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## Open Access


#### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly study the uniqueness of specific $q$-shift difference polynomials $f^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}$ and $g^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}$ of meromorphic functions, which share a common small function and get the corresponding results. In addition, we also investigate the problem of value distribution on q -shift difference polynomials of entire functions.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, many Scholars have been interested in value distribution of difference operators of meromorphic functions (see [1]-[6]). Furthermore, a large number of papers have studied and obtained the uniqueness results of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions, their shifts and difference operators (see [7]-[12]). Our purpose in the paper is to study the value distribution for q -shift polynomials of transcendental meromorphic with zero order, and some results about entire functions.

For a meromorphic function $f$, we always assume that $f$ is meromorphic in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We use standard notations of the Nevanlinna Value Distribution Theory (see [13]), such as $m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), T(r, f)$, $S(r, f)$, and define $N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ as the counting function of zero of $f$, such that simple zero is counted once and multiple zeros are counted twice. We denote any quantity by $S(r, f)$, if it satisfies $S(r, f)=o(T(r, f))$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$

[^0]outside of a possible exceptional set of $r$ with finite logarithmic measure. In addition, the notation $\rho(f)$ is the order of growth of $f$. Let meromorphic function $\alpha$ be a common small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, suppose that $f(z)-\alpha(z)$ and $g(z)-\alpha(z)$ have the same zeros counting multiplicities (ignoring multiplicities), then we say that $f$ and $g$ share $\alpha(z) \operatorname{CM}(I M)$.

In this paper, we define a $q$-shift difference product of meromorphic function $f(z)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(z)=f^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}  \tag{1}\\
F_{1}(z)=P_{n}(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\quad c_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \quad\left(c_{j} \neq 0, j=1,2,3, \cdots, d\right)$ are distinct constants, $q_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, d)$ be non-zero finite complex constants, let $P_{n}(z)=\alpha_{n} z^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} z+\alpha_{0}$ be a non-zero polynomial, where $\alpha_{n}(\neq 0), \alpha_{n-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{0}$ are small functions of $f$. Let $n, d, v_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, d)$ are positive integers and $\sigma=v_{1}+v_{2}+\cdots+v_{d}$.

Recently, Liu et al. [14] have considered and proved the uniqueness of $q$-shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions.

Theorem A. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$. Let $q$ and $\eta$ be two non-zero finite complex constants. If $f^{n}(z) f(q z+\eta)$ and $g^{n}(z) g(q z+\eta)$ share $1 C M$, then either $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t$, where $n\left(\in N^{*}\right) \geq 14$ satisfying $t^{n+1}=1$.

Theorem B. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$. Let $q$ and $\eta$ be two non-zero finite complex constants. If $f^{n}(z) f(q z+\eta)$ and $g^{n}(z) g(q z+\eta)$ share 1 IM, then either $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$ or $f(z) g(z)=t$, where $n\left(\in N^{*}\right) \geq 26$ satisfying $t^{n+1}=1$.

First, we will prove the following theorems on value sharing results of $q$-shift difference polynomials extend the Theorem $A, B$, as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$, and let $\alpha(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ be a common small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. If $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share $\alpha(z) C M$, then $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$, where $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+9$ satisfying $t^{n+\sigma}=1$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$, and let $\alpha(z)(\not \equiv 0)$ be a common small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. If $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ share $\alpha(z)$ IM, then $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$, where $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+6 d+15$ satisfying $t^{n+\sigma}=1$.

Liu et al. [14] also considered some properties of $q$-shift difference polynomials of entire functions, as follow:

Theorem C. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$, and let $q$ and $\eta$ are two non-zero finite complex constants, and let $P_{n}(z)=\alpha_{n} z^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} z+\alpha_{0}$ be a non-zero polynomial, where $\alpha_{n}(\neq 0), \alpha_{n-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{0}$, are constants, and let $m$ be the number
of the distinct zero of $P_{n}(z)$. If $P_{n}(f(z)) f(q z+\eta)$ and $P_{n}(g(z)) g(q z+\eta)$ share 1 CM , then only one of the following two cases holds:
a) $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$, where $n>2 m+1$, and $k$ is greatest common divisor of $\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$, satisfying $t^{k}=1$. When $\alpha_{i}=0$, then $\lambda_{i}=n+1$, otherwise $\lambda_{i}=i+1 . \quad i=0,1, \cdots, n$.
b) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy a algebraic equation $Q(f(z), g(z))=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=P_{n}\left(w_{1}\right) w_{1}(q z+c)-P_{n}\left(w_{2}\right) w_{2}(q z+c) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, it is easy to derive that $P_{n}(f(z)) f(q z+\eta)$ in Theorem C can be replaced by $P_{n}(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}$, as follows

