

# Microorganisms Associated with Vegetable Oil Polluted Soil

# Bukola Margaret Popoola, A. A. Onilude

Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria Email: bukolamargaret@yahoo.com

How to cite this paper: Popoola, B.M. and Onilude, A.A. (2017) Microorganisms Associated with Vegetable Oil Polluted Soil. *Advances in Microbiology*, **7**, 377-386. https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2017.75031

**Received:** April 14, 2017 **Accepted:** May 20, 2017 **Published:** May 23, 2017

Copyright © 2017 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

 $\odot$   $\odot$ 

Open Access

## Abstract

Vegetable oil Spills are becoming frequent and are potentially more challenging than petroleum hydrocarbon spills. Microbial lipases occupy a place of prominence among biocatalysts are often used for remediation of vegetable oil-polluted sites. This work was carried out to isolate microorganisms from oil-polluted sites and screen them for their lipolytic activity. Microorganisms were isolated from eight experimental soil samples contaminated with different types of vegetable oil, soil from an oil mill in Ibadan, and normal uncontaminated soil as a control. The isolates were characterized, identified and those common to at least one of the experimental sites and oil mill sites were screened for their lipolytic activity. Data obtained were analysed using Duncan Multiple Range Test. Seventy three microorganisms were isolated from the polluted soil and identified as species of Bacillus (16), Pseudomonas (12), Flavobacterium (6), Alcaligenes (2), Proteus (3), Micrococcus (1), Aspergillus (9), Penicillium (6), Saccharomyces (4), Geotrichum (1), Kluveromyces (1). Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus licheniformic, Pseudomonas cepacia, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Flavobacterium sp., Alcaligenes sp. and Candida parapsilosis which were common to at least one of the experimental site and oil mill site were preliminarily screened for lipolytic activity and all nine confirmed by presence of halos around the colonies. These screened organisms have potential for the degradation of fatty waste. They could therefore be employed in environmental clean-up of vegetable oil spill site.

# **Keywords**

Microorganisms, Degradation, Vegetable Oil Spill, Lipolytic Activity

# 1. Introduction

Vegetable oils are oil extractions from plants and fruits such as palm nut, sunflower, soybean, coconut, rapeseed, canola, olive, castor and corn [1].

Like mineral oils, vegetable oils can vary significantly and when released to the marine environment for instance will behave differently according to their individual characteristics. These characteristics depend on factors at the time of cultivation of the feed stock for example, climate; degree of processing; type and specific nature of the oil, sea state and weather conditions at the time of the spill. In many cases, the influence of vegetable oil characteristics on its behaviour in the environment is not well-studied or understood. Consequently, the behavior and fate of specific vegetable oils is somewhat more difficult to predict than that of mineral oils.

Vegetable oils comprised primarily of triacylglycerols or fatty acids, which, in their fresh state, may be broken down by bacteria, fungi and yeast. This breakdown is as a result of lipases, a class of hydrolases primarily responsible for hydrolysis of acylglycerides. Many microorganisms such as bacteria, yeasts, molds and a few protozoa are known to secrete lipases for the digestion of lipid materials [2] [3] [4].

These organisms produce veritable development sources of lipases with different enzymological properties and specificities but molds are known to be more potent lipase producer [5].

Lipase producers have been isolated mainly from soil or spoiled food material that contains vegetable oil. Lipase production from a variety of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes has been reported in several works [6] [7]. Vegetable oil spills are becoming more common and are potentially more challenging than hydrocarbon spills [8]. One spill can spread fast between bodies of water and the land, coming in contact with humans, plants and animals.

Microorganisms are therefore useful hi protecting the environment. It is significant to isolate microbes of high potential for the biodegradation of vegetable oil. Therefore, this study was undertaken to isolate, identify, characterize and screen microorganisms from vegetable oil contaminated soil samples.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Sample Collection

Soil samples were collected from a vegetable oil factory: oil mills, challenge area of 7°21"N 3°53"E Ibadan during the raining season; and soil from the nursery section of department of Botany university of Ibadan thoroughly mixed with eight different types of edible oil purchased at different retail markets in Ibadan metropolis.

