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## Abstract

A Cauchy problem for the elliptic equation with variable coefficients is considered. This problem is severely ill-posed. Then, we need use the regularization techniques to overcome its ill-posedness and get a stable numerical solution. In this paper, we use a modified Tikhonov regularization method to treat it. Under the a-priori bound assumptions for the exact solution, the convergence estimates of this method are established. Numerical results show that our method works well.

## Keywords

Ill-Posed Problem, Cauchy Problem, Elliptic Equation with Variable Coefficients, Tikhonov Regularization Method, Convergence Estimates

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem for the elliptic equation with variable coefficients in a strip region

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
u_{x x}+a(y) u_{y y}+b(y) u_{y}+c(y) u=0, & x \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0)=\varphi(x), & x \in(0,1), \\
u_{y}(x, 0)=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
u(\cdot, y) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), & y \in(0,1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a, b, c$ are given functions such that for given positive constants $\Lambda_{1} \leq \Lambda_{2}$,

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\Lambda_{1} \leq a(y) \leq \Lambda_{2}, & y \in[0,1] \\
a(y) \in C^{2}[0,1], & b(y) \in C^{1}[0,1], \tag{3}
\end{array} \quad c(y) \in C[0,1], \quad c(y) \leq 0 . ~ \$
$$

Without loss of generality, in the following section we suppose that $\Lambda_{1} \geq 1$.
Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R} \times(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, as in [1], we assume that the unique solution of problem (1) exists in $H^{2}(\Omega)$ for the exact Cauchy data $\varphi(x) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. This problem is severely ill-posed and the regularization methods are required to stabilize numerical computations [2] [3].

In 2007, Hào et al. [4] regularized problem (1) by adopting Poisson kernel to mollify the Cauchy data, and prove some condition stability estimates of H Ö lder and logarithm types for the solution and its derivatives. In 2008, Qian [5] used a wavelet regularization method to treat it. In 2010, [6] investigated the high dimension case for this problem, and constructed a stable regularization solution by using Gauss kernel to mollify Cauchy data. [7] treated this problem by a modified quasi-boundary value method in 2011. Following the above works, recently the reference [8] also solved problem (1) by using two iterative regularization methods, and obtained the convergence estimates of optimal order.

In this article, we continue to consider the problem (1). We adopt a modified Tikhonov regularization method to solve it. Under the a-priori bound assumptions for the exact solution, we give and proof the convergence estimates for this method. It can be seen that the convergence result is order optimal [9]-[11] as $a(y)=1$ for $0<y<1$. In addition, for the Cauchy problem with non-homogeneous Dirichlet and Nuemann datum, it can be transformed into the above problem (1) by an auxiliary well-posed boundary problem. Hence, as in [1] [8], here we only need to consider problem (1).

This paper is constructed as follows. In Section 2, we give some auxiliary results for this paper. In Section 3, we make the description for modified Tikhonov regularization method, and Section 4 is devoted to the convergence estimates for this method. Numerical results and some conclusions are shown in Sections 5-6, respectively.

## 2. Some Auxiliary Results

For a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we define its Fourier transform as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(\xi):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-i \xi x} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, we consider the following Cauchy problem in the frequency domain

$$
\begin{cases}-\xi^{2} v(\xi, y)+a(y) v_{y y}(\xi, y)+b(y) v_{y}(\xi, y)+c(y) v(\xi, y)=0, & \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \quad y \in(0,1),  \tag{5}\\ v(\xi, 0)=1, & \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \\ v_{y}(\xi, 0)=0, & \xi \in \mathbb{C},\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.1 [4] There exists $a$ unique solution of (5) such that
(i) $v(\xi, \cdot) \in W^{2, \infty}(0,1), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}$,
(ii) $v(\xi, y)$ is an entire function of $\xi$ for every $y \in[0,1]$,
(iii) $v(\xi, 1) \neq 0, \forall \xi \neq \bar{c} i, \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}, i=\sqrt{-1}$,
(iv) there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, such that for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
|v(\xi, y)| \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{|\xi| A(y)}, \quad \forall y \in[0,1]  \tag{6}\\
|v(\xi, 1)| \geq c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{|\xi| A(1)} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

