

Probable Effects of Dual Inoculation of Maize (Zea mays) Stem with Fusarium verticillioides and Certain Trichoderma Species on Fumonisin **Content of Maize Seeds**

A. A. Sobowale

Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria Email: delesobowale@yahoo.com

How to cite this paper: Sobowale, A.A. (2019) Probable Effects of Dual Inoculation of Maize (Zea mays) Stem with Fusarium verticillioides and Certain Trichoderma Species on Fumonisin Content of Maize Seeds. American Journal of Plant Sciences, 10. 752-759.

https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2019.105055

Received: January 14, 2019 Accepted: May 24, 2019 Published: May 27, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ **Open Access**



Abstract

Seeds from maize (Z. mays) plants whose stems received various treatment combinations of pathogen (F. verticillioides) and four antagonists (i.e. Trichoderma harzianum strain 2, T. hamatum, T. pseudokoningii strains 2 and 5) in the field were subjected to fumonisin analysis. Three pairing methods were employed for the inoculation of pathogen and the antagonists into stem of the maize plant, viz., "Pathogen inoculated before Antagonist", "Antagonist inoculated before Pathogen", and "Antagonist and Pathogen inoculated simultaneously". Controls include "Inoculation of pathogen alone", "Inoculation of antagonist alone", and "Inoculation of sterile toothpicks". Inoculation method used was the toothpick method. Seeds were harvested five weeks after inoculation and subjected to fumonisin analysis. Resulting data were subjected to ANOVA using the GLM procedure of SAS. There was a high significance among treatments *i.e.* there were varying levels of fumonisin occurrence among the treatments and varying Fusarium occurrences within the blocks. Seeds from treatments involving "Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 alone" and "Inoculating T. harzianum strain 2 alone" had the highest mean fumonisin content (P > 0.01) which were not significantly higher than in control. Seeds from treatments involving "Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 and pathogen simultaneously" and "Inoculating T. harzianum strain 2 before pathogen" were significantly low in fumonisin content compared to seeds from other treatments. Seeds which received "Inoculation of T. hamatum alone" were also significantly low (P > 0.01) in fumonisin content compared to others. It could thus be said that treatments involving Trichoderma species applied in the maize stem might have an effect on the fumonisin content and hence Fusarium occurrence in the seeds depending on the occurrence pattern of the Trichoderma within the maize stem.

Keywords

Fumonisins, Antagonist, *Trichoderma* Species, Pathogen, *Fusarium verticillioides*

1. Introduction

F. verticillioides is known to pose a severe threat to human and animal health due to its potent mycotoxigenic and carcinogenic characteristics [1] [2] [3]. Generally, the pathogen is known to produce different mycotoxins which pose severe threats to both human and animal health. Such mycotoxins include fusaric acid, trichothecenes, moniliformins, zearalenones, fumonisin, amongst others [4]-[11]. The toxicity of the corn contaminated by *F. verticillioides* had been well-documented for more than a hundred years, but the fumonisins were discovered only recently.

The fumonisins are a group of structurally related secondary metabolites, which has been found to contain fumonisin B_1 (FB₁) and B_2 (FB₂) with cancer-promoting activity in rat liver and they have been found to occur naturally in maize-based feed samples associated with field outbreaks of equine leukoence-phalomalacia (ELEM), a fatal brain disease in horses, donkeys, mules, and rabbits [12] [13] [14] [15]. The FB₁ was reported to cause liver cancer in rats [16], ELEM in horses, [3] and porcine pulmonary edema (PPE) in pigs in which the principal lesions are not in the brain but in the lungs [15] [17]. Because *F. verticillioides* infects maize worldwide, fumonisins contamination of maize from all geographic regions is not unlikely. In maize grown in temperate regions, *F. verticillioides* and *F. proliferatum* represent the greatest threat for fumonisin production [15]. In the experiment conducted by [18], *Trichoderma viride* isolated from the roots of corn plants was able to suppress the radial colony extension of *Fusarium verticillioides* on agar as well as the fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) on corn kernels.

