

A Two-Level Purchase Problem for Food Retailing in Japan

Masatoshi Sakawa, Ichiro Nishizaki, Takeshi Matsui, Tomohiro Hayashida

Faculty of Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan

Email: sakawa@hiroshima-u.ac.jp, nisizaki@hiroshima-u.ac.jp, tak-matsui@hiroshima-u.ac.jp, hayashida@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Received August 20, 2012; revised September 22, 2012; accepted October 4, 2012

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we deal with a purchase problem for food retailing, and formulate a two-level linear programming problem with a food retailer and a distributor. The food retailer deals with vegetables and fruits which are purchased from the distributor; the distributor buys vegetables and fruits ordered from the food retailer at the central wholesale markets in several cities, and transports them by truck from each of the central wholesaler markets to the food retailer's storehouse. We solve the two-level linear programming problem in which the profits of the food retailer and the distributor are maximized.

Keywords: Purchase Problem; Food Retailing; Stackelberg Solution; Two-Level Linear Programming Problem

1. Introduction

Decision making problems in decentralized organizations are often modeled as Stackelberg games, and they are formulated as two-level mathematical programming problems. In the Stackelberg game model, the decision maker at the upper level first specifies a strategy, and then the decision maker at the lower level specifies a strategy so as to optimize the objective with full knowledge of the action of the decision maker at the upper level [1]. Assuming that the decision maker at the lower level behaves rationally, that is, optimally responds to the decision of the decision maker at the upper level, the decision maker at the upper level also specifies the strategy so as to optimize the objective of self. Although a situation described as the above is called a Stackelberg equilibrium in the field of game theory or economics, in this paper dealing with mathematical programming, we will refer to it as a Stackelberg solution.

Even if the objective functions of both decision makers and the common constraint functions are linear, such a two-level linear programming problem is a non-convex programming problem with a special structure, and it is shown to be NP-hard [2,3]. Various computational methods for solving a two-level linear programming problem have been developed [4-10], and some real-world applications are reported [11-14].

In this paper, we deal with a purchase problem for food retailing, and formulate a two-level linear programming problem with a food retailer and a distributor under a noncooperative decision making environment. We compute the Stackelberg solution to the two-level linear programming problem, and perform sensitivity analysis from

the viewpoints of the food retailer and the distributor.

Many people in Japan buy vegetables and fruits in food supermarkets, and the food supermarkets usually purchase such fresh produce from distributors who obtain them in central wholesale markets. In Japan, 80% of vegetables and 60% of fruits are distributed by way of wholesale markets [15], and this fact means that the wholesale markets have been fulfilling as an efficient intermediary role connecting consumers and farm producers.

Because Japanese consumers tend to buy small amounts of vegetables and fruits frequently, food retailers such as supermarkets must provide a wide range of fresh products every day. To cope with Japanese consumers' behavior, in most situations, food retailers do not buy vegetables and fruits in wholesale markets or directly from farm producers but contract with distributors to purchase them. This method of purchasing decreases the transaction cost and enables distributors to supply a wider range of fresh products for customers in a timely manner [16].

To take into account the mutual interdependence of a food retailer and a distributor, we formulate a decision problem on the purchase of food for retailing as a two-level linear programming problem with self-interested decision makers where the profits of the food retailer and the distributor are maximized. In this problem, the food retailer first specifies the order quantities of vegetables and fruits, and after receiving the order from the food retailer, the distributor determines purchase volumes of them at each of the central wholesale markets in several major cities in Japan. Although the food retailer and the

distributor in this application are hypothetical decision makers, data used in the mathematical modeling are realistic.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the literature on food retailing and discuss the relevance of this research. In Section 3, we determine objective functions and reveal constraints of the problem, and formulate the purchase problem of the food retailer contracting with the distributor as a two-level linear programming problem. In Section 4, after gathering realistic data of the wholesale prices of the fresh produce and transportation costs, we compute the Stackelberg solution to the formulated two-level linear programming problem by using the K th best method by Bialas and Karwan [7] and the Hansen, Jaumard and Savard method [8]. Section 5 provides sensitivity analysis from the viewpoints of the food retailer and the distributor. In Section 6, we discuss an extension of the two-level purchase problem in order to develop multi-store operations in multiple regions in Japan. Finally, to conclude this paper, we make some remarks.

2. Related Works

Recent topics on food retailers and markets are summarized as follows. Geuens *et al.* [17] examine the consumer perception of the current grocery shopping and the future grocery shopping alternative preferred by consumers. They show that consumers are not fond of the way they do grocery shopping at the moment, and consumers seem to prefer that retail stores evolve in retailing superstores.

To facilitate the generation of a chronological and historical explication of sustainable competitive advantage within the UK food retailing sector, Harris and Ogbonna [18] review and critically analyze the internal and external sources of competitive advantage exploited by the major UK food retailers. By presenting results from an approach which uses multiple performance measures for supermarket operations, Park and King [19] examine the impacts of information technology on business operations and industry structure in the food retailing sector and also on store level efficiency, using the data from the 2002 Supermarket Panel conducted by the Food Industry Center at the University of Minnesota. Hibara [20] takes up Ito-Yokado Group as one of leading Japanese retailers and analyze the Ito-Yokado Group's general management strategies and its recent strategies on using information technology to achieve long-term sustainable advantage. As for a market overview in Japanese retail food sector, it is pointed out that food and beverage consumer purchases are migrating toward larger supermarkets featuring a wider assortment of merchandise at lower prices, and also toward convenience store locations, with their

[21].

