

On Constructing Approximate Convex Hull

M. Zahid Hossain, M. Ashraful Amin

Computer Vision and Cybernetics Research Group, School of Engineering and Computer Science,
Independent University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email: mzhossain@gmx.com, aminmdashraful@ieee.org

Received January 29, 2013; revised February 28, 2013; accepted March 13, 2013

Copyright © 2013 M. Zahid Hossain, M. Ashraful Amin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The algorithms of convex hull have been extensively studied in literature, principally because of their wide range of applications in different areas. This article presents an efficient algorithm to construct approximate convex hull from a set of n points in the plane in $O(n+k)$ time, where k is the approximation error control parameter. The proposed algorithm is suitable for applications preferred to reduce the computation time in exchange of accuracy level such as animation and interaction in computer graphics where rapid and real-time graphics rendering is indispensable.

Keywords: Convex Hull; Approximation Algorithm; Computational Geometry; Linear Time

1. Introduction

The construction of planar convex hull is one of the most fundamental problems in computational geometry. The applications of convex hull spread over large number of fields including pattern recognition, regression, collision detection, area estimation, spectrometry, topology, etc. For instance, computer animation, the most crucial section of computer gaming, requires fast approximation for real-time response. Consequently, it is evidential from literature that numerous studies focus on fast approximation of different geometric structures in computer graphics [1,2]. Moreover, the construction of exact and approximate convex hull is used as a preprocessing or intermediate step to solve many problems in computer graphics [3,4].

Convex hull for a given finite set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of n points where \mathbb{R}^d denotes the d -dimensional Euclidean space, is defined as the smallest convex set that contains all the n points. A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is convex if for two arbitrary points $a, b \in S$, the line segment ab is entirely contained in the set S . Alternatively, the convex hull can be defined as the intersection of all half-spaces (or half-planes in \mathbb{R}^2) containing P . The main focus of this article is limited on the convex hull in Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 .

2. Previous Work

Because of the importance of convex hull, it is natural to

study for improvement of running time and storage requirements of the convex hull algorithms in different Euclidean spaces. Graham [5] published one of the fundamental algorithms of convex hull, widely known as Graham's scan as early as 1972. This is one of the earliest convex hull algorithms with $O(n \log n)$ worst-case running time. Graham's algorithm is asymptotically optimal since $\Omega(n \log n)$ is the lower bound of planar convex hull problem. It can be shown [6] that $\Omega(n \log n)$ is a lower bound of a similar but weaker problem of determining the points belonging to the convex hull, not necessarily producing them in cyclic order.

However, all of these lower bound arguments assume that the number of hull vertices h is at least a fraction of n . Another algorithm due to Jarvis [7] surpasses the Graham's scan algorithm if the number of hull vertices h is substantially smaller than n . This algorithm with $O(nh)$ running time is known as Jarvis's march. There is a strong relation between sorting algorithm and convex hull algorithm in the plane. Several divide-and-conquer algorithms including MergeHull and QuickHull algorithms of convex hull modeled after the sorting algorithms [8] and the first algorithm Graham's [5] scan uses explicit sorting of points.

In 1986, Kirkpatrick and Seidel [9] proposed an algorithm that computes the convex hull of a set of n points in the plane in $O(n \log h)$ time. Their algorithm is both output sensitive and worst case optimal. Later, a simplification of this algorithm [9] was obtained by Chan [10].

In the following year Melkman [11] presented a simple and elegant algorithm to construct the convex hull for simple polyline. This is one of the on-line algorithms which construct the convex hull in linear time.

Approximation algorithms for convex hull are useful for applications including area estimation of complex shapes that require rapid solutions, even at the expense of accuracy of constructed convex hull. Based on approximation output, these algorithms of convex hull could be divided into three groups—near, inner, and outer approximation algorithms. Near, inner, and outer approximation algorithms compute near, inner, and outer approximation of the exact convex hull for some point set respectively.

In 1982, Bentley *et al.* [12] published an approximation algorithm for convex hull construction with $O(n+k)$ running time. Another algorithm due to Soisalon-Soininen [13] which uses a modified approximation scheme of [12] and has the same running time and error bound. Both of the algorithms are the inner approximation of convex hull algorithm. The proposed algorithm in this article is a near approximation algorithm of $O(n+k)$ running time.