Trichoderma species are known to be commonly used as biocontrol agents against several pathogens [19]. The genus *Trichoderma* had actually produced tested fungal antagonists against several pathogens of many crops [20]. The experiment was therefore conducted to examine the fumonisin content of maize (*Z. mays*) seeds whose stems had received dual inoculations of *F. verticillioides* and some *Trichoderma* species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Planting and Inoculation in the Field

Maize seeds (DMR-LSRW) were planted in the field in three replicates, with each replicate representing a block of 30 rows (14.5 m by 15 m). There were 25 plant stands per row and 90 rows in all the three replicates, experimental design being randomized complete block (RCBD). Seven weeks after planting, sterile

1.8 mm-diameter nails on wooden handles were used to puncture each stem on either sides at the 3rd internode [21]. Four Trichoderma species viz., Trichoderma harzianum strain 2, T. hamatum, T. pseudokoningii strains 2 and 11 were obtained from the Pathology Unit, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria and used for the experiment. These were among the Trichoderma species employed as antagonists in [22]. The pathogen (F. verticillioides) employed was isolated from soil from maize field and identified in the pathology laboratory, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria. Toothpicks that have been dressed separately with pathogen and the antagonists were inserted into the holes on either side of the stems using toothpick method as employed by [22]. Three pairing methods were used for the inoculation of pathogen (F. verticillioides) and the antagonists into the stem of the maize (Z. mays) plant, viz., "Pathogen inoculated before Antagonist", "Antagonist inoculated before Pathogen", and also "Antagonist and Pathogen inoculated simultaneously". Controls include "Inoculation of Pathogen alone", "Inoculation of Antagonist alone", and "Inoculation of sterile toothpicks alone. There were thus 6 treatments in a block of 30 rows (5 rows per treatment) while border rows were not inoculated.

2.2. Fumonisin Assay

Five weeks after inoculation (12 weeks of planting), seeds from maize (*Z. mays*) plants whose stems received the various treatment combinations of pathogen (*F. verticillioides*) and the four antagonists, *Trichoderma harzianum* strain 2, *T. hamatum*, *T. pseudokoningii* strains 2 and 11 in the field, were collected and subjected to fumonisin analysis. The Veratox for fumonisin test kit was used, this being a direct competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the quantitative analysis of fumonisin in such commodities as corn, corn meal and rice. The kit was stored refrigerated at 2° C - 8° C.

2.3. Maize Sample Preparation and Fumonisin Extraction

The maize samples for each treatment were ground to a fine blend and thoroughly mixed. All the samples were stored at 2°C - 8°C until analysis was completed. 70% methanol was used for the extraction processes. 25 grams of the ground sample was blended with 125 ml of 70% methanol for 2 minutes in a high-speed blender. The extract was filtered by passing 10 ml through a Whatman #1 filter and the filtrate was collected in beaker. The filtrate sample was then subjected to analysis using the standard fumonisin test kit (VERATOX). After analysis, the bottoms of the microwells were wiped with dry towels and later read in a microwell reader using a 650 nm filter. Air bubbles were eliminated as they could affect analytical results. The result was read within 20 minutes of completion of the test. The results were calculated with Neogen's Log/Logit Software and the data obtained were subjected to ANOVA ($p \le 0.05$) using the GLM procedure of SAS (version 9.2).

3. Results

Table 1 shows the summary of the fumonisin analysis done on the maize seeds from the plants that received treatment combinations of the pathogen and the selected antagonists. The seeds from the maize plants whose stems received treatments of T. pseudokoningii strain 5 alone and T. harzianum strain 2 alone had the highest mean fumonisin content (6.90 and 6.88 respectively) though not significantly higher than those of the controls ("Inoculating pathogen alone" and "sterile toothpick alone") which had mean fumonisin content of 5.40 and 5.30 respectively. On the other hand, seeds from plants that received treatments containing the same T. pseudokoningii strain 5 and T. harzianum strain 2 (i.e. "Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 and pathogen simultaneously" and also "Inoculating *T. harzianum* strain 2 before pathogen") were also among the ones with the lowest fumonisin content. However seeds from plants that received treatments involving "Inoculation of T. hamatum alone", "Inoculation of T. pseudokoningii strain 5 and pathogen simultaneously", and "Inoculation of T. harzianum strain 2 before pathogen" were significantly low in fumonisin content (i.e. 2.48, 2.69 and 2.91 respectively) compared to those from most of the other treatments. There was a high significance among treatments and within the blocks (replicates), *i.e.* there were varying levels of fumonisin occurrence among the treatments and varying Fusarium occurrences within the blocks (replicates) (Table 2).

