

# **Content of Heavy Metals in Mulberry Fruits and Their Extracts-Correlation Analysis**

Ružica J. Micić<sup>1\*</sup>, Danica S. Dimitrijević<sup>2</sup>, Danijela A. Kostić<sup>2</sup>, Gordana S. Stojanović<sup>2</sup>, Snežana S. Mitić<sup>2</sup>, Milan N. Mitić<sup>2</sup>, Aleksandra N. Pavlović<sup>2</sup>, Saša S. Ranđelović<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Pristina, Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia Email: \*ruzicamicic@yahoo.com

Received September 29, 2013; revised November 1, 2013; accepted November 12, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Ružica J. Micić *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this research was the determination of heavy metals' (iron, copper, zinc, manganese, cadmium, nickel and lead) contents of white, red and black mulberry fruit grown in southeast region of Serbia, and their extracts. The content of all metals was confirmed in the fruits. All of tree types of mulberry contained the highest content of iron in the fruit and the lowest content of Cd. The level of iron in all assayed fruits species was ranged between 23.06 - 57.38 mg<sup>-1</sup>00g<sup>-1</sup>. The concentration range of cadmium for the tested fruits was found to be from 1.77 to 2.46  $\mu$ g·100g<sup>-1</sup> in fruit of *Morus nigra* L. and *Morus alba* L., respectively. The content of metals in the fruit of white mulberry decreases in the following order: Fe > Mn > Zn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd. The content of metals in the fruit of red mulberry by decreasing the value is: Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd and in the fruit of black mulberry decreases in the following order: Fe > Zn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd. In addition, an analysis of mulberries extracts showed a significant transfer of heavy metals during extraction procedure; therefore, the corresponding extraction coefficients reached values up to 73.09%. Those were especially high in the acetone-based extracts. The lowest extraction coefficients were in the methanol-water extract of red mulberry species. We found lead in none of the extracts. Pattern recognition technique, such as principal component analysis (PCA), has been applied to the obtained data with classification and interdependences among determined metals purposes.

Keywords: Mulberry; Extracts; Heavy Metal; Extraction Coefficient

## **1. Introduction**

The mulberry belongs to the genus *Morus* of the family *Moraceae*. Although it is extensively grown as food for silkworms in many countries, mulberry fruit production is a main aim in Turkey, which is one of the most important mulberry fruit producers in the world [1,2]. Mulberry is found from temperate to subtropical regions of the northern hemisphere to the tropics of the southern hemisphere and they can grow in a wide range of climatic, topographical and soil conditions. These are widely spread throughout all regions from the tropics to the subartic areas. Genus *Morus* is widespread in Asia, Europe, North and South America and Africa as well. Mulberry has a unique delicious fruit, sour and refreshing taste. It has been used as a folk remedy to treat oral and dental

diseases, diabetes, hypertension, arthritis and anemia [3]. The bright black and purple mulberry fruits, which have a very pleasant taste when eaten fresh, are also used in jams, juices, liquors, natural dyes as well as in the cosmetics industry [4]. *Morus* species are deciduous and in a period of low temperatures during the winter are required to break dormancy. Mulberry fruits may be coloured white, red or black when they are ripe. Deep-coloured fruits are good sources of phenolics, including flavonoids, anthocyanins and carotenoids [5-8], and mulberries are rich in phenolics [9]. Mulberry has a unique delicious fruit, sour and refreshing taste. It has been used as a folk remedy to treat oral and dental diseases, diabetes, hypertension, arthritis and anemia [3].

Fruits and their extracts deserve special attention because of the important influence they have on human health. For the majority of the world population, fruits

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

represent the primary source of the health care. Although the effectiveness of fruits is mainly associated with their constituents such as essential oils, vitamins, glycosides, etc., it was found that prolonged intake can cause health problems due to the possible presence of heavy metals [10].

Fruits can easily be contaminated by heavy metals in the course of cultivation or later during the processing stage and therefore determining the content of the heavy metals accumulated is of high importance. The human body requires both the metallic and the non-metallic elements within certain permissible limits for growth and good health. Therefore, the determination of elemental compositions in food and related products is essential for understanding their nutritive importance. Accordingly, the presence of some heavy metals in large quantities in the body may have a toxic effect [10-13]. The content of heavy metals is one of the criteria for the use of plant material in the production of traditional medicines and herbal infusions.

Rapid and unorganized urbanization and industrialization have elevated the levels of heavy metals in the environment of developing countries [12]. Industrial uses of metals and other domestic processes have introduced substantial amounts of potentially toxic heavy metals into the atmosphere and into aquatic and terrestrial environments [14]. Heavy metals have a significant toxicity for human, animals, microorganisms and plants [15]. Thus, the contaminations of fruit with heavy metals pose a serious threat to its quality and jeopardize food safety [12]. Lead and cadmium are very harmful elements for human body especially in high concentration [16]. Therefore, FAO/WHO established the permissible maximum limit of Cd in fruiting vegetables as 0.05  $\mbox{mg} \mbox{kg}^{-1}$  and Pb in berries and other small fruits as 0.2 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> [17]. Recently, pollution in developing areas has been increasing. Various factors such as exhaust gas, industrial waste and waste water have increased the heavy metal contamination in fruit and other edible parts of plants. The most important cause of pollution for the plants on roadsides is probably exhaustion of gases [18].

