

# $N$ -Expansive Property for Flows

Le Huy Tien, Le Duc Nhien

Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, Vietnam National University at Hanoi,  
Hanoi, Vietnam

Email: tienlh@viasm.edu.vn, nhien0610@gmail.com

**How to cite this paper:** Tien, L.H. and Nhien, L.D. (2019)  $N$ -Expansive Property for Flows. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 7, 410-417.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2019.72031>

**Received:** January 28, 2019

**Accepted:** February 23, 2019

**Published:** February 26, 2019

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the dynamics of  $n$ -expansive homeomorphisms with the shadowing property defined on compact metric spaces in continuous case. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we exhibit an  $n$ -expansive homeomorphism but not  $(n-1)$ -expansive. Furthermore, that flow has the shadowing property and admits an infinite number of chain-recurrent classes.

## Keywords

Expansive, Flow,  $N$ -Expansive, Shadowing

---

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The classical terms, expansive flows on a metric space are presented by Bowen and Walters [1] which generalized the similar notion by Anosov [2]. Besides, Walters [3] investigated continuous transformations of metric spaces with discrete centralizers and unstable centralizers and proved that expansive homeomorphisms have unstable centralizers; other result was studied in [4]. In discrete case, this concept originally introduced for bijective maps by Utz [5] has been generalized to positively expansiveness in which positive orbits are considered instead [6]. Further generalizations are the pointwise expansiveness (with the above radius depending on the point [7]), the entropy-expansiveness [8], the continuum-wise expansiveness [9], the measure-expansiveness and their corresponding positive counterparts. However, as far as we know, no one has considered the generalization in which at most  $n$  companion orbits are allowed for a certain prefixed positive integer  $n$ . For simplicity we call these systems  $n$ -expansive (or positively  $n$ -expansive if positive orbits are considered instead). A generalization of the expansiveness property that has been given attention recently is the  $n$ -expansive property (see [10] [11] [12] [13] [14]).

In this paper, we introduce a notion of  $n$ -expansivity for flows which is generalization of expansivity, and show that there is an  $n$ -expansive flow but not  $(n-1)$ -expansive flow. Moreover, that flow is shadowable and has infinite number of chain-recurrent classes.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A flow on  $X$  is a map  $\phi: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\phi(x, 0) = x$  and  $\phi(\phi(x, s), t) = \phi(x, s+t)$  for  $x \in X$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For convenience, we will denote

$$\phi(x, s) = \phi_s(x) \text{ and } \phi_{(a,b)}(x) = \{\phi_t(x) : t \in (a, b)\}.$$

The set  $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$  is called the orbit of  $\phi$  through  $x \in X$  and will be denoted by  $\text{Orb}_{\phi}(x)$ . We have the following several basis concepts (see [1] [15] [16]).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\phi$  be a flow in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . We say that  $\phi$  is  $n$ -expansive ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$  the set

$$\Gamma(x, c) := \{y \in X; d(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)) \leq c, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

contains at most  $n$  different points of  $X$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is finite expansive if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$  the set  $\Gamma(x, c)$  is finite.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $x \in X$ . We say that  $x$  is a period point if there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\phi_{t+T}(x) = \phi_t(x), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote that  $\pi(x)$  is the period of  $x$ , which is the smallest non-negative number satisfying this equation.

**Definition 1.3.** Give  $\delta, T \geq 0$ . We say that a sequence of pairs  $(x_i, t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$  is a  $(\delta, T)$ -pseudo orbit of  $\phi$  if  $t_i \geq T$  and  $d(\phi_{t_i}(x_i), x_{i+1}) \leq \delta, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We define

$$s_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} t_j, & i > 0, \\ 0, & i = 0, \\ -\sum_{j=i}^{-1} t_j, & i < 0, \end{cases}$$

and  $x_0 * t = \phi_{t-s_i}(x_i)$  whenever  $s_i \leq t < s_{i+1}$ .

**Definition 1.4.** We say that  $\phi$  is shadowing property if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $(\delta, 1)$ -pseudo orbit  $(x_i, t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , there exists  $x \in X$  and an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $d(x_0 * t, \phi_{h(t)}(x)) \leq \epsilon$ .