here $A(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\sqrt{a(s)}}, y \in[0,1]$, the definition of entire function can be found in [12].
Secondly, Take the Fourier transform of problem (1) with respect to $x$, then

$$
\begin{cases}a(y) \hat{u}_{y y}(\xi, y)+b(y) \hat{u}_{y}(\xi, y)+c(y) \hat{u}(\xi, y)-\xi^{2} \hat{u}(\xi, y)=0, & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y \in(0,1),  \tag{8}\\ \hat{u}(\xi, 0)=\hat{\varphi}(\xi), & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \hat{u}_{y}(\xi, 0)=0, & \xi \in \mathbb{R},\end{cases}
$$

It can be shown that, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution of problem (1) in the frequency domain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(\xi, y)=v(\xi, y) \hat{u}(\xi, 0)=v(\xi, y) \hat{\varphi}(\xi), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, the exact solution of problem (1) can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(\xi, y) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{i \xi x} \mathrm{~d} \xi . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $v(\xi, 1) \neq 0$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}(\xi)=\hat{u}(\xi, 0)=\frac{\hat{u}(\xi, 1)}{v(\xi, 1)} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we suppose that there exists a constant $E>0$, such that the following a-priori bounds exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, 1)\| \leq E, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, 1)\|_{p} \leq E, \quad p>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\|u(\cdot, 1)\|_{p}$ denotes the Sobolev space $H^{p}$-norm defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot,)\|_{p}=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{p}|\hat{u}(\cdot, 1)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Modified Tikhonov Regularization Method

We firstly give the description for this method. Note that, from (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{v(\xi, y)} \hat{u}(\xi, y)=\hat{\varphi}(\xi) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (15), for $0<y \leq 1$, we define the operator $K(y): u(\cdot, y) \rightarrow \varphi(\cdot)$, then problem (1) can be expressed as the following operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(y) u(x, y)=\varphi(x), \quad 0<y \leq 1, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{K(y) u}(\xi, y)=\frac{1}{v(\xi, y)} \hat{u}(\xi, y), \quad 0<y \leq 1 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the exact and noisy datum $\varphi, \varphi_{s} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{\delta}-\varphi\right\| \leq \delta, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^{2}$-norm, the constant $\delta>0$ denotes a noise level.
Denote $I$ be the identical operator in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and $K^{*}(y)$ be the adjoint operator for $K(y)$. In the ordinary Tikhonov regularization, we need solve the following minimum value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} F_{\alpha}^{\delta}(f), \quad F_{\alpha}^{\delta}(f):=\left\|K(y) f-\varphi_{\delta}\right\|^{2}+\alpha^{2}\|f\|^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.11 of Chapter 2 in [3], the functional $F_{\alpha}^{\delta}(f)$ has a unique minimizer $f$ which is the unique solution of the following Euler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K^{*}(y) K(y)+\alpha^{2} I\right) f=K^{*}(y) \varphi_{\delta} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Parseval equality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{v(\xi, y)} \hat{u}, \hat{v}\right)=\left(\hat{u}, \frac{1}{\overline{v(\xi, y)}} \hat{v}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{K^{*}(y) v}=\frac{1}{\overline{v(\xi, y)}} \hat{v}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K^{*}(y) K(y) u\right)^{\wedge}=\frac{1}{\overline{v(\xi, y)}} \widehat{K(y) u}=\frac{1}{|v(\xi, y)|^{2}} \hat{u}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K^{*}(y) K(y) f\right)^{\wedge}+\alpha^{2} \hat{f}=\widehat{K^{*}(y)} \varphi_{\delta} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing with (22), (23), (24), we can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{|v(\xi, y)|^{2}}+\alpha^{2}\right) \hat{f}=\frac{1}{\overline{v(\xi, y)}} \hat{\varphi}_{\delta} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(\xi, y)=\frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, y)|^{2}} \hat{\varphi}_{\delta}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the inverse Fourier transform, we get the following Tikhonov regularization solution for problem (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, y)|^{2}} \hat{\varphi}_{\delta} \mathrm{e}^{i \xi x} \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, the above Tikhonov regularization solution (27) can be interpreted as using the regularized kernel $\frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, y)|^{2}}$ to replace the kernel $v(\xi, y)$. Now, we replace the kernel $\frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, y)|^{2}}$ with a much better regularized kernel $\frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}$, and obtain a modified Tikhonov regularization solution for problem (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(x, y):=f(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v(\xi, y)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \hat{\varphi}_{\delta} \mathrm{e}^{i \xi x} \mathrm{~d} \xi . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Convergence Estimates