Next, we review some researches about planning and evaluation for food retailing. Ahumada and Villalobos [22] review the research results in the field of production and distribution planning for agri-foods based on agricultural crops, classifying the successfully implemented models according to their relevant features such as the optimization approaches used, the type of crops modeled, and so forth. Erkoc *et al.* [23] deal with multi-stage replenishment of an onboard food and beverage item for a cruise liner, and investigate optimal contracting and inventory replenishment policies. To model and analyze strategic issues for food supply chains, Georgiadis *et al.* [24] adopt the system dynamics methodology and give guidelines for the methodology. They demonstrate the applicability of the developed methodology on a multi-echelon network of a major Greek fast food chain. For a real life inventory-distribution problem in food supply networks in East Asia, Lin and Chen [25] propose a hedge-based coordinated inventory replenishment and shipment methodology.

Increased competition from alternative retail formats brings significant changes into the retail food industry. Motivated by recognizing the changes, Davis *et al.* [26] examine the labor market adjustment of firms in response to competitive entry by using a large-scale longitudinal employer-employee matched data set. By applying the analytic hierarchy process (AHP), Erol *et al.* [27] propose indicators for future evaluation of industrial sustainability performance for grocery retailing in terms of the social, environmental and economic sustainability aspects. Tamura [28] studies the purchase behavior in Japan, Korea and Taiwan.

As we mentioned above, consumers in Japanese food retailing prefer purchasing in larger food supermarkets with a wide variety of vegetables and fruits, and then these facts of the markets are consistent with our formulation given in the subsequent sections.

3. Problem Formulation

The food retailer deals with n kinds of vegetables and fruits which are purchased from the distributor. The distributor buys vegetables and fruits ordered from the food retailer at the central wholesale markets in s cities, and transports them by truck from each of the central wholesaler markets to the food retailer's storehouse in Tokyo. The two decision makers make an agreement that the distributor has an obligation to transport the foods to the storehouse, but the cost of the transportation is paid by the food retailer.

Let $x_i, i=1, \dots, n$ denote an order quantity of food i specified by the food retailer to the distributor, and let $y_{ji}, j=1, \dots, s, i=1, \dots, n$ denote a purchase volume of

food i at the central wholesale market in city j . For concise representation, on occasion the decision variables are expressed by $\mathbf{x}^T = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$\mathbf{y}^T = (y_1^T, \dots, y_s^T), \mathbf{y}_j^T = (y_{j1}, \dots, y_{jn}), j = 1, \dots, s.$$

Objective functions: The profit of the food retailer is represented by

$$z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ji} y_{ji}, \tag{1}$$

where a_i is the margin per unit of food i , and b_{ji} is the transportation cost per unit of food i from city j .

The profit of the distributor is represented by

$$z_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji}, \tag{2}$$

where c_i is the selling price of food i to the food retailer, and d_{ji} is the purchase price of food i at the central wholesale market in city j .

Constraints: Let W be the capacity of the storehouse of the food retailer, and let v_i be the cubic volume per unit of food i . The constraint for the storehouse is represented by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i \leq W. \tag{3}$$

For any food i , an order quantity of food i is specified by the food retailer between the lower limit D_i^L and the upper limit D_i^U , taking into account the volume of inventories. Then, the constraints for the upper and lower limits are represented by

$$D_i^L \leq x_i \leq D_i^U, i = 1, \dots, n \tag{4}$$

The distributor buys food i at one or more central wholesale markets, and then the total volume of food i must be larger than or equal to the quantity ordered by the food retailer. Thus, the constraints for order quantities are represented by

$$\sum_{j=1}^s y_{ji} \geq x_i, i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, there are constraints on financial resources of the distributor for purchasing foods at the central wholesaler markets, and they are expressed by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \leq o_j, j = 1, \dots, s, \tag{6}$$

where o_j is the budget cap in city j .

Two-level linear programming problems A two-level linear programming problem for purchase in food retailing, in which the objective functions (1) and (2) are maximized under the constraints described above (3)-(6), is formulated as follows:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{maximize} \quad z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ji} y_{ji} \\ \text{where } \mathbf{y} \text{ solves} \\ \text{maximize} \quad z_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \\ \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i \leq W, i = 1, \dots, n \\ \quad \quad \quad D_i^L \leq x_i \leq D_i^U, i = 1, \dots, n \\ \quad \quad \quad \sum_{j=1}^s y_{ji} \geq x_i, i = 1, \dots, n \\ \quad \quad \quad \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \leq o_j, j = 1, \dots, s \\ \quad \quad \quad \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \mathbf{y} \geq 0. \end{array} \right\} \tag{7}$$

4. Parameter Setting and the Stackelberg Solution

We assume that the food retailer sells 16 vegetables and fruits, *i.e.*, $n = 16$, and the distributor purchases them at central wholesale markets in 8 cities, *i.e.*, $s = 8$. The retail and the purchase prices of the food retailer's 16 items are shown in **Table 1**, and the margin per unit a_i of food i is the difference between the retail price and the purchase price c_i . Foods $i, i = 1, \dots, 16$ represent onions, potatoes, cabbage, Japanese radish, Chinese cabbage, carrots, cucumbers, lettuce, tomatoes, spinach, eggplant, apples, bananas, strawberries, mandarin oranges, and lemons, respectively; and cities $j, j = 1, \dots, 8$ stand for Sapporo, Sendai, Niigata, Kanazawa, Tokyo, Osaka, Hiroshima, and Miyazaki, respectively. The retail prices are specified such that the cost to sales ratios range from 50% to 75%, and the average cost to sales ratios of the 16 items is about 60%. The purchase prices of the food retailer corresponding to the selling prices of the distributor are about 95% of the wholesale prices at the central wholesale market in Tokyo. The wholesale prices d_{ji} in each city are shown in **Table 2**, and these prices are the averages of prices in March, 2008 at the central wholesale markets.