The aim of this investigation was to determine the concentrations of heavy metals in the mulberries and their extracts and to determine the coefficient of extraction of metals in different solvents and their mixtures.

## 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Samples

Fruits of mulberries were collected in the South East Serbia in early July 2011. Fruit maturity was estimated on the basis of the color that was very black. Samples were stored in plastic bags and kept frozen until extraction. The study area is located in the surroundings of the city of Niš. Niš has about 300.000 inhabitants and it is the third-largest city in the country after Belgrade and Novi Sad; however, the industry in this area is poorly developed.

#### 2.2. Reagents

All the reagents used were of the analytical purity (Merck, Germany). The working solutions were prepared immediately before the analysis from the basic solution with 1000 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> concentration for all metals. For the preparation of standard solutions high purity Milli-Q water was used. The glassware and polyethylene containers used for analysis were washed with tap water, then soaked over the night in 6 M HNO<sub>3</sub> solution and rinsed several times with ultra pure water to eliminate absorbance due to detergent.

#### 2.3. Apparatus

Atomic absorption measurements were made using a Varian SpectraAA 10 with background correction and hollow cathode lamps. Air-acetylene flame was used for determination of all the elements.

#### 2.4. Procedure

The standard procedure described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) was followed for the preparation of the samples for the analysis of heavy metals [19]. Accurately weighed (2 g) sample was transferred into a silica crucible and kept in a muffle furnace for ashing at 450°C for 3 h and then 5 ml of 6 M hydrochloric acid was added to the crucible. Care was taken to ensure that all the ash came into contact with acid. Further, the crucible containing acid solution was kept on a hot plate and digested to obtain a clean solution. The final residue was dissolved in 0.1 M nitric acid solution and made up to 50 ml. Working standard solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solution with 0.1 M nitric acid for checking the linearity.

Black, red and white mulberry fresh fruits (10 g) was extracted with water, ethanol-water (50/50, v/v%), ethanol, acetone-water (50/50, v/v%), acetone, methanol-water (50/50, v/v%) and methanol. All solvents were acidified with 1 ml concentrated HCl. The extraction was performed with 100 ml of solvents using the ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes. The suspension was gravity filtered through a Buchner funnel and Whatman No. 1 filter paper. Extracts were stored in the fridge until their analysis.

#### 2.5. Extraction Coefficient

Extraction coefficient, EC, is defined by the Equation

676

(1):

$$EC = 100 \cdot \left( C_{Mextract} / C_{Mfruit} \right)$$
(1)

where  $C_{Mextract}$  is content of the metal in the extract and  $C_{Mfruit}$  is content of the metal in the fruit.

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) for triplicate determinations. All statistical calculations were made using Statistica package (Statistica 8.0, StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

## 3. Results and Discussion

In our work the content of heavy elements, iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), and cadmium (Cd), was determined in the mulberries fruits from the region of Southeast Serbia and their extracts (**Table 1**). The content of all metals was confirmed.

## 3.1. Content of Heavy Metals in Mulberry Fruits

The highest content of metal in the mulberries fruits was iron and the lowest content was Cd. The level of iron in all assayed fruits species was ranged between  $(23.06 - 57.38 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$  (**Table 1**). Fruit materials from all origins accumulated iron above the limit proposed by FAO/ WHO in edible plants  $(2 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$  [20]. However, the results of this study are well comparable with the data reported earlier for iron content of other authors.

The concentration range of copper for the assayed fruits found to be  $(0.86 - 1.51 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$  (**Table 1**). The results obtained for all the plant materials are in the permissible limit of copper set by China (2 mg  $\cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$  for medicinal plants [21]. The concentration of copper obtained in the fruits of the present study is comparable with the reported values for other authors.

Manganese content in the mulberries fruits was ranged from  $0.81 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}$  in *Morus nigra* L. fruit to 2.33 mg  $\cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}$  in *Morus alba* L. fruit.

Table 1.	The content of	of heavy met	als in white,	red and black	mulberry and	their extracts
		•/	,		•/	