Now, we choose the regularization parameter by the a-priori rule and give the convergence estimates for this method.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $u$ given by (10) is the exact solution of problem (1) with the exact data $\varphi$ and $u_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ is the regularization solution defined by (28) with the measured data $\varphi_{\delta}$ which satisfy (18), and the a priori bound (12) is satisfied. If we choose the regularization parameter $\alpha=\delta / E$, then for fixed $0<y<1$, we have the following convergence estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, y)-u(\cdot, y)\right\| \leq \tilde{C}_{y} E^{\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \delta^{1-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\quad \tilde{C}_{y}=\max \left\{\frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}}, \frac{c_{1}^{2} C_{y}(A(1)-A(y))}{2 A(1) \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}}\right\}, \quad C_{y}=\left(\frac{A(1)+A(y)}{A(1)-A(y)}\right)^{\frac{A(1)+A(y)}{2 A(1)}}$.
Proof. From (10), (28), (18), (12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, y)-u(\cdot, y)\right\|=\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\xi, y)-u(\xi, y)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{v(\xi, y) \hat{\varphi}(\xi)\left(1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}\right)-v(\xi, y) \hat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\xi)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{v(\xi, y)\left(\hat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\xi)-\hat{\varphi}(\xi)\right)+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2} v(\xi, y) \hat{\varphi}(\xi)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}\right\|  \tag{30}\\
& \leq \delta \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|v(\xi, y)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}+\alpha^{2} E \frac{|v(\xi, 1) \| v(\xi, y)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}:=\delta \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} I_{1}+\alpha^{2} E \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} I_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.1, one can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{|v(\xi, y)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{|\xi| A(y)}}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| A(1)}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $f(s)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{s A(y)}}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 s A(1)}}, \quad s \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(s)=f(s) \frac{A(y)-\alpha^{2}(2 A(1)-A(y)) \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s}}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 s A(1)}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f^{\prime}(s)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}(2 A(1)-A(y)) \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s}=A(y), \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<y<1, A(1)>0, A(1)>A(y)>0$, it easily can be proven that the function $f(s)$ has a unique maximum value point $s^{*}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s^{*}}=\frac{A(y)}{2 A(1)-A(y)}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s^{*}}=\frac{A(y)}{\alpha^{2}(2 A(1)-A(y))} \leq \alpha^{-2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, we get $\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s^{*}}\right)^{\frac{A(y)}{2 A(1)}} \leq \alpha^{\frac{-2 A(y)}{2 A(1)}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A(y) s^{*}} \leq \alpha^{\frac{-A(y)}{A(1)}} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (34), we can derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s^{*}}}=1-\frac{A(y)}{2 A(1)} \leq 1, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

combing with (36), (37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s) \leq f\left(s^{*}\right) \leq \alpha^{-\frac{A(y)}{A(l)}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{|\xi| A(y)}}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| A(1)}} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate $I_{2}$. Note that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\frac{|v(\xi, 1)||v(\xi, y)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(A(1)+A(y))|\xi|}}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| A(1)}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