Theorem 1.3. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions with $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$, and let $\alpha(z)$ be a common small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and let $k$ be the number of distinct zeros of $P_{n}(z)$. If $F_{1}(z)$ and $G_{1}(z)$ share $\alpha(z)$ CM, then only one of the following results holds:
a) $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{m}=1$, where $n>2 k+2 d+\sigma$ and $m$ is greatest common divisor of $(n+\sigma, n+\sigma-1, \cdots, n+\sigma-i, \cdots, \sigma+1)$, $\alpha_{n-i} \neq 0, \quad i=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.
b) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy a algebraic equation $Q(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=P_{n}\left(w_{1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} w_{1}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}-P_{n}\left(w_{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} w_{2}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. (see [15]) Let $n(\geq 1)$ be a positive integer, and let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $\alpha_{i}(i=0,1, \cdots, n)$ be small meromorphic functions of $f$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(f(z))=\alpha_{n} f^{n}(z)+\alpha_{n-1} f^{n-1}(z)+\cdots+\alpha_{1} f(z)+\alpha_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, P_{n}(f(z))\right)=n T(r, f(z))+S(r, f(z)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. (see [9]) Let $q$ and $\eta$ be two non-zero finite complex numbers, and let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function with $\rho(f)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{f(q z+\eta)}{f(z)}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 .
Lemma 2.3. (see [12]) Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share 1 IM and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-2 \frac{f^{\prime}}{f-1}-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{g^{\prime}}+2 \frac{g^{\prime}}{g-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, f)+T(r, g) \\
& \leq 2\left(N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}(r, g)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right)  \tag{9}\\
&+3\left(\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. (see [16]) Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions. If $f$ and $g$ share 1 CM , then only one of the following results holds:
(a) $\max \{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}(r, g)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $f \equiv g$;
(c) $f g \equiv 1$.

Lemma 2.5. (see [14]) Let $q$ and $\eta$ be two non-zero finite complex constants, and let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function with $\rho(f)=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f(q z+\eta)) \leq T(r, f(z))+S(r, f) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 .
Lemma 2.6. (see [14]) Let $q$ and $\eta$ be two non-zero finite complex constants, and let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function of zero order, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}(r, f(q z+\eta)) \leq \bar{N}(r, f(z))+S(r, f) \\
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(q z+\eta)}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& N(r, f(q z+\eta)) \leq N(r, f(z))+S(r, f)  \tag{12}\\
& N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(q z+\eta)}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function of zero order, and $F_{1}(z)$ be defined as in (2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, F_{1}\right) \leq(n+\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 2.1 with Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(r, F_{1}\right) & \leq T\left(r, P_{n}(f(z))\right)+T\left(r, \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq n T(r, f(z))+\sum_{j=1}^{d} T\left(r, f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{14}\\
& \leq(n+\sigma) T(r, f(z))+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, we also get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n+\sigma) T(r, f(z)) \leq T\left(r, P_{n}(f(z)) f^{\sigma}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =m\left(r, P_{n}(f(z)) f^{\sigma}\right)+N\left(r, P_{n}(f(z)) f^{\sigma}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq m\left(r, \frac{F_{1}(z) f^{\sigma}}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{F_{1}(z) f^{\sigma}}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{15}\\
& \leq m\left(r, F_{1}\right)+N\left(r, F_{1}\right)+T\left(r, \frac{f^{\sigma}}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+2 \sigma T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, F_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we get Lemma 2.7.
Lemma 2.8. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function with $\rho(f)=0$, and $F_{1}(z)$ be stated as in (2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, F_{1}\right)=(n+\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the same method as the Lemma 2.7, we can easily to prove.

