

The Behavior of Normality when Iteratively Finding the Normal to a Line in an l_p Geometry

Joshua M. Fitzhugh, David L. Farnsworth

School of Mathematical Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, USA Email: JMF7126@rit.edu, DLFSMA@rit.edu

Received October 20, 2013; revised November 20, 2013; accepted November 27, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Joshua M. Fitzhugh, David L. Farnsworth. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

The normal direction to the normal direction to a line in Minkowski geometries generally does not give the original line. We show that in l_p geometries with p > 1 repeatedly finding the normal line through the origin gives sequences of lines that monotonically approach specific lines of symmetry of the unit circle. Which lines of symmetry that are approached depends upon the value of p and the slope of the initial line.

Keywords: Minkowski Geometry; Geometric Construction; Iteration; Normality; lp Geometry; Radon Curve

1. Introduction

Minkowski geometries are completely characterized by their unit circle, which is centrally symmetric about the origin and convex [1: p. 17]. The spaces are homogeneous (all points are the same) and generally anisotropic (the yard stick for distance is not the same in all directions). Our principal interest is the planar Minkowski l_p geometries with $p \ge 1$. Their unit circles are

$$x|^{p} + |y|^{p} = 1.$$
 (1)

The exponent p must be at least 1 in order for the unit circle to be convex. Convexity is required for the triangle inequality [1: pp. 22,23]. If p = 2, this is Euclidean geometry. If p = 1, the circle is not strictly convex. As discussed in Section 2, since in Minkowski geometries a necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of normal directions to lines is that the unit circle be strictly convex, we do not consider the p = 1 case. Convex unit circles are strictly convex if they contain no line segments. The l_1 geometry is well studied and is sometimes called taxicab, Manhattan, or city-block geometry [2]. Since the unit circle for the limiting case $p \to \infty$ is the square with vertices $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$, we do not consider that geometry, as well. Figure 1 shows some l_p unit circles and the circle with p = 0.5, which does not produce a Minkowski geometry since the circle is not convex.

The unit circle determines distances. For the Minkowski distance between points P_1 and P_2 , consider line *L* through the origin *O* and parallel to the line through P_1 and P_2 . The distance between P_1 and P_2 is the quotient of



Figure 1. Unit circles $|x|^{p} + |y|^{p} = 1$ for p = 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4 and 6. The circles with p = 1, 1.5, 2, 4 and 6 give Minkowski geometries.

the Euclidean distance between P_1 and P_2 and the unit of measurement or scale in the direction of L. The unit of measurement is the Euclidean distance from the O to point Q where L intersects the unit circle [3: p. 225, 4]. Equivalently, translate the axes so that the origin is at P_1 and the point P_2 has coordinates (x_2, y_2) . The Minkowski distance between points P_1 and P_2 is the value of d > 0 such that $(x_2/d, y_2/d)$, is on the unit circle [1: p. 17]. These definitions give a distance function [1: pp. 17-18, 3: pp. 225-228]. For l_p geometries, the second definition gives

so that

$$d = \left(\left| x_2 \right|^p + \left| y_2 \right|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

 $|x_2/d|^p + |y_2/d|^p = 1$,

There are many applications of l_p geometries. The shape

$$|x/a|^{p} + |y/b|^{p} = 1$$
 (2)

is called a Lamé curve after some work by Gabriel Lamé. Ruane and Swartzlander [5] considered apertures for light with shape (2) with p > 2, which give a larger area than p = 2 for their constraints. Piet Hein designed a large traffic island for Stockholm, Sweden using (2) with p = 2.5 and a = 1.2b, saying that it gives a smooth traffic flow. He called the curves (2) with p > 2 superellipses. The shape (2) has been extensively used for furniture design and elsewhere [6: pp. 240-254]. The Melior typeface's "O" has p = 2.7581, perhaps for aesthetic reasons.