adopting the similar proof procedure, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{(A(1)+A(y)) s^{*}}=\left(\frac{A(1)+A(y)}{A(1)-A(y)}\right)^{\frac{A(1)+A(y)}{2 A(1)}} \alpha^{\frac{-(A(1)+A(y))}{A(1)}}:=C_{y} \alpha^{\frac{-(A(1)+A(y))}{A(1)}}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) s^{*}}}=\frac{A(1)-A(y)}{2 A(1)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2} C_{y}(A(1)-A(y))}{2 A(1) \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{\frac{-(A(1)+A(y))}{A(1)}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the selection of regularization parameter $\alpha=\delta / E$, (30), (39), (43), for the fixed $0<y<1$, we can derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, y)-u(\cdot, y)\right\| & \leq \delta \frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}}+\alpha^{2} E \frac{c_{1}^{2} C_{y}(A(1)-A(y))}{2 A(1) \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{\frac{-(A(1)+A(y))}{A(1)}} \\
& \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} E^{\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \delta^{1-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}}+\frac{c_{1}^{2} C_{y}(A(1)-A(y))}{2 A(1) \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} E^{\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \delta^{1-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_{y} E^{\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}} \delta^{1-\frac{A(y)}{A(1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.1 shows that, for the fixed $0<y<1$, the regularization solution $u_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ defined by (28) is a stable approximation to the exact solution $u$ and the convergence result is the order optimal (Hölder type), but the estimate (29) gives no information about the error estimate at $y=1$ as the constraint (12) is too weak. For this purpose, as common, we can suppose that the stronger a-priori assumption (13) is satisfied.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that $u$ given by (10) is the exact solution of problem (1) with the exact data $\varphi$ and $u_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ is the regularization solution defined by (28) with the measured data $\varphi_{\delta}$ which satisfy (18), and the a priori bound (13) is satisfied. If we choose the regularization parameter $\alpha=\sqrt{\delta / E}$, then at $y=1$, we have the convergence estimate as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, 1)-u(\cdot, 1)\right\| \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}}\left\{\sqrt{\delta E}+E \max \left\{\left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},\left(\frac{1}{3 A(1)} \ln \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)\right)^{-p}\right\}\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (10), (28), (18), (13) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, 1)-u(\cdot, 1)\right\|=\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\xi, 1)-u(\xi, 1)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{v(\xi, 1) \hat{\varphi}(\xi)\left(1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}\right)-v(\xi, 1) \hat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\xi)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}\right\|^{2} \| \\
& =\left\|\frac{\| v(\xi, 1)\left(\hat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\xi)-\hat{\varphi}(\xi)\right)+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2} v(\xi, 1) \hat{\varphi}(\xi)}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}\right\|  \tag{45}\\
& \leq \delta \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|v(\xi, 1)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}+\underset{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}{E \sup } \frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \\
& :=\delta \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} I_{3}+\underset{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}{E \sup I_{4}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can know

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\frac{|v(\xi, 1)|}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{\mathrm{e}^{A(1)|\xi|}}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}\left(1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1)|\xi|}\right)}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the similar derivation processes with $I_{1}, I_{2}$ in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3} \leq \frac{c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{A(1)|\xi|}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}\left(1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 A(1) \xi|\leq|}\right)} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{2 \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{-1}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (45) and the selection rule $\alpha=\sqrt{\delta / E}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sup _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} I_{3} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{2 \min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \sqrt{\delta E} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below, we estimate $I_{4}$. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4}=\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}|v(\xi, 1)|^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| \mid(1)}}{\left(1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| A(1)}\right)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1: for the large values with $|\xi| \geq \frac{1}{2 A(1)} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\alpha^{4}}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2 / \xi \xi \mid A(1)}}{\left(1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mid[| |(1)(1)}\right)} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}}\left(\frac{1}{2 A(1)} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\alpha^{4}}}\right)^{-p} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: for $|\xi|<\frac{1}{2 A(1)} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\alpha^{4}}}$, one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \frac{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| \mid(1)}}{\left(1+\alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mid[\mid(1)(1)}\right)} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2|\xi| \mid(1)} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \alpha^{\frac{2}{3}} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (50), (51), we can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}} \cdot \max \left\{\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}},\left(\frac{1}{2 A(1)} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\alpha^{4}}}\right)^{-p}\right\} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from the selection rule $\alpha=\sqrt{\delta / E}$, (45), (48), (52), we have

