

# On Quaternionic 3 *CR*-Structure and Pseudo-Riemannian Metric

# Yoshinobu Kamishima

Department of Mathematics, Josai University, Saitama, Japan Email: kami@josai.ac.jp, kami@tmu.ac.jp

How to cite this paper: Kamishima, Y. (2018) On Quaternionic 3 *CR*-Structure and Pseudo-Riemannian Metric. *Applied Mathematics*, **9**, 114-129. https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2018.92008

Received: January 15, 2018 Accepted: February 20, 2018 Published: February 23, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Open Access

# Abstract

A *CR*-structure on a 2n+1-manifold gives a conformal class of Lorentz metrics on the Fefferman  $S^1$ -bundle. This analogy is carried out to the *quarternionic conformal* 3-*CR structure* (a generalization of quaternionic *CR*-structure) on a 4n+3-manifold *M*. This structure produces a conformal class [g] of a pseudo-Riemannian metric *g* of type (4n+3,3) on  $M \times S^3$ . Let  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$  be the geometric model obtained from the projective boundary of the complete simply connected quaternionic hyperbolic manifold. We shall prove that *M* is locally modeled on  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$ if and only if  $(M \times S^3, [g])$  is conformally flat (*i.e.* the Weyl conformal curvature tensor vanishes).

# **Keywords**

Conformal Structure, Quaternionic *CR*-Structure, *G*-Structure, Conformally Flat Structure, Weyl Tensor, Integrability, Uniformization, Transformation Groups

# **1. Introduction**

This paper concerns a geometric structure on (4n+3)-manifolds which is related with *CR*-structure and also quaternionic *CR*-structure (cf. [1] [2]). Given a quaternionic *CR*-structure  $\{\omega_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  on a 4n+3-manifold *M*, we have proved in [3] that the associated endomorphism  $J_{\alpha}$  on the 4*n*-bundle D naturally extends to a complex structure  $\overline{J}_{\alpha}$  on ker $\omega_{\alpha}$ . So we obtain 3 *CR*-structures on *M*. Taking into account this fact, we study the following geometric structure on (4n+3)-manifolds globally.

A hypercomplex 3 CR-structure on a (4n+3)-manifold M consists of (po-

sitive definite) 3 pseudo-Hermitian structures  $\{\omega_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  on M which satisfies that

1)  $D = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{3} \ker \omega_{\alpha}$  is a 4*n*-dimensional subbundle of *TM* such that D + [D,D] = TM.

2) Each  $J_{\gamma}$  coincides with the endomorphism  $(d\omega_{\beta} | D)^{-1} \circ (d\omega_{\alpha} | D) : D \to D$  $((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3))$  such that  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  constitutes a hypercomplex structure on D.

We call the pair  $(D, \{J_1, J_2, J_3\})$  also a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-structure if it is represented by such pseudo-Hermitian structures on *M*. A quaternionic *CR*structure is an example of our hypercomplex 3 *CR*-structure. As Sasakian 3structure is equivalent with quaternionic *CR*-structure, Sasakian 3-structure is also an example. Especially the 4n+3-dimensional standard sphere  $S^{4n+3}$  is a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-manifold. The pair  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$  is the spherical homogeneous model of hypercomplex 3 *CR*-structure in the sense of Cartan geometry (cf. [4]). First we study the properties of *hypercomplex* 3 *CR*-structure. Next we introduce a *quaternionic* 3 *CR*-structure on *M* in a local manner. In fact, let D be a 4n-dimensional subbundle endowed with a quaternionic structure *Q* on a (4n+3)-manifold *M*. The pair (D,Q) is called quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure if the following conditions hold:

1) D + [D, D] = TM;

2) *M* has an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$  each  $U_i$  of which admits a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-structure  $\left(\omega_{\alpha}^{(i)}, J_{\alpha}^{(i)}\right)_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  such that:

a)  $\mathbf{D} | U_i = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{3} \ker \omega_{\alpha}^{(i)};$ 

b) Each hypercomplex structure  $\{J_1^{(i)}, J_2^{(i)}, J_3^{(i)}\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  on  $D | U_i$  generates a quaternionic structure Q on D.

A 4n+3-manifold equipped with this structure is said to be a quaternionic 3 *CR*-manifold. A typical example of a quaternionic 3 *CR*-manifold but not a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-manifold is a *quaterninic Heisenberg nilmanifold*. In this paper, we shall study an *invariant* for quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure on (4n+3)-manifolds.

**Theorem A.** Let  $(M, \{D,Q\})$  be a quaternionic 3 CR-manifold. There exists a pseudo-Riemannian metric g of type (4n+3,3) on  $M \times S^3$ . Then the conformal class [g] is an invariant for quaternionic 3 CR-structure.

As well as the spherical quaternionic 3 *CR* homogeneous manifold  $S^{4n+3}$ , we have the pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifold  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  which is a two-fold covering of the pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifold  $(S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3, g^0)$ . The pair  $(PSp(n+1,1) \times SO(3), S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3)$  is a

subgeometry of conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous geometry  $(PO(4n+4,4), S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3)$  where  $PSp(n+1,1) \times SO(3) \le PO(4n+4,4)$ .

**Theorem B.** A quaternionic 3 CR-manifold M is spherical (i.e. locally modeled on  $(PSp(n+1,1),S^{4n+3})$ ) if and only if the pseudo-Riemannian

manifold  $(M \times S^3, g)$  is conformally flat, more precisely it is locally modeled on  $(PSp(n+1,1) \times SO(3), S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3)$ .

We have constructed a conformal invariant on (4n+3)-dimensional pseudoconformal quaternionic *CR* manifolds in [3]. We think that the Weyl conformal curvature of our new pseudo-Riemannian metric obtained in Theorem A is theoretically the same as this invariant in view of Uniformization Theorem B. But we do not know whether they coincide.

Section 2 is a review of previous results and to give some definition of our notion. In Section 3 we prove the conformal equivalence of our pseudo-Riemannian metrics and prove Theorem A. In Section 4 first we relate our spherical 3 *CR*-homogeneous model  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$  and the conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous model  $(PSp(n+1,1) \times SO(3), S^{4n+3,3})$ . We study properties of 3-dimensional lightlike groups with respect to the pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g^0$  of type (4n+3,3) on  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ . We apply these results to prove Theorem B.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(M, \{\omega_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3})$  be a (4n + 3)-dimensional hypercomplex 3 *CR*-manifold. Put  $(\omega_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}) = (\omega, J)$  for one of  $\alpha$ 's. By the definition,  $(M, \{\omega, J\})$  is a *CR*-manifold. Let  $C^{2n+2,0}(M)$  be the canonical bundle over M (*i.e.* the  $\mathbb{C}$ -line bundle of complex (2n+2,0)-forms). Put  $C(M) = C^{2n+2,0}(M) - \{0\}/\mathbb{R}^*$  which is a principal bundle:  $S^1 \to C(M) \xrightarrow{p} M$ . Compare [[5], Section 2.2]. Fefferman [6] has shown that C(M) admits a Lorentz metric g for which the Lorentz isometries  $S^1$  induce a *lightlike* vector field. We recognize the following definition from *pseudo-Riemannian geometry*.

**Definition 1.** In general if  $S^1$  induces a lightlike vector field with respect to a Lorentz metric of a Lorentz manifold, then  $S^1$  is said to be a lightlike group acting as Lorentz isometries. Similarly if each generator  $S^1$  of  $S^3$  is chosen to be a lightlike group, then we call  $S^3$  also a lightlike group.