In the next section, we define normality in Minkowski geometries. Since the normal line to the normal line of a line is usually not the original line, in Section 3 we determine the behavior of the lines obtained by successively finding normal lines of normal lines. The limiting behavior is in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5. In Section 4, we create a circle, called a Radon curve, using portions of two l_p geometries' unit circles, for which the normal to the normal of any line is the original line, which is called reflexivity of normality.

2. Definition of Normality

There are two equivalent, intuitive ways to define normality in Minkowski geometries with smooth unit circles. One is that line L_2 is normal to the given line L_1 with L_2 meeting L_1 at point Q if for every point P on line L_2 , the distance from P to Q is the minimum of all distances from P to any point on L_1 [1: p. 78, 3: p. 228].

For easier expression, we give the other definition in terms of unit vectors. It says that a unit vector is normal to a second unit vector if the first vector contains the origin and a point where the slope of the unit circle is the same as the slope of the second vector [1: p. 125, 7: p. 145]. An application of this definition is illustrated in **Figure 2**, where p = 6. We use the second definition, since in practice finding the tangent lines to (1) is easier than minimizing a distance.

In l_p geometries, the axes x = 0 and y = 0 are mutually normal lines, as are y = x and y = -x. However, in general, the normal line to the normal line of a line is not the original line.

In any Minkowski geometry, the unit circle is strictly convex if and only if normality is unique [1: p. 257, 3: p. 232]. If the unit circle contains a line segment *S*, then normality is not unique for any line parallel to that segment. Take such a line *L* through the origin. Any line through the origin and intersecting *S* is normal to *L*, since the distance from the origin to the segment is one for all the normal lines. Hence, we do not consider l_1 or l_{∞} geometries.

3. Repeatedly Finding Normal Lines

The purpose of this section is to explore the behavior of the lines found by repeatedly finding normal lines in l_p geometries. The origin O is placed at the point on the initial line where the normal is found.

Lemma 3.1 Consider l_p geometry with p > 1. For m > 0, the slope of the normal line to y = mx is

$$-(1/m)^{1/(p-1)}.$$
 (3)

For m < 0, the slope of the normal line to y = mx is

$$(-1/m)^{1/(p-1)}$$
. (4)

Proof. For m > 0, we find the point of tangency to the unit circle, where the tangent is parallel to y = mx. See **Figure 3**. In the second quadrant, the derivative of



Figure 2. In l_6 geometry, the line orthogonal to y = -(4/3)x-2 through the point (0.5,1.5) is y = 0.95x + 1.025, but the Euclidean (l_2) normal line is y = (3/4)x + 9/8.



Figure 3. *OP* is normal to y = mx.

 $(-x)^{p} + y^{p} = 1$ gives $dy/dx = (-x/y)^{p-1}$. Setting this equal to *m* gives $y/x = -(1/m)^{1/(p-1)}$, which is the slope of the normal line to y = mx. Formula (4) is derived similarly. \Box

Lemma 3.2 Consider l_p geometry with p > 1. Designate by m_n the slope of the n^{th} line found by iteratively finding normal lines at the origin, starting with the line $y = m_0 x$ with $m_0 > 0$. For even n,

$$m_{n+2} = (m_n)^{1/(p-1)^2},$$
 (5)

and for odd n,

$$m_{n+2} = -(-m_n)^{1/(p-1)^2}.$$
 (6)

For even n,

$$m_n = (m_0)^{1/(p-1)^n},$$
 (7)

and for odd n,

$$m_n = -(1/m_0)^{1/(p-1)^n}.$$
 (8)

These formulas can be appropriately altered for $m_0 < 0$. Proof. To obtain (5), for even *n*, using (3),

$$n_{n+1} = -(1/m_n)^{1/(p-1)} \tag{9}$$

and using (4) and (9),

$$m_{n+2} = (-1/m_{n+1})^{1/(p-1)}$$
$$= \left[-1/\left\{-(1/m_n)^{1/(p-1)}\right\}\right]^{1/(p-1)}$$
$$= (m_n)^{1/(p-1)^2}.$$

Equation (5) supplies $m_2 = (m_0)^{1/(p-1)^2}$ and also the main induction step to give (7). Similarly, obtain (6) and (8). \Box

Lemma 3.3 Consider l_p geometry with p > 1. If

$$m_{0,1} = 1/m_{0,2}$$
, (10)

then

$$m_{n,1} = 1/m_{n,2}$$
, (11)

where the second subscript indicates the identity of the line.