Denote by  $\text{Rep}$  the set of orientation preserving homeomorphism  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Give two points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $X$ . We say  $p$  and  $q$  are  $(\delta, T)$ -related if there are two  $(\delta, T)$ -chains  $(x_i, t_i)_{i=0}^m$  and  $(y_i, s_i)_{i=0}^n$  such that  $p = x_0 = y_n$  and  $q = y_0 = x_m$ . We say that  $p$  and  $q$  are related ( $p \sim q$ ) if they are  $(\delta, T)$ -related for every  $\delta, T > 0$ . The chain-recurrent class of a point  $p \in X$  is the set of all points  $q \in X$  such that  $p \sim q$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an  $n$ -expansive flow, define in a compact metric space, that is not  $(n - 1)$ -expansive, has the shadowing property and admits an infinite number of chain-recurrent classes.

### 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

Consider a flow  $\phi$  defined in a compact metric space  $(M, d_0)$ , and  $\phi$  has 1-expansive, and has the shadowing property. Further, suppose it has an infinite number of period points  $\{p_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which we can suppose belong to different orbits. Let  $E$  be an infinite set, such that there exists a bijection  $r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ . Let

$$Q = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{1, \dots, n-1\} \times \{k\} \times [0, \pi(p_k)),$$

and note that there exists a bijection  $s : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the bijection  $q : Q \rightarrow E$  defined by

$$q(i, k, j) = r \circ s(i, k, j).$$

Let  $X = M \cup E$ . Thus, any point  $x \in E$  has the form  $x = q(i, k, j)$  for some  $(i, k, j) \in Q$ . Define a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y, \\ d_0(x, y), & x, y \in M, \\ \frac{1}{k} + d_0(y, \phi_j(p_k)), & x = q(i, k, j), y \in M, \\ \frac{1}{k} + d_0(x, \phi_j(p_k)), & x \in M, y = q(i, k, j), \\ \frac{1}{k}, & x = q(i, k, j), y = q(l, k, j), i \neq l, \\ \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{m} + d_0(\phi_i(p_k), \phi_r(p_m)), & x = q(i, k, j), y = q(l, m, r), k \neq m \text{ or } j \neq r. \end{cases}$$

Now we prove that function  $d$  is a metric in  $X$ . Indeed, we see that  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ , and that  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for any pair  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . We shall prove that the triangle inequality  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for any triple  $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ . When  $(x, y, z) \in M \times M \times M$  we have that  $d_{|M \times M} = d_0$ , and  $d_0$  is a metric in  $M$ . When  $(x, y, z) \in M \times M \times E$  then  $z = q(i, k, j)$  and

$$d(x, z) = \frac{1}{k} + d_0(x, \phi_j(p_k)) \leq d_0(x, y) + \frac{1}{k} + d_0(y, \phi_j(p_k)) = d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Therefore, when  $(x, y, z) \in E \times M \times M$ , changing the role of  $x$  and  $z$  in the previous case, we obtain this result. When  $(x, y, z) \in M \times E \times M$ , we have  $y = q(i, k, j)$  and

$$d(x, z) = d_0(x, z) \leq \frac{2}{k} + d_0(x, \phi_j(p_k)) + d_0(z, \phi_j(p_k)) = d_0(x, y) + d_0(y, z).$$

When  $(x, y, z) \in M \times E \times E$ , we have  $y = q(i, k, j)$  and  $z = (l, m, r)$ . If

$k \neq m$  or  $j \neq r$  then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \frac{1}{m} + d_0(x, \phi_r(p_m)) \\ &< \frac{2}{k} + \frac{1}{m} + d_0(x, \phi_j(p_k)) + d_0(\phi_j(p_k), \phi_r(p_m)) \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = m$ ,  $j = r$  and  $i \neq l$  then

$$d(x, z) = \frac{1}{m} + d_0(x, \phi_r(p_m)) < \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{m} + d_0(x, \phi_j(p_k)) = d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

So if  $(x, y, z) \in E \times E \times M$ , change the role of  $x$  and  $z$  in previous case, and we get the result. If  $(x, y, z) \in E \times M \times E$  then  $x = q(i, k, j)$  and  $z = q(l, m, r)$ . Hence,

$$d(x, y) + d(y, z) = \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{m} + d_0(y, \phi_j(p_k)) + d_0(y, \phi_r(p_m))$$

and

$$d(x, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{m} + d_0(\phi_j(p_k), \phi_r(p_m)) & \text{if } k \neq m \text{ or } j \neq r, \\ \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } k = m, j = r \text{ and } i \neq l. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we always get the result  $d(x, z) < d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for both of 2 cases. When  $(x, y, z) \in E \times E \times E$ , we let