$$
\left\|u_{\alpha}^{\delta}(\cdot, 1)-u(\cdot, 1)\right\| \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{\min \left\{1, c_{2}^{2}\right\}}\left\{\sqrt{\delta E}+E \max \left\{\left(\frac{\delta}{E}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},\left(\frac{1}{3 A(1)} \ln \left(\frac{E}{\delta}\right)\right)^{-p}\right\}\right\}
$$

Remark 4.3 From the convergence estimate (44), we can see that the logarithmic term with respect to $\delta$ is the dominating term. Asymptotically this yields a convergence rate of order $O\left(\left(\ln \frac{E}{\delta}\right)^{-p}\right)$, the first term is asymptotically negligible compared to this term.

## 5. Numerical Implementations

In this section, a numerical example is given to verify the stability and efficiency of our proposed method.
Taking $a(y)=y^{2}+1, b(y)=\frac{1}{2} a^{\prime}(y)=y, c(y)=0$, then the solution of problem (5) can be expressed by $v(\xi, y)=\cosh (|\xi| A(y))$, where $A(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a(s)}} \mathrm{d} s=\ln \left(y+\sqrt{y^{2}+1}\right), y \in[0,1]$. The exact data is taken as $\varphi(x)=e^{-x^{2}} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, the measured data $\varphi_{\delta}$ is given by $\varphi_{\delta}(x)=\varphi(x)+\varepsilon r$ and $(\operatorname{size}(\varphi(x)))$, where $\varepsilon$ is the error level, the function rand $(\operatorname{size}(\varphi))$ returns an array of rsandom entries that is the same size as $\varphi$.

We use the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and inverse Fourier transform (IFT) to complete our numerical experiments. The exact and regularized solutions are computed by (10) and (28), respectively. For $\varepsilon=0.001$, the numerical results at $y=1$ with $p=13 / 4,1,2,3(E=8.1697,10.1472,27.8913,90.7978)$ are shown in Figures 1(a)-(d), respectively. The regularization parameter $\alpha$ is chosen by the description in Theorem 4.2.

In order to make a comprehensive analysis for the convergence with respect to the error level $\varepsilon$, we define the relative root mean square error (RRMSE) between the exact and approximate solutions as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
R E(u)=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(u_{j}-\left(u_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)_{j}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(u_{j}\right)^{2}}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding computation results are shown in Table 1.
From Figures 1(a)-(d) and Table 1, we can see that the modified Tikhonov regularization method is stable and feasible, and as $p$ increases, the numerical becomes worse. Table 1 shows that the smaller $\varepsilon$ is, the better the computed solution is, which is a common phenomenon in ill-posed Cauchy problem of elliptic equation.

## 6. Conclusion

A Cauchy problem for the elliptic equation with variable coefficients is considered. We use the modified Tikhonov regularization method to overcome its ill-posedness. Convergence estimates of this method are established under the a-priori selections for regularization parameter. Some numerical results show that our method works well.

Table 1. $y=1, p=3 / 4$, the RRMSE for various noisy level $\varepsilon$.

| $\varepsilon$ | 0.0001 | 0.0005 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R E(u)$ | 0.0026 | 0.0075 | 0.0137 | 0.0519 | 0.0653 |



Figure 1. $y=1, \varepsilon=0.001$, the exact and modified Tikhonov solutions.
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