

On The Numerical Solution of Two Dimensional Model of an Alloy Solidification Problem

Moeiz Rouis, Khaled Omrani

Institut Supérieur des Sciences Appliquées et de Technologie de Sousse, Sousse, Tunisia Email: Moeiz.Rouis@issatso.rnu.tn, Khaled.Omrani@issatso.rnu.tn

Received 30 December 2015; accepted 25 January 2016; published 28 January 2016

Copyright © 2016 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

😳 🛈 Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, a linearized three level difference scheme is derived for two-dimensional model of an alloy solidification problem called Sivashinsky equation. Further, it is proved that the scheme is uniquely solvable and convergent with convergence rate of order two in a discrete L^{∞} -norm. At last, numerical experiments are carried out to support the theoretical claims.

Keywords

Solidification Problem, Sivashinsky Equation, Linearized Difference Scheme, Solvability, Convergence

1. Introduction

In the solidification of a dilute binary alloy, a planer solid-liquid interface is often to be instable, spontaneously assuming a cellular structure. This situation enables one to derive an asymptotic nonlinear equation which directly describes the dynamic of the onset and stabilization of cellular structure

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(2 - u \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] + \alpha u = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where α is a positive constant, (see [1] [2]). Equation (1.1) is referred as the Sivashinsky equation.

In this article, we introduce the mathematical model for a finite difference discretization to the solution of the periodical boundary of two-dimensional Sivashinsky equation:

$$u_t + \Delta^2 u + \alpha u = \Delta f(u), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
(1.2)

How to cite this paper: Rouis, M. and Omrani, K. (2016) On The Numerical Solution of Two Dimensional Model of an Alloy Solidification Problem. *Modeling and Numerical Simulation of Material Science*, **6**, 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/mnsms.2016.61001 with the initial condition

$$u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$
 (1.3)

subject to the (L_1, L_2) -periodic boundary conditions

$$u(x+L_1, y, t) = u(x, y, t), \quad u(x, y+L_2, t) = u(x, y, t), \quad 0 < t \le T,$$
(1.4)

where $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 - 2u$, $\alpha > 0$, $\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$ is the Laplacian operator, and $u_0(x, y)$ is a given

 (L_1, L_2) -periodic smooth function.

Several numerical methods have been proposed in the literature for discretizing Sivashinsky equation. A semi-implicit finite difference scheme and a linearized finite difference method for the Sivashinsky equation in one-dimensional have been proposed respectively in [3] [4]. A semidiscrete approximation of the two dimensional Sivashinsky equation with lumped-mass method and optimal order error bounds for the piecewise linear approximation are derived in [5]. There are many papers that have already been published to study the finite difference method for fourth-order nonlinear equation, for example [5]-[14] and so on.

In this work, we investigate a linearized three level difference scheme for two-dimensional Sivashinsky equations. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a linearized difference scheme for (1.2) is derived. The unique solvability of the approximate solutions is shown in Section 3. A second order convergent linearized difference scheme is proved in Section 4. At last section, some numerical examples are presented to improve the theoretical results.

2. Linearized Difference Scheme

To solve the periodic initial-value problems (1.2)-(1.4), one can restrict it on a bounded domain

$$\Omega = (0, L_1) \times (0, L_2)$$
. For a positive integer N, let time-step $\tau = \frac{T}{N}$, $t_n = n\tau$, $0 \le n \le N$, and

 $t_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(t_n + t_{n+1}), \quad 0 \le n \le N - 1$. We define a partition of $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2]$ by the rectangles

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_i, x_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} y_j, y_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } x_i = ih_1, \quad y_j = jh_2, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, M_1 \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \underline{L}_1 \\ h \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, M_2 \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \underline{L}_2 \\ h \end{bmatrix}, \text{ such that}$$