Hundred milliliters and 200 mls of each of the oil samples were mixed thoroughly with 2 kg of the soil sample collected from the plant nursery of the Department of Botany. Two kilograms of the uncontaminated soil was collected also at the same site. These various samples were placed in bowls for 2 months.

#### 2.2. Isolation and Identification of Organisms

Isolation of all the isolates was carried out using the method of [9]. 1 ml inoculum was aseptically transferred into a sterile petridish and pour-plated with the



appropriate agar medium: Nutrient agar for bacterial isolation and potato dextrose agar for fungiisolation. The plates were incubated at room temperature  $27^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ ) for 24 hours in the case of bacterial isolates, while fungal isolates were incubated for 3 to 5 days. Morphological appearances of the inoculated plates were observed and distinct colonies were sub-cultured to obtain pure isolates which were then maintained on nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar slants for bacterial and fungal isolates respectively and preserved at 4°C.

Bacterial isolates were identified using morphological procedures and biochemical tests, with reference to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology [10].

The isolated fungi were identified according to their micro-morphology, as well as colour and morphology of sporulating structures, reference was made to compendium of soil fungi. The Yeasts were identified and confirmed using API 20 Aux kit.

#### 2.3. Preliminary Screening for Lipolytic Activity

Lipolytic activities of the test isolates were initially screened for on olive oil agar medium. The screening was carried out by a modified method of [11]. The medium consisted (g/L) of  $KH_2PO_4$ , 7.584;  $K_2HPO_4$ , 0.80;  $MgSO_4$ ·7 $H_2O$ , 0.80;  $CaCl_2$ , 0.16;  $(NH4)_2$ ·NO<sub>3</sub>, 0.80; FeSO 0.16; Agar, 20; Olive oil 2%, pH was maintained at 7.0. 1 ml of the inoculum of each isolate was introduced into 10 ml of the sterilized medium in an Erlenmeyer flask and pour-plated, incubation was at room temperature (27°C ± 2°C) for 7 days. After incubation the olive oil agar plates were observed for visible growth, signifying hydrolysis.

In another instance, the isolates were further confirmed for lipolytic activity using a medium containing, 15 g peptone, 0.l g Cacl<sub>2</sub>, 5 g NaCl all weighed and dissolved in sequence by addition of 800 ml of water and the pH adjusted to 7.5 with NaOH. Thereafter, 15 g of agar was added. The volume was made up to 1 liter. The mixture was sterilized by autoclaving for 15 minutes at 121°C. One percent (V/V) high refined olive oil purified by membrane filtration and 5 g Aniline blue dissolved in distilled water and filter-sterilized.

#### 3. Result and Discussion

Thirty-four bacterial isolates were obtained from the soil sample in the bowls contaminated with different types of edible oil. Thirty fungal isolates and yeasts inclusive were also obtained, as shown on **Table 1** and **Table 2**. From the oilmill, nine bacterial and three fungal isolates were obtained. The bacterial isolates were identified *as Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas cepacia, Bacillus licheniformis, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Alcaligenes* sp. *and flavobacterium* sp. The three fungal isolates were identified as *Geotrichum candidum, Penicillium atrovene-tum and Candida parapsilosis.* All these are as shown on **Table 3** and **Table 4** in their frequency of occurrence. Bacteria were the predominant organisms isolated from the samples. This is in agreement with the work [12].