## 3. Proof of Theorem

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Set $F^{*}(z)=\frac{F(z)}{\alpha(z)}, G^{*}(z)=\frac{G(z)}{\alpha(z)}$, than $F^{*}(z)$ and $G^{*}(z)$ share 1 CM .
Thus by Nevanlinna second fundamental theory, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n-\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)-1}\right)+S\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, f^{n}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)-1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(2 d+2) T(r, f)+(n+\sigma) T(r, g)+S(r, g)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2 d-\sigma-2) T(r, f) \leq(n+\sigma) T(r, g)+S(r, g)+S(r, f) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2 d-\sigma-2) T(r, g) \leq(n+\sigma) T(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $S(r, f)=S(r, g)$.
Then by Lemma 2.4, we consider three subcases.
Case 1. Suppose that
$\max \left\{T\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right), T\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)\right\} \leq N_{2}\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)$
$+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+S\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+S\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)$
holds.
Through simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r, f^{n}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \leq\{2+\min (2 d, \sigma)\} T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)}\right) \leq\{2+\min (2 d, \sigma)\} T(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& N_{2}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right) \leq\{2+\min (2 d, \sigma)\} T(r, g)+S(r, g)  \tag{22}\\
& N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right) \leq\{2+\min (2 d, \sigma)\} T(r, g)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.7, Equations ((21) and (22)), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n-\sigma)(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq T\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+T\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right) \\
& \leq 2 N_{2}\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right) \\
& +2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+S\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+S\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)  \tag{23}\\
& \leq 4[2+\min (2 d, \sigma)](T(r, f)+T(r, g))+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-\sigma-8-4 \min (2 d, \sigma))(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is impossible, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+9$.
Case 2. Suppose that $F^{*}(z) \equiv G^{*}(z)$ holds, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}=g^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $h(z):=\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$. If $h(z) \equiv \mathbf{C} \quad$ (constant), then $f=t g$, and by substituting $f=t g$ into (25), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\left[t^{n+\sigma}-1\right]=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is a transcendental meromorphic function, than
$g^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \equiv 0$. It follows that $t^{n+\sigma}=1$.
Suppose that $h(z) \neq \mathbf{C}$ (constant), then using (25), we deduce that $h^{n}(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{h\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}$,

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
n T(r, h(z))=T\left(r, \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{h\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right) \leq \sigma T(r, h(z))+S(r, h(z)) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get a contradiction, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+9$.
Case 3. Suppose that $F^{*}(z) G^{*}(z) \equiv 1$ holds, then
$f^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \cdot g^{n}(z) \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}=\alpha^{2}(z)$.
We define $h_{1}(z)=f(z) \cdot g(z)$, we easily get $h_{1}^{n}(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\alpha^{2}(z)}{h_{1}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}$ is non-constant, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n T\left(r, h_{1}(z)\right)=T\left(r, \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\alpha^{2}(z)}{h_{1}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}}\right) \leq \sigma T\left(r, h_{1}(z)\right)+S\left(r, h_{1}(z)\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get a contradiction, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+9$. This implies that $h_{1}(z)$ is a constant, which is impossible.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Set $F^{*}(z)=\frac{F(z)}{\alpha(z)}, G^{*}(z)=\frac{G(z)}{\alpha(z)}$, So $F^{*}(z)$ and $G^{*}(z)$ share 1 IM.
Using the same arguments as in Theorem 1.1, we prove that (18)-(22) holds. We can easily get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right) \leq(1+d) T(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}(z)}\right) \leq(1+d) T(r, f)+S(r, f)  \tag{29}\\
& \bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right) \leq(1+d) T(r, g)+S(r, g) \\
& \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right) \leq(1+d) T(r, g)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(z)=\frac{F^{* \prime \prime}(z)}{F^{* \prime}(z)}-2 \frac{F^{* \prime \prime}(z)}{F^{*}(z)-1}-\frac{G^{* \prime \prime}(z)}{G^{* \prime}(z)}+2 \frac{G^{* \prime \prime}(z)}{G^{*}(z)-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L \neq 0$, combining Lemma 2.3, (21), (22) with (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n-\sigma)(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq T\left(r, F^{*}(z)\right)+T\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right) \\
& \leq[14+6 d+4 \min (2 d, \sigma)](T(r, f)+T(r, g))+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-\sigma-14-6 d-4 \min (2 d, \sigma))(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is impossible, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+6 d+15$. Hence, we get $L \equiv 0$.
By integrating $L$ twice, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\frac{(b+1) G^{*}+(a-b-1)}{b G^{*}+(a-b)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields $T\left(r, F^{*}\right)=T\left(r, G^{*}\right)+O(1)$. From Lemma 2.8, we deduced that $T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r, f)$. Next, we will consider the following three subcases.