We recall a construction of the Fefferman-Lorentz metric from [5] (cf. [6]). Let  $\xi$  be the Reeb vector field for  $(\omega, J)$ . The circle S<sup>1</sup> generates the vector field T on C(M). Define dt to be a 1-form on C(M) such that

$$dt(\mathbf{T}) = 1, dt(V) = 0 \quad (\forall V \in TM).$$

$$(2.1)$$

In [[5], (3.4) Proposition] J. Lee has shown that there exists a unique real 1-form  $\sigma$  on C(M). The explicit form of  $\sigma$  is obtained from [[5], (5.1) Theorem] in this case:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2n+3} \left( dt + i\omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - \frac{i}{2} h^{\alpha \overline{\beta}} dh_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2(2n+2)} R\omega \right).$$
(2.2)

Here 1-forms  $\{\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \tau_{\beta}\}$  are connection forms of  $\omega$  such that

$$d\omega = ih_{\alpha\beta}\omega^{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{\overline{\beta}},$$
  

$$d\omega^{\alpha} = \omega^{\beta} \wedge \omega^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \omega \wedge \tau^{\alpha}.$$
(2.3)

The function R is the Webster scalar curvature on M. Note from (2.2)

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2n+3} \left( id\omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2(2n+2)} Rd\omega - \frac{1}{2(2n+2)} dR \wedge \omega \right).$$
(2.4)

Normalize dt so that we may assume  $\sigma(T)=1$ . Let  $\sigma \odot \omega$  denote the symmetric 2-form defined by  $\sigma \cdot \omega + \omega \cdot \sigma$ . Since  $\omega(T)=0$ , it follows  $\sigma \odot \omega(T,T)=0$ . The Fefferman-Lorentz metric for  $(\omega, J)$  on C(M) is defined by

$$g(X,Y) = \sigma \odot \omega(X,Y) + d\omega(JX,Y).$$
(2.5)

Here  $T(C(M)) = \langle T \rangle \oplus \langle \xi \rangle \oplus \ker \omega$ . Since  $\xi$  is the Reeb field,

 $d\omega(JX,\xi) = 0$ . As  $[\ker\omega,T] = 0$ ,  $d\omega(JX,T) = 0$  ( $\forall X \in \ker\omega$ ). On the other hand,  $J(\{T,\xi\}) = 0$  by the definition. We have

$$g(\xi, \mathbf{T}) = 1, g(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{T}) = 0.$$
 (2.6)

Thus g becomes a Lorentz metric on C(M) in which S<sup>1</sup> is a lightlike group.

**Theorem 2** ([5]). If  $\omega' = u\omega$ , then g' = ug.

#### 3. Hypercomplex 3 CR-Structure

Our strategy is as follows: first we construct a pseudo-Riemannian metric locally on each neighborhood of  $M \times S^3$  by Condition I below and then sew these metrics on each intersection to get a globally defined pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M \times S^3$  using Theorem 4. (See the proof of Theorem A.)

Suppose that  $(M, \{\omega_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3})$  is a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-manifold of dimension (4n+3). Put  $\omega = \omega_1 i + \omega_2 j + \omega_3 k$ . It is an Im $\mathbb{H}$ -valued 1-form annihilating D. In general, there is no canonical choice of  $\omega$  annihilating D. In [[3], Lemma 1.3] we observed that if  $\omega'$  is another Im $\mathbb{H}$ -valued 1-form annihilating D, then

$$\lambda' = \lambda \omega \overline{\lambda}$$
 (3.1)

for some  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued function  $\lambda$  on M. (Here  $\overline{\lambda}$  is the quaternion conjugate.) If we put  $\lambda = \sqrt{ua}$  for a positive function u and  $a \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ , then  $\omega' = ua\omega\overline{a}$ such that the map  $z \mapsto az\overline{a}$   $(z \in \mathbb{H})$  represents a matrix function  $A \in \operatorname{SO}(3)$ . If  $\{J'_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  is a hypercomplex structure on D for  $\omega'$ , then they are related as  $[J'_1J'_2J'_3] = [J_1J_2J_3]A$ .

For each  $(\omega_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha})$ , we obtain a unique real 1-form  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  on C(M) from Section 2 (cf. (2.2)). First of all we construct a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M \times S^3$ . In general C(M) is a nontrivial principal S<sup>1</sup>-bundle. It is the trivial bundle when we restrict to a neighborhood. So for our use we assume:

**Condition I.** C(M) is trivial as bundle, i.e.  $C(M) = M \times S^1$ .

 $\omega$ 

We construct a 1-form  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  on  $M \times S^3$   $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$  as follows. Let  $T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}, T_{\gamma}$  generate  $\{e^{i\theta}\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}, \{e^{i\theta}\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}, \{e^{k\theta}\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$  of  $S^3$  respectively. Obtained as in (2.2), we have  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ 's on each  $C(M) = M \times S^1$  such that

$$\sigma_{\alpha}(\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}) = 1, \sigma_{\beta}(\mathbf{T}_{\beta}) = 1, \sigma_{\gamma}(\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}) = 1.$$

We then extend  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  to  $M \times S^3$  by setting

$$\sigma_{\alpha} \left( \mathbf{T}_{\beta} \right) = \sigma_{\alpha} \left( \mathbf{T}_{\gamma} \right) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $[T_{\beta}, T_{\gamma}] = 2T_{\alpha}$  on  $TS^{3}$ ,  $d\sigma_{\alpha}(\mathbf{T}_{\beta},\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\alpha}([\mathbf{T}_{\beta},\mathbf{T}_{\gamma}]) = -1 = -2\sigma_{\beta}\wedge\sigma_{\gamma}(\mathbf{T}_{\beta},\mathbf{T}_{\gamma})$ . Note that for any  $p \in M$ ,  $d\sigma_{\alpha} + 2\sigma_{\alpha} \wedge \sigma_{\alpha} = 0$  on  $\{p\} \times S^{3}((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3)).$ (3.3)

**Proposition 3.** The following hold:

$$d\omega_1(J_1X,Y) = d\omega_2(J_2X,Y) = d\omega_3(J_3X,Y) (\forall X,Y \in \mathsf{D}).$$

In particular  $g^{D} = d\omega_{a} \circ J_{a}$  is a positive definite invariant symmetric bilinear form on D;

$$g^{\mathrm{D}}(X,Y) = g^{\mathrm{D}}(J_{\alpha}X,J_{\alpha}Y).$$

Choose a frame field  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{4n}\}$  on D such that  $J_{\alpha}X_i = X_{\alpha n+i}$  $(j=1,\dots,n)$  with  $d\omega_{\alpha}(J_{\alpha}X_{i},X_{k}) = \delta_{ik}$ . Let  $\theta^{i}$  be the dual frame to  $X_{i}$  $(i=1,\cdots,4n)$  such that

$$d\omega_{\alpha}(J_{\alpha}X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i}(X) \cdot \theta^{i}(Y) \quad (\forall X,Y \in \mathbf{D}).$$
(3.4)

Let  $\xi_{\alpha}$  be the Reeb field for  $\omega_{\alpha}$  respectively. There is a decomposition  $T(M \times S^{3}) = TM \oplus \{T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}, T_{\gamma}\} = \{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\} \oplus D \oplus \{T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}, T_{\gamma}\}.$ As before let  $\sigma \odot \omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} (\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha})$  be a symmetric 2-form. Define

a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M \times S^3$  by

$$g(X,Y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} (\sigma_{\alpha}(X) \cdot \omega_{\alpha}(Y) + \omega_{\alpha}(X) \cdot \sigma_{\alpha}(Y)) + d\omega_{\alpha}(J_{\alpha}X,Y)$$
  
=  $\sigma \odot \omega(X,Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i} \cdot \theta^{i}(X,Y).$  (3.5)

