonas* and *S. typhi* showed a significant sensitivity to the antimicrobial effect of cinnamon as much as similar to corresponding data showed in previous research [25] [26]. Individual extract of garlic and ginger showed moderate activity against *E. coli* (9.75 ± 0.25 mm ZOI, 9 ± 0 mm ZOI), *S. aureus* (12.08 ± 0.38 mm ZOI, 13.75 ± 0.9 mm ZOI) and *Salmonella typhi* (18.34 ± 0.72 mm ZOI) that resembled previous data of the researcher [13] [27]. In the synergistic combination, cinnamon showed the highest activity when combined with cumin, ginger, turmeric and garlic spices, respectively, against *B. cereus* and *V. cholerae*. In our study, Black cumin ethanol extract did not show any activity against *Pseudomonas* spp., *Salmonella typhi* and *E. coli* in agar well diffusion method unlike previous articles [28] [29].

The spice extracts showed more sensitivity in case of ZOI and MIC values against Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative bacteria [30]. Most of the MIC values of synergistic extracts of spices were reduced by half of the MIC values of individual extracts. However, antimicrobial activities of combined extract were more effective since antimicrobial properties of herbs and spices not only depend on their chemical compositions but also on their lipophilic properties, water solubility and various compounds that may have contributed to the observed additive effects [13] [31]. The synergistic extract activities represented wide antibacterial activity in comparison with commercial antibiotics that were tested.

Synthetic and semi-synthetic drugs have been used for antibacterial, antiparasitic and antifungal activities. But in recent years, microorganisms showed more resistance pattern against the antibiotics that are usually used. In our study, *Pseudomonas* sp showed 100% resistance against amoxicillin, vancomycin, erythromycin, ceftriaxone, and chloramphenicol. In previous researches, *Pseudomonas* spp. was also found in clinical and food isolates and their antibiotic results were more similar to our antibiotics resistance result [32] [33]. The commercial drugs also have side effects on digestive system and some may also show allergic reaction. However, only ciprofloxacin showed the intermediate result (12 mm) for *Pseudomonas* spp. whereas, the cinnamon extract showed the highest activity (18.08 ± 0.58 mm) against the same strains in our study. *E. coli* and *Salmonella* isolated from meat samples showed resistance to erythromycin (85.71% and 100%) in the previous report [34] [35]. In our study, erythromycin showed 100%
resistance and vancomycin also presented the lowest sensitivity against *E. coli* and *S. typhi* isolates. The activities of amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, and chloramphenicol (21 mm, 20.5 mm, and 21 mm) were close to the activity to garlic against *S. typhi* in our study. In the synergistic activities, a combination of ginger and cinnamon, turmeric and cinnamon, garlic and cinnamon showed the highest activities (28.25 ± 0.9, 28.17 ± 0.38, 27.36 ± 0.38 mm ZOI) against *V. cholerae* but among the commercial antibiotics, ciprofloxacin showed the highest activity of 25 mm ZOI for the same bacterial strain. Therefore, combinations of spices and other natural antimicrobial agents may increase food shelf life by destroying food spoilage organisms and can be used as alternative drugs to treat mild sickness instead of using commercial drugs. Mostly, the natural bioactive compound has shown to affect the structure and integrity, permeability or functionality of cytoplasmic membrane [36] [37] [38] [39], and the efflux system of target bacteria. The antimicrobial activity of alternative plant bioactive components has shown to activate immune cells and enhance the growth of beneficial gut flora [40]. In fact, the efficacy of bioactive compound is regulated by the target site and structure of bacterial cells as well as environmental factors like redox potential, moisture content, hydrophilicity, temperature, pH, acidity and availability of nutrients of target bacteria [36]. However the concentration of active bactericidal components of spices is a matter of concern in case of practical application of natural drug formulation.

Extravagant use of antibiotics in treatment and chemical antimicrobial preservatives in food preservation are the major causes of emerging drug resistance day by day. Last five decades of misuse or uncontrolled use of antimicrobials has led to multi-drug resistant microorganisms and ecological imbalance that is alarming for the very near future.

5. Conclusion

In concluding, the present studies demonstrate that spice extracts have a promising result for antibacterial activity. Interestingly, some combinations of spices induced the inhibitory activity of specific microorganisms. In recent past times, the researchers kept an eye on the antimicrobial properties of spices and tried to know about the defined mechanism of those spices, their effect on individual pathogens and also synergistic effect when used in combinations with other spices or antibiotics. Hence, continuous screenings of new antimicrobial agents and at the same time detailed studies are required to overcome the drug resistance issue.
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