Sample	Solvent	Fe (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Cu (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Mn (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} Cd \\ (\mu g \cdot 100 g^{-1}) \end{array}$	Ni (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Zn (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Pb (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )
	Fruit	$23.06 \pm 1.02$	$0.86 \pm 3.11$	$2.33\pm0.18$	$2.46\pm0.21$	$0.36\pm0.01$	$2.23\pm0.17$	$0.09\pm0.01$
	Water	$0.32\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.02$	$0.28\pm0.02$	-	$0.10\pm0.01$	$0.73\pm0.05$	-
Ľ.	Ethanol/water	$0.15\pm0.16$	$0.04\pm0.02$	$0.27\pm0.01$	-	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.59\pm0.04$	-
ilba	Ethanol	$0.71\pm0.14$	$0.08 \pm 1.36$	$0.44\pm0.03$	$0.33\pm0.02$	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.86\pm0.07$	-
rus c	Acetone/water	$1.44\pm0.04$	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.42\pm0.04$	$0.42\pm0.03$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$1.05\pm0.08$	-
Mo	Acetone	$5.38 \pm 4.11$	$0.26\pm0.19$	$0.59\pm0.04$	$0.25\pm0.02$	$0.03\pm0.01$	$1.63\pm0.23$	-
	Methanol/water	$0.35\pm0.30$	$0.04\pm0.54$	$0.15\pm0.01$	-	$0.14\pm0.02$	$0.91\pm0.07$	-
	Methanol	$0.23\pm0.18$	$0.11\pm0.03$	$0.46\pm0.01$	-	$0.10\pm0.01$	$1.12 \pm 0.08$	-
	Fruit	$57.38 \pm 6.25$	$1.51 \pm 0.12$	$1.98\pm0.20$	$1.84\pm0.15$	$0.37\pm0.03$	$5.04\pm0.06$	$0.20\pm0.02$
	Water	$1.05\pm0.78$	$0.01 \pm 0.19$	$0.09\pm0.01$	-	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.43\pm0.03$	-
Ľ.	Ethanol/water	$0.70\pm0.10$	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.15\pm0.01$	-	$0.04\pm0.00$	$0.43\pm0.03$	-
ıbra	Ethanol	$0.32\pm0.94$	$0.01\pm0.00$	$0.18\pm0.01$	-	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.57\pm0.02$	-
ns ri	Acetone/water	$5.85 \pm 1.16$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.39\pm0.02$	$0.29\pm0.02$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.55\pm0.02$	-
юМ	Acetone	$5.69\pm0.36$	$0.28\pm0.01$	$0.59\pm0.04$	$0.27\pm0.04$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$1.04\pm0.02$	-
	Methanol/water	$0.31\pm5.10$	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$	-	$0.04\pm0.00$	$0.08\pm0.01$	-
	Methanol	$0.32\pm0.09$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.25\pm0.02$	-	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	-
	Fruit	$42.13 \pm 8.14$	$1.07 \pm 0.11$	$0.81\pm0.06$	$1.77 \pm 0.13$	$0.27\pm0.00$	$3.40\pm0.32$	$0.14\pm0.01$
	Water	$0.23 \pm 1.96$	$0.02\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$	-	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.04\pm0.01$	-
Ľ.	Ethanol/water	$0.70\pm0.02$	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.10\pm0.01$	-	$0.03\pm0.00$	$0.08\pm0.01$	-
igra	Ethanol	$0.50\pm0.00$	$0.01\pm0.00$	$0.20\pm0.02$	$0.34\pm0.02$	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.91\pm0.05$	-
u sn.	Acetone/water	$12.62\pm3.68$	$0.01\pm0.0$	$0.41\pm0.05$	$0.85\pm0.07$	$0.01\pm0.00$	$1.56\pm0.04$	-
юW	Acetone	$5.28\pm0.03$	$0.28\pm0.03$	$0.54\pm0.03$	$0.26\pm0.01$	$0.03\pm0.00$	$1.50\pm0.00$	-
	Methanol/water	$0.80 \pm 1.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.01$	-	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.99 \pm 1.00$	-
	Methanol	$0.48\pm3.01$	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.19\pm0.02$	$0.18\pm0.01$	$0.03\pm0.01$	$0.55 \pm 3.12$	-

The concentration range of cadmium for the tested fruits was found to be from 1.77 to 2.46  $\mu$ g·100g<sup>-1</sup> in fruit of *Morus nigra* L. and *Morus alba* L., respectively. Cadmium accumulates in human body and damages mainly the kidneys and liver [21]. For medicinal plants the permissible limit set by WHO, China and Thailand was 0.03 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> cadmium in finished herbal products [13]. All the investigated plant materials accumulated above the permissible limit.

The content of nickel was similar in all three types of fruits (0.36, 0.37 and 0.27 mg $\cdot$ 100g<sup>-1</sup> for white, red and black mulberry fruit, respectively).

The highest content of zinc was in the fruit of *Morus* rubra L. (5.04 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest in the fruit of *Morus alba* L. (2.23 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). Zinc content of the studied medicinal plants has been compared with the limit proposed by FAO/WHO in edible plants (2.74 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>) [20], it was found that only *Morus alba* L. within this limit while all other plants accumulated zinc above this limit. However, for medicinal plants the WHO limits have not yet been established for zinc [13].

Lead content in the mulberries fruits ranged from  $0.09 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}$  in *Morus alba* L. fruit to  $0.2 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}$  in *Morus rubra* L. fruit (**Table 1**). The content of lead in the investigated medicinal plants is under the permissible limit for medicinal plants set by China, Malaysia, Thailand and WHO (1 mg \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}) [13]. Lead content confirmed only in the fruits of mulberries but not in their extracts. Trichopoulos [22] has reported the Pb has a toxic effect for human metabolism even in low amounts and may have carcinogenic effects.