Isolate Code/Source of Isolation	Organisms Isolated	
GN1 (Groundnut oil)	Bacillus licheniformis	
$GN_2$	Bacillus subtilis	
GN <sub>3</sub>	Bacillus pumilus	
$\mathrm{GN}_4$	Flavobacterium sp.	
$GN_5$	Micrococcus luteus	
OO <sub>1</sub> (Olive oil)	Bacillus licheniformis	
$OO_2$	Flavobacterium sp.	
OO <sub>3</sub>	Alcaligenes sp.	
$OO_4$	Pseudomonas putida	
OO <sub>5</sub>	Bacillus alvei	
$OO_6$	Pseudomonas fluorescens	
OO <sub>7</sub>	Bacillus megaterium	
OO <sub>8</sub>	Pseudomonas cepacia	
CS <sub>1</sub> (Cottonseed oil)	Bacillus alvei	
$CS_2$	Bacillus licheniformis	
CS <sub>3</sub>	Pseudomonas putida	
$CS_4$	Flavobacterium sp.	
$SF_1$ (Sunflower oil)	Flavobacterium sp.	
SF <sub>2</sub>	Pseudomonas putida	
SF <sub>3</sub>	Pseudomonas cepacia	
$SF_4$	Bacillus alvei	
PO <sub>1</sub> (Palm oil)	Bacillus alvei	
PO <sub>2</sub>	Proteus mirabilis	
PO <sub>3</sub>	Bacillus subtilis	
SO <sub>1</sub> (Soya oil)	Pseudomonas putida	
SO <sub>2</sub>	Pseudomonas flurescense	
SO <sub>3</sub>	Pseudomonas fluorescens	
PK <sub>1</sub> (Palmkernel oil)	Flavobacterium sp.	
PK <sub>2</sub>	Proteus vulgaris	
PK <sub>3</sub>	Pseudomonas putida	
$PK_4$	Bacillus licheniformis	
VO <sub>1</sub> Vegetable oil (king)	Bacillus licheniformis	
VO <sub>2</sub>	Bacillus subtilis	
VO <sub>3</sub>	Pseudomonas putida	

 Table 1. Organisms (bacteria) isolated from soil samples contaminated with different types of vegetable oil.



Isolate Code/Source of Isolation	Organisms Isolated
GN <sub>1</sub> (Groundnut oil)	Saccharomyces cerevisae
$GN_2$	Mucor meihei
$GN_3$	Aspergillus niger
OO <sub>1</sub> (Olive oil)	Penicillium expansum
$OO_2$	Aspergillus niger
OO <sub>3</sub>	Rhizopus oryzae
CS <sub>1</sub> (Cottonseed oil)	Mucor racemosus
$CS_2$	Saccharomyces rouxii
CS <sub>3</sub>	Aspergillus terreus
$CS_4$	Penicillium funiculosum
SF <sub>1</sub> (Sunflower oil)	Saccharomyces rouxii
SF <sub>2</sub>	Aspergillus niger
SF <sub>3</sub>	Penicillium herquei
PO <sub>1</sub> (Palm oil)	Candida valida
PO <sub>2</sub>	Mucor racemosus
PO <sub>3</sub>	Candida parapsilosis
SO <sub>1</sub> (Soya oil)	Aspergillus niger
SO <sub>2</sub>	Penicillium herquei
SO <sub>3</sub>	Rhizopus oryzae
$SO_4$	Saccharomyces rouxii
SO <sub>5</sub>	Streptomyces nitrosporeus
PK <sub>1</sub> (Palmkernel oil)	Penicillium expansum
PK <sub>2</sub>	Aspergillus terreus
PK <sub>3</sub>	Kluyveromyces africanus
$PK_4$	Aspergillus niger
PK <sub>5</sub>	Streptomyces nitrosporeus
VO <sub>1</sub> (Vegetable oil)	Aspergillus niger
VO <sub>2</sub>	Mucor meihei
VO <sub>3</sub>	Aspergillus terreus
$VO_4$	Aspergillus niger

**Table 2.** Organisms (Fungi) isolated from soil sample contaminated with different types of vegetable oil.

Type of soil/Frequency of occurrence			
Isolate Name	Artificially contaminated soil	Contaminated soil from oil mill site	
Bacillus licheniformis	5 (14.7)	1 (11.1)	
Bacillus subtilis	3 (8.8)	2 (22.2)	
Bacillus pumilus	1 (2.9)		
Flavobacterium sp.	5 (14.7)	1 (11.1)	
Micrococcus luteus	1 (2.9)		
<i>Alcaligens</i> sp	2 (5.9)	1 (11.1)	
Pseudomonas putida	5 (14.7)		
Bacillus alvei	4 (11.8)		
Pseudomonas fluorescens	2 (5.9)	2 (22.2)	
Bacillus megaterium	1 (2.9)		
Pseudomonas cepacia	2 (5.9)	2 (22.2)	
Proteus mirabilis	1 (2.9)		
Proteus vulgaris	2 (5.9)		
Total	34 (100)	9 (100)	

Table 3. Frequency of occurrence of bacterial isolates from both artificially-contaminated and oil mill site soil samples.