3. Approximation Algorithm

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the finite set of $n \geq 3$ points in general position and the (accurate) convex hull of P be $CH(P)$. Kavan, Kolingerova, and Zara [14] proposed an algorithm with $O(n+k^2)$ running time which partitions the plane \mathbb{R}^2 into k sectors centered in the origin. Their algorithm requires the origin to be inside the convex hull. (It is possible to choose a point $p \in P$ and translate all the other points of P accordingly using additional steps in their algorithm). Conversely, we partition the plane \mathbb{R}^2 into k vertical sector pair with equal central angle α in the origin and for our algorithm the origin O could be located outside of the convex hull. The sets represent the vertically opposite sectors that form the vertical sector pairs defined as

$$S_i^\oplus = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{atan } 2(p) \in [\alpha i, \alpha(i+1)]\}$$

$$S_i^\ominus = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{atan } 2(p) \in [\pi + \alpha i, \pi + \alpha(i+1)]\}$$

where, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and the central angle $\alpha = \pi/k$. Then, the sets S_i^\oplus and S_i^\ominus denote the points belonging to the set P in sectors S_i^\oplus and S_i^\ominus respectively. Formally,

$$s_i^\oplus = S_i^\oplus \cap P$$

$$s_i^\ominus = S_i^\ominus \cap P$$

A pair of unit vectors u_i^\oplus and u_i^\ominus obtain in i th vertical sector pair as

$$u_i^\oplus = \left(\cos\left(\alpha i + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \sin\left(\alpha i + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right)$$

$$u_i^\ominus = \left(-\cos\left(\alpha i + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right), -\sin\left(\alpha i + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right)$$

The maximum projection magnitudes in the directions of u_i^\oplus and u_i^\ominus are (Figure 1)

$$m_i^\oplus = \max_{p \in s_i^\oplus} \langle u_i^\oplus, p \rangle$$

$$m_i^\ominus = \max_{p \in s_i^\ominus} \langle u_i^\ominus, p \rangle.$$

The definition of max function is extend to return $-\infty$ for no parameter. The sets of points which provide the maximum projection magnitude in the sectors of i th vertical sector pair are

$$M_i^\oplus = \{p \in s_i^\oplus : \langle u_i^\oplus, p \rangle = m_i^\oplus\}$$

$$M_i^\ominus = \{p \in s_i^\ominus : \langle u_i^\ominus, p \rangle = m_i^\ominus\}.$$

The vectors that produce the maximum magnitude in the directions of u_i^\oplus and u_i^\ominus for some points in the i th vertical sector pair are

$$v_i^\oplus = \max \left(\max_{p \in (s_i^\oplus - M_i^\oplus)} \langle u_i^\oplus, p \rangle, m_i^\oplus \right) u_i^\oplus$$

$$v_i^\ominus = \max \left(\max_{p \in (s_i^\ominus - M_i^\ominus)} \langle u_i^\ominus, p \rangle, m_i^\ominus \right) u_i^\ominus.$$

The magnitude of the vectors v_i^\oplus and v_i^\ominus could be $\pm\infty$ for the i th vertical sector pair containing less than two points. The sets V^\oplus and V^\ominus containing all the finite vectors in the ranges $[0, \pi)$ and $[\pi, 2\pi)$, are

$$V^\oplus = \{v_i^\oplus : \|v_i^\oplus\| \neq \infty\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$$

$$V^\ominus = \{v_i^\ominus : \|v_i^\ominus\| \neq \infty\}_{i=0}^{k-1}.$$

Let, $V = V^\oplus \cup V^\ominus$ and V contains at least three terminal points of the vectors in general position to construct the convex hull.




Figure 1. An example of convex hull CH_{16} constructed using proposed algorithm based on a set of 50 points.

The convex hull approximation of k vertical sector pairs according to the proposed algorithm in this article is:

$$CH_k(P) = CH\left\{\left(w_x, w_y\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : w \in V\right\}$$

4. Implementation

The input of the algorithm $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a set of $n \geq 3$ points in general position. For simplicity, we assume that the origin $O \notin P$ and $k \geq 2$. (This assumption can be achieved by taking a point arbitrarily close to the origin instead of the origin itself, within the upper bound of error calculated in Section 5) (**Figure 2**).