Case 1. $b \neq 0$ and $b \neq-1$. Suppose that $a-b-1 \neq 0$, by (33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the second fundamental theory with Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.7, (29), and (34), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n-\sigma) T(r, g) \leq T\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}-\frac{a-b-1}{b+1}}\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)+S(r, g)  \tag{35}\\
& \leq(2+2 d) T(r, g)+(1+d) T(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq(3+3 d) T(r, g)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

which is impossible, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+6 d+15$. Therefore, $a-b-1=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\frac{(b+1) G^{*}}{b G^{*}+1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}+1 / b}\right)$. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(n-\sigma) T(r, g) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}+1 / b}\right)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, G^{*}(z)\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{*}(z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{*}}\right)+S(r, g)  \tag{37}\\
& \leq(2+2 d) T(r, g)+(1+d) T(r, f)+S(r, g) \\
& \leq(3+3 d) T(r, g)+S(r, g)
\end{align*}
$$

Which is impossible, since $n \geq 4 \min (2 d, \sigma)+\sigma+6 d+15$.
Case 2. If $b=0$ and $a=1$, then $F^{*} \equiv G^{*}$ obviously. From the proof of case 2 in theorem 1.1 , we get $f(z)=\operatorname{tg}(z)$, where $t^{n+\sigma}=1$. Therefore, we
consider $b=0$ and $a \neq 1$. Then from (33), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\frac{G^{*}+a-1}{a} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same discuss as Case 1, we get contradiction.
Case 3. If $b=-1$ and $a=-1$, then $F^{*} G^{*} \equiv 1$ obviously. Thus from the proof of case 3 in theorem 1.1, we get a contradiction. Therefore, we consider $b=-1$ and $a \neq-1$. From (33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}=\frac{a}{a+1-G^{*}} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is impossible, using the similar method as Case 1.

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We use the similar method as [14]. By the theorem condition that $F_{1}(z)-\alpha(z)$ and $G_{1}(z)-\alpha(z)$ share $0 C M$, hence there exist an entire function $u(z)$, than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{1}(z)-\alpha(z)}{G_{1}(z)-\alpha(z)}=\mathrm{e}^{u(z)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho(f)=\rho(g)=0$, than $\mathrm{e}^{u(z)} \equiv \eta$ is a constant.
Rewriting (40)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(z)=F_{1}(z)+(\eta-1) \alpha(z) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\eta \neq 1$, we can use Nevanlinnas two fundamental theorems, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.8 to get a contradiction, since $n>\sigma+2 k+2 d$.

So we get $\eta=1$. Rewriting (40)

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{n}(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{d} f\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \\
& =P_{n}(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $h(z):=\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$, suppose that $h(z) \equiv \mathbf{C}$ (constant), then $f=t g$. Then we take $f=t g$ into (42) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}\left[\alpha_{n} g^{n}\left(t^{n+\sigma}-1\right)+\alpha_{n-1} g^{n-1}\left(t^{n+\sigma-1}-1\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{1} g\left(t^{\sigma+1}-1\right)\right] \equiv 0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}$ is a non-zero complex constant. And $\prod_{j=1}^{d} g\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \not \equiv 0$, since $g$ is transcendental meromorphic function. So $h^{m}=1$, where $m$ is greatest common divisor of $(n+\sigma, n+\sigma-1, \cdots, n+\sigma-i, \cdots, \sigma+1), \alpha_{n-i} \neq 0$ ( $i=0,1, \cdots, n-1$ ).

Suppose that $h(z) \not \equiv \mathbf{C}$ (constant), Equation (43) imply that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy a algebraic equation $Q(f, g) \equiv 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=P_{n}\left(w_{1}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} w_{1}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}}-P_{n}\left(w_{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} w_{2}\left(q_{j} z+c_{j}\right)^{v_{j}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain some important results about the uniqueness of specific q-shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions by Nevanlinna and value distribution theory and extend previous results. In addition, we also investigate the problem of value distribution on q -shift difference polynomials of entire functions.
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