4. Discussion

The fumonisin analysis showed that the maize seeds from all the maize plants that received the treatments most likely contained fumonisin though at varying levels. This might be part of the reasons for the arguments of [23] [24] that *F. verticillioides* is perhaps the best known ear rot pathogen. It might also be part of the reasons for the conclusion of [25] that *F. verticillioides* is almost a constant companion of maize infecting the roots, stalk and kernels. This also agreed with the belief of close relationship between maize plant and *F. verticillioides* by [15]. They submitted that because of the close relationship, technology is not available to ensure that all maize-based foods and feeds are completely free of fumonisins. It is such a serious case that, according to them, there cannot be complete elimination without banning maize as a food and feed ingredient in the United States, an economically and politically unrealistic option.

The high fumonisin content in the seeds of the plants that received treatments involving "Inoculation of *T. pseudokoningii* strain 5 alone" and "Inoculation of *T. harzianum* strain 2 alone", as well as "Inoculation of sterile toothpicks" (control) were in line with the conclusion of [25]. In other words the high fumonisin content is likely indicative of the resident *Fusarium verticillioides* in the seeds. This is because [15] opined that *F. verticillioides* and *F. proliferatum* represent the greatest threat for fumonisin production in maize. The symptomless expression of almost all the seeds (even though they contained fumonisin) corrobo-

rates the findings of [15], which was of the opinion that *F. verticillioides* is not only the most common pathogen of maize, but also the most common fungi found colonizing symptomless maize plants. The high significance within the blocks might be due to the ubiquitous nature of fumonisin-producing *Fusarium* as concluded by [15] which naturally will be at varying levels in different places.

Treatment	Fumonisin	
concentration (µgkg ⁻¹)		
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 alone"	6.90 ^a	
"Inoculating <i>T. harzianum</i> strain 2 alone"	6.88ª	
"Inoculating pathogen before <i>T. harzianum</i> strain 2"	6.13 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 2 alone"	5.99 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating pathogen before <i>T. pseudokoningii</i> strain 5"	5.97 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 before pathogen"	5.80 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating T. hamatum and pathogen simultaneously"	5.41 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating pathogen alone"	5.40 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating sterile toothpick alone"	5.30 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating T. harzianum strain 2 and pathogen simultaneously"	5.20 ^{ab}	
"Inoculating pathogen before <i>T. hamatum</i> "	5.04 ^{abc}	
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 2 before pathogen"	4.69 ^{bcd}	
"Inoculating T. hamatum before pathogen"	4.52 ^{bcde}	
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 2 and pathogen simultaneously"	4.35bcde	
"Inoculating pathogen before T. pseudokoningii strain 2"	4.30bcde	
"Inoculating T. harzianum strain 2 before pathogen"	2.91 ^{cde}	
"Inoculating T. pseudokoningii strain 5 and pathogen simultaneously"	2.69 ^{de}	
"Inoculating T. hamatum alone"	2.48 ^e	
LSD0.01	2.16	
Where:		
P = Fusarium verticillioides		

Table 1. Fumonisin concentrations in seeds of maize plant that received treatment combinations of pathogen and the selected antagonists.

 Table 2. ANOVA Table for Fumonisin analysis of maize seeds whose stems received treatment combinations of pathogen and selected antagonists.

SV	DF	MS	Pr > F
Block	2	26.67	0.0001**
Trt	17	10.14	0.0001**
Error	87	2.03	
Total	106		