The fresh foods are transported from each of the 8 cities to the storehouse of the food retailer in Tokyo by truck. The transportation cost per unit b_{ji} of food i from city j to the storehouse is given in **Table 3**, and it is calculated under the assumption that the capacity of a truck is 8 tons, express toll highways are utilized, and the cost of fuel is ¥116 per liter. The capacity of the storehouse is 150 [m²] × 2 [m], and the cubic volumes of food i per kilogram are shown in **Table 4**.

The lower limit D_i^L of an order quantity of food i is determined by reference to the demand of 10,000 households, and the upper limit D_i^U is set from 1.1 to 1.4

Table 1. Retail and purchase prices of fresh food [yen/kg].

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Retail	150.417	158.785	197.6	136.167	191.727	256.500
Purchase c_i	90.25	111.15	98.8	81.7	105.45	179.55
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Retail	370.500	269.167	533.462	392.214	651.182	377.077
Purchase c_i	259.35	161.5	346.75	274.55	358.15	245.1
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
Retail	279.300	1183.066	282.077	500.909		
Purchase c_i	139.65	887.3	183.35	275.5		

Table 2. Wholesale prices in each city [yen/kg].

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
City 1 d_{1i}	55	57	100	102	104	156
City 2 d_{2i}	78	87	113	95	115	187
City 3 d_{3i}	73	90	98	85	114	169
City 4 d_{4i}	83	105	103	83	113	178
City 5 d_{5i}	95	117	104	86	111	189
City 6 d_{6i}	111	110	88	71	97	189
City 7 d_{7i}	92	81	87	72	104	179
City 8 d_{8i}	85	106	72	60	88	151
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
City 1 d_{1i}	288	229	349	339	421	221
City 2 d_{2i}	270	168	394	284	336	250
City 3 d_{3i}	274	186	312	335	342	231
City 4 d_{4i}	276	188	429	296	373	226
City 5 d_{5i}	273	170	365	289	377	258
City 6 d_{6i}	260	173	317	287	368	274
City 7 d_{7i}	248	138	300	257	315	265
City 8 d_{8i}	217	93	249	242	260	249
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
City 1 d_{1i}	157	926	195	294		
City 2 d_{2i}	165	867	198	353		
City 3 d_{3i}	149	743	168	283		
City 4 d_{4i}	115	872	159	290		
City 5 d_{5i}	147	934	193	290		
City 6 d_{6i}	147	939	156	310		
City 7 d_{7i}	176	693	168	301		
City 8 d_{8i}	186	782	150	231		

times the quantities of the lower limit D_i^L ; these figures are shown in **Table 5**. The budget caps o_j on purchases in 8 cities are given in **Table 6**.

We computed the Stackelberg solution to problem (7) with parameters shown in **Tables 1-6** by using the K th

best method [7] and the Hansen, Jaumard and Savard method [8]. The solution is given in **Table 7**. We used a PC with Intel Pentium IV 2.80 GHz, and the computational times of the K th best method and the Hansen, Jaumard and Savard method were 2186.296 seconds and

Table 3. Transportation costs [yen/kg].

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
City 1 b_{1i}	12.47602	7.984653	12.47602	2.69482	9.980816	5.98849
City 2 b_{2i}	2.834936	1.814359	2.834936	0.612346	2.267949	1.360769
City 3 b_{3i}	2.837123	1.815758	2.837123	0.612818	2.269698	1.361819
City 4 b_{4i}	3.8821	2.484544	3.8821	0.838534	3.10568	1.863408
City 5 b_{5i}	0.20273	0.129747	0.20273	0.04379	0.162184	0.09731
City 6 b_{6i}	4.553846	2.914462	4.553846	0.983631	3.643077	2.185846
City 7 b_{7i}	6.225852	3.984545	6.225852	1.344784	4.980682	2.988409
City 8 b_{8i}	10.273461	6.575015	10.273461	2.219068	8.218769	4.931261
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
City 1 b_{1i}	2.495204	59.884896	4.990408	39.923264	24.95204	9.980816
City 2 b_{2i}	0.566987	13.607693	1.133974	9.071796	5.669872	2.267949
City 3 b_{3i}	0.567425	13.618188	1.134849	9.078792	5.674245	2.269698
City 4 b_{4i}	0.77642	18.634078	1.55284	12.422719	7.764199	3.10568
City 5 b_{5i}	0.040546	0.973104	0.081092	0.648736	0.40546	0.162184
City 6 b_{6i}	0.910769	21.858463	1.821539	14.572308	9.107693	3.643077
City 7 b_{7i}	1.24517	29.88409	2.490341	19.922727	12.451704	4.980682
City 8 b_{8i}	2.054692	49.312615	4.109385	32.875076	20.546923	8.218769
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
City 1 b_{1i}	2.495204	4.990408	3.742806	3.742806		
City 2 b_{2i}	0.566987	1.133974	0.850481	0.850481		
City 3 b_{3i}	0.567425	1.134849	0.851137	0.851137		
City 4 b_{4i}	0.77642	1.55284	1.16463	1.16463		
City 5 b_{5i}	0.040546	0.081092	0.060819	0.060819		
City 6 b_{6i}	0.910769	1.821539	1.366154	1.366154		
City 7 b_{7i}	1.24517	2.490341	1.867756	1.867756		
City 8 b_{8i}	2.054692	4.109385	3.082038	3.082038		

Table 4. Cubic volumes of foods [cm³/kg].