$$x = q(i_1, k_1, j_1), y = q(i_2, k_2, j_2), z = q(i_3, k_3, j_3).$$

**Case 1.** If  $k_1 = k_3$  and  $j_1 = j_3$ , we have  $d(x, z) = \frac{1}{k_1}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x, y) + d(y, z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{k_1}, & k_1 = k_2 = k_3 \text{ and } j_1 = j_2 = j_3, \\ \frac{2}{k_1} + \frac{2}{k_2} + d_0(\phi_{j_1}(k_1), \phi_{j_2}(k_2)) + d_0(\phi_{j_2}(k_2), \phi_{j_3}(k_3)), & k_1 = k_3 \neq k_2 \text{ or } j_1 = j_3 \neq j_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It means that  $d(x, z) < d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for both of 2 cases.

**Case 2.** If  $k_1 \neq k_3$  or  $j_1 \neq j_3$ , we have

$$d(x, z) = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_3} + d_0(\phi_{j_1}(k_1), \phi_{j_3}(k_3)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &d(x, y) + d(y, z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_3} + d_0(\phi_{j_2}(k_2), \phi_{j_3}(k_3)), & k_1 = k_2 \text{ and } j_1 = j_2, \\ \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{2}{k_3} + d_0(\phi_{j_1}(k_1), \phi_{j_2}(k_2)), & k_2 = k_3 \text{ and } j_2 = j_3, \\ \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{2}{k_2} + \frac{1}{k_3} + d_0(\phi_{j_1}(k_1), \phi_{j_2}(k_2)) + d_0(\phi_{j_2}(k_2), \phi_{j_3}(k_3)), & k_1 \neq k_2 \neq k_3 \text{ or } j_1 \neq j_2 \neq j_3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d(x, z) < d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .

It implies  $d$  is a metric in  $X$ .

Next, we prove that  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space. Let any sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$ . We prove that this sequence has a convergent subsequence. If  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has infinite elements in  $M$ , then the compactness of  $M$  and the fact  $d_{|M \times M} = d_0$ , so  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence. We consider  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has finite elements in  $M$ ; therefore, it has infinite elements in  $E$ . We can assume that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$  then  $x_n = q(i_n, k_n, j_n)$ . If there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_n < N, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  then the set  $\{x_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite, so at least one point of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  appears infinite times, forming a convergent subsequence. Now suppose  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is unbounded, therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \infty$ . We choose  $y_n = \phi_{j_n}(p_{k_n})$ , so  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  and  $d(x_n, y_n) = \frac{1}{k_n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subset of compact set  $M$ ,  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence  $(y_{n_l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $y \in M$ . Thus, we have

$$d(x_{n_l}, y) < d(x_{n_l}, y_{n_l}) + d(y_{n_l}, y) = \frac{1}{k_{n_l}} + d(y_{n_l}, y) \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

It implies that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_l})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges to  $y$ . Thus,  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space.

For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define a map  $\psi_t$  by

$$\psi_t(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_t(x) & \text{if } x \in M, \\ q(i, k, (j+t) \bmod \pi(p_k)) & \text{if } x = q(i, k, j). \end{cases}$$

We can see that  $j, j+t$  cannot be in  $\mathbb{N}$ , but we can define a real number:  $t \bmod \pi(p_k) := r$ , when

$$t = m\pi(p_k) + r, m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r < \pi(p_k).$$

By definition of flow, it's easy to see that  $\psi$  is a flow of  $X$ . Indeed, we can prove that  $\psi_{t+s} = \psi_t \circ \psi_s, \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $x \in M$ , we get

$$\psi_{t+s}(x) = \phi_{t+s}(x) = \phi_t \circ \phi_s(x) = \psi_t \circ \psi_s(x), \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If  $x = q(i, k, j)$ , we have

$$\psi_{t+s}(x) = q(i, k, (j+t+s) \bmod \pi(p_k)) = \psi_t \circ \psi_s(x).$$

Therefore,  $\psi$  is the flow with the previous properties.