All of three types of mulberry had the highest content of Fe in the fruit and the lowest content of Cd. The content of metals in the fruit of white mulberry decreases in the following order: Fe > Mn > Zn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd. The content of metals in the fruit of red mulberry by decreasing the value is: Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd. The content of metals in the fruit of black mulberry decreases in the following order: Fe > Zn > Cu > Mn > Ni > Pb > Cd (**Table 1**).

## 3.2. Content of Heavy Metals in Mulberry Extracts

In the extracts, the highest concentration of iron was in acetone-water extract (50/50, v/v%) of *Morus nigra* L. (12.62 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest concentration was in ethanol-water extracts (50/50, v/v%) of *Morus alba* L. (0.15 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). The content of copper in the extracts was ranged from 0.01 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in water and ethanol-water (50/50, v/v%) of *Morus rubra* L. and in ethanol and acetone-water of *Morus nigra* L. to 0.28 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in acetone extract of *Morus rubra* L. and *Morus nigra* L. Manganese content was the highest in acetone extracts of *Morus alba* L. (0.59 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>) and

the lowest concentration was in the methanol-water extract of *Morus nigra* L. (0.08 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). The content of cadmium was ranged from 0.18 µg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in the *Morus nigra* L. methanol extract to 0.85 µg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in the acetone-water (50/50, v/v%) of *Morus nigra* L. All the water, ethanol-water and methanol-water extracts of fruits, and methanol extracts of white and red mulberry did not confirm the content of Cd. Nickel content was low and ranged from 0.01 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in acetone-water (50/50, v/v%) of *Morus nigra* L. to 0.14 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in methanolwater extract of *Morus alba* L. The highest content of zinc was in acetone extract of *Morus alba* L. (1.63 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest content was in water extract of *Morus nigra* L. (0.04 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). The content of lead was not confirmed in the extracts (**Table 1**).

The highest content of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn of *Morus* alba L. fruit showed the acetone extract (5.38, 0.26, 0.59 and 1.63 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The highest content of Cd in white mulberry extracts was in the acetone-water extract ( $0.42 \ \mu g \cdot 100 g^{-1}$ ), while water, ethanol-water, methanol and methanol-water did not confirm the content of Cd. The methanol-water extract of *Morus alba* L. fruit showed the highest content of Ni (0.14 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). The ethanol-water extract of *Morus alba* L. fruit showed the highest content of Ni (0.15, 0.04 and 0.59 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The lowest content of Mn was in the methanol-water extract of white mulberry fruit (0.15 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>). The acetone extract showed the lowest content of Ni (0.03 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>).

The highest contents of metals in Morus rubra L. extracts showed the acetone and acetone-water extracts. The acetone-water extract had the highest content of Fe, Cd and Ni (5.85, 0.29 and 0.06 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The highest content of Cu, Mn and Zn was in the acetone extract of Morus rubra L. fruit (0.28, 0.59 and 1.04  $mg \cdot 100g^{-1}$ , respectively). The water, ethanol-water, ethanol, methanol-water and methanol extracts of red mulberry fruit did not confirm the content of Cd. The lowest content of Fe, Mn and Zn was in the methanol-water extract of Morus rubra L. fruit (0.31, 0.09 and 0.08  $mg \cdot 100g^{-1}$ , respectively). The water and ethanol extract of red mulberry fruit showed the lowest content of Cu  $(0.01 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$ . The ethanol extract had the lowest content of Ni (0.02 mg $\cdot$ 100g<sup>-1</sup>). The manganese content was the lowest in water and methanol-water extract of red mulberry (0.09 mg $\cdot$ 100g<sup>-1</sup>).

The highest content of Fe in *Morus nigra* L. extracts was in acetone-water extract. Also, the acetone-water extract showed the highest content of Cd and Zn. The content of Cu and Mn was the highest in the acetone extract. The water and methanol-water extracts of *Morus nigra* L. fruit showed the highest content of Ni. The water, ethanol-water and methanol-water extracts of black mulberry fruit did not confirm the content of Cd (**Table**)

1). The water extract of black mulberry fruit had the lowest content of Fe, Mn and Zn (0.23, 0.07 and 0.04  $\text{mg} \cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively). The lowest content of Cu and Ni was in the acetone-water extract (0.01  $\text{mg} \cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ ).

## 3.3. Coefficient of Extraction

Based on the results, the analyzed elements can be classified into three groups: elements with the low extraction coefficient (less than 10%); elements with the medium extraction coefficient (10% - 30%), and elements with the high extraction coefficient (more than 30%).

The extraction coefficients EC obtained in this study varied markedly, from 0% to 73.06% (**Table 2**, **Figures 1-3**).