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Cubic volume v_i	5000	3200	5000	1080	4000	2400
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Cubic volume v_i	1000	24,000	2000	16,000	10,000	4000
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
Cubic volume v_i	1000	2000	1500	1500		

Table 5. Lower and upper limits of the foods [kg].

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Lower limit D_i^L	4000	4000	2000	5000	10,000	2000
Upper limit D_i^U	5000	5000	2400	6000	14,000	2500
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Lower limit D_i^L	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	6000
Upper limit D_i^U	1000	2000	4000	3600	1500	6600
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
Lower limit D_i^L	12,500	6000	4000	1000		
Upper limit D_i^U	14,500	7500	4800	1300		

Table 6. Caps on purchase for eight cities [yen].

	City 1	City 2	City 3	City 4
Cap o_j	2,000,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000
	City 5	City 6	City 7	City 8
Cap o_j	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	2,000,000

Table 7. Result of two-level purchase problem for food retailing.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	4550	4000	2400	5000	10,000	2000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	4550	4000	0	0	0	2000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	9031	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	2400	5000	969	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	4000	4000	2000	5000	10,000	2000
Sum of purchase volumes [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	4550	4000	2400	5000	10,000	2000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	5000	5000	2400	6000	14,000	2500
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	6000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	0	5474
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	3000	0	526
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	800	1500	3000	0	1200	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	5000
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	6000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	1000	2000	4000	3600	1500	6600
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16	Amount	Cap
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	2,000,000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	1730	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	2019	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	13,043	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	1457	87	0	698	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	4000	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	2164	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	0	302	2,000,000	2,000,000
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	12,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	14,500	7500	4800	1300	-	-
Usage of storehouse [cm ³]: $\sum_{i=1}^{16} v_i x_i = 258,549,565$					Capacity [cm ³]: $W = 300,000,000$	
Aggregate gain in sales [yen]		Transportations cost [yen]			Profit [yen]	
Food retailer	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i x_i = 8,717,310$	$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} b_{ji} y_{ji} = 372,835$			$z_1(x, y) = 8,344,475$	
	Revenue from retailer [yen]	Purchase cost [yen]			Profit [yen]	
Distributor	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i x_i = 15,486,084$	$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_{ji} y_{ji} = 13,000,000$			$z_2(x, y) = 2,486,084$	

5.531 seconds, respectively.

To examine the characteristics of the Stackelberg solution shown in **Table 7**, we give the profitability of each food for the food retailer, $(a_i - b_{ji})/c_i$, and the profit of each food per unit for the distributor, $c_i - d_{ji}$, in **Tables 8** and **9**, respectively. As seen in **Table 7**, the profits of the food retailer and the distributor are $z_1(x, y) = ¥8,344,475$ and $z_2(x, y) = ¥2,486,084$. The order quantities of foods 3 and 13 reach the upper limit D_i^U , that of food 1 is between the upper limit D_i^U and the lower limit D_i^L , and those of the rest of the foods are at the lower limit D_i^L . The purchase costs in all the cities reach the budget caps. Although the wholesale prices d_{ji} of foods are greater than the selling price c_i to the food retailer in city 5, Tokyo, the distributor buys foods 10, 12, 13, 14, and 16 in order to fill the order from the food retailer. Basically, as seen in **Tables 7-9**, the food retailer orders highly profitable foods at the upper limit, and the distributor buys high-margin foods in the corresponding cities within the budget caps. For example, food 3, cabbage, is most profitable, and then the food retailer orders food 3 up to the upper limit, 2400 units, and the distributor buys food 3 in city 8, Miyazaki, as expected.

5. Sensitivity Analysis

First, from the viewpoint of the food retailer, we examine

variations of the solutions when some parameters are changed. Changes in the cost of fuel for truck transportation are an issue of considerable concern for the management of the food retailer. Although we assume that the cost of fuel is ¥116 per liter in the previous section, we compute the Stackelberg solution for problem (7) again on the assumption that the cost of fuel is ¥150 per liter because the highest fuel price in 2008 in Japan was ¥148 per liter. In this case, the solution is the same as before, but the profit of the food retailer decreases from $z_1 = ¥8,344,475$ to $z_1 = ¥8,318,173$ by ¥26,302 because of the increase in the transportation costs.

Moreover, suppose that the food retailer selects the most profitable food i , and increases its upper limit D_i^U of the order quantity by 100 units. Because the most profitable food for the food retailer is food 3, cabbage, as seen in **Table 8**, the upper limit D_3^U of food 3 is changed from 2400 to 2500 units. The Stackelberg solution to the slightly changed problem is shown in **Table 10**. The upper limit of D_3^U of food 3 is shown in a gray box, and the numbers changed from the original solution are marked with asterisks. The profit of the food retailer becomes $z_1(x, y) = ¥8,346,364$, and it increases by about ¥2000. In contrast, the profit of the distributor is $z_2(x, y) = ¥2,483,259$, and it decreases by about ¥3000. Because the whole order quantity increases, but the distributor must buy foods in cities in which the prices are relatively higher, the profit of the distributor decreases.