 $h_1 = \gamma_1 h$, $h_2 = \gamma_2 h$, $\tau = \gamma_3 h^{\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}}$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and ε are positive constants. The optimal choice for ε is $\frac{1}{2}$. Denote

$$\Omega_{h} = \left\{ \left(x_{i}, y_{j} \right) / 1 \le i \le M_{1}, 1 \le j \le M_{2} \right\}, \quad \Omega_{\tau} = \left\{ t_{n} / 0 \le n \le N \right\}.$$

We define the space of periodic grid functions on Ω_h as:

$$\mathcal{V}_{h} = \left\{ V = \left(V_{i,j} \right)_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} / V_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}, V_{i+M_{1},j} = V_{i,j}, V_{i,j+M_{2}} = V_{i,j}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For $V \in \mathcal{V}_h$, denote

$$\begin{split} &\delta_{+x}V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i+1,j} - V_{i,j}}{h}, \qquad \delta_{+y}V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j}}{h}, \\ &\delta_{-x}V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j} - V_{i-1,j}}{h}, \qquad \delta_{-y}V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j} - V_{i,j-1}}{h}, \\ &\delta_{x}^{2}V_{i,j} = \delta_{+x}\delta_{-x}V_{i,j}, \qquad \delta_{y}^{2}V_{i,j} = \delta_{+y}\delta_{-y}V_{i,j}, \\ &\Delta_{h}V_{i,j} = \left(\delta_{x}^{2} + \delta_{y}^{2}\right)V_{i,j}, \quad \Delta_{h}^{2}V_{i,j} = \Delta\left(\Delta_{h}V_{i,j}\right). \end{split}$$

Further, define operators $V^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, $V^{\hat{n}}$ and $\partial_t V^n$, respectively, as

1

$$V^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{V^{n+1} + V^n}{2}, \quad V^{\hat{n}} = \frac{3}{2}V^n - \frac{1}{2}V^{n-1}, \quad \partial_t V^n = \frac{V^{n+1} - V^n}{\tau}.$$

For $U \in \mathcal{V}_h$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_h$ define the inner product

$$(U,V)_h = h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{M_1} \sum_{j=1}^{M_2} U_{i,j} \cdot V_{i,j},$$

and Sobolev norms (or seminorms)

$$\begin{split} \|V\|_{h} &= (V,V)_{h}^{\overline{2}}, \quad \|V\|_{\infty,h} = \max_{1 \le i \le M_{1}, 1 \le j \le M_{2}} |V_{i,j}|, \\ \|V\|_{1,h} &= \left[h_{1}h_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{M_{1}}\sum_{j=1}^{M_{2}} \left(\left|\delta_{+y}V_{i,j}\right|^{2} + \left|\delta_{+y}V_{i,j}\right|^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|V\|_{2,h} = \left[h_{1}h_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{M_{1}}\sum_{j=1}^{M_{2}} \left|\Delta_{h}V_{i,j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Define $C_{x,y,t}^{6,6,3}$ as the space of functions u(x, y, t) which are of class C^6 with respect to x, y and class C^3 with respect to t.

It follows from summation by parts that the following Lemma holds [5] [6]. **Lemma 1.** For $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_h$, we have

$$\left(\Delta_h V, U\right)_h = \left(V, \Delta_h U\right)_h \tag{2.1}$$

$$-\left(\Delta_{h}V,V\right)_{h} = \left|V\right|_{1,h}^{2} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left(\Delta_{h}^{2}V,V\right)_{h} = \left|V\right|_{2,h}^{2}.$$
(2.3)

We discretize problems (1.2)-(1.4) by the following finite difference scheme: we approximate $u^n \in \mathcal{V}_h$, $u_{i,j}^n = u(x_i, y_j, t^n)$, by $U^n \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\partial_{t} U_{i,j}^{n} + \Delta_{h}^{2} U_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha U_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_{h} f\left(U_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right), \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}, \ 1 \le n \le N-1.$$

$$(2.4)$$

$$U_{i,j}^{0} = u_0\left(x_i, y_j\right), \quad 1 \le i \le M_1, \quad 1 \le j \le M_2,$$
(2.5)

$$U_{i,j}^{1} = u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) + \tau \left[-\Delta^{2} u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) - \alpha u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) + \Delta f\left(u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right)\right], \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}.$$
(2.6)

3. Solvability of the Difference Scheme

Next, we will discuss the unique solvability of the difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6).