As in (2.6) it follows that  $g(\xi_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) = 1$ ,  $g(T_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}) = 0$ . If we note  $\sigma_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ , letting  $\eta_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} - \sigma_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha})T_{\alpha}$ , it follows  $g(\eta_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}) = 0$ . So

$$\begin{bmatrix} g(\eta_{\alpha},\eta_{\alpha}) & g(\eta_{\alpha},\mathsf{T}_{\alpha}) \\ g(\mathsf{T}_{\alpha},\eta_{\alpha}) & g(\mathsf{T}_{\alpha},\mathsf{T}_{\alpha}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ . As  $g \mid D = g^{D}$  is positive definite from Proposition 3, g is a pseudo-Riemannian metric of type (4n+4,3) on  $M \times S^3$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let g' be the pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M \times S^3$  corresponding to another Im $\mathbb{H}$ -valued 1-form  $\omega'$  on M representing (D,Q), i.e.  $\omega' = ua\omega\overline{a} \quad (a \in \operatorname{Sp}(1), u > 0), \text{ then } g' = u \cdot g.$ 

We divide a proof according to whether  $\omega' = u\omega$  or  $\omega' = a\omega\overline{a}$ .

**Proposition 5.** If  $\omega' = u\omega$ , then  $g' = u \cdot g$ .

*Proof.* (Existence.) Suppose  $\omega' = u\omega$ . We show the existence of such a 1-form

 $\sigma'$  for  $\omega'$ . Let  $\{T_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, X_1, \dots, X_{4n}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  be the frame on  $M \times S^3$  for  $\omega$ . Then  $\omega'$  determines another frame  $\{T'_{\alpha}, \xi'_{\alpha}, X'_1, \dots, X'_{4n}\}$ . Since each  $T'_{\alpha}$  generates the same  $S^1$  as that of  $T_{\alpha}$ , note

$$T_{\alpha} = T'_{\alpha} \ (\alpha = 1, 2, 3).$$
 (3.6)

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,4n}$  be the frame on D. Then the Reeb field  $\xi'_{\alpha}$  for each  $\omega'_{\alpha}$  is described as

$$\xi_{\alpha} = u \cdot \xi_{\alpha}' + x_{1}^{(\alpha)} \sqrt{u} X_{1}' + \dots + x_{4n}^{(\alpha)} \sqrt{u} X_{4n}' \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 3).$$
(3.7)

 $\left( {}^{\exists} x_i^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n \right)$ . As  $u \cdot d\omega = d\omega'$  on D and  $g^{D}(X, Y) = g^{D}(J_{\alpha}X, J_{\alpha}Y)$  from Proposition 3, there exists a matrix  $B = (b_i^k) \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$  such that

$$X_{i} = \sqrt{u} \sum_{k=1}^{4n} b_{i}^{k} X_{k}^{\prime}.$$
 (3.8)

Two frames  $\{T_{\alpha}, \xi_{\alpha}, X_{1}, \dots, X_{4n}\}$ ,  $\{T'_{\alpha}, \xi'_{\alpha}, X'_{1}, \dots, X'_{4n}\}$  give the coframes  $\{\omega_{\alpha}, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{4n}, \sigma_{\alpha}\}$ ,  $\{\omega'_{\alpha}, \theta'^{1}, \dots, \theta'^{4n}, \sigma'_{\alpha}\}$  on  $M \times S^{3}$  respectively. Then the above Equations (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) determine the relations between coframes:

$$\omega'_{\alpha} = u \cdot \omega_{\alpha} \left( \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \right),$$
  

$$\theta'^{i} = \sqrt{u} \sum_{j=1}^{4n} b_{j}^{i} \theta^{j} + \sqrt{u} x_{i}^{(1)} \cdot \omega_{1} + \sqrt{u} x_{i}^{(2)} \cdot \omega_{2} + \sqrt{u} x_{i}^{(3)} \cdot \omega_{3},$$
(3.9)

Moreover if we put

$$\sigma_{\alpha}' = \sigma_{\alpha} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{4n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4n} b_j^i x_i^{(\alpha)}\right) \theta^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4n} x_i^{(\beta)} x_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot \omega_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4n} x_i^{(\gamma)} x_i^{(\alpha)} \cdot \omega_{\gamma} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \left|x_i^{(\alpha)}\right|^2 \omega_{\alpha},$$
(3.10)

then (3.15) and (3.10) show that

$$\left(\omega_1',\omega_2',\omega_3',\theta^{\prime 1},\cdots,\theta^{\prime 4n},\sigma_1',\sigma_2',\sigma_3'\right) = \left(\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3,\theta^1,\cdots,\theta^{4n},\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3\right)\mathsf{P}$$

for which

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} u\mathbf{I}_{3} & \sqrt{u}x^{(1)} & \frac{-\left|x^{(1)}\right|^{2}}{2} & \frac{-x^{(1)} \cdot x^{(2)}}{2} & \frac{-x^{(1)} \cdot x^{(3)}}{2} \\ \frac{u\mathbf{I}_{3}}{2} & \sqrt{u}x^{(2)} & \frac{-x^{(2)} \cdot x^{(1)}}{2} & \frac{-\left|x^{(2)}\right|^{2}}{2} & \frac{-x^{(2)} \cdot x^{(3)}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{u}x^{(3)}}{2} & \frac{-x^{(3)} \cdot x^{(1)}}{2} & \frac{-x^{(3)} \cdot x^{(2)}}{2} & \frac{-\left|x^{(3)}\right|^{2}}{2} \\ \frac{0}{0} & \sqrt{u}B & -B^{t}x^{(1)} & -B^{t}x^{(2)} & -B^{t}x^{(3)} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $I_{4n}^3$  is a symmetric matrix defined by

$$\mathbf{I}_{4n}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{I}_{3} \\ \hline 0 & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \mathbf{I}_{4n} & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 0 \\ \hline \mathbf{I}_{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.11)

it is easily checked that  $PI_{4n}^{3 t}P = u \cdot I_{4n}^{3}$ .

Letting  $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \omega'_3)$  and  $\sigma' = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3)$ , we define a pseudo-Riemannian metric

$$g' = \sigma' \odot \omega' + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta'^i \cdot \theta'^i.$$
(3.12)

Then a calculation shows

$$g' = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left( \sigma'_{\alpha} \cdot \omega'_{\alpha} + \omega'_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma'_{\alpha} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta'^{i} \cdot \theta'^{i}$$
  

$$= \left( \omega', \theta'^{1}, \dots, \theta'^{4n}, \sigma' \right) \mathbf{I}_{4n}^{3} \, {}^{t} \left( \omega', \theta'^{1}, \dots, \theta'^{4n}, \sigma' \right)$$
  

$$= \left( \omega, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma \right) \mathbf{PI}_{4n}^{3} \, {}^{t} \left( \omega, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma \right)$$
  

$$= u \cdot \left( \omega, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma \right) \mathbf{I}_{4n}^{3} \, {}^{i} \left( \omega, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{2n}, \sigma \right)$$
  

$$= u \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left( \sigma_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{\alpha} + \omega_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i} \cdot \theta^{i} \right) = u \cdot g.$$
  
(3.13)

(Uniqueness.) We prove the above  $\sigma'$  is uniquely determined with respect to  $\omega'$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\omega_{\alpha}, \theta^{1}, \dots, \theta^{4n}, \theta^{4n+1}, \theta^{4n+2}\}$  be the coframe for  $\omega_{\alpha}$  where  $\theta^{4n+1} = \omega_{\beta}, \theta^{4n+2} = \omega_{\gamma}$ . We have a Fefferman-Lorentz metric on  $M \times S^{1}$  from (3.5) and (3.4) under Condition I:

$$g_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\alpha} \odot \omega_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} d\omega_{\alpha} \circ J_{\alpha}$$
  