The extraction coefficient depends mostly on the extraction medium. The lowest transfer of heavy metals was in the methanol-water extract (50/50, v/v%) in *Morus rubra* L. (0.54%) for extraction of Fe, and the highest in the acetone extract of *Morus alba* L. (73.09%) for extraction of Zn. The extraction coefficient also depends on the plant species that is being extracted (**Table 2**).

The water extracts all of three types of mulberry had

Table 2. Extraction coefficient of heavy metals from themulberries by different extractions.

Sampla	Solvent	EC (%)						
Sample	Solvent	Fe	Cu	Mn	Cd	Ni	Zn	
	Water	1.38	9.30	12.02	-	27.78	32.74	
	Ethanol/water	0.65	4.65	11.59	-	13.89	26.46	
	Ethanol	3.08	9.30	18.88	13.41	11.11	38.57	
Morus alba L	Acetone/water	6.24	9.30	18.03	17.07	13.89	47.09	
	Acetone	23.33	30.23	25.32	10.16	8.33	73.09	
	Methanol/water	1.52	4.65	6.44	-	38.89	40.81	
	Methanol	1.00	12.80	19.74	-	27.78	50.22	
	Water	1.83	0.66	4.55	-	13.51	8.53	
	Ethanol/water	1.22	1.32	7.58	-	10.81	8.53	
	Ethanol	0.56	0.66	9.09	-	5.41	11.31	
Morus rubra L	Acetone/water	10.20	3.31	19.70	15.76	16.22	10.91	
1001012	Acetone	9.92	18.54	29.80	14.67	13.51	20.63	
	Methanol/water	0.54	5.96	4.55	-	10.81	1.59	
	Methanol	0.56	7.28	12.63	-	5.41	2.38	
	Water	0.55	1.87	8.64	-	18.52	1.18	
	Ethanol/water	1.66	1.87	12.35	-	11.11	2.35	
	Ethanol	1.19	0.93	24.69	19.21	7.41	26.76	
Morus nigra L	Acetone/water	30.00	0.93	50.62	48.02	3.70	45.88	
	Acetone	12.53	26.17	66.67	14.69	11.11	44.12	
	Methanol/water	1.90	5.61	9.88	-	18.52	29.12	
	Methanol	1.14	7.48	23.46	10.17	11.11	16.18	



Figure 1. Extraction coefficient in extracts of Morus alba L.



Figure 2. Extraction coefficient in extracts of Morus rubra L.



Figure 3. Extraction coefficient in extracts of Morus nigra L.

relatively low coefficients of extraction except for extraction of Zn in *Morus alba* L. (32.74%). The ethanolwater and ethanol extracts showed the lowest coefficients of extraction. The ethanol-water extract showed the highest coefficient of extraction for extraction of Zn in Morus alba L. (26.46%). The lowest coefficient of extraction was for extraction of Fe (0.65%). The coefficient of extraction was the highest in the acetone and acetone-water extracts all of three types of mulberry. The acetone-water extracts had a high coefficient of extraction in Morus alba L. for extraction of Zn and in Morus nigra L. for extraction of Fe, Mn, Cd and Zn. In the other cases it was lower than 30%. The acetone extract of Morus alba L. fruit showed the highest coefficient of extraction for extraction of Zn (73.09%). A high coefficient of extraction (more then 30%) was for extraction of Cu in white mulberry (30.23%), Mn and Zn in black mulberry (66.67%) and 44.12%, respectively). The methanol-water extracts of white, red and black mulberry had a coefficient of extraction less than 30% except for extraction Ni and Zn in Morus alba L. fruit extract (38.89% and 40.81%, respectively). Also, the methanol-water extract showed the lowest coefficient of extraction, in relate of all extracts, for extraction of Fe in Morus rubra L. fruit extract. The methanol extract showed a high and the highest coefficient of extraction in Morus alba L. fruit extract for extraction of Zn (50.22%) (Table 2).

From the obtained results of elemental concentrations in investigated plant samples after using different extraction solvents, it can be concluded that there is a sifnificant difference (p < 0.05) in elemental profiles among plant samples.

#### 3.4. PCA Analysis

I order to highlight the relation between the elements the principal component analysis (PCA) was used. With PCA, the data reduction is performed by transforming the data into orthogonal components that are a linear combination of the origin variables. One of the main objectives of PCA is to identify factors that are substantially meaningful. The principal components which have eigenvalues higher than 1 were extracted (Kaiser criterion) [23,24] (**Figure 4**).

This led to the formation of two principal components. The first component accounted for 55.64% and the second for 21.96% of the total variation of data. The first two components account for 77.60% of variances for all of the data. The first component represents the maximum variation of the data set.

Factor loading and communality for each element is presented in **Table 3**.

Factor loadings of elements data from **Table 3** indicate the similarities and correlations between elements. The elements with small factor loadings have only little influence on data structure, whereas the elements with high loadings represent those elements with the greatest influence on the grouping and separation of plant samples.



Figure 4. Eigenvalues of the correlation matrix.

Table 3. The loadings and the scores of all extracted factors.

