

Parasitoids of dipterous collected in cattle dung in the Regions Southern and Central of Goiás, Brazil

Carlos Henrique Marchiori^{1*}, Ligia Miranda Ferreira Borges², Lorena Lopes Ferreira²

¹Institute Federal Goiano, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil; *Corresponding Author: chmarchiori@yahoo.com.br

²Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil

Received 8 September 2013; revised 9 October 2013; accepted 21 October 2013

Copyright © 2014 Carlos Henrique Marchiori *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In accordance of the Creative Commons Attribution License all Copyrights © 2014 are reserved for SCIRP and the owner of the intellectual property Carlos Henrique Marchiori *et al.* All Copyright © 2014 are guarded by law and by SCIRP as a guardian.

ABSTRACT

This study had the objective of determining the species of parasitoids of Diptera from May 2003 to June 2004 in the Region Southern and from March 2012 to February 2013 in the Region Central of (Goiânia) Goiás, Brazil. The dipterous pupae were obtained by the flotation method. They were individually placed in gelatin capsules until the emergence of the flies and/or their parasitoids. The percentage of parasitism in the Region South was 12.4% and in the Region Central was 6.4%. The species more frequent were: *Gnathopleura quadridentata* Wharton, 1986 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) with 25.6% in the Region Southern and *Aleochara notula* Erichson, 1839 (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) with 26.1% in the Region Central.

KEYWORDS

Diptera; Hymenoptera; Biocontrol; Two Regions

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the means for controlling flies, chemical insecticides are the most widely used. However, these may lose their efficiency as populations become resistant to them [1]. The appearance of resistance to insecticides explains the growing need to introduce alternative control programs aimed towards fly control.

Parasitoids are agents responsible for reducing the populations of flies that proliferate on dung [2], cadavers and animal carcasses. Because parasitoids occupy a higher trophic level, they often act as determinant factors for the population density of their hosts, consequent to their great diversity of physiological and behavioral adaptations [3].

These insects are considered to be bioindicators of the biodiversity of ecosystems and are considered to be key species for maintaining the equilibrium of the communities in which they are included. In addition, since they are natural enemies of agricultural pests, they may be used in biological control programs [3].

This study had the objective of determining the species of parasitoids of dipterous that were present in bovine feces collected in the southern and central regions of the state of Goiás, Brazil.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the southern region of the state of Goiás, Brazil, the experiment was conducted on the Panamá farm, in the municipality of Panamá (18°10'S and 49°21'W). In the central region of Goiás, the experiment was conducted at the Veterinary and Zootechnics School of the Federal University of Goiás, in the municipality of Goiânia (16°40'S and 49°16'W) Brazil.

Every fortnight, 10 plates of fecal cake (of approximately 3 kg each) were produced from fresh bovine feces that were collected immediately after defecation in pastures of *Brachiaria brizantha* (Hochst ex. A. Rich) and in corrals. The material was collected in plastic buckets and was homogenized. It was then placed in 10 round plastic supports of 20 cm in diameter, with a hole to allow rainwater to drain away. This methodology was used for precise determination of the time between the emission of the fecal cake and its collection. The feces remained exposed (five in the pastures and five in the corrals) for 15 days. After this period, the feces were taken to the laboratory for extraction of pupae by means of the flotation method. The pupae were removed with the aid of a sieve; they were counted and individually stored in gelatin capsules (number 00) until the flies and/or parasitoids emerged. The parasitoids and flies that emerged were identified

with the aid of a stereoscopic microscope and were conserved in 70% alcohol.

The percentage parasitism of each parasitoid species was calculated by means of the number of pupae parasitized by each parasitoid species divided by the total number of pupae of that host, and multiplied by 100. The parasitoids' preference for their hosts was tested by means of the chi-square test, with 5.0% probability. The voucher material from the central region was deposited at the laboratory of the Veterinary Parasitology Center of the Federal University of Goiás (UFG), and the voucher material from the southern region was deposited at the laboratory of Institute of Itumbiara (ILES-ULBRA).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Between May 2003 and June 2004 in the southern region and between March 2012 and February 2013 in the central region, 628 dipterous and 78 parasitoids and 359 dipterous and 23 parasitoids were collected, respectively.

It could be seen that in the southern region, greater diversity and quantity of parasitoids were obtained than those in the central region. The lower diversity in the central region was probably related to the low synanthropy of the species of dipterous insects and parasitoids collected in the region studied. The locality studied is now surrounded by human populations on all sides, which is

not the case at the locality in the southern region of Goiás.

The differences between the two regions may also have been due to variations in the quality and availability of food resources or variations in host density. The type of feeding, animal handling and use of insecticides to combat the treatment of ectoparasites and endoparasites might also have differed.

In a study on synanthropy that is being conducted in Monte Alegre, state of Minas Gerais, the parasitoid species *Paraganaspis egeria* Díaz, Gallardo & Walsh (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) and *Spalangia cameroni* Perkins (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed high levels of synanthropy: -100 and +50, respectively.

