

Quanta of the Phase-Space Areas Given by Intervals of Energy and Time Associated with Electron Transitions

Stanisław Olszewski

Institute of Physical Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland Email: olsz@ichf.edu.pl

How to cite this paper: Olszewski, S. (2017) Quanta of the Phase-Space Areas Given by Intervals of Energy and Time Associated with Electron Transitions. *Journal of Modern Physics*, **8**, 1158-1174. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2017.88077

Received: May 9, 2017 **Accepted:** July 4, 2017 **Published:** July 7, 2017

Copyright © 2017 by author and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

<u>@</u> 0

Abstract

The paper examines the energy of electron transitions in an emission process and the time intervals necessary for that process. For simple quantum systems, the both parameters—that of energy and time—depend on the difference Δn of the quantum numbers *n* labelling the beginning and end state of emission. It is shown that the phase-space areas formed by products of energy and time involved in the emission can be represented as a quadratic function of Δn multiplied by the Planck constant *h*.

Keywords

Electron Transitions, Their Energies and Transition Times, Quanta of the Phase-Space Areas of Energy and Time

1. Introduction

The phase space of energy and time seems to be much less discussed and applied in physics than the phase space based on the particle momentum and position. Nevertheless, in classical mechanics, the existence of the phase space of energy and time can be noticed for example in the case of the solar system; see e.g. [1] [2] [3] [4].

In the quantum theory some ideas of the phase space of energy and time were applied many years ago by Ehrenfest [5]. Characteristically, the same author presented the use of the Hamilton equations entering the classical theory also in the case when the motion of the quantum wave packets should be described [6] [7]. More explicitly, the phase-space elements have been introduced into the quantum theory by Heisenberg [7] [8] whose uncertainty principle stated that any product of the energy interval ΔE and corresponding time interval Δt

should satisfy the relation

$$\Delta E \Delta t > \hbar. \tag{1}$$

The presentation of (1) was done together with an introduction of the momentum-position uncertainty relations

$$\Delta p_x \Delta x > \hbar, \tag{2}$$

$$\Delta p_{y} \Delta y > \hbar, \tag{2a}$$

$$\Delta p_z \Delta z > \hbar, \tag{2b}$$

satisfied by the Cartesian coordinates of the momentum and position intervals of a particle.

There exists a difference in considering the physical background of the Formula (1) and those of (2)-(2b); see [9] [10]. In fact the validity of (1) was objected in [11] [12] [13], in some textbooks the presentation of (1) is neglected at all, see e.g. [14] [15]. A modification of (1) which occurred to be helpful in defining minimal distances of two Fermion particles in space and time, has been introduced in [16] [17] [18] [19].

In fact, the energy and time coupled in (1) are much different parameters also from the point of view of the quantum theory. The energy seems to be a most commonly considered observable also for the quantum transitions. On the other hand, the time is not a much welcomed parameter in quantum theory, especially when a physical object is submitted to some change. A reason of this second behaviour is due to a probabilistic foundation of the theory. This situation, however, does not facilitate the answer to a rather natural question concerning the duration of any quantum process in the matter.

Such a process can be, for example, the change of the occupation of one of quantum states, say that of a higher energy (E_{n+q}) , to another state whose energy E_n is lower than in the first state. How long is the duration time of the emission process? We tried to answer this question basing on a classical Joule-Lenz formula for the dissipated energy and found that if transition is going between two neighbouring quantum states, say having the indices n+1 and n, so

$$n+1-n=\Delta n=1,\tag{3}$$

the transition time

$$\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n \tag{4}$$

is coupled with transition energy

$$\Delta E = E_{n+1} - E_n \tag{5}$$

by the formula

$$\Delta E \Delta t = h. \tag{6}$$

The accuracy with which (6) is satisfied increases with the number n [19] [20] [21] [22]. The aim of the present paper is to extend the Formula (6) valid for the case

$$q = \Delta n = 1 \tag{6a}$$

to the situation when the product

$$\Delta E^{(q)} \Delta t^{(q)} \tag{7}$$

is considered. Here q is assumed to be an integer number larger than unity. Simultaneously we shall assume that

$$1 < q = \Delta n \ll n. \tag{7a}$$

In brief, the aim of the paper is to present the calculations of the phase-space areas (7) done—with the aid of condition (7a)—for simple quantum systems: the free-electron particle enclosed in a one-dimensional potential box, electron in the hydrogen atom and electron motion considered as a linear harmonic oscillator. Following the formalism outlined in Section 2 the task is accomplished in Sections 3-5. The formula summarizing the phase-space results obtained for energy and time valid for all quantum systems mentioned above is given in Equation (11). Additionally, the case of a free particle in the potential box is supplemented by the phase-space areas and their quanta calculated for the momentum and position coordinates of the electron particle; see Section 3.