=  $\sigma_{\alpha} \odot \omega_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i} \cdot \theta^{i} + \omega_{\beta} \cdot \omega_{\beta} + \omega_{\gamma} \cdot \omega_{\gamma} \right).$  (3.14)

(We take the coefficient  $\frac{1}{3}$  for our use.) When  $\omega'_{\alpha} = u\omega_{\alpha}$ , the coframe  $\mathcal{F}$ will be transformed into a coframe  $\mathcal{F}' = \{\omega'_{\alpha}, \theta'^{1}_{\alpha}, \cdots, \theta'^{4n}_{\alpha}, \theta'^{4n+1}_{\alpha}, \theta'^{4n+2}_{\alpha}\}$  such as  $\theta'^{i}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \sum_{j} c^{i}_{\alpha j} \theta^{j} + \sqrt{u} y^{i}_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha},$  $\theta'^{4n+1}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \theta^{4n+1} = \sqrt{u} \omega_{\beta},$  (3.15)  $\theta'^{4n+2}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \theta^{4n+2} = \sqrt{u} \omega_{\gamma},$ 

$$\left( {}^{\exists} y^{i}_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}, {}^{\exists} \left( c^{i}_{\alpha j} \right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(n), i, j = 1, \cdots, n \right).$$

If  $g'_{\alpha}$  is the corresponding metric on  $M \times S^1$ , then  $g'_{\alpha} = ug_{\alpha}$  by Theorem 2 and there exists a unique 1-form  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha}$  such that

$$g'_{\alpha} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} \odot \omega'_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta'^{i}_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'^{i}_{\alpha} + \theta'^{4n+1}_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'^{4n+1}_{\alpha} + \theta'^{4n+2}_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'^{4n+2}_{\alpha} \right)$$

$$= \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} \odot \omega'_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta'^{i}_{\alpha} \cdot \theta'^{i}_{\alpha} + u\omega_{\beta} \cdot \omega_{\beta} + u\omega_{\gamma} \cdot \omega_{\gamma} \right).$$

$$(3.16)$$

If we sum up this equality for  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ ;

$$g_{1}' + g_{2}' + g_{3}' = \tilde{\sigma} \odot \omega' + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha,i} \theta_{\alpha}'^{i} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}'^{i} + \frac{2}{3} u \left( \omega_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{\alpha} + \omega_{\beta} \cdot \omega_{\beta} + \omega_{\gamma} \cdot \omega_{\gamma} \right)$$
$$= ug_{1} + ug_{2} + ug_{3}$$
$$= u \left( \sigma \odot \omega + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i} \cdot \theta^{i} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \omega_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{\alpha} + \omega_{\beta} \cdot \omega_{\beta} + \omega_{\gamma} \cdot \omega_{\gamma} \right) \right),$$

DOI: 10.4236/am.2018.92008

which yields

$$\tilde{\sigma} \odot \omega' + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta_{\alpha}'^{i} \cdot \theta_{\alpha}'^{i} = u \left( \sigma \odot \omega + \sum_{i=1}^{4n} \theta^{i} \cdot \theta^{i} \right) = ug.$$
(3.17)

Compared this with (3.13) it follows

$$\sigma' = \tilde{\sigma}, \text{ i.e. } \sigma'_{\alpha} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} (\alpha = 1, 2, 3). \tag{3.18}$$

By uniqueness of  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sigma'_{\alpha}$  defined by (3.10) is a unique real 1-form with respect to  $\omega'$ .

Next put 
$$\tilde{\omega} = a \cdot \omega \cdot \overline{a}$$
. The conjugate  $z \mapsto az\overline{a}$   $(\forall z \in \mathbb{H})$  represents a matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \in SO(3)$ . Then it follows

$$\tilde{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.19)

By our definition, a hypercomplex structure  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  on D satisfies that  $(d\omega_\beta | D)^{-1} \circ (d\omega_\alpha | D) = J_\gamma \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3)$ . A new hypercomplex structure on D is described as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{J}_1 \\ \tilde{J}_2 \\ \tilde{J}_3 \end{pmatrix} = {}^t A \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ J_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.20)

Differentiate (3.19) and restrict to D (in fact,  $d\tilde{\omega} = a \cdot d\omega \cdot \overline{a}$  on D), using Proposition 3, a calculation shows

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}(X,Y) = -a_{1\alpha}g^{\mathrm{D}}(J_{1}X,Y) + a_{2\alpha}g^{\mathrm{D}}(J_{2}X,Y) + a_{3\alpha}g^{\mathrm{D}}(J_{3}X,Y)$$
$$= -g^{\mathrm{D}}((a_{1\alpha}J_{1} + a_{2\alpha}J_{2} + a_{3\alpha}J_{3})X,Y) = -g^{\mathrm{D}}(\tilde{J}_{\alpha}X,Y),$$
$$d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}(\tilde{J}_{\alpha}X,Y) = g^{\mathrm{D}}(X,Y)(\alpha = 1,2,3).$$
(3.21)

In particular, we have  $(d\tilde{\omega}_{\beta} | \mathbf{D})^{-1} \circ (d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} | \mathbf{D}) = \tilde{J}_{\gamma} \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \sim (1, 2, 3)$ . **Proposition 6.** If  $\tilde{\omega} = a\omega\overline{a}$ , then  $\tilde{g} = g$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{g}(X,Y) = \tilde{\sigma} \odot \tilde{\omega}(X,Y) + d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}(\tilde{J}_{\alpha}X,Y)$ . Since  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha}$  is uniquely determined by  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\omega} = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3]A = \omega A$  from (3.19), it implies that

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \left[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\right] A = \sigma A. \tag{3.22}$$

Note that

$$\tilde{\sigma} \odot \tilde{\omega} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left( \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} + \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha} \right) = \sigma A^{t} A^{t} \omega + \omega A^{t} A^{t} \sigma$$
  
$$= \sigma^{t} \omega + \omega^{t} \sigma = \sigma \odot \omega.$$
 (3.23)

By (3.21),

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{\sigma} \odot \tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha} \circ \tilde{J}_{\alpha} = \sigma \odot \omega + g^{\mathsf{D}} = g.$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Suppose  $\omega' = \lambda \omega \overline{\lambda} = u \overline{\omega}$  where  $\overline{\omega} = a \omega \overline{a}$ . It follows

from Proposition 5 that  $g' = u\tilde{g}$ . By Proposition 6, we have  $\tilde{g} = g$  and hence g' = ug. This finishes the proof under Condition I.