Theorem 1. Difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6) have a unique solution.

Proof. It is obvious that U^0 and U^1 are uniquely determined by the initial conditions (2.5) and (2.6). Now, we suppose that U^0, U^1, \dots, U^n ($0 \le n \le N-1$) can be solved uniquely. Consider the homogeneous equation of (2.4) for U^{n+1} :

$$\frac{1}{\tau}U_{i,j}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_h^2 U_{i,j}^{n+1} + \frac{\alpha}{2}U_{i,j}^{n+1} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M_1, \ 1 \le j \le M_2.$$
(3.1)

Taking the inner product of (3.1) with $U_{i,i}^{n+1}$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left\| U^{n+1} \right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left| U^{n+1} \right|_{2,h}^{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\| U^{n+1} \right\|_{h}^{2} = 0.$$

This implies,

 $\left\|U^{n+1}\right\|_{h}^{2}=0.$

That is, (3.1) has only a trivial solution. Thus, by the induction principle, (2.4) determines U^{n+1} uniquely. This completes the proof.

4. Convergence of the Difference Scheme

For a smooth function *u*, we have

$$u^{\hat{n}} = \frac{3}{2}u^n - \frac{1}{2}u^{n-1} = u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + O(\tau^2)$$
 as $\tau \to 0$.

Therefore, the extrapolation just proposed will give second-order accuracy. To show the convergence of the difference scheme, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2. [15] [16]. Let b_1, b_2 and $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, be positive and satisfy

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+b_1\tau)a_i + b_2\tau, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots;$$

then

$$a_{i+1} \le \exp\left(b_1 i \tau\right) \left(a_1 + \frac{b_2}{b_1}\right)$$

Lemma 3. [17]. For any grid function v on $\Omega_h = \{(x_i, y_j)/1 \le i \le M_1, 1 \le j \le M_2\}$ there is a positive constant c independent h such that

$$\|v\|_{\infty,h} \le c \|v\|_h^{\frac{1}{2}} (|v|_{2,h} + |v|_h)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The main result of this article is the following Theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume the solution of u(x, y, t) of (1.2)-(1.4) belong to $C_{x,y,t}^{6,6,3}([0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \times [0, T])$. Then, the solution of difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6) converges to the solution of the problems (1.2)-(1.4) with the convergence order of $O(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \tau^2)$ in the discrete L^{∞} -norm.

Proof. Define the net function $u_{i,j}^n = u(x_i, y_i, t^n), 1 \le i \le M_1, 1 \le j \le M_2, 0 \le n \le N$. Therefore, From Taylor expansion, we have for $1 \le i \le M_1, 1 \le j \le M_2$,

$$\partial_{t}u_{i,j}^{n} + \Delta_{h}^{2}u_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha u_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_{h}f\left(u_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right) + F_{i,j}^{n}, \quad 1 \le n \le N-1.$$

$$(4.1)$$

$$u_{i,j}^{0} = u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

$$u_{i,j}^{1} = u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) + \tau \left[-\Delta^{2} u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) - \alpha u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) + \Delta f\left(u_{0}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right)\right] + G_{i,j},$$
(4.3)

where $F_{i,j}^n$ and $G_{i,j}$ are truncation errors of difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6) and there exists a constant c_1 such that

$$\left|F_{i,j}^{n}\right| \le c_{1}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right), \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}, \ 1 \le n \le N-1.$$

$$(4.4)$$

$$\left|G_{i,j}\right| = \tau^2 \left|\int_0^1 u_{tt}\left(x_i, y_j, s\tau\right) (1-s) ds\right| \le c_1 \tau^2, \quad 1 \le i \le M_1, \ 1 \le j \le M_2.$$
(4.5)