Formally the calculations concern the parameters entering the Heisenberg formula for the uncertainty principle of energy and time [see (1)] and—for a free particle—also the Heisenberg formula of uncertainty concerning the momentum and position coordinates; see (2). However in the present paper a general uncertainty-like treatment of physical parameters given by Heisenberg does not apply, but is replaced by a concrete approach to the size of energy and time intervals entering the electron transition process between two definite quantum levels. In quantum mechanics such an approach is regularly avoided because of a probabilistic footing of the formalism applied in the treatment of electron transitions; in effect the quantum-mechanical calculations of a definite time interval connected with an individual electron transition can never take place.

The gain of the present paper was to demonstrate how the intervals of time associated with transitions could be obtained on a semiclassical reasoning and next applied giving an extension of a former approach done for the case when the electron transitions only between the nearest-neighbouring quantum levels are considered [19] [20] [21].

2. Transition Energy and Transition Time for $\Delta n = q > 1$

In principle any interval of energy

$$\Delta E^{(q)} = E_{n+q} - E_n \tag{8}$$

can be decomposed into a sum of successive energy intevals

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = E_{n+1} - E_n, \qquad (8a)$$

$$\Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)} = E_{n+2} - E_{n+1}, \tag{8b}$$

$$\Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)} = E_{n+3} - E_{n+2}, \qquad (8c)$$

$$\Delta E^{(q)} - \Delta E^{(q-1)} = E_{n+q} - E_{n+q-1}.$$
(8d)

A full transition energy (8) is a sum of (8a), (8b), (8c), (8d).

But any of the component intervals (8a), (8b), (8c), (8d) provides us—according to (4) and (5)—to the transition time between level *n* and level n+q, viz.

$$\Delta t^{(q)} = t_{n+q} - t_n. \tag{9}$$

This is so because the whole time interval (9) is a sum of the component time intervals:

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = t_{n+1} - t_n = \frac{h}{E_{n+1} - E_n} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}},$$
(9a)

$$\Delta t^{(2)} - \Delta t^{(1)} = t_{n+2} - t_{n+1} = \frac{h}{E_{n+2} - E_{n+1}} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)}},$$
(9b)

$$\Delta t^{(3)} - \Delta t^{(2)} = t_{n+3} - t_{n+2} = \frac{h}{E_{n+3} - E_{n+2}} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)}},$$
 (9c)

$$\Delta t^{(q)} - \Delta t^{(q-1)} = t_{n+q} - t_{n+q-1} = \frac{h}{E_{n+q} - E_{n+q-1}} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(q)} - \Delta E^{(q-1)}}.$$
 (9d)

Evidently the interval (9) becomes a sum of (9a), (9b), (9c), (9d).

The method outlined above has been successfully applied in calculating the emission intensity in the hydrogen atom [23] [24]. In the next Sections we show that for

. . .

$$q \ll n \tag{10}$$

the phase-space areas of energy and time attain the result

$$\Delta E^{(q)} \Delta t^{(q)} = q^2 h \tag{11}$$

where q is given by the Formula (7a).

Moreover it will be shown for the free particles (electrons) that the Cartesian intervals Δx of the electron particle having position x and the corresponding momentum intervals Δp_x , attain the relation

$$\Delta p_x^{(q)} \Delta x^{(q)} = q^2 h. \tag{12}$$

formally similar to (11).

3. The De-Excitation Energy of a Free Electron Examined for a One-Dimensional Potential Box

In a one-dimensional box of length L (0 < x < L) the free-electron energy on the quantum level *n* is equal to (see [25])

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 h^2}{8mL^2}.$$
(13)

For the case of a transition between the nearest quantum levels $(n+1 \rightarrow n)$ the energy change is

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = \frac{\left(n+1\right)^2 - n^2}{8mL^2} h^2 \cong \frac{2n}{8mL^2} h^2 = \frac{nh^2}{4mL^2}$$
(14)

where the last step is dictated by the relation

$$\Delta n = 1 \ll n.$$

By assuming that

$$E_n = \frac{mv_{nx}^2}{2} \tag{13a}$$

we obtain the electron velocity:

$$v_{nx} = \left(\frac{2E_n}{m}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{nh}{2mL}.$$
(15)

The time period T_n of the particle oscillation in the box of length L is

$$T_n = \frac{2L}{v_{nx}} = \frac{4mL^2}{nh}.$$
 (16)

The quantum aspects of the Joule-Lenz law imply that [22] [23] [24]

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = T_n. \tag{17}$$

In effect we obtain

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \Delta E^{(1)} T_n = \frac{nh^2}{4mL^2} \frac{4mL^2}{nh} = h;$$
(18)

see (6). But since

$$p_{nx} = mv_{nx} = \frac{nh}{2L} \tag{19}$$

we have

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(1)} = \frac{(n+1-n)h}{2L} = \frac{h}{2L}.$$
(20)

The change of the electron position in course of the time $\Delta t^{(1)}$ in (17) is

$$\Delta x^{(1)} = \Delta t^{(1)} v_n = \frac{4mL^2}{nh} \frac{nh}{2mL} = 2L.$$
 (21)

Therefore

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(1)} \Delta x^{(1)} = \frac{h}{2L} 2L = h$$
(22)

which is identical with the result obtained in (18).