Proof. Take $m_{0,1}$ and $m_{0,2}$ to be positive. The proof for negative initial slopes is similar. For even *n*, using (7) for line 1, (10), and then (7) for line 2 give

$$m_{n,1} = (m_{0,1})^{1/(p-1)^n} = (1/m_{0,2})^{1/(p-1)^n} = 1/m_{n,2}.$$

The proof of (11) for odd *n* uses (8) and (10) in a similar manner. \Box

Because of the symmetries of the l_p unit circle about the axes, only $m_0 > 0$ need be considered. The condition $m_{0,1} = 1/m_{0,2}$ between the slopes of two initial lines means that the lines have the same angle with the respective axes. Lemma 3.3 shows the symmetries about $y = \pm x$ in the behavior of the iterated normal lines, so only initial slopes between 0 and 1 need to be considered.

Theorem 3.4 Consider l_p geometry with p > 2. For the initial line $y = m_0 x$ with $0 < m_0 < 1$, the subsequence of $\{m_n\}$ for even n has values $0 < m_n < 1$ and monotonically approaches 1, and the subsequence for odd n has values $m_n < -1$ and monotonically approaches -1. For the initial line $y = m_0 x$ with $m_0 > 1$, the subsequence of $\{m_n\}$ for even n has values $m_n > 1$ and monotonically approaches 1, and the subsequence for odd n has values $m_n > -1$ and monotonically approaches -1.

Proof. Take $0 < m_0 < 1$. Using Lemma 3.2, for even *n*,

$$m_{n+2} = (m_n)^{1/(p-1)^2} > m_n$$

and

$$\operatorname{Limit}_{n\to\infty} m_n = \operatorname{Limit}_{n\to\infty} (m_0)^{1/(p-1)^n} = 1.$$

For odd *n*,

 $m_{n+2} = -(-m_n)^{1/(p-1)^2} > m_n$

and

$$\operatorname{Limit}_{n \to \infty} m_n = \operatorname{Limit}_{n \to \infty} \left\{ - \left(\frac{1}{m_0} \right)^{1/(p-1)^n} \right\} = -1$$

Lemma 3.3 says that initial lines $y = m_0 x$ with $0 < m_0 < 1$ give the behavior of the iterated normal lines for $m_0 > 1$. \Box

As an example of Theorem 3.4, **Table 1** contains the slopes of the first eight iterated normal lines for p = 2.5 with $m_0 = 1/5$ and $m_0 = 5$. Lemma 3.3 says that the entries in the table's two columns are inverses, since the values of the m_0 s are inverses. The normal lines monotonically approach the lines $y = \pm x$, as shown by the arrows in their graphs in **Figures 4** and **5**.

Theorem 3.5 Consider l_p geometry with 1 . $For the initial line <math>y = m_0 x$ with $0 < m_0 < 1$, the subsequence of $\{m_n\}$ for even n has values $0 < m_n < 1$ and monotonically approaches 0, and the subsequence for odd n has values $m_n < -1$ and monotonically approaches $-\infty$. For the initial line $y = m_0 x$ with $m_0 > 1$, the subsequence of $\{m_n\}$ for even n has values $m_n > 1$ and monotonically approaches ∞ , and the subsequence for odd n has values $m_n > -1$ and monotonically approaches ∞ , and the subsequence for odd n has values $m_n > -1$ and monotonically approaches 0.