In order to prove that  $\psi$  is  $n$ -expansive, first we see that  $\phi$  is 1-expansive; so there is  $a > 0$  such that if  $d(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)) \leq a, \forall t \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x = y$ . Suppose that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  are  $n+1$  different points of  $X$  satisfying

$$d(\psi_t(x_i), \psi_t(x_j)) \leq a, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \times \{1, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Hence, at most one of these points belong to  $M$ . Consequently, at least  $n$  of them belong to  $E$ . Without loss of generality, we get

$x_m = q(i_m, k_m, j_m), m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Because  $i_m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and we have  $n$  number  $i_m$ ; thus, by Pigeonhole principle, at least two of these points are of the

form  $q(i, k, j)$  and  $q(i, m, r)$ . We prove that  $k \neq m$ . Indeed, if  $k = m$ , we have 2 points are  $q(i, k, j)$  and  $q(i, k, r)$  with  $j \neq r$  (because all of  $n+1$  points are different). For each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\phi_s(\phi_j(p_k)), d(\phi_s(\phi_r(p_k)))) \\ &= d(\psi_s(q(i, k, j), \psi_s(q(i, k, r)))) - \frac{2}{k} \\ &< d(\psi_s(q(i, k, j), \psi_s(q(i, k, r)))) < a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\phi_j(p_k) = \phi_r(p_k)$  (by the Proposition of 1-expansive of  $\phi$ ), which implies that  $j = r$  and we obtain a contradiction. Therefore,  $k \neq m$ .

Now we implies that: for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\phi_s(\phi_j(p_k)), d(\phi_s(\phi_r(p_m)))) \\ &= d(\psi_s(q(i, k, j), \psi_s(q(i, m, r)))) - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{m} \\ &< d(\psi_s(q(i, k, j), \psi_s(q(i, m, r)))) < a. \end{aligned}$$

So similarly, we have  $\phi_j(p_k) = \phi_r(p_m)$ ; hence,  $p_m = p_k$ , which is contradiction with the fact that  $k \neq m$ . Thus, we cannot choose  $n+1$  points satisfy this proposition; it means  $\psi$  is  $n$ -expansive in  $X$ .

Next, we prove that  $\psi$  is not  $(n-1)$ -expansive. For any  $a > 0$ , we choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < a$ , so we have  $d(\phi_j(p_k), q(i, k, j)) = \frac{1}{k} < a, \forall j \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . So  $\Gamma(p_k, a)$  contain at least  $n$  points  $\{p_k, q(1, k, 0), \dots, q(n-1, k, 0)\}$  and that  $\psi$  is not  $(n-1)$ -expansive, because there is not  $a > 0$  satisfies this define about  $(n-1)$ -expansive.

Now we prove that  $\psi$  has the shadowing property. Since  $\phi$  has the shadowing property, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can consider  $\delta_\phi > 0$ , so for any  $(\delta_\phi, 1)$ -pseudo-orbit in  $M$  we have the  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -shadowing. Now consider  $(x_n, t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has the  $(\delta, 1)$ -pseudo-orbit by  $\psi$  in  $X$ . We assume that  $\delta < \frac{\delta_\phi}{3} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . So we have  $d(\psi_{t_n}(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \delta$ . Let  $N$  is a smallest integer number such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \delta$ , and we consider  $(x_n, x_{n+1})$  in 3 cases.

**Case 1.** If  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E \times M$ , we have  $x_n = q(i, k, j)$  and

$$d(\psi_{t_n}(x_n), x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{k} + d_0(x_{n+1}, \phi_{j+t_n}(p_k)), \text{ so } \frac{1}{k} < \delta; \text{ hence, } k \geq N.$$

**Case 2.** If  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in M \times E$ , we obtain  $x_{n+1} = q(i, k, j)$  and

$$d(\psi_{t_n}(x_n), x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{k} + d_0(\phi_j(p_k), \phi_j(x_n)), \text{ so } \frac{1}{k} < \delta; \text{ hence, } k \geq N.$$

**Case 3.** If  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E \times E$ , we have  $x_n = q(i, k, j)$  and  $x_{n+1} = q(l, m, r)$ . So  $\psi_{t_n}(x_n) = q(i, k, j + t_n)$ . Thus, if we want  $d(\psi_{t_n}(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \delta$ , we have either if  $k \geq N$ , so  $m \geq N$  (by similarly) or if  $k < N$ , we have  $x_{n+1} = \psi_{t_n}(x_n)$ , such that  $x_{n+1} = q(i, k, j + t_n)$ . When  $(x_n, t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is one of orbit  $\{q(l, k, j_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,

and  $j_{n+1} = j_n + t_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So one obtain  $s_n = j_n - j_0$ , thus,

$$d(\psi_{t-s_n}(x_n), \psi_t(x_0)) = d(q(l, k, t - s_n + j_n), q(l, k, t + j_0)) = 0, s_n \leq t < s_{n+1}.$$

Therefore, the shadowing property is proved.