In the next step let us consider the case of q = 2. This gives the energy change

$$\Delta E^{(2)} = \frac{(n+2)^2 - n^2}{2mL^2} h^2 \cong \frac{4nh^2}{8mL^2} = \frac{n}{2mL^2} h^2$$
(23)

and the time interval corresponding to (23) is:

$$\Delta t^{(2)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)}} \cong \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{8mL^2}{nh}$$
(24)

on the basis of (9), (14) and (23). Simultaneously with the definition of (19)

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(2)} = \frac{n+2-n}{2L}h = \frac{h}{L}.$$
(25)

In effect of (14), (23) and (24) we obtain

$$\Delta E^{(2)} \Delta t^{(2)} = \frac{nh^2}{2mL^2} \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{nh^2}{2mL^2} \frac{4mL^2}{nh^2} 2h = 4h.$$
(26)

But the Formulaes (24) and (15) give

$$\Delta x^{(2)} = \Delta t^{(2)} v_{xn} = \frac{8mL^2}{nh} \frac{nh}{2mL} = 4L,$$
(27)

so from (25) and (27)

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(2)} \Delta x^{(2)} = \frac{h}{L} 4L = 4h$$
(28)

which gives on the right the result identical with that calculated in (26).

The next step concerns q = 3, so

$$n+3 \rightarrow n.$$
 (29)

In this case the decrease of energy is

$$\Delta E^{(3)} = \frac{(n+3)^2 - n^2}{8mL^2} h^2 \cong \frac{6n}{8mL^2} h^2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2}$$
(30)

obtained within the time interval

$$\Delta t^{(3)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)}}$$

$$\approx \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{3h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{12mL^2}{nh}.$$
(31)

In effect Equations (30) and (31) provide us with product

$$\Delta E^{(3)} \Delta t^{(3)} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} \frac{12mL^2}{nh} = 9h.$$
(32)

The momentum change in course of transition (29) is

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(3)} = \frac{n+3-n}{2L}h = \frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{L}$$
(33)

and from (15) and (31)

$$\Delta x^{(3)} = \Delta t^{(3)} v_{xn} = \frac{12mL^2}{nh} \frac{nh}{2mL} = 6L.$$
 (34)

Therefore

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(3)} \Delta x^{(3)} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{h}{L} 6L = 9h.$$
(35)

This is a product equal to (32).

As a final step let us take q = 4. We have

$$\Delta E^{(4)} = \frac{(n+4)^2 - n^2}{8mL^2} h^2 \cong \frac{8n}{8mL^2} h^2 = \frac{nh^2}{mL^2}.$$
(36)

The time interval corresponding to transition (36) is

$$\Delta t^{(4)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(4)} - \Delta E^{(3)}}$$

$$\approx \frac{4h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{16mL^2}{nh};$$
(37)

see (9).

The momentum increment for q = 4 is

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(4)} = \frac{n+4-n}{2L} = 2\frac{h}{L}.$$
(38)

The change Δx of the *x* coordinate is then

$$\Delta x^{(4)} \cong \Delta t^{(4)} v_{xn} = \frac{16mL^2}{nh} \frac{nh}{2mL} = 8L.$$
 (39)

In effect from (36) and (37) we obtain

$$\Delta E^{(4)} \Delta t^{(4)} = \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} \frac{16mL^2}{nh} = 16h.$$
(40)

But a result identical to that presented in (40) gives also the product of (38) and (39):

$$\Delta p_{nx}^{(4)} \Delta x^{(4)} = 2\frac{h}{L} 8L = 16h.$$
(41)

Therefore both Formulae (40) and (41) are satisfied for the one-dimensional free-electron case. The calculations can be easily prolongated to an arbitrary size of $q = \Delta n \ll n$.

4. Phase-Space Areas of Energy and Time Characteristic for the Electron Transitions in the Hydrogen Atom

The electron energy in state n of the hydrogen atom is in virtue of the virial theorem equal to

$$E_n = -E_n^{\rm kin} \tag{42}$$

where

$$E_n^{\rm kin} = \frac{m}{2} v_n^2 = \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{e^2}{n\hbar}\right)^2 = \frac{me^4}{2n^2\hbar^2}$$
(43)

is the kinetic electron energy. This holds because the electron velocity on the orbit n is [26]

$$v_n = \frac{e^2}{n\hbar} = \frac{2\pi r_n}{T_n} \tag{44}$$

In the last step of (44) we have introduced the radius r_n of the circular orbit n

$$r_n = \frac{n^2 \hbar^2}{me^2} \tag{45}$$

and the circulation time period T_n along the orbit. In virtue of (44) and (45) we obtain

$$T_n = \frac{2\pi r_n}{v_n} = \frac{2\pi n^2 \hbar^2}{me^2} \frac{n\hbar}{e^2} = \frac{2\pi n^3 \hbar^3}{me^4}$$
(46)

which is a known result [26].