Values in parentheses represent percentage of occurrence.

Table 4. Frequency of occurrence of fungal and yeast isolates from both artificially-contaminated and oil mill site soil samples.

Type of soil/Frequency of occurrence				
Isolate	Artificially-contaminated	Contaminated soil from oil mill site		
Saccharomyces cerevisae	1 (3.3)	-		
Aspergillus niger	7 (23.3)	-		
Penicillium expansum	2 (6.7)	-		
Rhizopus oryzae	2 (6.7)	-		
Aspergillus terreus	3 (6.7)	-		
Penicillium funiculosum	1 (3.3)	-		
Penicillium herquei	2 (6.7)	-		
Penicillium atrovenetum	-	1 (33.3)		
Mucor meihei	2 (6.7)	-		
Mucor racemosus	2 (6.7)	-		
Saccharomyces rouxii	3 (10)	-		
Candida valida	1 (3.3)	-		
Candida parapsilosis	1 (3.3)	1 (33.3)		
Streptomyces nitrosporeus	2 (6.7)	-		
Kluyveromyces africanus	1 (3.3)	-		
Geotrichum candidum	-	1 (33.3)		
Total	30 (100)	3 (100)		

Values in parentheses represent percentage of occurrence.



Several researchers have isolated various microbial species from oil contaminated soils [12]-[17].

**Table 5** shows the total heterotrophic count for both bacteria and fungi isolated from the bowls contaminated with different types of edible oil. There was notable significant difference among all the different edible oil used to purposely contaminate the soil at both 100 ml and 200 ml. Indeed, the total heterotrophic count for bacteria from palmkernel oil significantly was higher than others. However total heterotrophic count for fungi purposely contaminated with 100 and 200 ml of vegetable oil and palm oil respectively were significantly higher than others. **Table 6** shows total heterotrophic count for isolates from oil mill contaminated site.

Different screening strategies have been proposed for the determination of lipase activity, assays using agar plates are highly recommended, because it is an easier method with lower cost [18]. Assay using agar plates are performed due to the fact that activities for lipases are hard to determine because of the water-soluble enzyme acting on substrates which are insoluble [19].

For this study only isolates common to both purposely contaminated soil and oil mill soil were screened for lipase production. **Table 7** shows the result of the screening experiment for qualitative production of lipase by the selected isolates using olive oil agar medium. Results show that these selected organisms all showed lipolytic activity as a result of the whitish halos (precipitate) around the lipase producing colonies seen on the agar plates.

Community.	Microbial group/volume of edible oil/count (log <sub>10</sub> cfu/ml)				
Sample	Heterotrophic bacterial count		Heterotrophi	Heterotrophic fungal count	
Description	100 ml	200 ml	100 ml	200 ml	
Groundnut oil	4.491 <sup>g</sup>	3.8451 <sup>f</sup>	4.6812 <sup>c</sup>	3.0000 <sup>g</sup>	
Olive oil	5.2021 <sup>d</sup>	5.0792 <sup>b</sup>	3.7782 <sup>e</sup>	3.3010 <sup>e</sup>	
Soya oil	5.1761 <sup>e</sup>	$0.0000^{\mathrm{h}}$	4.6021 <sup>d</sup>	3.2788 <sup>e</sup>	
Palm kernel oil	6.3222ª	6.1461 <sup>a</sup>	4.2788 <sup>e</sup>	4.1461 <sup>b</sup>	
Palm oil	$4.5798^{\mathrm{f}}$	4.2305 <sup>e</sup>	4.7324 <sup>b</sup>	4.2305 <sup>a</sup>	
Cottonseed oil	5.9638 <sup>b</sup>	4.8692°	4.8633ª	3.6021 <sup>d</sup>	
Vegetable oil	5.3424 <sup>c</sup>	4.3802 <sup>d</sup>	4.8865 <sup>a</sup>	4.0000 <sup>c</sup>	
Sunflower oil	5.3424 <sup>c</sup>	2.0000 <sup>g</sup>	3.7782 <sup>e</sup>	3.3010 <sup>e</sup>	

 Table 5. Total microbial count in soil samples contaminated with different types of edible
 oil.