Factor 1 (PC1)	Factor 2 (PC2)	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6
-0.8437*	-0.3055	0.1789	0.3599	0.1681	-0.0712
$-0.8088^{*}$	-0.4549	-0.5201	0.1033	0.0835	0.1406
$-0.8826^{*}$	0.3244	-0.1152	-0.0094	-0.2837	-0.1478
-0.4338	$0.6389^*$	0.2694	-0.0497	-0.1807	0.1768
0.2561	$0.7558^{*}$	0.5811	0.1448	-0.0448	0.0508
$-0.8523^{*}$	0.2245	0.2479	-0.3327	0.2233	-0.0335
3.34	1.32	0.79	0.27	0.20	0.08
55.64	21.96	13.12	4.57	3.34	1.36
55.64	77.60	90.73	95.30	98.64	100
	Factor 1 (PC1) -0.8437* -0.8088* -0.8826* -0.4338 0.2561 -0.8523* 3.34 55.64 55.64	Factor 1 (PC1)         Factor 2 (PC2)           -0.8437*         -0.3055           -0.8826*         0.3244           -0.4338         0.6389*           0.2561         0.7558*           -0.8523*         0.2245           3.34         1.32           55.64         21.96	Factor 1 (PC1)Factor 2 (PC2)Factor 3-0.8437*-0.30550.1789-0.8088*-0.4549-0.5201-0.8826*0.3244-0.1152-0.43380.6389*0.26940.25610.7558*0.5811-0.8523*0.22450.24793.341.320.7955.6421.9613.1255.6477.6090.73	Factor 1 (PC1)Factor 2 (PC2)Factor 3Factor 4-0.8437*-0.30550.17890.3599-0.8088*-0.4549-0.52010.1033-0.8826*0.3244-0.1152-0.0094-0.43380.6389*0.2694-0.04970.25610.7558*0.58110.1448-0.8523*0.22450.2479-0.33273.341.320.790.2755.6421.9613.124.5755.6477.6090.7395.30	Factor 1 (PC1)Factor 2 (PC2)Factor 3Factor 4Factor 5-0.8437*-0.30550.17890.35990.1681-0.8088*-0.4549-0.52010.10330.0835-0.8826*0.3244-0.1152-0.0094-0.2837-0.43380.6389*0.2694-0.0497-0.18070.25610.7558*0.58110.1448-0.0448-0.8523*0.22450.2479-0.33270.22333.341.320.790.273.3455.6421.9613.124.573.34

\*Loadings >0.5 and <-0.5.

The first factor with 55.64% of variance comprises Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn with high loadings and Ni with low loadings. All elements, except Ni have negative loadings in this factor. A negative correlation supports the fact that there is a strong influence between matrix elements and traces. No significant loading value, except for Cd and Ni, was obtained for any variable of the second factor, which is responsible for 21.96% of total variance. This factor, dominatly loaded by Ni, refers to potential anthropogenic contaminant [25].

Correlation analysis of total metal contents (**Table 4**) in different plant extracts is also showed strong correlations in two groups of elements. In general, interpretation of correlation analysis were done using correlation coefficient values higher than 0.5 [26].

The correlation coefficients can range from -1 to +1 and is independent of the units of measurement. Interpretation of correlation analysis enabled two groupings to be obtained. A close relation was observed between the concentrations of Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn while there is not a

from different countries.

	Fe	Cu	Mn	Cd	Ni	Zn
Fe	1.0000	$0.8087^*$	$0.5844^{*}$	0.3182	-0.3021	$0.6150^{*}$
Cu		1.000	$0.7487^{*}$	0.1320	-0.0389	$0.5118^{*}$
Mn			1.0000	0.4608	-0.0439	0.7413*
Cd				1.0000	-0.3845	0.4243
Ni					1.0000	0.0356
Zn						1.0000

 Table 4. Correlation matrix for the element concentrations in plant samples.

\*Marked correlations are significant at p < 0.05.

significant correlation of these metals with Cd and Ni.

## 3.5. Heavy Metals in the Mulberry Fruits from Different Regions

The comparison of our results regarding the heavy metals content in the mulberries from the region of Southeast Serbia with the results of other authors is shown in **Table 5**.

Several papers were previously published on the composition of micro-, macro- and toxic elements in various mulberry species [2,27,28]. Those studies were mainly focused on the investigation of the mineral fruit constituents of a range of the mulberry species, and showed that mulberry fruit contains an essential macro-elements as potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and sodium (Na) and micro-elements as iron (Fe), zinc (Zn) and nickel (Ni) as indicated in Table 3. Investigation of constituents in various mulberry species performed in different countries, gave the results of Ca content from 132 to 574 mg·100g<sup>-1</sup> in fresh fruit, Mg from 91 to 240  $mg \cdot 100g^{-1}$  and Na from 45 to 280  $mg \cdot 100g^{-1}$ . Trace elements in these tested extracts of mulberry fruit were in the range from 3 to 77.6 mg $\cdot 100g^{-1}$ , with Fe and Zn being the most dominant elements and Cu the least dominant element in relation to all detected elements. Sufficient quantities of essential macro- (K, Ca, Mg, and Na) and micro- (Fe, Zn, and Ni) elements were found in all the fruits. Potassium was the predominant element with concentration ranging from 834 to 1731 mg $\cdot$ 100g<sup>-1</sup>. The decreasing order of micro-minerals was Fe > Zn > Ni. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn) were not determined [27] (Table 5). Fruit of Morus alba L. from Turkey [28] has a lower content of heavy metals than our results (Table 5).