Let $E_{i,j}^n = u_{i,j}^n - U_{i,j}^n$ and subtracting (2.4)-(2.6) from (4.1)-(4.3), we obtain

$$\partial_{t} E_{i,j}^{n} + \Delta_{h}^{2} E_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha E_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta_{h} f\left(u_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right) - \Delta_{h} f\left(U_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right) + F_{i,j}^{n}, \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}, \ 1 \le n \le N - 1$$
(4.6)

$$E_{i,j}^{0} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$E_{i,j}^{1} = G_{i,j}, \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}.$$
(4.8)

We prove by inductive method that

$$\left\|E^{n}\right\|_{h} \le c_{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right), \quad 0 \le n \le N.$$
(4.9)

From (4.5) and (4.7)-(4.8), we have

$$\left\|E^{0}\right\|_{h} = 0, \quad \left\|E^{1}\right\|_{h} \le c_{1}\left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2}\right).$$
 (4.10)

It follows from (4.10) that (4.9) is valid for n = 0 and n = 1. Now suppose that (4.9) is true for *n* from 0 to *l* $(1 \le l \le N-1)$. Therefore, for *h* sufficiently small

$$\left|E_{i,j}^{n}\right| \leq c_{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)/\sqrt{h_{1}h_{2}} \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M_{1}, \ 1 \leq j \leq M_{2}, \ 1 \leq n \leq l.$$

$$(4.11)$$

Thus,

$$\left|U_{i,j}^{n}\right| = \left|u_{i,j}^{n} - E_{i,j}^{n}\right| \le \left|u_{i,j}^{n}\right| + \left|E_{i,j}^{n}\right| \le s+1, \quad 1 \le i \le M_{1}, \ 1 \le j \le M_{2}, \ 1 \le n \le l,$$

$$(4.12)$$

where

$$s = \max_{0 \le x \le L_1, 0 \le y \le L_2, 0 \le t \le T} |u(x, y, t)|.$$

For $1 \le n \le l$, taking in (4.6) the inner product with $E_{i,j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\left(\partial_{t}E^{n}, E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{h} + \left|E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{2,h}^{2} + \alpha \left|E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{h}^{2} = \left(f\left(u^{\hat{n}}\right) - f\left(U^{\hat{n}}\right), \Delta_{h}E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{h} + \left(F^{n}, E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{h}.$$
(4.13)

Noting that from the Lipschitz condition of f

$$f\left(u_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right) - f\left(U_{i,j}^{\hat{n}}\right) \le c_3 \left| E_{i,j}^{\hat{n}} \right|, \quad 1 \le i \le M_1, \ 1 \le j \le M_2,$$
(4.14)

where

$$c_3 = \max_{-(s+1) \le z \le s+1} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}z} (z) \right|.$$

For $\alpha > 0$, it follows from (4.13) and (4.14) that

$$\frac{1}{2k} \left(\left\| E^{n+1} \right\|_{h}^{2} - \left\| E^{n} \right\|_{h}^{2} \right) + \left| E^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{2,h}^{2} \leq \frac{c_{3}^{2}}{4} \left\| E^{\hat{n}} \right\|_{h}^{2} + \left| E^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right|_{2,h}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| F^{n} \right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| E^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{h}^{2}.$$

Using (4.4), we get

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \left(\left\| E^{n+1} \right\|_{h}^{2} - \left\| E^{n} \right\|_{h}^{2} \right) \le c_{4} \left[\left\| E^{n-1} \right\|_{h}^{2} + \left\| E^{n} \right\|_{h}^{2} + \left\| E^{n+1} \right\|_{h}^{2} \right] + c_{1}^{2} \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \right)^{2}.$$

This yields

$$(1-2c_4\tau) \left\| E^{n+1} \right\|_h^2 \le (1+2c_4\tau) \left\| E^n \right\|_h^2 + 2c_4\tau \left\| E^{n-1} \right\|_h^2 + 2c_1^2\tau \left(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Therefore, when $\tau \leq \frac{1}{6c_4}$

$$\left\|E^{n+1}\right\|_{h}^{2} \leq \left(1+6c_{4}\tau\right)\left\|E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2}+3c_{4}\tau\left\|E^{n-1}\right\|_{h}^{2}+3c_{1}^{2}\tau\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{2}.$$