Table 1. The slopes of the first eight iterated normal lines for p = 2.5.

	$m_0 = 1/5$	$m_0 = 5$
m_1	-2.9240	-0.3120
m_2	0.4890	2.0448
<i>m</i> ₃	-1.6111	-0.6207
m_4	0.7277	1.3743
m_5	-1.2361	-0.8090
m_6	0.8682	1.1518
m_7	-1.1000	-0.9101
m_8	0.9391	1.0648



Figure 4. The lines $y = m_n x$ for the values in the first column of Table 1 for p = 2.5 and $m_0 = 1/5$.



Figure 5. The lines $y = m_n x$ for the values in the second column of Table 1 for p = 2.5 and $m_0 = 5$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.4 with the small necessary changes being made. \Box

As an example of Theorem 3.5, **Table 2** contains the slopes of the first eight iterated normal lines for p = 5/3 with $m_0 = 4/5$ and $m_0 = 5/4$. Lemma 3.3 says that the entries in the two columns are inverses, since the values of the m_0 s are inverses. The normal lines monotonically approach the axes, as shown by the arrows in their graphs in **Figure 6**. The clockwise arrows are for $m_0 = 4/5$, and the counterclockwise arrows are for $m_0 = 5/4$.

The l_p geometries have unit circles that are symmetric about the lines x = 0, y = 0, y = x, and y = -x. Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 show that these directions are like attractors or else isolated pairs when iteratively taking normal lines. Taking x = 0 or y = 0 as the initial line gives a cycle of normal lines of period 2 between x = 0and y = 0. Taking y = x or y = -x as the initial line gives a cycle of normal lines of period 2 between y = xand y = -x.

4. A Geometry with Reflexive Normality

Although our focus is on l_p geometries with p > 1, portions of the unit circles (1) for different values of p can be joined to obtain interesting geometries. Theorem 4.1 shows how to make normality reflexive for all lines, that is, the normal to the normal of a line is the initial line. Reflexivity is sometimes called symmetry.

Theorem 4.1 Given the portion of the l_p unit circle that is in the first and third quadrants, the only way to complete a unit circle in the second and fourth quadrants for a Minkowski geometry with reflexive normality is with the portions of the l_q unit circle in the second and fourth quadrants for 1/p+1/q=1.

Table 2. The slopes of the first eight iterated normal lines for p = 5/3.

	$m_0 = 4/5$	$m_0 = 5/4$
m_1	-1.3975	-0.7155
m_2	0.6053	1.6521
<i>m</i> ₃	-2.1236	-0.4709
m_4	0.3231	3.0946
m_5	-5.4439	-0.1837
m_6	0.0787	12.702
m_7	-45.269	-0.0221
<i>m</i> ₈	0.0033	304.58



Figure 6. The lines $y = m_n x$ for the values in Table 2 for p = 5/3 with $m_0 = 4/5$ and $m_0 = 5/4$.

Proof. Since Minkowski unit circles are symmetric about their centers, we can reference only the first and second quadrants. Take the center to be the origin, and construct all normal lines at the origin. In the first quadrant, the unit circle is $x^{p} + y^{p} = 1$. In the second quadrant, the unit circle is y = g(x). The original line L_1 is y = tx, t > 0, which intersects $x^{p} + y^{p} = 1$ at the point $P_1(x_1, y_1)$. The construction is illustrated in **Figure 7** for p = 4. For reflexivity, demand that the slope of line L_1 equals the slope of the tangent line L_3 at the point $P_2(x_2, y_2)$ with $y_2 = g(x_2)$, and demand that the slope of the line L_2 tangent to $x^p + y^p = 1$ at $P_1(x_1, y_1)$ equals the slope y_2/x_2 of the line L_4 , which is to be orthogonal to line L_1 . The goal is to find the function g(x). The slope of L_2 is found by taking the derivative of $x^p + y^p = 1$ to obtain

Then,

$$dy/dx = -(x/y)^{p-1} = -\{x/(tx)\}^{p-1} = -1/t^{p-1} = -t^{1-p}.$$

 $px^{p-1} + py^{p-1} dy/dx = 0$.