Table 8. Profitability of each food for the food retailer.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
City 1	0.8671	0.6956	0.8632	0.5076	0.7336	0.4549
City 2	0.7350	0.5267	0.8492	0.5669	0.7305	0.4042
City 3	0.7853	0.5091	0.9792	0.6336	0.7369	0.4473
City 4	0.6781	0.4300	0.9215	0.6461	0.7360	0.4218
City 5	0.6312	0.4060	0.9481	0.6328	0.7758	0.4066
City 6	0.5010	0.4066	1.0710	0.7533	0.8519	0.3956
City 7	0.5863	0.5389	1.0641	0.7378	0.7817	0.4132
City 8	0.5870	0.3874	1.2295	0.8708	0.8870	0.4769
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
City 1	0.3773	0.2087	0.5207	0.2293	0.6368	0.5520
City 2	0.4096	0.5599	0.4710	0.3824	0.8552	0.5188
City 3	0.4036	0.5056	0.5948	0.3241	0.8402	0.5615
City 4	0.3999	0.4736	0.4316	0.3555	0.7648	0.5702
City 5	0.4070	0.6276	0.5113	0.4049	0.7762	0.5109
City 6	0.4240	0.4960	0.5832	0.3592	0.7715	0.4684
City 7	0.4432	0.5636	0.6141	0.3803	0.8907	0.4792
City 8	0.5027	0.6275	0.7333	0.3504	1.0480	0.4970
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
City 1	0.8736	0.3140	0.4871	0.7540		
City 2	0.8429	0.3398	0.4943	0.6361		
City 3	0.9334	0.3965	0.5826	0.7935		
City 4	1.2076	0.3374	0.6136	0.7733		
City 5	0.9497	0.3166	0.5112	0.7771		
City 6	0.9438	0.3130	0.6241	0.7227		
City 7	0.7864	0.4232	0.5765	0.7427		
City 8	0.7398	0.3730	0.6376	0.9625		

Table 9. Profit of each food per unit of the distributor.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
City 1	35.25	54.15	-1.2	-20.3	1.45	23.55
City 2	12.25	24.15	-14.2	-13.3	-9.55	-7.45
City 3	17.25	21.15	0.8	-3.3	-8.55	10.55
City 4	7.25	6.15	-4.2	-1.3	-7.55	1.55
City 5	-4.75	-5.85	-5.2	-4.3	-5.55	-9.45
City 6	-20.75	1.15	10.8	10.7	8.45	-9.45
City 7	-1.75	30.15	11.8	9.7	1.45	0.55
City 8	5.25	5.15	26.8	21.7	17.45	28.55
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
City 1	-28.65	-67.5	-2.25	-64.45	-62.85	24.1
City 2	-10.65	-6.5	-47.25	-9.45	22.15	-4.9
City 3	-14.65	-24.5	34.75	-60.45	16.15	14.1
City 4	-16.65	-26.5	-82.25	-21.45	-14.85	19.1
City 5	-13.65	-8.5	-18.25	-14.45	-18.85	-12.9
City 6	-0.65	-11.5	29.75	-12.45	-9.85	-28.9
City 7	11.35	23.5	46.75	17.55	43.15	-19.9
City 8	42.35	68.5	97.75	32.55	98.15	-3.9
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16		
City 1	-17.35	-38.7	-11.65	-18.5		
City 2	-25.35	20.3	-14.65	-77.5		
City 3	-9.35	144.3	15.35	-7.5		
City 4	24.65	15.3	24.35	-14.5		
City 5	-7.35	-46.7	-9.65	-14.5		
City 6	-7.42	-51.7	27.35	-34.5		
City 7	-36.35	193.8	15.35	-25.5		
City 8	-46.35	105.3	33.35	44.16		

Specifically, the expansion of the upper limit of food 3 increases the purchase volume of food 3 in city 8, decreases that of food 16 in city 8, increases that of food 16 in city 5, decreases that of food 12 in city 5, increases that of food 12 in city 1, and finally decreases that of food 1 in city 1.

Next, we conduct a sensitivity analysis from the viewpoint of the distributor. Assume that the distributor increases the budget cap of city 8, Miyazaki, where the prices of most foods are lower compared to the other districts, from ¥2,000,000 to ¥2,100,000. The Stackelberg solution to the problem with the larger budget cap is given in **Table 11**. The enlarged budget cap is shown in a gray box, and the numbers changed from the original solution are marked with asterisks. The profit of the food retailer becomes $z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = ¥8,427,859$, and it increases by about ¥83,000. The profit of the distributor is $z_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = ¥2,549,441$, and it also increases by about ¥63,000. The enlarged budget cap increases the purchase volumes of a couple of foods in city 8, and by these changes the purchase volumes of some foods in cities 1

and 5 are changed. Moreover, the order quantities of foods 1, 8, and 11 specified by the food retailer also increase, and therefore the profit of the food retailer increases.

6. Sensitivity Analysis

We discuss an extension of the two-level purchase problem to cope with a multi-store operation in multiple regions in this section. As in the single store problem, the food retailer deals with n kinds of vegetables and fruits, and it has r stores in different cities in Japan. Therefore, after buying vegetables and fruits ordered from the food retailer at the central wholesale markets in s cities, the distributor transports them by truck from each of the central wholesaler markets to the food retailer's storehouses in r cities.