Let us take into account the energy difference



$$\Delta E^{(1)} = \Delta E_n = E_{n+1} - E_n = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \left[\frac{(n+1)^2 - n^2}{(n+1)^2 n^2} \right] \approx \frac{me^4 2n}{2\hbar^2 n^4} = \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2 n^3},$$
(47)

where at the end of (47) the large n are considered. In [19] [20] [21] [22] we have pointed out that

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = T_n, \tag{48}$$

therefore from the Formulaes (43), (46) and (48) we obtain the product

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \Delta E_n^{(1)} \Delta t_n^{(1)} = \Delta E_n^{(1)} T_n = \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2 n^3} \frac{2\pi n^3 \hbar^3}{me^4} = 2\pi\hbar = h.$$
(49)

This is a result identical with (6) and (18). Let us note that

$$\left|E_{n}\right|T_{n} = \frac{me^{4}}{2\hbar^{2}n^{3}}\frac{2\pi\hbar^{3}n^{3}}{me^{4}} = \pi\hbar n$$
(50)

and

$$\pi r_n m v_n = \pi r_n p_n = \pi \frac{n^2 \hbar^2}{m e^2} m \frac{e^2}{\hbar n} = \pi \hbar n, \qquad (51)$$

because T_n is that given in (46). We find that

$$E_n | T_n = \pi r_n p_n. \tag{52}$$

This formula is similar to that obtained in the astronomy of the solar system. For G equal to the gravitational constant, M-solar mass, m-planet mass, T-the circulation period of a planet, and a-the larger semiaxis of the planetary orbit, we have [1] [2]

$$m\frac{GM}{2a}T = \left|E\right|T = \frac{2\pi^2 a^2}{T}m = \pi a\frac{2\pi a}{T}m \approx \pi RP$$
(53)

where E is the energy of the planetary motion and a can be roughly represented by the radius r of a circle, so expression

$$RP \cong Rmv = Rm\frac{2\pi R}{T} \tag{54}$$

is approximately equal to the angular momentum of a planet; v is the planet velocity.

In the next step let us show that the phase-space result (26) for q = 2 holds also for the hydrogen atom. For

$$\Delta E^{(2)} = E_{n+2} - E_n = \Delta E_n + \Delta E_{n+1} \cong \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} \right] \cong \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2} \frac{2}{n^3}; \quad (55)$$

see (47). Therefore

$$\Delta E^{(2)} \cong 2\Delta E^{(1)} \tag{56}$$

because of (47). On the other side

$$\Delta t^{(2)} = t_{n+2} - t_n = t_{n+2} - t_{n+1} + t_{n+1} - t_n = \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+1}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_n} \cong \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}}.$$
 (57)

This gives

$$\Delta E^{(2)} \Delta t^{(2)} = 2\Delta E^{(1)} \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = 4h$$
(58)

which is a result presented before in (26).

Similar identity of the phase-space areas can be obtained for larger energy differences. For q = 3 we have

$$\Delta E^{(3)} = E_{n+3} - E_n = \Delta E_n + \Delta E_{n+1} + \Delta E_{n+2}$$

$$\approx \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} + \frac{1}{(n+2)^3} \right] \approx \frac{3me^4}{n^3\hbar^2}$$
(59)

and

$$\Delta t^{(3)} = t_{n+3} - t_n = t_{n+3} - t_{n+2} + t_{n+2} - t_{n+1} + t_{n+1} - t_n$$

= $\frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+2}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+1}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_n} \cong \frac{h\hbar^2}{me^4} \Big[(n+2)^3 + (n+1)^3 + n^3 \Big] \cong \frac{3h\hbar^2}{me^4} n^3.$ (60)

The product of (59) and (60) provides us with the result

$$\Delta E^{(3)} \Delta t^{(3)} = \frac{3me^4}{n^3 \hbar^3} \frac{3h\hbar^2}{me^4} n^3 = 9h$$
(61)

obtained already in (32) for a free electron.

Also the case of q = 4 gives

$$\Delta E^{(4)} = E_{n+4} - E_n \cong \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} + \frac{1}{(n+2)^3} + \frac{1}{(n+3)^3} \right] \cong \frac{4me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} \quad (62)$$

and

$$\Delta t^{(4)} = t_{n+4} - t_n = \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+3}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+2}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+1}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_n}$$

$$\cong \frac{h\hbar^2}{me^4} \Big[(n+3)^3 + (n+2)^3 + (n+1)^3 + n^3 \Big] \cong \frac{4h\hbar^2 n^3}{me^4}.$$
(63)

The last two formulae give the product

$$\Delta E^{(4)} \Delta t^{(4)} = 16h \tag{63a}$$

obtained earlier in (40).

5. Phase-Space Areas for Energy and Time Characteristic for Electron Transitions in a Linear Harmonic Oscillator

The calculations are very simple if we note that the oscillator energy in state n is

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega,\tag{64}$$

where ω is the circular frequency of the oscillator, and the lowest energy difference between two quantum levels in the oscillator is, for example,

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = \left(n + \frac{3}{2} - n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\hbar\omega = \hbar\omega \tag{65}$$

which is a result independent of *n*.