**Highly Significant.

Even though T. harzianum strain 2 seemed to be the most effective antagonist against the pathogen within the stem tissues in another experiment [22], seeds from the plants that received "Inoculation of *T. harzianum* strain 2 alone" and two other different treatment combinations of the fungus (i.e. "Inoculation of pathogen before T. harzianum strain 2" and "Inoculation of T. harzianum strain 2 and pathogen simultaneously") contained a comparatively high content of fumonisin. The same could be said of two of the treatment combinations of T. hamatum (i.e. "Inoculating pathogen before T. hamatum" and "Inoculating T. hamatum and pathogen simultaneously") even though "Inoculating T. hamatum alone" gave the lowest fumonisin concentration in the seeds. Even though this might be interpreted that the treatments applied on the stem had little or no effect on the fumonisin content and hence Fusarium occurrence in the seeds, this, however could not be said to be totally true. This is because seeds with the lowest fumonisin content were also obtained from plants whose stems received treatments involving the same fungi (i.e. "Inoculation of T. hamatum alone" and "Inoculation of *T. harzianum* strain 2 before pathogen"). This low fumonisin content might not be unconnected with the presence of the two Trichoderma species. The antagonists might have moved up the stem through the parenchyma tissue into the cob as concluded by [26] thereafter reducing Fusarium occurrence in the seeds. Perhaps T. hamatum and/or T. harzianum strain 2 isolated from maize plant parts might be used, just like *T. viride* employed by [15] to suppress the radial colony extension of *Fusarium verticillioides* on agar as well as the fumonisin B_1 (FB₁) on corn kernels. In line with their suggestions for T. viride, perhaps T. hamatum and/or T. harzianum strain 2 isolated from the maize plant parts might be useful in biological control as a pre-harvest agent (used separately or combined) to prevent disease during plant development and/or as a post-harvest agent to suppress FB₁ production during seed storage. This will be an adventurous challenge going by the opinions of [15] that there will be many obstacles to successful fumonisin reduction by biological control because of the numerous pathways for infection by the fungi. They added that the ubiquitous nature of naturally occurring, fumonisin-producing Fusarium strains will make this approach extremely challenging and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approval of such practice may also pose an obstacle.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Cecile, L.G., Sylviane, B., Didier, K. and Jean-Denis, B. (2015) Assessing Risk of Fumonisin Contamination in Maize Using Near-Infrared Spectroscopy. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2015, Article ID: 485864. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/485864</u>
- [2] Daniel, S.M. and Bernard, T. (2016) Prevalence of Aflatoxin and Fumonisins (B_1+B_2) in Maize Consumed in Malawi. *Toxicology Reports*, **3**, 173-179.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2016.01.010

- [3] Marasas, W.F.O. (1988) Medical Relevance of Mycotoxins in Southern Africa. *Microbiologie, Aliments, Nutrition*, 6, 1-5.
- [4] Scott, P.M. (2012) Recent Research on Fumonisins: A Review. Food Additives and Contaminants, 29, 242-248. https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2010.546000
- [5] Queiroz, V.A.V., Vieira, Q., da Costa, R.V., *et al.* (2012) Occurrence of Fumonisins and Zearalenone in Maize Stored in Family Farm in Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Food Control*, 28, 83-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.04.039</u>
- [6] Muthomi, J.W., Njenga, L.N., Gathumbi, J.K. and Chemining'wa, G.N. (2009) The Occurrence of Aflatoxin in Maize and Distribution of Mycotoxin-Producing Fungi in Eastern Kenya. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 8, 113-119. https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2009.113.119
- [7] Humpf, H. and Voss, K.A. (2004) Effects of Thermal Food Processing on the Chemical Structure and Toxicity of Fumonisin Mycotoxins. *Molecular and Nutritional Food Research*, 48, 255-269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200400033</u>
- [8] Porter, J.K., Rimando, A.M., Bacon, C.W. and Voss, K.A. (1995) Identification of Fusaric Acid in the Stomach Colostrum of Neonate Rats and Effects on Growth. In: Chelkowski, J., Ed., *Fusarium, Mycotoxins, Taxonomy and Pathogenicity*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.
- [9] Amoah, B.K. (1994) Pathogenicity and Genetic Studies of *Fusarium moniliforme* Sheld. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cambridge, Cambridge.
- [10] Julian, A.M., Wareing, P.W., Philips, S.I., Medlock, V.F.P., MacDonald, M.V. and Del Rio, L.E. (1995) Fungal Contamination and Selected Mycotoxins in Pre- and Postharvest Maize in Honduras. *Mycopathologia*, **129**, 5-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01139331</u>
- [11] Không, N.M. and Smirnov, V.A. (1992) Somaclonal Variation under Treatment with Fusaric Acid Intomato. *Genetika Moskva*, **28**, 113-120.
- [12] Lazzaro, I., Falavigna, C., Galaverna, G., Dall'Asta, C. and Battilani, P. (2013) Cornmeal and Starch Influence the Dynamic of Fumonisin B, A and C production and Masking in *Fusarium verticilloides* and *F. proliferatum. International Journal* of Food Microbiology, **166**, 21-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.06.011
- [13] Gelderblom, W.C.A., Jaskiewicz, K., Marasas, W.F.O., Thiel, P.G., Horak, R.M., Vleggaar, R. and Kriek, N.P.J. (1988) Fumonisins-Novel Mycotoxins with Cancer Promoting Activity Produced by *Fusarium moniliforme. Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 54, 1806-1811.
- [14] Thiel, P.G., Shephard, G.S., Sydenham, E.W., Marasas, W.F.O., Nelson, P.E. and Wilson, T.M. (1991) Levels of Fumonisin B₁ and B₂ in Feeds Associated with Confirmed Cases of Equine Leukoencephalomalacia. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **39**, 109-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00001a021</u>
- [15] Munkvold, G.P. and Desjardins, A.E. (1997) Fumonisin in Maize: Can We Reduce Their Occurrence? *The American Phytopathological Society*, 81, 556-565. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1997.81.6.556
- [16] Gelderblom, W.C.A., Kriek, N.P.J., Marasas, W.F.O. and Thiel, P.G. (1991) Toxicity and Carcinogenicity of the *Fusarium moniliforme* Metabolite, Fumonisin B₁ in Rats. *Carcinogenesis*, **12**, 1247-1251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/12.7.1247</u>
- [17] Harrison, L.R., Colvin, B.M., Greene, J.T., Newman, L.E. and Cole, J.R. (1990) Pulmonaryedema and Hydrothorax in Swine Produced by Fumonisin B₁, a Toxic Metabolite of *Fusarium moniliforme. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 2,