It follows easily from this inequality that

$$\max\left(\left\|E^{n+1}\right\|_{h}^{2}, \left\|E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2}\right) \leq \left(1 + 9c_{4}\tau\right) \max\left(\left\|E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2}, \left\|E^{n-1}\right\|_{h}^{2}\right) + 3c_{1}^{2}\tau\left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2}\right)^{2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\max\left(\left\|E^{l+1}\right\|_{h}^{2}, \left\|E^{l}\right\|_{h}^{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(9c_{4}\tau l\right)\left[\max\left(\left\|E^{l}\right\|_{h}^{2}, \left\|E^{0}\right\|_{h}^{2}\right) + \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{3c_{4}}\left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2}\right)^{2}\right]$$

Using (4.10), we get

$$\max\left(\left\|E^{l+1}\right\|_{h}^{2}, \left\|E^{l}\right\|_{h}^{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(9c_{4}T\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{3c_{4}}\right)c_{1}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{2},$$

and hence,

$$\left\| E^{l+1} \right\|_{h} \le c_{5} \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \right)^{2},$$

where c_5 is constant dependent on T, c_1 and c_4 . That means, by the induction principle (4.9) is true. Second, we will prove that

$$\left| E^{n} \right|_{2,h} \le c_{6} \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \right), \quad 0 \le n \le N.$$
(4.15)

From (4.7), we find

$$E^0\Big|_{2,h} = 0.$$
 (4.16)

Using (4.5), we obtain

$$\Delta_{xh}G_{i,j} = \tau^2 \int_0^1 \frac{u_{tt}(x_{i+1}, y_j, s\tau) - 2u_{tt}(x_i, y_j, s\tau) + u_{tt}(x_{i-1}, y_j, s\tau)}{h_1^2} (1-s) ds$$

This implies that

$$\Delta_{xh}G_{i,j} = \tau^2 \int_0^1 u_{ttxx} \left(\chi_i, y_j, s\tau\right) (1-s) \mathrm{d}s,$$

where $\chi \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$. Thus, we get $\|\Delta_{xh}G\|_h \leq C\tau^2$. Similarly we find, $\|\Delta_{yh}G\|_h \leq C\tau^2$. Then

$$\left| E^{1} \right|_{2,h} \le c_{7} \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \right).$$
(4.17)

Taking now in (4.6) the inner product with $\partial_t E^n$, we obtain for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

$$\left\|\partial_{t}E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\left(\left|E^{n}\right|_{2,h}^{2}\right)=-\alpha\left(E^{n+\frac{1}{2}},\partial_{t}E^{n}\right)_{h}+\left(\Delta_{h}f\left(u^{\hat{n}}\right)-\Delta_{h}f\left(U^{\hat{n}}\right),\partial_{t}E^{n}\right)_{h}+\left(F^{n},\partial_{t}E^{n}\right)_{h}$$

Using the differentiability of f and the Cauchy Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\left\|\partial_{t}E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\left(\left|E^{n}\right|_{2,h}^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\left\|E^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\partial_{t}E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2} + c_{3}^{2}\left\|E^{\hat{n}}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\left\|\partial_{t}E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\left\|\partial_{t}E^{n}\right\|_$$

This yields by (4.4)

$$\partial_{t}\left(\left|E^{n}\right|_{2,h}^{2}\right) \leq c_{8}\left[\left\|E^{n-1}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \left\|E^{n}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \left\|E^{n+1}\right\|_{h}^{2} + \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2}\right)^{2}\right].$$

It follows from (4.9) that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\left| E^{n+1} \right|_{2,h}^2 - \left| E^n \right|_{2,h}^2 \right) \le c_9 \left(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Here, by above,

$$\left|E^{n}\right|_{2,h}^{2} \leq c_{10} n \tau \left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{2},$$

and hence,

$$\left| E^{n} \right|_{2,h} \le C(u,T) \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2} + \tau^{2} \right), \quad 1 \le n \le N.$$
(4.18)

Applying Lemma 3, (4.9) and (4.16)-(4.18), we obtain

$$\left|E^{n}\right|_{\infty,h} \leq C\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}+\tau^{2}\right).$$

This completes the proof.

5. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we give some numerical experiments to verify our theoretical results that are given in the previous sections. For that purpose, we consider the following periodic inhomogeneous Sivashinsky equation

$$u_{t} + \Delta^{2}u + 2u + \Delta \left(2u - \frac{1}{2}u^{2}\right) = g\left(x, y, t\right), \quad (x, y) \in (0, 2\pi) \times (0, 2\pi), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$
(5.1)

with the initial condition

$$u(x, y, 0) = \cos(x + y), \quad (x, y) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi],$$
 (5.2)

where

$$g(x, y, t) = 4\cos^{2}(x + y + t) - \sin(x + y + t) - 2\sin^{2}(x + y + t)$$

For which the exact solution is $u(x, y, t) = \cos(x + y + t)$.

In the runs, we use the same spacing h in each direction, $h_1 = h_2 = h$, and compute the maximum norm errors of the numerical solution

$$e_{\infty}(h,\tau) = \max_{0 \le n \le N} \left\| u^n - U^n \right\|_{\infty}$$

The convergence order in spatial direction is defined as

$$rate_1 = \log_2\left(\frac{e_{\infty}(2h,\tau)}{e_{\infty}(h,\tau)}\right)$$

when τ is sufficiently small. The convergence order in temporal direction is defined as

$$rate_2 = \log_2\left(\frac{e_{\infty}(h, 2\tau)}{e_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right),$$

when h is sufficiently small. We also define the rate of convergence

$$rate_3 = \log_2\left(\frac{e_{\infty}(2h, 2\tau)}{e_{\infty}(h, \tau)}\right),$$

when both *h* and τ are sufficiently small.

By computing the problems (5.1)-(5.2) with the difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6), we carry out the spatial and temporal convergence in the sense of the maximum norm. Table 1 and Table 2 give the errors between numerical solutions and exact solutions for spatial and temporal convergence, respectively. Once again, we conclude from Tables 1-3, that the difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6) are convergent with the convergence order of two both in space and in time. This is in accordance with Theorem 2.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we use the discrete energy method to study the convergence of a linearized difference scheme for solving the two-dimensional Sivashinsky equation. The convergence is proved to be second order in the maxi-

Table 1. The spatial convergence orders in maximum norm for difference schemes (2.1)-(2.3) to the inhomogeneous Siva-	
shinsky Equations (5.1) and (5.2), with $\tau = 0.0025$.	

h	$e_\infty(h, au)$	rate ₁
0.1	5.832E-3	*
0.05	1.454E-3	2.003
0.025	3.462E-4	1.989
0.0125	8.445E-5	1.997

Table 2. The temporal convergence orders in maximum norm for difference schemes (2.1)-(2.3) to the inhomogeneous Sivashinsky Equations (5.1) and (5.2), with h = 0.0025.

h	$e_\infty(h, au)$	rate ₂
0.1	8.745E-4	*
0.05	1.913E-4	2.187
0.025	4.115E-5	2.274
0.0125	9.570E-6	2.042

Table 3. The maximum norm errors and convergence orders for difference schemes (2.1)-(2.3) to the inhomogeneous Sivashinsky Equations (5.1) and (5.2).

h	τ	$e_{\infty}(h, au)$	rate ₃
0.1	0.1	7.452E-3	*
0.05	0.05	1.733E-3	2.216
0.025	0.025	4.266E-4	2.012
0.0125	0.0125	1.043E-4	2.003

mum norm, which extends the result in [3] [4] where they only prove the second order convergence of the difference scheme for one-dimensional Sivashinsky equation in the discrete L^2 -norm. For obtaining the approximate solution for the two dimensional Sivashinsky equation by finite element Galerkin method, one must need polynomials of the degree ≥ 3 . It means that they have to construct minimum 10 node triangle for approximating the solution. Computationally, it is very expensive and difficult to impose inter-element C^1 continuity condition. If the boundary is curved, imposition of boundary conditions causes some more difficulties. Therefore, based on the linearized difference schemes (2.4)-(2.6), this article proposes a recipe to eradicate such numerical difficulties.