We also assume that at least two vertical sector pairs together contains minimum three points (where none of these two are empty). The assumption can be reduced to one of the requirements of minimum three points input (*i.e.*, $|P| \geq 3$) of convex hull. To illustrate that, let us consider p and q to be two points in P such that $\angle pOq \leq \pi - \alpha$ where O is the origin. Such two points do exist if no three points are collinear in P (*i.e.*, the points of P are in general position). If Or is the bisector of $\angle pOq$, then adding the angle of Or from positive x -axis as an offset to every vertical sector pair ensures that all the input points cannot be in the same vertical sector pair. Thus, the assumption is satisfied. Alternatively, if less than three absolute values in M are finite, then for each $M_i \in M$, assign $M_i \cos \alpha$ to M_{i-1} and M_{i+1} where these are infinite. (The next pa-

```

Approximate-Convex-Hull( $P, k$ )
01.  $\alpha \leftarrow \pi/k$ 
02. for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $k-1$ 
03.    $U_i \leftarrow (\cos(\alpha i + \alpha/2), \sin(\alpha i + \alpha/2))$ 
04.    $U_{i+k} \leftarrow -U_i$ 
05.    $M_{i+k} \leftarrow M_i \leftarrow -\infty$ 
06. for each  $p \in P$  do
07.    $i \leftarrow \lfloor \text{atan2}(p)/\alpha \rfloor$ 
08.    $t \leftarrow \langle U_i, p \rangle$ 
09.   if  $M_i \leq t$  then  $M_i \leftarrow t$ 
10.   else  $M_{i+k} \leftarrow \max(M_{i+k}, -t)$ 
11.  $V \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
12.  $f \leftarrow \text{anglex}(M)$ 
13. for  $i \leftarrow f$  to  $f+2k-1$ 
14.   if  $M_{i+k} \in (-\infty, 0)$  then  $V \leftarrow V \cup \langle M_{i+k} U_{i+k} \rangle$ 
15.   if  $M_i \in (0, \infty)$  then  $V \leftarrow V \cup \langle M_i U_i \rangle$ 
16. return Melkman-Convex-Hull( $V$ )

```

Figure 2. The proposed algorithm to compute an approximate convex hull in $O(n+k)$ time from inputs P and k where $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a set of n points in the plane and k is the number of vertical sector pair partitioning the plane.

graph contains details about M .) Therefore, the number of points in V must be at least three.

A circular array U is used to contain the k pairs of unit vectors of all the k vertical sector pairs and another circular array M is used to hold the number of k pairs of maximum projection magnitude in all the k vertical sector pairs. Both circular arrays have the same size of $2k$ and use zero based indexing scheme. The function atan2 is a variation of function arctan with point as a parameter. The function returns the angle in radians between the point and the positive x -axis of the plane in the range of $[0, 2\pi)$. The function anglex searches sequentially for the index of maximum angular distance between two consecutive positive finite vectors (computed using projection magnitude with index referring angle). If the index is i such that maximum angle occurs in between i and j , the anglex function returns j . The final convex hull is constructed using Melkman's [11] algorithm from set of V points which are the terminal points of finite vectors computed in steps 14 and 15. If the first three points of V are collinear, displacing one of these points within the error bound solves the problem.

Since the vertices of the convex hull produced by the proposed algorithm are not necessarily in the input point set P , the algorithm cannot be applied straight away to solve some other problems. Let us consider another circular array Q of $2k$ size which used to contain the points generating the inner products of M . Adding the point Q_j instead of $M_j U_j$ to the sequence V in Steps 14 and 15 ensures that the vertices of the convex hull will be the points from P . These modifications of the algorithm allow us to solve some problems including approximate farthest-pair problem but increase the upper bound of error (described in Section 5) to $r \sin(\pi/k)$.

5. Error Analysis

There are different schemes for measuring the error of an approximation of the convex hull. We measured the error as distance from point set of accurate convex hull $CH(P)$. The distance of an arbitrary point x from a set S is defined as

$$\text{dist}(x, S) = \inf \{ \|x - y\| : y \in S \}.$$

Formally the approximation error E can be defined as

$$E = \sup \{ \text{dist}(p, CH(P)) : p \in CH_k(P) \}.$$

It is sufficient to determine the upper bound of error E of the approximate convex hull $CH_k(P)$. Let, Q be a point lying outside of the convex hull $CH_k(P)$ and O be the origin. Suppose that, AB is an edge of the approximate convex hull (as shown in **Figure 3**).




Figure 3. The approximation error of the proposed algorithm measured as a distance TQ of the point Q lying outside of the approximate convex hull with an edge \overline{AB} .