#### **Proof of Theorem A**

Proof. Let  $(M, \{D, Q\})$  be a quaternionic 3 *CR*-manifold. Then *M* has an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$  where each  $U_i$  admits a hypercomplex 3 *CR*-structure  $\left(\omega_{\alpha}^{(i)}, J_{\alpha}^{(i)}\right)_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ . Put  $\omega^{(i)} = \omega_1^{(i)}i + \omega_2^{(i)}j + \omega_3^{(i)}k$  which is an Im $\mathbb{H}$  -valued 1-form on  $U_i$ . Since we may assume that  $U_i$  is homeomorphic to a ball (*i.e.* contractible), Condition I is satisfied for each  $U_i$ , *i.e.*  $C(U_i) = U_i \times S^1$ . Then we have a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g^{(i)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sigma_{\alpha}^{(i)} \odot \omega_{\alpha}^{(i)} + d\omega_{\alpha}^{(i)} \circ J_{\alpha}^{(i)}$  on  $U_i \times S^3$  for  $\omega^{(i)}$  by Theorem 4. Suppose  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . By condition a) of 2) (cf. Introduction),  $D | U_i \cap U_j = \ker \omega^{(i)} | U_i \cap U_j = \ker \omega^{(j)} | U_i \cap U_j$  such that

$$\omega^{(j)} = \lambda \cdot \omega^{(i)} \cdot \overline{\lambda} = ua\omega^{(i)}\overline{a} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j.$$
(3.24)

It follows from Theorem 4 that  $g^{(j)} = ug^{(i)}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . We may put  $u = u^{ji}$  which is a positive function defined on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . By construction, it is easy to see that  $u^{ki} = u^{kj}u^{ji}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $\{u\}_{i,j\in\Lambda}$  defines a 1-cocycle on M. Since  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is a fine sheaf as the germ of local continuous functions, note that the first cohomology  $H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^+) = 0$ . (Here  $\mathcal{U}$  is a chain complex of covers running over all open covers of M.) Therefore there exists a local function  $\{f\}_{i,j\in\Lambda}$  defined on each  $U_i$  such that  $\delta f(j,i) = u^{ji}$ , *i.e.*  $f_i \cdot f_j^{-1} = u^{ji}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . We obtain that

$$f_j \cdot g^{(j)} = f_i \cdot g^{(i)}$$
 on  $(U_i \cap U_j) \times S^3$ .

Then we may define

$$g \mid U_i \times S^3 = f_i \cdot g^{(i)}. \tag{3.25}$$

so that g is a globally defined pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M \times S^3$ . If another family  $\{\omega'^i\}_{i\in\Lambda}$  represents the same quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure (D,Q), then the same argument shows that g' = ug on  $M \times S^3$  for some positive function. Hence the conformal class [g] is an invariant for quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure. In particular, the Weyl curvature tensor W(g) is also an invariant. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

#### 4. Model Geometry and Transformations

We introduce *spherical* 3 *CR-homogeneous model*  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$  and *conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous model* 

 $(PSp(n+1,1)\times SO(3), S^{4n+3,3})$  equipped with *pseudo-Riemannian metric*  $g^0$  of type (4n+3,3) and then characterize the *lightlike subgroup* in  $PSp(n+1,1)\times SO(3)$ .

#### 4.1. Pseudo-Riemannian Metric g<sup>0</sup>

Let us start with the quaternionic vector space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  endowed with the Her-

mitian form:

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \overline{z}_1 w_1 + \dots + z_{n+1} w_{n+1} - \overline{z}_{n+2} w_{n+2} \ (z, w \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2}).$$
 (4.1)

The *q*-cone is defined by

$$V_0 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2} - \left\{ 0 \right\} | \left\langle z, z \right\rangle \right\rangle = 0 \right\}.$$
(4.2)

When  $\mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  is viewed as the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{4n+8}$ , O(4n+4,4) denotes the full subgroup of  $GL(4n+8,\mathbb{R})$  preserving the bilinear form  $\operatorname{Re}\langle,\rangle$ . Consider the commutative diagrams below. The image of the pair  $(O(4n+4,4),V_0)$  by the projection  $P_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the homogeneous model of conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian geometry  $(\operatorname{PO}(4n+4,4),S^{4n+3,3})$  in which  $S^{4n+3,3} = P_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0)$  is diffeomorphic to a quotient manifold  $S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$ . The identification  $\mathbb{H}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R}^{4n+8}$  gives a natural embedding

 $\operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1) \to \operatorname{O}(4n+4,4)$  which results a special geometry

 $(PSp(n+1,1) \times SO(3), S^{4n+3,3})$  from  $(PO(4n+4,4), S^{4n+3,3})$ .

As usual, the image of  $(Sp(n+1,1) \cdot Sp(1), V_0)$  by  $P_{\mathbb{H}}$  is spherical quarternionic 3 *CR*-geometry  $(PSp(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$ .

We describe a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g^0$  on  $S^{4n+3,3} = S^{4n+3} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$ . Let  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  be the product of unit spheres. For  $(z, w) \in S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ ,

 $|z|^2 - |w|^2 = 1 - 1 = 0$  so  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \subset V_0$ . Then  $P_{\mathbb{R}}(V_0) = S^{4n+3,3}$  induces a 2-fold covering  $P_{\mathbb{R}}: S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \to S^{4n+3,3}$  for which  $P_*: T(S^{4n+3} \times S^3) \to TS^{4n+3,3}$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $x \in S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  where we put  $P_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = [x]$ . Choose  $y \in S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$ . Denote by  $\{x, y\}^{\perp}$  the orthogonal complement in  $\mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  with respect to  $\langle , \rangle$ . As  $T_x V_0 = \{Z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+2} | \operatorname{Re}\langle x, Z \rangle = 0\}$ , it follows  $T_x V_0 = y \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \oplus x \mathbb{H} \oplus \{x, y\}^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  such that

$$T_{x}\left(S^{4n+3}\times S^{3}\right)=y\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\oplus x\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}\oplus\left\{x,y\right\}^{\perp}.$$

In particular,  $T_x V_0 = x \mathbb{R} \oplus T_x (S^{4n+3} \times S^3)$ . Note that this decomposition does not depend on the choice of points  $x' \in [x]$  and y' with  $\langle x', y' \rangle = 1$ . (see [3], Theorem 6.1]). We define a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $S^{4n+3,3}$  to be

$$g_{[x]}^{0}\left(P_{\mathbb{R}^{*}}X, P_{\mathbb{R}^{*}}Y\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left\langle X, Y\right\rangle \left( {}^{\forall} X, Y \in T_{x}\left(S^{4n+3} \times S^{3}\right) \right).$$
(4.4)

Noting  $\operatorname{Re}\langle ya, ya \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle xa, xa \rangle = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\langle xa, ya \rangle = 1$  ( $\forall a \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ ) and  $\operatorname{Re}\langle , \rangle|_{\{x,y\}^{\perp}}$  is positive definite,  $g_{[x]}^{0}$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric of type (4n+3,3) at each  $[x] \in S^{4n+3,3}$ .

## 4.2. Conformal Group O(4n+4,4)

It is known more or less but we need to check that O(4n+4,4) acts on  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  as conformal transformations with respect to  $\operatorname{Re}\langle , \rangle$  and so does  $\operatorname{PO}(4n+4,4)$  on  $(S^{4n+3,3},g^0)$ .

For any  $h \in O(4n+4,4)$ ,  $\langle hx, hx \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = 0$  so  $hx \in V_0$ . However hx does not necessarily belong to  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ . Normalized hx, there is  $x' \in S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  such that  $(hx)\lambda = x'$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Note

 $[hx] = P_{\mathbb{R}}(hx) = P_{\mathbb{R}}(x')$ . If  $R_{\lambda} : \mathbb{H}^{n+2} \to \mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  is the right multiplication defined by  $R_{\lambda}(z) = z\lambda$ , then there is the commutative diagram:

$$T_{x'}V_0 \searrow P_{\mathbb{R}*}$$

$$R_{\lambda*} \uparrow \qquad T_{[hx]}S^{4n+3,3}$$

$$T_{hx}V_0 \nearrow P_{\mathbb{R}*}$$

in which  $R_*(h_*X) = (h_*X)\lambda \in T_xV_0$ . As  $T_xV_0 = x'\mathbb{R} \oplus T_x(S^{4n+3} \times S^3)$ , we have  $(h_*X)\lambda = x'\mu + X'$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X' \in T_x(S^{4n+3} \times S^3)$ . Since  $P_*(T_x\mathbb{R}^*) = P_*(x\mathbb{R}) = 0$  and  $P_{\mathbb{R}}: (O(4n+4,4),V_0) \rightarrow (PO(4n+4,4),S^{4n+3,3})$  is equivariant, it follows

$$h_*P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(X) = P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(h_*X) = P_{\mathbb{R}^*}((h_*X)\lambda) = P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(x'\mu + X') = P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(X').$$