On the other hand the time period of the oscillation associated with any state *n*, viz.

$$T_n = T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega},\tag{66}$$

is also independent of *n*. In effect

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}.$$
(67)

The Formula (66) multiplied by (67) gives

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \hbar \omega \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = 2\pi\hbar = h \tag{68}$$

which is a result identical to that in (6), (18) and (49). For q = 2 the energy change becomes

$$\Delta E^{(2)} = E_{n+2} - E_n = E_{n+2} - E_{n+1} + E_{n+1} - E_n = \Delta E_{n+1} + \Delta E_n = 2E^{(1)} = 2\hbar\omega \quad (69)$$

and

$$\Delta t^{(2)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+1}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_n} = \frac{2h}{\Delta E_n} = \frac{2h}{\hbar\omega},\tag{70}$$

so the product of (69) and (70) becomes

$$\Delta E^{(2)} \Delta t^{(2)} = 2\hbar \omega \frac{2h}{\hbar \omega} = 4h.$$
(71)

The case of q = 3 gives the energy change

$$\Delta E^{(3)} = E_{n+3} - E_{n+2} + E_{n+2} - E_{n+1} + E_{n+1} - E_n = \Delta E_{n+2} + \Delta E_{n+1} + \Delta E_n = 3\Delta E^{(1)} \quad (72)$$

and the transition time interval is

$$\Delta t^{(3)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+2}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_{n+1}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E_n} = \frac{3h}{\Delta E^{(1)}}.$$
 (73)

From (72) and (73) their product becomes

$$\Delta E^{(3)} \Delta t^{(3)} = 3\Delta E^{(1)} \frac{3h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = 9h.$$
(74)

Finally the case of q = 4 yields

$$\Delta E^{(4)} = 4\Delta E^{(1)} \tag{75}$$

for the energy change and

$$\Delta t^{(4)} = \frac{4h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} \tag{76}$$

for the transition time, so the product of (75) and (76) is equal to

$$\Delta E^{(4)} \Delta t^{(4)} = 4\Delta E^{(1)} \frac{4h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = 16h.$$
(77)

The results (68), (71), (74) and (77) find their counterparts in the formulae (18) and (49), (26) and (58), (32) and (61), and (40) and (63a), respectively. This kind of parallelism seems to be readily attainable also for q > 4.

6. Classical Hamilton Equations and the Phase-Space Areas

Ehrenfest [6] [7] has pointed out that the Hamilton equations for a classical particle remain valid also for the motion of the electron wave packets applied in the quantum theory. But from the point of view of the motion description the Hamilton equations seem to be not of an equal importance. The first equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p_x} \tag{78}$$

defines the particle velocity with respect to the dependence of energy on the particle momentum. For $p_x = \text{const}$ and *E* independent of *x* this leads to a constant particle velocity. On the other side the relation $p_x = \text{const}$ substituted to the second Hamilton equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_x}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} \tag{79}$$

eliminates the notion of the particle motion at all. In this case Equation (79) provides us only with a condition for minimalization of the particle energy which can be valid also for a static system. A slight dependence of p_x on *t*— absent in the examined cases—could indicate only a small correction of a quasi-constant p_x .

Approximately Equation (78) can be transformed into

$$\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \cong \frac{\Delta E}{\Delta p_x} \tag{80}$$

which gives

$$\Delta x \Delta p_x \cong \Delta E \Delta t. \tag{81}$$

The last equation indicates equivalence of two kinds of the phase-space areas examined in the present paper. In case of calculations on a quantum system the right-hand side of (81) may occur to be more easy to access than the left-hand side. An explicit equivalence of the both sides of (81) has been demonstrated in the present paper only in the free-electron case; see Sec. 3. Nevertheless, because of (81) and the fact that E in the hydrogen atom can be represented as a position-independent variable [see (42)], the validity of (81) seems to be justified also in this case.

On the next step, for a linear harmonic oscillator the dependence on x is eliminated in (78) because of a partial derivative

$$\partial E / \partial p_x \sim p_x \tag{82}$$

since *E* is a function having the dependence on p_x separated from that on *x* (see [1]). In effect the partial derivative (82) does not contain *x* and (82) becomes a constant number for a constant p_x .

7. The Check of Calculations: Reference of Energies Belonging to the Various States Considered in the Equations for Electron Transitions

Our aim is to eliminate, in the first step, the dependence of transition equations



on the time parameter and find, in the next step, that such transformation gives a correct reference between different energies.

Let us consider, for example, two phase-space areas representing transitions: (i) between two lowest quantum states and (ii) between two states one of which is the lowest, but the higher state is separated from the lowest one by one empty state. In this case we have the equations pair:

$$q_1^2 h = h = \Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)}, \tag{83}$$

$$q_2^2 h = 4h = \Delta E^{(2)} \Delta t^{(2)}, \tag{84}$$

because

$$(q_1)^2 = 1^2 = 1,$$
 (85)

$$(q_2)^2 = 2^2 = 4.$$
 (86)

Equation (83) gives

$$\frac{h}{\Delta t^{(1)}} = \Delta E^{(1)} = E_{n+1} - E_n \tag{87}$$

and Equation (84) gives

$$\frac{4h}{\Delta t^{(2)}} = \Delta E^{(2)} = E_{n+2} - E_n = \Delta E^{(1)}$$
(88)

where

$$\Delta E^{(1,2)} = E_{n+2} - E_{n+1} = \Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)}.$$
(89)