Therefore, the distance of the point Q from the $CH_k(P)$ is

$$TQ = AQ \sin \angle TAQ.$$

The distance of the point Q from vertex A is $AQ = OQ \sin \angle AOQ$. Thus,

$$TQ = OQ \sin \angle AOQ \sin \angle TAQ.$$

Let, $d = TQ$ and $r = \max_{p \in P} \|p - O\|$. Thus we obtain

$$d = OQ \sin \angle TAQ \sin \angle AOQ$$

$$\leq OQ \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \angle AOQ \leq r \sin \frac{\pi}{2k}.$$

It follows that the minimum distance d directly depends on k which is denoted as function $d(k)$. Thus, the upper bound of approximation error E is $r \sin(\pi/2k)$. If k approaches to infinity, the $CH_k(P)$ converges to $CH(P)$.


$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(k) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r \sin \frac{\pi}{2k} = 0.$$

For instance, when k approaches to a large value, the area approximation error of the circle is reducing exponentially as shown in **Figure 4**. Therefore, this algorithm is more optimize than the KKZ algorithm [14] with respect to error bound as shown in **Figure 5**.

6. Correctness

Theorem 1. The approximation algorithm produces the convex hull from a set of points in \mathbb{R}^2 correctly within the prescribed error bound.

Proof. Since, Melkman’s algorithm can construct the convex hull correctly for points on a simple polygonal chain, it suffices to prove that the sequence of points V denotes a simple polygonal chain. (Melkman [11] published the on-line algorithm of convex hull with formal proof of correctness in 1987).



Convex hull approximation of circle




Figure 4. The graph showing approximation error of a circle area with respect to error control parameter k where the number of input points is $n = 16 \times 10^3$ lying on a circle of radius 4 units.




Figure 5. The graph representing the relation between the error control parameter k and upper bound of error E . The upper error bounds $r \sin(\pi/k)$ and

$\max(r \tan(\pi/k), 2r \sin(\pi/k))$ are calculated in this article and in [14] (i.e., KKZ Algorithm) respectively where r is unit in the graph.

Suppose that, the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is partitioned into k vertical sector pairs which correspond to the sequence $S = \langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{2k-1} \rangle$ of $2k$ simple sectors. The sequence S of sectors is ordered according to the angle measured anticlockwise. If h_i is a half-line (denoting the set of points on the half-line) from the origin in the direction of

the unit vector of the sector s_i , then the sequence $H = \langle h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{2k-1} \rangle$ represents all the half-lines correlated with the sequence S . According to the algorithm all the points of V must be distinct (as referred in steps 9-10) and lying on some of the half-lines of H . The sequence of half-lines $H' \subseteq H$ where each contained at least one point from V , is

$$H' = \langle h'_i \in H : h'_i \cap V \neq \emptyset \rangle$$

Each half-line $h'_i \in H'$ can contain at most two points of V . Let, $v_i^\ominus, v_i^\oplus \in (h'_i \cap V)$ are points on each half-line h'_i such that $\|v_i^\ominus - O\| \leq \|v_i^\oplus - O\|$. If a half-line h'_i contains only one point of V , the length of virtual $v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ is zero with v_i^\ominus and v_i^\oplus refer to the same point of V (e.g., h'_{i+2} contains only one point in the **Figure 6**). Let $\angle h_i h_j$ denotes the angle from h_i to h_j where h_i and h_j are half-lines from the origin. Since the angle between two consecutive half-lines $\angle h'_i h'_{i+1} \geq \pi/k$ and $O \notin V$ (because $t > 0$ for our assumptions $O \notin P$ and $k \geq 2$ in the algorithm), no two line segments $v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ and $v_j^\ominus v_j^\oplus$ intersect each other, for all $i \neq j$. However, the line segment $v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ could cross the polygonal chain $v_0^\ominus v_0^\oplus v_1^\ominus v_1^\oplus \dots v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ if the angle $\angle h_i h_{i+1} \geq \pi$. The equation of $\angle O v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ (derived using the law of sines and basic properties of triangle) also illustrates this fact mathematically for $\Delta O v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ (as shown in the **Figure 6**).

$$\angle O v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus = \text{arc cot} \left(\frac{O v_i^\oplus}{O v_{i+1}^\ominus \sin \angle v_i^\oplus O v_{i+1}^\ominus} - \cot \angle v_i^\oplus O v_{i+1}^\ominus \right).$$




Figure 6. The proof of correctness of the algorithm that consider both the simple and non-simple variation of polygonal chain $v_{i-1}^\ominus v_{i-1}^\oplus v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus v_{i+1}^\ominus v_{i+1}^\oplus v_{i+2}^\ominus v_{i+2}^\oplus$ with $\angle h'_i h'_{i+1} < \pi$ and $\angle h'_i h'_{i+1} \geq \pi$.