The most frequent species in the bovine feces in the southern region was *Gnathopleura quadridentata* Wharton (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), accounting for 25.6% (Table 1). This was probably due to the host density or due to the seasonality factor presented by this species. *Gnathopleura quadridentata* behaves as a solitary parasitoid and emerges from the host's puparium. Species of the genus *Gnathopleura* have been used for biological control of Sarcophagidae and Muscidae [4]. Through using many dipterous insects as hosts, *G. quadridentata* remains present in the environment and has good potential as a biological control agent for pest species.

The most frequently observed species in the central region was *Aleochara notula* Erichson, 1839 (Coleoptera:

Table 1. Parasitoids collected in cattle dung in southern Goiás, in the period from May 2003 to June 2004 and in the central region of Goiás March 2012 to February 2013.

Taxonomic Group	Number of Parasitoids-Southern Region	Number Parasitoids-Central Region
Coleoptera:		
Staphylinidae:		
<i>Aleochara notula</i>	00	06
Hymenoptera:		
Braconidae:		
<i>Gnathopleura quadridentata</i>	20	00
Diapriidae:		
<i>Trichopria</i> sp.	01	00
Figitidae:		
<i>Kleidotoma nigra</i>	02	00
<i>Neralsia splendens</i>		04
<i>Paraganaspis egeria</i>	02	01
<i>Triplasta atrocoxalis</i>	02	00
<i>Triplasta coxalis</i>	08	00
Pteromalidae:		
<i>Nasonia vitripennis</i>	00	04
<i>Pachycrepoides vindemmiae</i>	05	00
<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	04	02
<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	07	04
<i>Spalangia endius</i>	02	00
<i>Spalangia nigra</i>	07	01
<i>Spalangia nigroaenea</i>	18	00
<i>Spalangia</i> sp.	00	01
Total	78	23

Staphylinidae), accounting for 26.1% (Table 1). This was probably due to its capacity to seek hosts or due to variations in the quality and availability of food resources. The larval phase of *A. notula* behaves as a solitary ectoparasitoid of pupae of Cyclorrhapha Diptera of the families Muscidae, Anthomyiidae, Coelopidae, Sarcophagidae and Psilidae [5]. In the adult phase, it behaves as a predator of eggs and larvae of these dipterous insects and can be used for biological control of flies.

The percentage parasitism observed in the southern region was 12.4%. The percentage parasitism observed in the central region was 6.4%. This variation in percentage parasitism may be associated with seasonal variations in environmental conditions. *Gnathopleura quadridentata* in the southern region presented the highest percentage parasitism, with 28.6% (Table 2), probably due to its search capacity.

Nasonia vitripennis (Walker, 1836) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) was the species in the central region that presented the highest percentage parasitism, with 9.8% (Table 3). This was probably due to variations in the quality and availability of food resources or due to the ability of this species to search for food, or possibly because it is a polyphagous parasitoid. *N. vitripennis* behaves as a gregarious parasitoid: it is an ectoparasitoid in pupae of several species of dipterous families, particularly Calliphoridae, Muscidae, Sarcophagidae and Tachinidae [6]. It is a polyphagous insect that parasitizes more than 68 dipter-

ous species [7].

In relation to the preferences of parasitoids for their hosts in bovine feces in the southern region, it was found that *G. quadridentata* showed preference for *Oxysarcodexia thornax* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae); *Kleidotoma nigra* (Hartig) (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) showed preference for *Brontaea quadristigma* (Thomson) (Diptera: Muscidae); *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for *Palaeosepsis* spp. (Diptera: Sepsidae); *Spalangia cameroni* Perkins showed preference for *Brontaea debilis* Williston (Diptera: Muscidae) and *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae); *Spalangia drosophilae* Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for *Archiseopsis scabra* (Loew) (Diptera: Sepsidae) and *M. domestica*; *Spalangia endius* Walker (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for *B. quadristigma* and *Palaeosepsis* spp.; *Spalangia nigra* Latrielle (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for *Cyrtoneurina pararescita* Couri (Diptera: Muscidae) and *Ravinia belforti* (Prado & Fonseca) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) and *Spalangia nigroaenea* Curtis (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for *B. debilis*, *B. quadristigma*, *C. pararescita* and *R. belforti* ($X^2 = 715.24$; $GL = 77$; $P < 0.05$).

In relation to the attraction of parasitoids towards dipterous insects in the central region, it was found that *A. notula* was attracted to *Sarcophagula occidua* Fabricius

Table 2. Parasitoids collected in feces of cattle in the southern region of Goiás, in the period from May 2003 to June 2004.