In the next step

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} \tag{90}$$

and

$$\Delta t^{(2)} = \Delta t_1 + \Delta t_2 = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} + \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1,2)}} = \frac{h \left[\Delta E^{(1)} + \Delta E^{(1,2)} \right]}{\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta E^{(1,2)}}.$$
(91)

The last result substituted to (88) gives the equation

$$\frac{4h}{\Delta t^{(2)}} = \frac{4h\Delta E^{(1)}\Delta E^{(1,2)}}{h\left[\Delta E^{(1)} + \Delta E^{(1,2)}\right]} = \frac{4\Delta E^{(1)}\Delta E^{(1,2)}}{\Delta E^{(2)}} = \Delta E^{(2)}$$
(92)

or

$$4\Delta E^{(1)}\Delta E^{(1,2)} = \left[\Delta E^{(2)}\right]^2.$$
(93)

We check that Equation (93) is satisfied by the energy intervals belonging to any of the quantum systems examined in the present paper.

For the particle in a one-dimensional potential box we obtain for (93) the relation

$$4\left[\left(n+1\right)^{2}-n^{2}\right]\left[\left(n+2\right)^{2}-\left(n+1\right)^{2}\right]\cong\left[\left(n+2\right)^{2}-n^{2}\right]^{2}.$$
(94)

This can be transformed into

$$4 \times 2n \times (4n - 2n) \cong (4n)^2 \tag{95}$$

so

$$8n \times 2n = \left(4n\right)^2. \tag{96}$$

For the hydrogen atom the Formula (93) gives

$$4\left[\frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} - \frac{1}{(n+2)^{2}}\right] \cong \left[\frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{(n+2)^{2}}\right]^{2}$$
(97)

or

$$4\frac{(n+1)^{2}-n^{2}}{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}\frac{(n+2)^{2}-(n+1)^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)^{2}}\approx\left[\frac{(n+2)^{2}-n^{2}}{n^{2}(n+2)^{2}}\right]^{2}.$$
(98)

In fact for large *n* we obtain from (98) the equality

$$4\frac{2n(4n-2n)}{n^4} = \frac{(4n)^2}{n^4}.$$
 (99)

Finally for a linear harmonic oscillator we have

$$\Delta E^{(1)} = \Delta E^{(1,2)},$$
(100)

$$\Delta E^{(2)} = 2\Delta E^{(1)}.$$
 (101)

Therefore (93) is transformed into the expression

$$4\left[\Delta E^{(1)}\right]^2 = \left[2\Delta E^{(1)}\right]^2 \tag{102}$$

which completes the proof.

8. Simple Example: The De-Excitation Time of a Free Electron in a One-Dimensional Potential Box

It seems of interest to examine an example of a spontaneous de-excitation time of an electron enclosed in a one-dimensional potential box. Let us assume that the Fermi level of electrons in the box is about 1 eV and the box length is 1 cm, so a kind of a one-dimensional metal is considered. In the first step the quantum number n_F of the Fermi level can be found (see Section 4):

$$n_F = \left(\frac{8mL^2 E_F}{h^2}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-12} \times 8 \times 9.8 \times 10^{-28}\right)^{1/2}}{6.6 \times 10^{-27}}$$

$$= \frac{\left(1.6 \times 8 \times 9.8\right)^{1/2} \times 10^{-20}}{6.6 \times 10^{-27}} \cong 10^7$$
(103)

for $E_F = 1 \,\text{eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-12} \,\text{erg}$.

This is a realistic result because the sample can be considered as built up of the chain of atoms which are separated approximately by a distant of 1 Å $(=10^{-8} \text{ cm})$ and any atom contributes roughly one electron to the gas.

Let us assume that an excited electron is just one level above n_F . In this case the time necessary to make it come back on the level n_F is (see Section 4):

$$\Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{4mL^2}{n_F h} = \frac{4 \times 9.8 \times 10^{-28}}{10^7 \times 6.6 \times 10^{-27}} \cong 6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ sec.}$$
(104)

This time seems to be much longer than a typical relaxation time in threedimensional metals which is of the size of $10^{-13} - 10^{-14}$ sec [27].

9. Partition of the Phase-Space Areas into Contributions Due to the Individual Quanta of Action Equal to the Planck Constant *h*

We demonstrate in this Section that the quanta of energy and time, as well those of momentum and position (this second kind of quanta is obtained in the case of a particle moving in a one-dimensional potential box), are distributed uniformly in each of the considered phase-spaces with the same constant contribution h given within an individual quantum area. The data are taken from Sections 3-6.