The solution with minimum magnitude of the above equation is negative for $\pi < \angle v_i^\oplus O v_{i+1}^\ominus < 2\pi$, even if $O v_i^\oplus > O v_{i+1}^\ominus$. Thus the line segment $v_i^\ominus v_i^\oplus$ could intersect with the edges of the polygonal chain only if $\angle v_i^\oplus O v_{i+1}^\ominus \geq \pi$. If the maximum angle between two consecutive half-lines is $\angle h'_i h'_{i+1}$ for some i , then *anglex* function returns the index $i+1$ that ensures the construction a simple polygonal chain $v_{i+1}^\ominus v_{i+1}^\oplus v_{i+2}^\ominus v_{i+2}^\oplus \dots v_{i+m}^\ominus v_{i+m}^\oplus$ where $m = |H'|$ and all the indices are modulo m . Thus the sequence of points V represents a simple polygonal chain. (It is possible to prove the algorithm obtained by interchanging the steps 14 and 15, using a similar method). \square

Theorem 2. If n is the number of input points and k is the number of vertical sector pairs in \mathbb{R}^2 , then the running time of the proposed algorithm is $O(n+k)$.

Proof. Let us estimate the running time for each part of the algorithm to prove that the algorithm compute the approximate convex hull in $O(n+k)$ time. It is clear that, the initialization steps 2-5 take $O(k)$ time. Since, the next loop of steps 6-10 iterates for each point $p \in P$, thus it takes $O(n)$ time considering constant time for floor function. According to the description of *anglex* function in Section 4, the function can be implemented in $O(k)$ time because it requires $2k$ iterations to compute the index. The loop of steps 13-15 takes $O(k)$ time and Melkman's [11] algorithm runs in linear time. Steps 1 and 11 require constant time. Thus the running time of the algorithm is $O(n+k)$.

7. Conclusion

Geometric algorithms are frequently formulated under the non-degeneracy assumption or general position assumption [15] and the proposed algorithm in this article is also not an exception. To make the implementation of the algorithm robust an integrated treatment for the special cases can be applied. There are other general techniques called perturbation schemes [16,17] to transform the input into general position and allow the algorithm to solve the problem on perturbed input. Both symbolic perturbation and numerical (approximation) perturbation (where perturbation error is consistent with the error bound of the algorithm) can be used on the points of P to eliminate degenerate cases.

8. Acknowledgements

This research is supported by a grant from Independent University Bangladesh (IUB).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hu and H. Yan, "Polygonal Approximation of Digital Curves Based on the Principles of Perceptual Organiza-