Preliminary study of heavy metals in mulberry fruits from Southeast region of Serbia and their extracts show that the iron content was the highest  $(13.8 - 42.3 \text{ mg} \cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1})$  while the contents of Cu, Zn and Mn were remarkably lower, and ranged from 0.9 to 6.2 mg $\cdot 100 \text{g}^{-1}$  which is less than content of heavy metals in mulberries from Southeast Serbia [29].

(mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Morus nigra L.	Morus rubra L.	Morus nigra L.	Morus rubra L.	Morus alba L.	Morus nigra L.	Morus alba L.
	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Turkey	Pakistan	Pakistan
Ν	800	690	92	82	75	n.d.*	n.d.*
Р	289	242	232	226	247	n.d.*	n.d.*
Κ	1005	929	922	834	1668	1270	1731
Ca	137	143	132	132	152	470	574
Mg	108	91	106	115	106	240	240
Na	58	45	59	61	60	272	280
Fe	5	5	4.2	4.5	4.2	77.6	73
Cu	n.d.*	n.d.*	0.4	0.4	0.5	n.d.*	n.d.*
Mn	7	5	4.2	4.0	3.8	n.d.*	n.d.*
Ni	n.d.*	n.d.*	n.d.*	n.d.*	n.d.*	1.6	2.2
Zn	3	3	3.2	3.2	2.8	59.2	50.2
References	[27]	[27]	[2]	[2]	[2]	[28]	[28]

Table 5. Contents of mineral element from mulberry fruit

not determined

In different environments, many factors are known to affect the concentration of heavy metals in both soil and plants, including industrialization, traffic density and unknown atmospheric deposits. In the mulberries from the region of Southeast Serbia, the highest content is that of Fe, while the content of Cd is significantly lower. This can be attributed, among other factors, to the fact that these were mulberries growing in a non-polluted region of Southeast Serbia.

#### 4. Conclusions

The analysis of white, red and black mulberry fruit and their extracts from the region of Southeast Serbia showed the presence of Fe, Cu, Mn, Cd, Ni, Zn and Pb. The iron concentration in the fruits was rather high while the cadmium content was significantly lower. The extracts of fruits did not confirm the lead content.

By analyzing the extracts of mulberries, the transfer of heavy metals from the plants to the extracts was found. The extraction coefficients varied in the interval from 0.54% to 73.09%. The extraction coefficient depends mainly on the extraction medium. The lowest transfer of heavy metals was found in methanol-water extract, and the highest in acetone extract. Since the white, red and black mulberries and their extracts are used in traditional medicine, there is a possible danger of heavy metal poisoning, if they come from the polluted areas. Therefore, the fruits should be collected in non-polluted regions and they should be tested for the presence of heavy metals. These investigations are obligatory and they are recommended by the European standards in order to prevent poisoning by heavy metals.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

Financial support of this work by the Serbian Ministry of Education and Science, Project No. ON 172047.

## REFERENCES

- S. Ercisli, "A Short Review of the Fruit Germplasm Resources of Turkey," *Geneteic Resources and Crop Evolution*, Vol. 51, No. 4, 2004, pp. 419-435. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:GRES.0000023458.60138.79</u>
- [2] E. Ercisli and E. Orhan, "Chemical Composition of White (Morus alba L.), Red (Morus rubra L.) and Black (Morus nigra L.) Mulberry Fruits," Food Chemistry, Vol. 103, No. 4, 2007, pp. 1380-1384. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.10.054
- [3] M. Ozgen, S. Serce and K. Kaya, "Phytochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Anthocyanin-Rich *Morus nigra* and *Morus rubra* Fruits," *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol. 119, No. 3, 2009, pp. 275-279. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2008.08.007</u>
- [4] S. Ercisli and E. Orhan, "Some Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Black Mulberry (*Morus nigra* L.) Genotypes from Northeast Anatolia Region of Turkey," *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol. 116, No. 1, 2008, pp. 41-46. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2007.10.021</u>
- [5] D. Gerasopoulos and G. Stavroulakis, "Quality Characteristics of Four Mulberry (*Morus* Species) Cultivars in the Area of Chania, Greece," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculturae*, Vol. 73, No. 2, 1997, pp. 261-264. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199702)73:2<</u> 261::AID-JSFA724>3.0.CO:2-S

[6] A. Sass-Kiss, J. Kiss, P. Milotay, M. M. Kerek, M. Toth-Markus, "Diferences in Anthocyanin and Carotenoid Content of Fruits and Vegetables," *Food Research International*, Vol. 38, No. 8-9, 2005, pp. 1023-1029. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2005.03.014