Beginning with the energy-time phase space we obtain:

$$\Delta E^{(4)} - \Delta E^{(3)} = \Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)} = \Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)} = \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2}$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} = \frac{nh^2}{2mL^2} - \frac{nh^2}{4mL^2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} = \Delta E^{(1)}$$
(105)

and

$$\Delta t^{(4)} - \Delta t^{(3)} = \Delta t^{(3)} - \Delta t^{(2)} = \Delta t^{(2)} - \Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{16mL^2}{nh} - \frac{12mL^2}{nh}$$

$$= \frac{12mL^2}{nh} - \frac{8mL^2}{nh} = \frac{8mL^2}{nh} - \frac{4mL^2}{nh} = \frac{4mL^2}{nh} = \Delta t^{(1)}$$
(106)

for a particle enclosed in a one-dimensional potential box, giving the product of the final terms in (105) and (106) equal to

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{nh^2}{mL^2} \frac{4mL^2}{nh} = h.$$
(107)

In the next step we obtain for the electron moving in the hydrogen atom.

$$\Delta E^{(4)} - \Delta E^{(3)} = \Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)} = \Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)} = \frac{4me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} - \frac{3me^4}{n^3\hbar^2}$$

$$= \frac{3me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} - \frac{2me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} = \frac{2me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} - \frac{me^4}{n^3\hbar^2} = \Delta E^{(1)},$$

$$\Delta t^{(4)} - \Delta t^{(3)} = \Delta t^{(3)} - \Delta t^{(2)} = \Delta t^{(2)} - \Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{4h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} - \frac{3h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4}$$

$$= \frac{3h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} - \frac{2h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} = \frac{2h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} - \frac{h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} = \frac{h\hbar^2n^3}{me^4} = \Delta t^{(1)}$$
(108)

and product of the final terms in (108) and (109) becomes

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{me^4}{n^3 \hbar^2} \frac{h\hbar^2 n^3}{me^4} = h.$$
(110)

In the third step we have for the harmonic oscillator

$$\Delta E^{(4)} - \Delta E^{(3)} = \Delta E^{(3)} - \Delta E^{(2)} = \Delta E^{(2)} - \Delta E^{(1)} = 4\hbar\omega - 3\hbar\omega$$

= $3\hbar\omega - 2\hbar\omega = 2\hbar\omega - \hbar\omega = \Delta E^{(1)}$ (111)

and

$$\Delta t^{(4)} - \Delta t^{(3)} = \Delta t^{(3)} - \Delta t^{(2)} = \Delta t^{(2)} - \Delta t^{(1)} = \frac{4h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} - \frac{3h}{\Delta E^{(1)}}$$

$$= \frac{3h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} - \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{2h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} - \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = \Delta t^{(1)}$$
(112)

which gives a product of the final steps in (111) and (112) equal to

$$\Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = \Delta E^{(1)} \frac{h}{\Delta E^{(1)}} = h$$
(113)

valid for a linear harmonic oscillator.

A similar partition of the phase-space area we obtain for the momentumposition phase space considering the motion in a one-dimensional potential box:

$$\Delta p_x^{(4)} - \Delta p_x^{(3)} = \Delta p_x^{(3)} - \Delta p_x^{(2)} = \Delta p_x^{(2)} - \Delta p_x^{(1)}$$

= $2\frac{h}{L} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{L} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{L} - \frac{h}{L} = \frac{h}{L} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{h}{L} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{h}{L} = \Delta p_x^{(1)},$ (114)

$$\Delta x^{(4)} - \Delta x^{(3)} = \Delta x^{(3)} - \Delta x^{(2)} = \Delta x^{(2)} - \Delta x^{(1)}$$

= 8L - 6L = 6L - 4L = 4L - 2L = 2L = $\Delta x^{(1)}$, (115)

and product of the final terms in (114) and (115) is equal to

$$\Delta p_x^{(1)} \Delta x^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{L} 2L = h.$$
(116)

10. Degeneracy of the Emission Intensity for Electron Transitions Having Different Δn

It is easy to note that relation (11) can be obtained also as the result of dependencies

$$\Delta E^{(\Delta n)} \cong \Delta n \Delta E^{(1)} \tag{117}$$

and

$$\Delta t^{(\Delta n)} \cong \Delta n \Delta t^{(1)}. \tag{118}$$

For, in virtue of (117) and (118), we have the relation

$$\Delta E^{(n)} \Delta t^{(n)} = (\Delta n)^2 \Delta E^{(1)} \Delta t^{(1)} = (\Delta n)^2 h$$
(119)

where the last step is equivalent to that derived before; see (6).

(.)

Evidently because of (117) and (118) the emission intensity becomes

$$\frac{\Delta E^{(n)}}{\Delta t^{(n)}} \approx \frac{\Delta n \Delta E^{(1)}}{\Delta n \Delta t^{(1)}} = \frac{\Delta E^{(1)}}{\Delta t^{(1)}}.$$
(120)

The last step in (120) is the emission intensity concerning the electron transitions between two neighbouring electron levels.

Therefore, though according to Bohr [28] [29], the radiation emitted during a transition between two stationary states is unifrequentic and possess the frequency ν given by the relation

$$\Delta E^{(\Delta n)} = E_{n+\Delta n} - E_n = h \nu_{n+\Delta n,n}, \qquad (121)$$



the intensity of emission is not unifrequentic but can be degenerated, or almost degenerated, with the intensities of transitions

$$n + \Delta n \to n$$
 (122)

having different Δn but the same n.