Similarly  $h_*P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(Y) = P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(Y')$  for  $(h_*Y)\lambda = x'\nu + Y'$  for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Y' \in T_{x'}(S^{4n+3} \times S^3)$ . As  $\operatorname{Re}\langle x', X' \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle x', Y' \rangle = 0$ , a calculation shows

$$g_{[hx]}^{0}(h_*P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(X), h_*P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(Y))$$

$$= g_{[hx]}^{0}(P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(X'), P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(Y')) = \operatorname{Re}\langle X', Y'\rangle$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\langle x'\mu + X', x'\nu + Y'\rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle (h_*X)\lambda, (h_*Y)\lambda\rangle$$

$$= \lambda^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle h_*X, h_*Y\rangle = \lambda^2 \operatorname{Re}\langle X, Y\rangle$$

$$= \lambda^2 g_{[x]}^{0}(P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(X), P_{\mathbb{R}^*}(Y)).$$

Hence  $h \in O(4n+4,4)$  acts as conformal transformation with respect to  $g^0$ .

## **4.3. Conformal Subgroup** $Sp(n+1,1) \cdot Sp(1)$

Let (I, J, K) be the standard hypercomplex structure on  $\mathbb{H}^{n+2}$  defined by

$$Iz = -zi, Jz = -zj, Kz = -zk.$$

Put Q = span(I, J, K) as the associated quaternionic structure. Then  $\text{Re}\langle , \rangle$  leaves invariant Q. The full subgroup of O(4n+4,4) preserving Q is isomorphic to  $\text{Sp}(n+1,1)\cdot\text{Sp}(1)$ , *i.e.* the intersection of O(4n+4,4) with  $\text{GL}(n+2,\mathbb{H})\cdot\text{GL}(1,\mathbb{H})$ .

Let  $\rho: S^3 \to O(4n+4,4)$  be a faithful representation. Then the subgroup  $\rho(S^3)$  preserves Q so it is contained in

$$\left(\overline{\operatorname{Sp}(1)\times\cdots\times\operatorname{Sp}(1)}\right)\cdot\operatorname{Sp}(1)\leq\overline{\operatorname{SO}(4)\times\cdots\times\operatorname{SO}(4)}$$

which is a subgroup of  $SO(4n+4) \times SO(4)$ .

# 4.4. Three Dimensional Lightlike Group

Choose  $S^1 \leq S^3$  and consider a representation restricted to  $S^1$ . As we may assume that the semisimple group  $\rho(S^3)$  belongs to  $(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ , this reduces to a faithful representation:  $\rho: S^1 \to T^{n+2} \cdot S^1$  such that

$$\rho(t) = \left( \left( e^{ia_1 t}, \cdots, e^{ia_{n+2} t} \right) \cdot e^{ibt} \right).$$
(4.5)

Here we may assume that  $a_i \ge 0$  are relatively prime  $(i=1,\dots,n+2)$  without loss of generality, and either b=0 or 1. The element  $\rho(t)$  acts on  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \subset V_0$  as

$$\rho(t)(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}, w) = \left(e^{ia_1 t} z_1, \dots, e^{ia_{n+1} t} z_{n+1}, e^{ia_{n+2} t} w\right) \cdot e^{-ibt}$$
  
=  $\left(e^{ia_1 t} z_1 e^{-ibt}, \dots, e^{ia_{n+1} t} z_{n+1} e^{-ibt}, e^{ia_{n+2} t} w e^{-ibt}\right)$  (4.6)

where  $|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_{n+1}|^2 - |w|^2 = 0$  for  $(z, w) = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}, w) \in V_0$ . If X is the vector field induced by  $\rho(S^1)$  at (z, w), then it follows

$$X = (ia_{1}z_{1}, \cdots, ia_{n+1}z_{n+1}, ia_{n+2}w) - (z_{1}ib, \cdots, z_{n+1}ib, wib).$$
(4.7)

**Proposition 7.** If  $\rho: S^1 \to T^{n+2} \cdot S^1$  is a faithful lightlike 1-parameter group, then it has either one of the forms:

$$\rho(t) = \left(e^{it}, \cdots, e^{it}\right) \leq \left(\overline{\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)}\right) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1, 1) \cdot \{1\},$$

$$\rho(t) = (1, \cdots, 1) \cdot e^{it} \leq \{1\} \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1, 1) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1).$$
(4.8)

*Proof.* **Case (i)** b = 0.  $X = (ia_1z_1, \dots, ia_{n+1}z_{n+1}, ia_{n+2}w)$  from (4.7) so that  $\langle X, X \rangle = a_1^2 |z_1|^2 + \dots + a_{n+1}^2 |z_{n+1}|^2 - a_{n+2}^2 |w|^2 = (a_1^2 - a_{n+2}^2) |z_1|^2 + \dots + (a_{n+1}^2 - a_{n+2}^2) |z_{n+1}|^2$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re}\langle X, X \rangle = 0$  and we assume  $a_i \ge 0$ , it follows

$$a_1 = a_{n+2}, \cdots, a_{n+1} = a_{n+2}.$$

As  $a_i$ 's are relatively prime, this implies

$$a_1 = \dots = a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = 1.$$

As a consequence  $\rho(t) = (e^{it}, \dots, e^{it}) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1, 1) \cdot \{1\}$ . In this case note that  $T_x(S^{4n+3} \times S^3) = \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} y \oplus \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} x \oplus \{x, y\}^{\perp}$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . **Case (ii)** b = 1. It follows from (4.7) that

$$X = (ia_{1}z_{1}, \cdots, ia_{n+1}z_{n+1}, ia_{n+2}w) - (z_{1}i, \cdots, z_{n+1}i, wi).$$

Put  $Y = (ia_1z_1, \dots, ia_{n+1}z_{n+1}, ia_{n+2}w)$ ,  $W = (z_1i, \dots, z_{n+1}i, wi) = xi$  such that X = Y - W and  $\langle W, W \rangle = \overline{i} \langle x, x \rangle i = 0$ . Calculate

$$\langle Y, Y \rangle = a_1^2 |z_1|^2 + \dots + a_{n+1} |z_{n+1}|^2 - a_{n+2}^2 |w|^2 , \langle Y, W \rangle = a_1 \overline{z_1} \overline{i} z_1 \overline{i} + \dots + a_{n+1} \overline{z_{n+1}} \overline{i} z_{n+1} \overline{i} - a_{n+2} \overline{w} \overline{i} \overline{w} \overline{i},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Y, W \rangle = a_1 |z_1|^2 + \dots + a_{n+1} |z_{n+1}|^2 - a_{n+2} |w| = \operatorname{Re} \langle W, Y \rangle.$$

$$(4.9)$$

This shows

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle X, X \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle Y - W, Y - W \rangle$$
  
=  $\operatorname{Re}\langle Y, Y \rangle - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Y, W \rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle W, W \rangle = \operatorname{R}\langle Y, Y \rangle - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Y, W \rangle$   
=  $(a_1^2 - 2a_1)|z_1|^2 + \dots + (a_{n+1}^2 - 2a_{n+1})|z_{n+1}|^2 - (a_{n+2}^2 - 2a_{n+2})|w|^2$   
=  $((a_1^2 - 2a_1) - (a_{n+2}^2 - 2a_{n+2}))|z_1|^2 + \dots + ((a_{n+1}^2 - 2a_{n+1}) - (a_{n+2}^2 - 2a_{n+2}))|z_{n+1}|^2$   
=  $((a_1 - 1)^2 - (a_{n+2} - 1)^2)|z_1|^2 + \dots + ((a_{n+1} - 1)^2 - (a_{n+2} - 1)^2)|z_{n+1}|^2$ .