When  $x_i = q(l, k, j)$ , then  $k > N$ . Define a sequence  $(y_n, t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset M$  by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } x_n \in M, \\ \phi_j(p_k) & \text{if } x_n = q(l, k, j). \end{cases}$$

Then  $(y_n, t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\delta_\phi$ -pseudo-orbit in  $M$  since

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{t_n}(y_n), y_{n+1}) &= d(\psi_{t_n}(y_n), y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(\psi_{t_n}(y_n), \psi_{t_n}(x_n)) + d(\psi_{t_n}(x_n), x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &< \frac{1}{N} + \delta + \frac{1}{N} < \delta_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists  $y \in M$  and a function  $h \in Rep$  such that

$$d(\phi_{t-s_n}(y_n), \phi_{h(t)}(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall s_n \leq t < s_{n+1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{t-s_n}(x_n), \phi_{h(t)}(y)) &< d(\phi_{t-s_n}(x_n), \phi_{t-s_n}(y_n)) + d(\phi_{t-s_n}(y_n), \phi_{h(t)}(y)) \\ &< \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(x_n, t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\epsilon$ -shadowing. Hence,  $\psi$  has the shadowing property.

Finally, we have  $\psi$  admits an infinite number of chain-recurrent classes. Indeed, if we have  $q(i, k, l) \in E$  then

$$d(q(i, k, j), x) \geq \frac{1}{k}, \forall x \in X \setminus \{q(i, k, j)\}.$$

So if  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{k}$  then the orbit of  $q(i, k, j)$  cannot be connected by  $\epsilon$ -pseudo orbits with any other point of  $X$ . This proves that the chain-recurrent classes of  $q(i, k, j)$  contains only its orbit. Therefore different periodic orbits in  $E$  belong to different chain-recurrent classes and we conclude the proof.

### Acknowledgements

The first author was supported in part by the VNU Project of Vietnam National University No. QG101-15.

### Open Questions

How are the properties of the local stable (unstable) sets of  $n$ -expansive flows?

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Bowen, R. and Walters, P. (1972) Expansive One-Parameter Flows. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **12**, 180-193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(72\)90013-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(72)90013-7)
- [2] Anosov, D.V. (1967) Geodesic Flows on Closed Riemannian Manifolds with Negative Curvature. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, no. 90, American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [3] Walters, P. (1970) Homeomorphisms with Discrete Centralizers and Ergodic Properties. *Mathematical Systems Theory*, **4**, 322-326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01695774>
- [4] Oka, M. (1976) Expansive Flows and Their Centralizers. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, **64**, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002776300017517>
- [5] Utz, W.R. (1950) Unstable Homeomorphisms. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **1**, 769-774. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1950-0038022-3>
- [6] Eisenberg, M. (1966) Expansive Transformation Semigroups of Endomorphisms. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **59**, 313-321. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-59-3-313-321>
- [7] Reddy, W. (1970) Pointwise Expansion Homeomorphisms. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **2**, 232-236. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-2.2.232>
- [8] Bowen, R. (1972) Entropy-Expansive Maps. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **164**, 323-331. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1972-0285689-X>
- [9] Kato, H. (1993) Continuum-Wise Expansive Homeomorphisms. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, **45**, 576-598. <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1993-030-4>
- [10] Artigue, A. (2015) Robustly N-Expansive Surface Diffeomorphisms. arXiv: 1504.02976v1.
- [11] Artigue, A., Pacfico, M.J. and Vieitez, J. (2013) N-Expansive Homeomorphisms on Surfaces. arXiv:1311.5505.
- [12] Carvalho, B. and Cordeiro, W. (2016) N-Expansive Homeomorphisms with the Shadowing Property. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **261**, 3734-3755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.06.003>
- [13] Li, J. and Zhang, R. (2015) Levels of Generalized Expansiveness. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 1-18.
- [14] Morales, C.A. (2012) A Generalization of Expansivity. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **32**, 293-301. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2012.32.293>
- [15] Aponte, J. and Villavicencio, H. (2018) Shadowable Points for Flows. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, **24**, 701-719. arxiv:1706.07335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10883-017-9381-8>
- [16] Lee, K. and Oh, J. (2016) Weak Measure Expansive Flows. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **260**, 1078-1090. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.09.017>