Let x_{ki} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, \dots, r$ denote an order quantity of food i at store k , and the decision variables of the order quantities are also expressed by vectors

$$\mathbf{x}^T = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r), \mathbf{x}_k^T = (x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kn}), k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Table 10. Sensitivity analysis for the food retailer.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	4409*	4000	2500*	5000	10,000	2000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	4409*	4000	0	0	0	2000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	9031	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	2500*	5000	969	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^L	4000	4000	2000	5000	10,000	2000
Sum of purchase volumes [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	4409*	4000	2500*	5000	10,000	2000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	5000	5000	2500	6000	14,000	2500
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	6000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	0	5509*
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	3000	0	491
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	800	1500	3000	0	1200	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^L	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	5000
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	6000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	1000	2000	4000	3600	1500	6600
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16	Amount	Cap
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	2,000,000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	1730	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	2019	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	13,043	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	1457	87	0	729*	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	4000	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	2164	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	0	271*	2,000,000	2,000,000
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^L	12,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
upper limit [kg]: D_i^U	14,500	7500	4800	1300	-	-
Usage of storehouse [cm ³]: $\sum_{i=1}^{16} v_i x_i = 258,345,686^*$				Capacity [cm ³]: $W = 300,000,000$		
	Aggregate gain in sales [yen]		Transportations cost [yen]		Profit [yen]	
Food retailer	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i x_i = 8,718,720^*$		$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} b_{ji} y_{ji} = 372,356^*$		$z_1(x, y) = 8,346,364^*$	
	Revenue from retailer [yen]		Purchase cost [yen]		Profit [yen]	
Distributor	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i x_i = 15,483,259^*$		$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_{ji} y_{ji} = 13,000,000$		$z_2(x, y) = 2,483,259^*$	

Table 11. Sensitivity analysis for the distributor.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Food 4	Food 5	Food 6
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	5000*	4000	2400	5000	10,000	2000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	5000*	4000	0	0	0	2000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	9031	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	2400	5000	969	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	4000	4000	2000	5000	10,000	2000
Sum of purchase volumes [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	5000*	4000	2400	5000	10,000	2000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^u	5000	5000	2400	6000	14,000	2500
	Food 7	Food 8	Food 9	Food 10	Food 11	Food 12
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	800	2000*	3000	3000	1317*	6000
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	0	5362*
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	0	0	0	3000	0	638*
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	0	0	0	0	0
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	800	2000*	3000	0	1317*	0
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	800	1500	3000	3000	1200	5000
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	800	2000*	3000	3000	1317*	6000
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^u	1000	2000	4000	3600	1500	6600
	Food 13	Food 14	Food 15	Food 16	Amount	Cap
Order quantity [kg]: x_i	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Purchase volume at city 1 [kg]: y_{1i}	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	2,000,000
Purchase volume at city 2 [kg]: y_{2i}	0	1730	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 3 [kg]: y_{3i}	0	2019	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 4 [kg]: y_{4i}	13,043	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 5 [kg]: y_{5i}	1457	87	0	598*	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 6 [kg]: y_{6i}	0	0	4000	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 7 [kg]: y_{7i}	0	2164	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000
Purchase volume at city 8 [kg]: y_{8i}	0	0	0	402*	2,100,000*	2,100,000
Lower limit [kg]: D_i^l	12,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Sum of purchase volume [kg]: $\sum_{j=1}^8 y_{ji}$	14,500	6000	4000	1000	-	-
Upper limit [kg]: D_i^u	14,500	7500	4800	1300	-	-
Usage of storehouse [cm ³]: $\sum_{i=1}^{16} v_i x_i = 273,972,318^*$					Capacity [cm ³]: $W=300,000,000$	
	Aggregate gain in sales [yen]	Transportations cost [yen]		Profit [yen]		
Food retailer	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} a_i x_i = 8,832,557^*$	$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} b_{ji} y_{ji} = 404,717$		$z_1(x, y) = 8,427,859^*$		
	Revenue from retailer [yen]	Purchase cost [yen]		Profit [yen]		
Distributor	$\sum_{i=1}^{16} c_i x_i = 15,649,441^*$	$\sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_{ji} y_{ji} = 13,000,000$		$z_2(x, y) = 2,549,441^*$		

The decision variables

$$y^T = (y_1, \dots, y_s), y_j^T = (y_{j1}, \dots, y_{jn}), j = 1, \dots, s$$

of purchase volumes are the same as those of the single

store problem. In the extended problem, new decision variables on transportation are introduced, and let t_{jki} denote transportation volumes of food i from the central wholesaler market in city j to the storehouse for store k .

Let W_k denote the capacity of the storehouse of the food retailer for store $k, k=1, \dots, r$. The constraints for the storehouses are represented by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_{ki} \leq W_k, k = 1, \dots, r. \tag{8}$$

With multiple stores, the lower limits and the upper limits of order quantities of foods are also specified for all the stores, and the constraints for the upper and lower limits are represented by

$$D_{ki}^L \leq x_{ki} \leq D_{ki}^U, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, r. \tag{9}$$

The distributor must purchase food i such that its volume is larger than or equal to the quantity ordered from the food retailer for all the stores at the central wholesaler markets in one or more cities, and then, the constraints for order quantities are represented by

$$\sum_{j=1}^s y_{ji} \geq \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki}, i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{10}$$

The constraints on financial resources of the distributor are the same as those (6) of the single store problem.