Thus

$$(a_1 - 1)^2 = (a_{n+2} - 1)^2, \dots, (a_{n+1} - 1)^2 = (a_{n+2} - 1)^2.$$
 (4.10)

On the other hand, we may assume in general

$$a_{1} = \dots = a_{k} = 0.$$
  
$$a_{k+1} - 1 \le 0, \dots, a_{l} - 1 \le 0.$$
  
$$a_{l+1} - 1 \ge 0, \dots, a_{n+1} - 1 \ge 0.$$

(ii-1). Suppose  $a_{n+2} - 1 \ge 0$ . As  $0 < a_j \le 1$  for  $k+1 \le j \le l$ , it implies  $a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_l = 1$ . Since  $(a_{k+1} - 1)^2 = (a_{n+2} - 1)^2$  from (4.10), it follows  $a_{n+2} = 1$ . Again from (4.10),  $(a_j - 1)^2 = 0$  and so  $a_j = 1$   $(l+1 \le j \le n+1)$ . Note that  $a_i \ne 0$  because  $(a_i - 1)^2 = (a_{n+2} - 1)^2 = 0$ . Thus  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n+2} = 1$ . This implies  $\rho(t) = (e^{it}, \cdots, e^{it}) \cdot e^{it}$ .

(ii-2). Suppose  $a_{n+2} - 1 < 0$ . In this case  $a_{n+2} = 0$ . By (4.10), it follows that  $\forall a_i \neq 0$  and  $a_1 = \cdots = a_i = 1$ ,  $a_i = 2$   $(l+1 \le i \le n+1)$ . Thus

 $\rho(t) = (1, \dots, 1, e^{i2t}, \dots, e^{i2t}, 1) \cdot e^{it}$ . This contradicts that nonzero  $a_i$ 's

 $(1 \le i \le n+1)$  are relatively prime.

(ii-3). Suppose  $a_{n+2} - 1 < 0$  and  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n+1} = 0$ . Again  $a_{n+2} = 0$  and so  $\rho(t) = (1, \cdots, 1) \cdot e^{it}$ .

To complete the proof of the proposition we prove the following. Put  $x = (z, w) = (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}, w) \in S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \subset V_0$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ .

Lemma 8. Case (ii-1) does not occur.

Proof. It follows from (4.7) that

$$X = (iz_{1}, \dots, iz_{n+1}, iw) - (z_{1}i, \dots, z_{n+1}i, wi) = ix - xi.$$
(4.11)

Put x = p + jq  $(p, q \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ . Then X = 2kq. As  $\langle X, X \rangle = 0$  implies  $\langle q, q \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand, the equation

$$0 = \langle x, x \rangle = (\langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, q \rangle) - 2j \langle \overline{p}, q \rangle$$

shows  $\langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, q \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle \overline{p}, q \rangle = 0$ . Note that if  $S^{2n+1} \times S^1$  is the canonical subset in  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ , then  $\langle p, p \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $p \in S^{2n+1} \times S^1$ . Since *X* is a

nontrivial vector field on  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ , there is a point x in the open subset  $S = S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \setminus S^{2n+1} \times S^1$  such that  $\langle p, p \rangle \neq 0$  and thus  $\langle X, X \rangle \neq 0$  on S, which contradicts that X is a lightlike vector field.

#### 4.5. Proof of Theorem B

Applying Proposition 7 to a lightlike group  $S^3$  we obtain:

**Corollary 9.** Let  $\rho: S^3 \to O(4n+4,4)$  be a faithful representation which preserves the metric  $\operatorname{Re}\langle , \rangle$  on  $V_0$ . If  $\rho(S^3)$  is a lightlike group on  $S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ , then either one of the following holds.

$$\rho(S^3) = \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \le \operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \{1\},$$
  

$$\rho(S^3) = \{1\} \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1) \le \operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1).$$
(4.13)

Let 
$$(\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1), S^{4n+3} \times S^3)$$
 be as in (4.13). If  
 $f: S^{4n+3} \times S^3 \to S^{4n+3} \times S^3$  is a map defined by  
 $f((z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}, w)) = (\overline{w}z_1, \cdots, \overline{w}z_{n+1}, \overline{w})$ , then for  $a \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ ,  $b \in \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ ,  
 $f((az_1, \cdots, az_{n+1}, aw\overline{b})) = (b\overline{w}z_1, \cdots, b\overline{w}z_{n+1}, b\overline{w}\overline{a}).$ 

So the equivariant diffeomorphism f induces a quotient equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\hat{f}: \left( \operatorname{Sp}(1), S^{4n+3} \times S^{3} \middle/ \rho(S^{3}) \right) \to \left( \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)), S^{4n+3} \right).$$
(4.14)

We prove Theorem B of Introduction.

*Proof.* Suppose that the pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $(M \times S^3, g)$  is *conformally flat.* Let  $\pi = \pi_1(M)$  be the fundamental group and  $\tilde{M}$  the universal covering of M. By the developing argument (cf. [7]), there is a developing pair:

$$(\rho, \operatorname{Dev}): (\pi \times S^3, \tilde{M} \times S^3, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (O(4n+4, 4), S^{4n+3} \times S^3, g^0)$$

where Dev is a conformal immersion such that  $\text{Dev}^* g^0 = u\tilde{g}$  for some positive function u on  $\tilde{M} \times S^3$  and  $\rho: \pi \times S^3 \to O(4n+4,4)$  is a holonomy homomorphism for which Dev is equivariant with respect to  $\rho$ .

By Corollary 9, if  $\rho(S^3) = \{1\} \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ , then the normalizer of Sp(1) in O(4n+4,4) is isomorphic to Sp(n+1,1) \cdot Sp(1). In particular,  $\rho(\pi \times S^3) = \rho(\pi) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$  where  $\rho(S^3) = \{1\} \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ . We have the commutative diagram:

$$S^{3} \xrightarrow{\rho} \operatorname{Sp}(1)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$(\pi \times S^{3}, \tilde{M} \times S^{3}) \xrightarrow{(\rho, \operatorname{Dev})} (\rho(\pi) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1), S^{4n+3} \times S^{3})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$(\pi, \tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{(\hat{\rho}, \operatorname{dev})} (\rho(\pi), S^{4n+3})$$

$$(4.15)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(\pi, \tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{(\hat{\rho}, \operatorname{dev})} (\rho(\pi), S^{4n+3})$$

where  $\rho(\pi) \leq PSp(n+1,1)$  and dev is an immersion which is  $\hat{\rho}$ .

equivariant.

If  $\rho(S^3) = \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \leq \operatorname{Sp}(n+1,1) \cdot \{1\}$  from (4.13), then  $\rho(\pi \times S^3) = \rho(S^3) \cdot \rho(\pi) \leq \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \cdot \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ . Composed f with Dev, we have an equivariant diffeomorphism  $\hat{f} \circ \operatorname{dev} : (\pi, \tilde{M}) \to (\rho(\pi), S^{4n+3})$ where  $\rho(\pi) \leq \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(1)) \leq \operatorname{PSp}(n+1,1)$ . In each case taking the developing map either dev of (4.15) or  $\hat{f} \circ \operatorname{dev}$ , a quaternionic 3 *CR*-manifold *M* is *spherical*, *i.e.* uniformized with respect to  $(\operatorname{PSp}(n+1,1), S^{4n+3})$ .