217-221. https://doi.org/10.1177/104063879000200312

- [18] Yates, *I.E.*, Meredith, F., Bacon, C.W. and Jaworski, A.J. (2000) *Trichoderma viride* Suppresses Fumonisin B₁ Production by *Fusarium moniliforme. Journal of Food Protection*, **62**, 1326-1332. <u>https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.11.1326</u>
- [19] Paavanen-Huhtala, S., Avikainen, H. and Yli-Mattila, T. (2000) Development of Strain-Specific Primers for a Strain of *Gliocladium catenulatum* Used in Biological Control. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, **106**, 187-198. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008734519852
- [20] Etebarian, H.R., Scott, E.S. and Wicks, T.J. (2000) *Trichoderma harzianum* T39 and *T. virens* DAR 74290 as Potential Biological Control Agents for *Phytophthora erythroseptica. European Journal of Plant Pathology*, **106**, 329-337. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008736727259</u>
- [21] Drepper, W.J. and Renfro, B.L. (1990) Comparison of Methods for Inoculation of Ears and Stalks of Maize with *Fusarium moniliforme. Plant Disease*, 74, 952-956. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-74-0952</u>
- [22] Sobowale, A.A., Cardwell, K.F., Odebode, A.C., Bandyopadhyay, R. and Jonathan, S.G. (2007) Persistence of *Trichoderma Species* within Maize Stem against *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **40**, 215-231. https://doi.org/10.1080/03235400500424596
- [23] McKeen, W.E. (1953) Preliminary Studies of Root and Basal Stalk Rot of Maturing Corn in Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **31**, 132-141. https://doi.org/10.1139/b53-012
- [24] Visconti, A. and Doko, M. (1994) Survey of Fumonisin Production by *Fusarium* Isolated from Cereals in Europe. *Journal of AOAC International*, **77**, 546-550.
- [25] Kedera, C.J., Leslie, J.F. and Claflin, L.E. (1992) Systemic Infection of Corn by *Fusa-rium moniliforme. Phytopathology*, **52**, 870-872.
- [26] Lawrence, E.B., Nelson, P.E. and Ayers, J.E. (1981) Histopathology of Sweet Corn Seed and Plants Infected with *Fusarium moniliforme* and *F. oxysporum. Phytopathology*, **71**, 379-386. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-71-379</u>