11. Summary

Conventionally—since its very beginning—the quantum theory descibed the phenomenon of electron transitions between the quantum levels on a probabilistic footing. In consequence, the size of the time intervals connected with transitions was systematically neglected in the mentioned description.

The aim of the present paper was to make a step towards a change of a such kind of approach. For simple quantum systems taken as examples, we found that in fact the energy interval and time interval taking part in an electron transition can be coupled together into a phase-space area whose size depends on the separation between two quantum levels. The size of the area is expressed by a multiple number of the Planck constant h; see Formula (11).

This very simple complementary description of transitions done with the aid of energy and time does hold on condition the quantum number *n* characteristic for the levels involved in the transition process is large. Simultaneously, the change Δn of the quantum number denoting the levels taking part in transitions should be small in comparison with *n*.

For a free electron enclosed in a one-dimensional potential box the phasespace areas of energy and time have their counterparts in the phase-space areas of the particle momentum and position; see Formula (12). For a given quantum transition Δn and large *n* the sizes of both the energy-time and momentumposition areas become equal; cf. (11) and (12).

A discussion on the sense of the classical Hamilton equations allows us to expect that—at least at some special conditions—a similar equality concerning the areas belonging to two kinds of the phase space should exist also for other quantum systems than that represented by the free-electron case.

References

- [1] Sommerfeld, A. (1943) Mechanik, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig.
- [2] Lass, H. (1950) Vector and Tensor Analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [3] Olszewski, S. (1996) *Earth, Moon and Planets*, **74**, 151-173. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00056411
- [4] Olszewski, S. and Kwiatkowski, T. (2012) *Journal of Modern Physics*, 3, 1142-1151. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2012.329149
- [5] Ehrenfest, P. (1917) *Philosophical Magazine*, **33**, 500-513. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440608635664
- [6] Ehrenfest, P. (1927) Zeitschrift fuer Physik, 45, 455-457. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01329203
- [7] Schiff, L.I. (1968) Quantum Mechanics. 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [8] Heisenberg, W. (1927) Zeitschrift fuer Physik, 43, 172-198.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01397280

- [9] Landau L. and Peierls, R. (1931) Zeitschrift fuer Physik, 69, 56-69. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01391513
- [10] Jammer, M. (1974) The Philosophy of Quantum Mechanics. The Interpretations of Quantum Mechanics in Historical Perspective. Wiley, New York.
- [11] Schommers, W. (1989) Space-Time and Quantum Phenomena. In: Schommers, W., Ed., Quantum Theory and Pictures of Reality, Springer, Berlin, 217-277. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-95570-9_5
- [12] Bunge, M. (1970) Canadian Journal of Physics, 48, 1410-1411. https://doi.org/10.1139/p70-172
- [13] Allcock, G.R. (1969) Annals of Physics, 53, 253-285.
- [14] Isaacs, A. (1990) Concise Dictionary of Physics. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [15] Weinberg, S. (2013) Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [16] Olszewski, S. (2011) Journal of Modern Physics, 2, 1305-1309. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2011.211161
- [17] Olszewski, S. (2012) Journal of Modern Physics, 3, 217-220. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2012.33030
- [18] Olszewski, S. (2012) Quantum Matter, 1, 127-133. https://doi.org/10.1166/qm.2012.1010
- [19] Olszewski, S. (2016) Reviews in Theoretical Science, 4, 336-352. https://doi.org/10.1166/rits.2016.1066
- [20] Olszewski, S. (2015) Journal of Modern Physics, 6, 1277-1288. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2015.69133
- [21] Olszewski, S. (2016) Quantum Matter, 5, 664-669. https://doi.org/10.1166/qm.2016.1360
- [22] Olszewski, S. (2016) Journal of Modern Physics, 7, 162-174. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2016.71018
- [23] Olszewski, S. (2016) Journal of Modern Physics, 7, 827-851. https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2016.78076
- [24] Olszewski, S. (2016) Journal of Modern Physics, 7, 1004; (2016) ibid. 7, 2314 (erratum).
- [25] Eyring, H., Walter, J. and Kimball, G.E. (1957) Quantum Chemistry. Wiley, New York.
- [26] Sommerfeld, A. (1931) Atombau und Spektrallinien. Vol. 1, 5th Edition, Vieweg, Braunschweig.
- [27] Mott, N.F. and Jones, H. (1958) The Theory of the Properties of Metals and Alloys. Oxford University Press-Dover Publications, New York.
- [28] Bohr, N. (1918) Memoires de l'Academie Royale des Sciences et des Lettres de Denmark. Section des Sciences, 8eme serie, Tome IV, No. 1, fasc. 1-3.
- [29] Van der Waerden, B.L. (1968) Sources of Quantum Mechanics. Dover Publications, New York.



💸 Scientific Research Publishing 🕂

Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc. A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals) Providing 24-hour high-quality service User-friendly online submission system Fair and swift peer-review system Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles Maximum dissemination of your research work

Submit your manuscript at: <u>http://papersubmission.scirp.org/</u> Or contact <u>imp@scirp.org</u>