Conversely recall  $\left(\omega^{0}, \left\{J_{\alpha}^{0}\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}\right)$  is the *standard* quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure on  $S^{4n+3}$  equipped with the standard hypercomplex structure  $Q^{0} = \left\{J_{\alpha}^{0}\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$ on D<sup>0</sup>. Suppose that  $\left(\omega, \left\{J_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}\right)$  is a *spherical quaternionic* 3 *CR*-structure on *M* with a quaternionic structure *Q*, then there exists a developing map dev:  $\tilde{M} \to S^{4n+3}$  such that

$$\mathrm{dev}^*\omega^0 = \lambda \tilde{\omega} \bar{\lambda}$$

for some  $\mathbb{H}$  -valued function  $\lambda$  on  $\tilde{M}$  with a lift of quaternionic 3 *CR*-structure  $\tilde{\omega}$ . In particular, dev<sub>\*</sub>D = D<sup>0</sup> and dev<sub>\*</sub>Q = Q<sup>0</sup>.

Let  $\tilde{g}$  be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $\tilde{M} \times S^3$  for  $\tilde{\omega}$  which is a lift of g and  $\omega$  to  $\tilde{M} \times S^3$  respectively. Put  $\omega' = \text{dev}^* \omega^0$ . Let  $\lambda = \sqrt{ua}$  be a function for u > 0 and  $a \in \text{Sp}(1)$  such that

$$w' = ua\tilde{\omega}\overline{a}.$$

By the definition, recall  $d\omega_{\beta}^{0}(J_{\gamma}^{0}V,W) = d\omega_{\alpha}^{0}(V,W)$   $(\forall V,W \in D^{0})$ . The induced quaternionic structure  $\{J'_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3}$  for  $\omega' = \operatorname{dev}^{*}\omega^{0}$  is obtained as  $d(\operatorname{dev}^{*}\omega_{\beta}^{0})(J'_{\gamma}X,Y) = d(\operatorname{dev}^{*}\omega_{\alpha}^{0})(X,Y)$ . Since

 $d\omega_{\beta}^{0}(\operatorname{dev}_{*}J'_{\gamma}X,\operatorname{dev}_{*}Y) = d\omega_{\alpha}^{0}(\operatorname{dev}_{*}X,\operatorname{dev}_{*}Y)$ , taking  $V = \operatorname{dev}_{*}X$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{dev}_* J'_{\gamma} X = J^0_{\gamma} \operatorname{dev}_* X \ \Big( {}^{\forall} X \in \mathcal{D} \Big).$$

$$(4.16)$$

As  $\operatorname{dev}_*Q = Q^0 = \operatorname{span}(J^0_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ , note that  $\{J'_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,2,3} \in Q$ .

On the other hand, let g' be the pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $\tilde{M} \times S^3$  for  $\omega'$ , it follows from Theorem 4

$$g' = u\tilde{g}.\tag{4.17}$$

Take the above element  $a \in S^3$  and let  $\rho: S^3 \to S^3$  be a homomorphism defined by  $\rho(s) = as\overline{a} \quad (\forall s \in S^3)$ . Define a map  $dev \times \rho: \tilde{M} \times S^3 \to S^{4n+3} \times S^3$ which makes the diagram commutative. (Here *p* is the projection onto the left summand.)

where both  $p_*:(\mathbf{D}, \{\mathbf{J}'_{\alpha}\}) \to (\mathbf{D}, \{\mathbf{J}'_{\alpha}\})$  and  $p_*:(\mathbf{D}^0, \{\mathbf{J}^0_{\alpha}\}) \to (\mathbf{D}^0, \{\mathbf{J}^0_{\alpha}\})$  are isomorphisms such that

$$p_* \circ J'_{\alpha} = J'_{\alpha} \circ p_*$$
 and  $p_* \circ J^0_{\alpha} = J^0_{\alpha} \circ p_* \ (\alpha = 1, 2, 3).$  (4.19)

Recall from (3.5) that  $g^0 = \sigma^0 \odot p^* \omega^0 + dp^* \omega_\alpha^0 \circ J_\alpha^0$ . (We write *p* more precisely.) Consider the pull-back metric

$$(\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^{*} g^{0}(X, Y) = \sigma^{0} \odot p^{*} \omega^{0} ((\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} X, (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} Y) + dp^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (J_{\alpha}^{0} (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} X, (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} Y).$$

$$(4.20)$$

Calculate the first and the second summand of (4.20) respectively.

$$(\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^{*} (\sigma^{0} \odot p^{*} \omega^{0}) = (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^{*} \sigma^{0} \odot (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^{*} p^{*} \omega^{0}$$

$$= \rho^{*} \operatorname{dev}^{*} \sigma^{0} \odot p^{*} \operatorname{dev}^{*} \omega^{0}.$$

$$dp^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (J_{\alpha}^{0} (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} X, (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} Y)$$

$$= d \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (J_{\alpha}^{0} p_{*} (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} X, p_{*} (\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)_{*} Y)$$

$$= d \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (J_{\alpha}^{0} \operatorname{dev}_{*} p_{*} X, \operatorname{dev}_{*} p_{*} Y)$$

$$= d \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (\operatorname{dev}_{*} J_{\alpha}' p_{*} X, \operatorname{dev}_{*} p_{*} Y) \quad (4.16)$$

$$= d \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (\operatorname{dev}_{*} p_{*} J_{\alpha}' X, \operatorname{dev}_{*} p_{*} Y) \quad (4.19)$$

$$= dp^{*} \operatorname{dev}^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0} (J_{\alpha}' X, Y) = d (p^{*} \operatorname{dev}^{*} \omega_{\alpha}^{0}) \circ J_{\alpha}' (X, Y).$$

$$(4.22)$$

Thus

$$\left(\operatorname{dev} \times \rho\right)^* g^0 = R_{\overline{a}}^* \operatorname{dev}^* \sigma^0 \odot p^* \operatorname{dev}^* \omega^0 + d\left(p^* \operatorname{dev}^* \omega_{\alpha}^0\right) \circ J_{\alpha}'.$$

Then it follows by the construction of (3.5) that  $(\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^* g^0$  is the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian metric for  $\operatorname{dev}^* \omega^0 = \omega'$  and so  $(\operatorname{dev} \times \rho)^* g^0 = g' = u\tilde{g}$  by (4.17). Therefore  $(\tilde{M} \times S^3, \tilde{g})$  is conformally flat and so is  $(M \times S^3, g)$ .

## References

- Biquard, O. (2001) Quaternionic Contact Structures, Quaternionic Structures in Mathematics and Physics, Rome, World Sci. 1999 Publishing, River Edge, NJ. 23-30.
- Webster, S. (1977) On the Transformation Group of a Real Hypersurfaces. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 231, 179-190. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0481085-5
- [3] Alekseevsky, D.V. and Kamishima, Y. (2008) Pseudo-Conformal Quaternionic *CR* Structure on (4n+3) -Dimensional Manifolds. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 187, 487-529. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-007-0053-2</u>
- [4] Kobayashi, S. (1970) Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry. *Ergebnisse Math.*, **70**.
- [5] Lee, J. (1986) The Fefferman Metric and Pseudo Hermitian Invariants. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 296, 411-429. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1986-0837820-2
- [6] Fefferman, C. (1976) Monge-Ampère Equations, the Bergman Kernel, and Geometry of Pseudo-Convex Domains. *Annals of Mathematics*, 103, 395-416. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1970945</u>
- Kulkarni, R. (1978) On the Principle of Uniformization. *Journal of Differential Geo*metry, 13, 109-138. <u>https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214434351</u>