- [7] J. Y. Quian, D. Liu and A. G. Huang, "The Efficiency of Flavonoids in Polar Extracts of Lycium chinense Mill Fruits as Free Radical Scavenger," *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 87, No. 2, 2004, pp. 283-288. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2003.11.008</u>
- [8] A. Trappey, H. A. Bawadi, R. R. Bansode and J. N. Losso, "Anthocyanin Profile of Mayhaw (*Cretaegus opaca*)," *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 91, No. 4, 2005, pp. 665-671. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.06.037</u>
- [9] J. Y. Lin and C. Y. Tang, "Determination of Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents in Selected Fruits and Vegetables as Well as Their Stimulatory Effects on Mouse Splenocyte Proliferation," *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 101, 2007, pp. 140-147. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.01.014</u>
- [10] S. Jabeen, M. T. Shah, S. Khan and M. Q. Hayat, "Determination of Major and Trace Elements in Ten Important Folk Therapeutic Plants of Haripur Basin, Pakistan," *Pakistan Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, Vol. 4, No. 7, 2010, pp. 559-566.
- [11] S. A. Khan, "Profile of Heavy Metals in Selected Medicinal Plants," Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Re-

search, Vol. 14, No. 1-2, 2008, pp. 101-110.

- [12] K. R. Sharma, M. Agrawal and M. F. Marshall, "Heavy Metals in Vegetables Collected from Production and Market Sites of a Tropical Urban Area of India," *Food* and Chemical Toxicology, Vol. 47, No. 3, 2009, pp. 583-591. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fet.2008.12.016</u>
- [13] WHO, "Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials," Revised, Geneva, 2005.
- [14] D. W. O'Connell, C. Birkinshaw and T. F. O'Dwyer, "Heavy Metal Adsorbents Prepared from the Modification of Cellulose: A Review," *Bioresource Technology*, Vol. 99, No. 13, 2008, pp. 6709-6724. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.01.036
- [15] G. Fotakis and J. A. Timbrell, "Role of Trace Elements in Cadmium Chloride Uptake in Hepatoma Cell Lines," *Toxicology Letters*, Vol. 164, No. 2, 2006, pp. 97-103. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2005.11.016</u>
- [16] M. Hamurcu, "Mineral and Heavy Metal Levels of Some Fruits Grown at the Roadsides," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, Vol. 48, No. 6, 2010, pp. 1767-1770. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.031</u>
- [17] Codex Stand, "Codex General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed," 1995, pp. 31-32.
- [18] C. L. Ma, X. Y. Ao, J. Li, N. Zhao, N. Y. Li and Y. Chen, "Determination of 15 Elements in *Ottelia acuminata* by Microwave Digestion and ICP-OES," *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2013, pp. 899-902.
- [19] "Use of Statistics to Develop and Evaluate Analytical Method, Official Methods of Analysis," Association of Official Analytical Chemists International, 2000, p. 2000.
- [20] FAO/WHO, "Contaminants," In: Codex Alimentarius, Vol. XVII, FAO/WHO, Codex Alimentarius Commision, Rome, 1984.
- [21] World Health Organization, "Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Fruit Materials," Geneva, 1998.
- [22] D. Trichopoulos, "Epidemiology of Cancer," In: V. T. De Vita, Ed., *Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology*, Lippincott Company, Philadelphia, 1997, pp. 235-239.
- [23] H. F. Kaiser, "The Application of Electronic Computers to Factor Analysis," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 1960, pp. 141-151. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/001316446002000116</u>
- [24] R. B. Cattell, "The Scree Test for the Number of Factors," *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1996, pp. 245-276. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0102 10
- [25] S. Ražić, A. Onjia, S. Đogo, L. Slavković and A. Popović, "Determination of Metal Content in Some Herbal Drugs-Empirical and Chemometric Approach," *Talanta*, Vol. 67, 2005, pp. 233-239. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2005.03.023
- [26] J. N. Miller and J. C. Miller, "Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry," Pearson Education Limited, London, 2005.
- [27] S. Ercisli, M. Tosun, B. Duralija, S. Voća, M. Sengul and M. Turad, "Phytochemical Content of Some Black (*Morus*)

nigra L.) and Purple (Morus rubra L.) Mulberry Genotypes," Food Tecnology and Biotechnology, Vol. 48, No. 1, 2010, pp. 102-106.

[28] M. Imran, H. Khan, M. Shah, R. Khan and F. Khan, "Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of Certain Morus Species," Journal of Zheijang UniversityScience B, Vol. 11, No. 12, 2011, pp. 973-980.

[29] D. Kostic, S. Mitic, A. Zarubica, M. Mitic, J. Velickovic and S. Randjelovic, "Content of Trace Metals in Medicinal Plants and Their Extracts," *Hemijska Industrija*, Vol. 65, No. 2, 2011, pp. 165-170. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/HEMIND101005075K