References

- [1] Sivashinsky, G.I. (1983) On Cellular Instability in the Solidification of a Dilute Binary Alloy. Physica 8D, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 243-248. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(83)90321-4</u>
- Gertsberg, V.G. and Sivashinsky, G.I. (1981) Large Cells in Nonlinear Rayleigh-Bénard Convection. Progress of Theoretical Physics, 66, 1219-1229. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.66.1219</u>
- [3] Omrani, K. (2003) A Second-Order Splitting Method for a Finite Difference Scheme for the Sivashinsky Equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **16**, 441-445. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659(03)80070-8</u>
- [4] Omrani, K. and Mohamed, M.B. (2005) A Linearized Difference Scheme for the Sivashinsky Equation. Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, 20, 179-188.
- [5] Omrani, K. (2007) Numerical Methods and Error Analysis for the Nonlinear Sivashinsky Equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 189, 949-962. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.11.169</u>
- [6] Khiari, N., Achouri, T., Mohamed, M.L.B. and Omrani, K. (2007) Finite Difference Approximate Solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard Equation. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, 23, 437-455. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/num.20189
- [7] Atouani, N. and Omrani, K. (2015) On the Convergence of Conservative Difference Schemes for the 2D Generalized Rosenau-Korteweg de Vries Equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 250, 832-847. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.10.106</u>
- [8] Atouani, N. and Omrani, K. (2015) A New Conservative High-Order Accurate Difference Scheme for the Rosenau Equation. *Applicable Analysis*, 94, 2435-2455. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2014.987134</u>
- [9] Omrani, K., Abidi, F., Achouri, T. and Khiari, N. (2008) A New Conservative Finite Difference Scheme for the Rosenau Equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 201, 35-43. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2007.11.039</u>
- [10] Khiari, N. and Omrani, K. (2011) Finite difference Discretization of the Extended Fisher-Kolmogorov Equation in Two Dimension. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **62**, 4151-4160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.09.065
- [11] Pan, X.T., Wang, T.C., Zhang, L.M. and Guo, B.L., (2012) On the Convergence of a Conservative Numerical Scheme

for the Usual Rosenau-RLW Equation. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, **36**, 3371-3378. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2011.08.022</u>

- [12] Pan, X. and Zhang, L. (2012) Numerical Simulation for General Rosenau-RLW Equation: An Average Linearized Conservative Scheme. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, **2012**, Article ID: 517818. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/517818
- [13] Hu, J., Xu, Y. and Hu, B. (2013) Conservative Linear Difference Scheme for Rosenau-KdV Equation. Advances in Mathematical Physics, 2013, Article ID: 423718. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/423718</u>
- [14] Zhao, X, Liu, F and Liu, B (2014) Finite Difference Discretization of a Fourth-Order Parabolic Equation Describing Crystal Surface Growth. *Applicable Analysis*, 94, 1964-1975. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2014.959440</u>
- [15] Sun, Z.Z. (2005) Numerical Methods of the Partial Differential Equations. Sciences Press, Beijing.
- [16] Sun, Z.Z. (1995) A Second-Order Accurate Linearized Difference Scheme for the Two-Dimensional Cahn-Hilliard Equation. *Mathematics of Computation*, **212**, 1463-1471.
- [17] Zhou, Y.L. (1990) Applications of Discrete Functional Analysis of Finite Difference Method. International Academic Publishers, New York.