For the extended problem with multi-store operation, the profit of the food retailer is represented by

$$z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki} - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{b}_{jk}), \tag{11}$$

where a_i is the profit per unit of food i ; $\mathbf{b}_{jk} = (b_{jk1}, \dots, b_{jkn})$ and b_{jki} is the transportation cost per unit of food i from city j to store k . The second term $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{b}_{jk})$ of the objective function (11) is the optimal value of the following linear programming problem:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{b}_{jk}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n b_{jki} t_{jki} \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{j=1}^s t_{jki} \geq x_{ki}, k = 1, \dots, r, i = 1, \dots, n \\ &&& \sum_{k=1}^r t_{jki} \geq y_{ji}, j = 1, \dots, s, i = 1, \dots, n \\ &&& t_{jki} \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s, k = 1, \dots, r, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{12}$$

It follows that problem (12) is separable into the following sub-problems for food $i, i = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{b}_{jk}) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^r b_{jki} t_{jki} \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{j=1}^s t_{jki} \geq x_{ki}, k = 1, \dots, r \\ &&& \sum_{k=1}^r t_{jki} \geq y_{ji}, j = 1, \dots, s, \\ &&& t_{jki} \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s, k = 1, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{13}$$

The profit of the distributor is represented by

$$z_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki} - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji}, \tag{14}$$

where c_i is the selling price of food i to the food retailer, and d_{ji} is the buying price of food i at the central wholesale market in city j .

The extended problem with a multi-store operation for purchase in food retailing is formulated as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki} - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{b}_{jk}) \\ &\text{where } \mathbf{y} \text{ solves} && \\ &\text{maximize } z_2 && (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki} - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_{ki} \leq W_k, k = 1, \dots, r \\ &&& D_{ki}^L \leq x_{ki} \leq D_{ki}^U, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, r \\ &&& \sum_{j=1}^s y_{ji} \geq \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki}, i = 1, \dots, n \\ &&& \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \leq o_j, j = 1, \dots, s \\ &&& \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \mathbf{y} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{15}$$

Because the objective function (11) includes the minimization problem (12), problem (15) becomes a three-level linear programming problem, and it can be transformed into the following single level mathematical programming problem where the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for optimality of the linear programming problems at the second and the third levels are involved in its constraints:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && z_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki} \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_{ki} \leq W_k, k = 1, \dots, r \\ &&& D_{ki}^L \leq x_{ki} \leq D_{ki}^U, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, r \\ &&& \sum_{j=1}^s y_{ji} \geq \sum_{k=1}^r x_{ki}, i = 1, \dots, n \\ &&& \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ji} y_{ji} \leq o_j, j = 1, \dots, s \\ &&& \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \\ &&& \mathbf{y} \in KT_2 \\ &&& \mathbf{t} \in KT_3, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{16}$$

where KT_2 is a set of \mathbf{y} satisfying the Kuhn-Tucker optimality condition for the second level problem (15); \mathbf{t} is a vector of variables $t_{jki}, j = 1, \dots, s, k = 1, \dots, r, i = 1, \dots, n$, and KT_3 is a set of \mathbf{t} satisfying the Kuhn-Tucker optimality condition for the third level problem (12). Although problem (15) can be solved by directly applying the Bard method [29] for three-level linear programming problems