Hosts	Number of pupae	Parasitoids	Number of pupae parasitized	Percentagem
<i>Archiseopsis scabra</i>	40	<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	04	10.0
<i>Brontaea debilis</i>	56	<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	01	1.8
		<i>Spalangia nigroaenea</i>	02	3.6
<i>Brontaea quadristigma</i>	49	<i>Kleidotoma nigra</i>	02	4.1
		<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	01	2.0
		<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	01	2.0
		<i>Spalangia endius</i>	01	2.4
		<i>Spalangia nigroaenea</i>	05	10.0
<i>Cyrtoneurina pararescita</i>	151	<i>Spalangia nigra</i>	03	2.0
		<i>Spalangia nigroaenea</i>	05	3.3
<i>Musca domestica</i>	10	<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	01	1.0.0
<i>Oxysarcodexia thornax</i>	70	<i>Gnathopleura quadridentata</i>	20	28.6
<i>Palaeosepsis</i> sp.	107	<i>Paraganaspis egeria</i>	02	1.9
		<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	02	1.9
		<i>Spalangia endius</i>	01	0.9
		<i>Triplasta atroxalis</i>	02	1.9
		<i>Triplasta coxalis</i>	08	7.5
		<i>Trichopria</i> sp.	01	1.0
<i>Ravinia belforti</i>	63	<i>Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae</i>	05	7.9
		<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	01	1.6
		<i>Spalangia nigra</i>	04	6.4
		<i>Spalangia nigroaenea</i>	06	9.5
Total of pupae: 628			78	14.0

Table 3. Parasitoids collected in feces of cattle in the central region of Goiás, in the period from March 2012 to February 2013.

Hosts	Number of pupae	Parasitoids	Number of pupae parasitized	Percentage
<i>Brontaea quadristigma</i>	83	<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	1	1.2
<i>Brontaea debilis</i>	77	<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	2	2.6
		<i>Spalangia cameroni</i>	2	2.6
		<i>Spalangia nigra</i>	1	1.3
		<i>Spalangia</i> sp.	1	1.3
		<i>Neralsia splendens</i>	2	4.9
<i>Cyrtoneurina pararescita</i>	41	<i>Nasonia vitripennis</i>	4	9.8
		<i>Spalangia drosophilae</i>	1	1.3
<i>Palaeosepsis</i> spp.	80	<i>Aleochara notula</i>	6	7.5
		<i>Neralsia splendens</i>	2	2.6
<i>Sarcophagula occidua</i>	78	<i>Paraganaspis egeria</i>	1	1.3
		-	23	6.4
Total of pupae:	359	-	23	6.4

(Diptera: Sarcophagidae); *N. vitripennis* to *C. pararescita*; *N. splendens* to *C. pararescita* and *S. occidua*; *P. egeria* to *S. occidua*; *S. cameroni* to *B. debilis*; *S. drosophilae* to *B. debilis*, *B. quadristigma* and *Palaeosepsis* spp and *S. nigra* to *B. debilis* ($X^2 = 47.28$; $G = 8$; $P < 0.05$).

Through this study, knowledge of the bioecology and geographical distribution of parasitoids of dipterous insects that develop in bovine feces in Brazil has been increased.

It is known that fly control using insecticides usually selects resistant populations. New methods for fly control are therefore needed, and one possible method for controlling these insects is to use natural enemies such as parasitoids. Such agents may be responsible for reducing the sizes of synanthropic fly populations in nature.

The emergence of resistance to insecticides justifies the growing need to implement alternative control programs, with the aim of controlling flies.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The lower diversity in the central region was probably related to the low synanthropy of the species of dipterous insects and parasitoids collected in the region studied.

Nasonia vitripennis was the species in the central region that presented the highest percentage of parasitism. This was probably due to variations in the quality and availability of food resources or due to the ability of this species to search for food, or possibly because it is a polyphagous parasitoid.

REFERENCES

- [1] Silveira, G.A.R., Madeira, N.G., Azeredo-Espin, A.M. and Pavan, C. (1989) Levantamento de microhimenópteros parasitoides de dípteros de importância médico—Veterinária no Brasil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*, **84**, 505-510. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02761989000800089>
- [2] Marchiori, C.H., Oliveira, A.T. and Linhares, A.X. (2001) Artrópodes associados a massas fecais bovinas no Sul do Estado de Goiás. *Neotropical Entomology*, **30**, 19-24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2001000100004>
- [3] Scatolini, D. and Dias, A.M.P. (1997) A fauna de Braconidae (Hymenoptera) como bioindicadora do grau de preservação de duas localidades do Estado do Paraná. *Revista Brasileira de Ecologia*, **1**, 84-87.
- [4] Wharton, R.A. (1979) Puparia of cyclorrhaphous Diptera from bovine dung in open pasture and rangeland in the transition zone of eastern North America. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, **7**, 80-89.
- [5] Wright, E.J. and Müller, P. (1989) Laboratory studies of host finding acceptance and suitability of the dung-breeding fly, *Haematobia thirouxi potans* (Diptera: Muscidae), by *Aleochara* sp. (Col.: Staphylinidae). *Entomophaga*, **34**, 61-71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02372588>
- [6] Rivers, D.B. and Denlinger, D.L. (1995) Fecundity and development of the ectoparasitic wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* are dependent on host quality. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **76**, 15-24.
- [7] Whiting, A.R. (1967) The biology of the parasitic wasp *Mormoniella vitripennis* [*Nasonia brevicornis*] (Walker). *Quarterly Review of Biology*, **42**, 333-406. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/405402>