- tion,” *Pattern Recognition*, Vol. 30, No. 5, 2006, pp. 701-718. [doi:10.1016/S0031-3203\(96\)00105-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-3203(96)00105-7)
- [2] R. Bellman, B. Kashef and R. Vasudevan, “Mean Square Spline Approximation,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 45, No. 1, 1974, pp. 47-53. [doi:10.1016/0022-247X\(74\)90119-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(74)90119-X)
- [3] J. T. Klosowski, M. Held, J. S. B. Mitchell, H. Sowizral, and K. Zikan, “Efficient Collision Detection Using Bounding Volume Hierarchies of k -DOPs,” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1998, pp. 21-36. [doi:10.1109/2945.675649](https://doi.org/10.1109/2945.675649)
- [4] X. Zhang, Z. Tang, J. Yu and M. Guo, “A Fast Convex Hull Algorithm for Binary Image,” *Informatica (Slovenia)*, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2010, pp. 369-376.
- [5] R. L. Graham, “An Efficient Algorithm for Determining the Convex Hull of a Finite Planar Set,” *Information Processing Letters*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 1972, pp. 132-133. [doi:10.1016/0020-0190\(72\)90045-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190(72)90045-2)
- [6] A. C.-C. Yao, “A Lower Bound to Finding Convex Hulls,” *Journal of the ACM*, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1981, pp. 780-787. [doi:10.1145/322276.322289](https://doi.org/10.1145/322276.322289)
- [7] R. A. Jarvis, “On the Identification of the Convex Hull of a Finite Set of Points in the Plane,” *Information Processing Letters*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1973, pp. 18-21. [doi:10.1016/0020-0190\(73\)90020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190(73)90020-3)
- [8] F. P. Preparata and M. I. Shamos, “Computational Geometry: An Introduction,” Springer-Verlag Inc., New York, 1985.
- [9] D. G. Kirkpatrick and R. Seidel, “The Ultimate Planar Convex Hull Algorithm,” *SIAM Journal on Computing*, Vol. 15, No. 1, 1986, pp. 287-299. [doi:10.1137/0215021](https://doi.org/10.1137/0215021)
- [10] T. M. Chan, “Optimal Output-Sensitive Convex Hull Algorithms in Two and Three Dimensions,” *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 1996, pp. 361-368. [doi:10.1007/BF02712873](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02712873)
- [11] A. A. Melkman, “On-Line Construction of the Convex Hull of a Simple Polyline,” *Information Processing Letters*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1987, pp. 11-12. [doi:10.1016/0020-0190\(87\)90086-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190(87)90086-X)
- [12] J. L. Bentley, F. P. Preparata and M. G. Faust, “Approximation Algorithms for Convex Hulls,” *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 1982, pp. 64-68. [doi:10.1145/358315.358392](https://doi.org/10.1145/358315.358392)
- [13] E. Soisalon-Soininen, “On Computing Approximate Convex Hulls,” *Information Processing Letters*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1983, pp. 121-126. [doi:10.1016/0020-0190\(83\)90062-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190(83)90062-5)
- [14] L. Kavan, I. Kolingerova and J. Zara, “Fast Approximation of Convex Hull,” *Proceedings of the 2nd IASTED International Conference on Advances in Computer Science and Technology*, ACTA Press, Anaheim, 2006, pp. 101-104.
- [15] J. O’Rourke, “Computational Geometry in C,” 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998. [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511804120](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804120)
- [16] H. Edelsbrunner and E. P. Mücke, “Simulation of Simplicity: A Technique to Cope with Degenerate Cases in Geometric Algorithms,” *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1990, pp. 66-104. [doi:10.1145/77635.77639](https://doi.org/10.1145/77635.77639)
- [17] I. Z. Emiris, J. F. Canny and R. Seidel, “Efficient Perturbations for Handling Geometric Degeneracies,” *Algorithmica*, Vol. 19, No. 1-2, 1997, pp. 219-242. [doi:10.1007/PL00014417](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00014417)

Appendix

The article describes a near approximation algorithm for convex hull however it is possible to extend the concept for inner as well as outer approximation algorithms for convex hull. An illustration of inner approximate convex hull algorithm is shown in **Figure 7**.

```

Inner-Approximate-Convex-Hull( $P, k$ )
01.  $\alpha \leftarrow \pi/k$ 
02. for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $k-1$ 
03.    $U_i \leftarrow (\cos(\alpha i + \alpha/2), \sin(\alpha i + \alpha/2))$ 
04.    $U_{i+k} \leftarrow -U_i$ 
05.    $Q_{i+k} \leftarrow Q_i \leftarrow (0, 0)$ 
06.    $M_{i+k} \leftarrow M_i \leftarrow -\infty$ 
07. for each  $p \in P$  do
08.    $i \leftarrow \lfloor \text{atan}2(p)/\alpha \rfloor$ 
09.    $t \leftarrow \langle U_i, p \rangle$ 
10.   if  $M_i < t$  then  $(Q_i, M_i) \leftarrow (p, t)$ 
11.   else if  $M_{i+k} < -t$  then  $(Q_{i+k}, M_{i+k}) \leftarrow (p, -t)$ 
12.  $V \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
13.  $f \leftarrow \text{anglex}(M)$ 
14. for  $i \leftarrow f$  to  $f+2k-1$ 
15.   if  $M_i \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T \leftarrow \langle Q_i \rangle$  else  $T \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
16.   if  $M_{i+k} \in (-\infty, 0)$  then  $T \leftarrow T \cup \langle Q_{i+k} \rangle$ 
17.    $V \leftarrow V \cup \text{sort}(T)$ 
18. return Melkman-Convex-Hull( $V$ )

```

Figure 7. The proposed algorithm to compute an inner approximate convex hull in $O(n+k)$ time from inputs P and k where $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a set of n points in the plane and k is the number of vertical sector pair partitioning the plane.