if the size of the problem is not large, as might be expected, it becomes difficult to solve it when the numbers of foods and stores are large. Because problem (16) can be transformed into a mixed zero-one programming problem, a computational method based on genetic algorithms seems to be promising as we have given the computational results on performance of the solution method for obtaining Stackelberg solutions to two-level linear programming problems.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we considered the food retailing and transportation problem, and taking into account mutual interdependence of the food retailer and the distributor, we formulated a two-level linear programming problem in a noncooperative way and computed the Stackelberg solution to the problem. Using the realistic data, we specified the parameters in mathematical modeling and closely examined the obtained solution. Moreover, from the viewpoints of the food retailer and the distributor, we performed some sensitivity analyses. Finally, we discussed the extension of the two-level linear programming problem for food retailing and transportation to cope with multi-store operation.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Simaan and J. B. Cruz, "On the Stackelberg Strategy in Nonzero-Sum Games," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 11, No. 5, 1973, pp. 533-555. [doi:10.1007/BF00935665](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00935665)
- [2] J. F. Bard, "Some properties of the bilevel programming problem," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, Vol. 68, No. 2, 1991, pp. 371-378. [doi:10.1007/BF00941574](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00941574)
- [3] R. G. Jeroslow, "The Polynomial Hierarchy and a Simple Model for Competitive Analysis," *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 1985, pp. 146-164. [doi:10.1007/BF01586088](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01586088)
- [4] J. F. Bard, "An Efficient Point Algorithm for a Linear Two-Stage Optimization Problem," *Operations Research*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1983, pp. 556-560. [doi:10.1287/opre.31.4.670](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.31.4.670)
- [5] J. F. Bard and J. E. Falk, "An Explicit Solution to the Multi-Level Programming Problem," *Computers and Operations Research*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1982, pp. 77-100. [doi:10.1016/0305-0548\(82\)90007-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548(82)90007-7)
- [6] J. F. Bard and J. T. Moore, "A Branch and Bound Algorithm for the Bilevel Programming Problem," *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1990, pp. 281-292. [doi:10.1137/0911017](https://doi.org/10.1137/0911017)
- [7] W. F. Bialas and M. H. Karwan, "Two-Level Linear Programming," *Management Science*, Vol. 30, No. 8, 1984, pp. 1004-1020. [doi:10.1287/mnsc.30.8.1004](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.30.8.1004)
- [8] P. Hansen, B. Jaumard and G. Savard, "New Branch-and-Bound Rules for Linear Bilevel Programming," *SIAM Journal of Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 13, No. 5, 1992, pp. 1194-1217. [doi:10.1137/0913069](https://doi.org/10.1137/0913069)
- [9] J. J. Judice and A. M. Faustino, "A Sequential LCP Method for Bilevel Linear Programming," *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 34, No. 1, 1992, pp. 89-106. [doi:10.1007/BF02098174](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02098174)
- [10] D. J. White and G. Anandalingam, "A Penalty Function Approach for Solving Bilevel Linear Programs," *Journal of Global Optimization*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 1993, pp. 397-419. [doi:10.1007/BF01096412](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01096412)
- [11] J. F. Bard, "Practical Bilevel Optimization: Algorithms and Applications," Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [12] J. F. Bard and J. T. Moore, "Production Planning with Variable Demand," *Omega*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 1990, pp. 35-42. [doi:10.1016/0305-0483\(90\)90016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0483(90)90016-3)
- [13] O. Ben-Ayed, D. E. Boyce and C. E. Blair, "A General Bilevel Linear Programming Formulation of the Network Design Problem," *Transportation Research*, Vol. 22, No. 4, 1988, pp. 311-318. [doi:10.1016/0191-2615\(88\)90006-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2615(88)90006-9)
- [14] P. Marcotte, "Network Design Problem with Congestion Effects: A Case of Bilevel Programming," *Mathematical Programming*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 1986, pp. 142-162. [doi:10.1007/BF01580580](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01580580)
- [15] Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan, "Summary of Report on Price Formation in Each Stage of Food Distribution 2008," Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan, Tokyo, 2008.
- [16] M. Kidachi, "Evolution and Development of Retailing-Oriented Distribution Systems," In: Kidate and Tatsuma, Eds., *Theory, History and Analysis on Distributive Trades*, Chuo University Press, Tokyo, 2006, pp. 133-174. (In Japanese)
- [17] M. Geuens, M. Brengman and R. S'Jegers, "Food Retailing, Now and in the Future: A Consumer Perspective," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 10, No. 4, 2003, pp. 241-251. [doi:10.1016/S0969-6989\(02\)00017-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-6989(02)00017-6)
- [18] L. C. Harris and E. Ogbonna, "Competitive Advantage in the UK Food Retailing Sector: Past, Present and Future," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2001, pp. 157-173. [doi:10.1016/S0969-6989\(00\)00009-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-6989(00)00009-6)
- [19] T. A. Park and R. P. King, "Evaluating Food Retailing Efficiency: The Role of Information Technology," *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, Vol. 27, No. 2, 2007, pp. 101-113. [doi:10.1007/s11123-006-0030-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11123-006-0030-6)
- [20] N. Hibara, "Food Retailing: Ito-Yokado Group: Gaining and Sustaining Long-Term Advantage through Information Technology," Columbia University, New York, 2000.
- [21] M. Conlon, "Japan Retail Food Sector: Japanese Retail Food Sector Report 2006," Data Resource International Inc., Pompano Beach, 2006.
- [22] O. Ahumada and J. R. Villalobos, "Application of Planning Models in the Agri-Food Supply Chain: A Review," *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 195, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-20. [doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2008.02.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2008.02.014)
- [23] M. Erkoc, E. T. Iakovou and A. E. Spaulding, "Multi-

- Stage Onboard Inventory Management Policies for Food and Beverage Items in Cruise Liner Operations,” *Journal of Food Engineering*, Vol. 70, No. 3, 2005, pp. 269-279. [doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.04.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.04.044)
- [24] P. Georgiadis, D. Vlachos and E. Iakovou, “A System Dynamics Modeling Framework for the Strategic Supply Chain Management of Food Chains,” *Journal of Food Engineering*, Vol. 70, No. 3, 2005, pp. 351-364. [doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.06.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.06.030)
- [25] C.-T. Lin and Y. M. Chen, “Hedging Strategic Flexibility in the Distribution Optimization Problem,” *Omega*, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2009, pp. 826-837. [doi:10.1016/j.omega.2008.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2008.07.008)
- [26] E. Davis, M. Freedman, J. Lane, B. McCall, N. Nestoriak and T. Park, “Product Market Competition and Human Resource Practices in the Retail Food Sector,” *Industrial Relations*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2009, pp. 350-371. [doi:10.1111/j.1468-232X.2009.00561.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-232X.2009.00561.x)
- [27] I. Erol, N. Cakar, D. Erel and R. Sari, “Sustainability in the Turkish Retailing Industry,” *Sustainable Development*, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2009, pp. 49-67. [doi:10.1002/sd.369](https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.369)
- [28] K. Tamura, “Economic Analysis on Innovation of Distribution Systems in Japan: Sustainable and Selective Innovative Changes of Japanese Style of Distribution,” Kyushu University Press, Kyushu, 1998.
- [29] J. F. Bard, “An Investigation of the Linear Three-Level Programming Problem,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, Vol. SMC-14, No. 5, 1984, pp. 711-717. [doi:10.1109/TSMC.1984.6313291](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1984.6313291)