Equating the slopes of the lines L_1 and L_3 gives



Figure 7. The unit circle in this Minkowski geometry is $|x|^4 + |y|^4 = 1$ in quadrants 1 and 3 and $|x|^{4/3} + |y|^{4/3} = 1$ in quadrants 2 and 4. Lines L_1 and L_3 are parallel, as are lines L_2 and L_4 . In this geometry, normality is reflexive, that is, L_4 is normal to L_1 and L_1 is normal to L_4 for any choice of L_1 .

$$t = \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x(x_2)} \tag{12}$$

with y = g(x). Equating the slopes of lines L_2 and L_4 gives

$$-t^{1-p} = y_2 / x_2 . (13)$$

Solving (13) for *t* gives

$$t = \left(-y_2/x_2\right)^{1/(1-p)}.$$
 (14)

Equating the expressions for t in (12) and (14) and dropping the subscript 2 give the differential equation

$$dy/dx = (-y/x)^{1/(1-p)}$$
 or $y^{1/(p-1)} dy/dx = (-x)^{1/(p-1)}$

whose unique solution is

$$y^{p/(p-1)} = -(-x)^{p/(p-1)} + C$$

Since y(0) = g(0) = 1, C = 1. Designating p/(p-1)by q gives 1/p + 1/q = 1 and

$$\left|x\right|^{q} + \left|y\right|^{q} = 1$$

for y = g(x) in the second and fourth quadrants. \Box

The unit circles $|x|^4 + |y|^4 = 1$ and $|x|^{4/3} + |y|^{4/3} = 1$ are dual, since 1/(4) + 1/(4/3) = 1. Dual unit circles and dual spaces are central to Minkowski geometry [1,3,7].

Schäffer's theorem says that dual unit circles have the same circumferences, when the circumferences are measured with their own distance functions [1: pp. 111-118,

7: p. 153, 8,9]. Because of the symmetry of the unit circle in Theorem 4.1, it has the same circumference as the dual l_p and l_q unit circles whose arcs compose it.

Radon curves are equivalently defined as either unit circles for which normality is reflexive for all lines or unit circles that have arcs of dual circles in alternating quadrants as in Theorem 4.1's example [1: p. 128, 3: pp. 233-234, 7: pp. 143-145, 10].

REFERENCES

- A. C. Thompson, "Minkowski Geometry," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107325845</u>
- [2] E. F. Krause, "Taxicab Geometry," Dover Publications, New York, 1986.
- [3] R. V. Benson, "Euclidean Geometry and Convexity," Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [4] B. V. Dekster, "An Angle in Minkowski Space," *Journal* of Geometry, Vol. 80, No. 1, 2004, pp. 31-47.

- [5] G. J. Ruane and G. A. Swartzlander Jr., "Optical Vortex Coronagraphy with an Elliptical Aperture," *Applied Optics*, Vol. 52, No. 2, 2013, pp. 171-176. http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/AO.52.000171
- [6] M. Gardner, "Mathematical Carnival," Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1975.
- [7] J. C. Álvarez Paiva and A. Thompson, "On the Perimeter and Area of the Unit Disc," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 112, No. 2, 2005, pp. 141-154. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/30037412</u>
- [8] J. J. Schäffer, "The Self-Circumferences of Polar Convex Disks," Archiv de Mathematik, Vol. 24, 1973, pp. 87-90. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01228179</u>
- [9] J. B. Keller and R. Vakil, "π_p, the Value of π in l_p," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 116, No. 10, 2009, pp. 931-935. http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/000298909X477069
- [10] J. Radon, "Über eine Besondere Art Ebener Konvexer Kurven," Berichte der Sächsische Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, Vol. 68, 1916, pp. 131-134.