Values with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) with respect to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

 Table 6. Total heterotrophic count of isolates of soil samples from oil mill contaminated site.

Microbial group	Total count (log <sub>10</sub> cfu/ml)
Bacteria	5.44
Fungi	5.74

Isolates	Lipolytic activity
Bacillus subtilis	+
Pseudomonas cepacia	+
Bacillus licheniformis	+
Pseudomonas fluorescens	+
Alcaligenes sp.	+
Flavobacterium sp.	+
Candida parapsilosis	+

Table 7. Results of the qualitative screening of isolates for lipolytic activity.

Key: + Positive Presence of halos around the colonies.

The microbial isolates in this study proved capable of lipase production. Indeed, lipolyticactivity has been observed for pure cultures of all the microbial genera isolated in this study [20] [21] [22] [23].

The formation of opaque zones around the colonies of the selected isolates is an indication of lipase production by the organisms [24]. Literatures have confirmed that under certain conditions, it is possible to isolate bacterial strains capable of degrading lipids by using selective medium containing required inducers. Those lipid-degrading bacteria often produce extracellular lipase enzymes, where these enzymes are generally inducible in the presence of different inducers such as olive oil, palm oil, oleic acid and Tween 80 [25] [26] [27]. [28] also used a selective medium, which contained Tween 80 and olive oil to screen through the appearances of clearing zones for the bacterial strain *Pseudomonas* sp.

## 4. Conclusion

This study revealed that 73 microorganisms in all belonging to different genera were isolated from different sources and all proven to be capable of lipase production. Hence this gives opportunity to explore or investigate these microbial lipases which are an important group of biotechnological valuable enzymes and could be better explored for fatty waste degradation.

### References

- [1] http://www.itopf.com/
- [2] Singh, A.K. and Mukhopadhyay, M. (2012) Overview of Fungal Lipase: A Review. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 166, 186-520. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9444-3
- Nagarajan, S. (2012) New Tools for Exploring "Old Friends-Microbial Lipases". [3] Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 168, 1163-1196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-012-9849-7
- Abrunhosa, L., Oliveira, F., Dantas, D., Goncalves, C. and Belo, I. (2013) Lipase [4] Production by Aspergillus ibericus Using Olive Mill Waste Water. Bioprocess and Biosystem Engineering, 36, 285-291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-012-0783-4
- Choo, D., Kurihara, T., Suzuku, T., Soda, K. and Esaki, N. (1998) A Cold-Adapted [5] Lipase of an Alaskan Psychrotroph, Pseudomonas sp. Strain B11-1: Gene Cloning



and Enzyme Purification and Characterization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **64**, 486-491.

- [6] Sztajer, H., Maliszewska, I. and Weiczorek, J. (1988) Production of Exogenous Lipases by Bacteria, Fungi, and Actinomycetes. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 10, 492-497.
- [7] Kulkarni, N. and Gadre, R.V. (2002) Production and Properties of an Alkaline, Thermophilic Lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* NS2W. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 28, 344-348.
- [8] www.epa.gov/oilspill
- [9] Harrigan, W.F. and McCance, M.E. (1976) Laboratory Methods in Food and Dairy Microbiology. Academic Press Inc. Limited, London.
- [10] Sneath, P.H.A. (1986) Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Vol. 2, The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore.
- [11] Gogoi, B.K., Dutta, N.N., Goswani, P. and Krishna, M.T.R. (2003) A Case Study of Bioremediation of Petroleum-Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil at Crude Oil Spill Site. Advances in Environmental Research, 7, 767-782.
- [12] Haliru, M. and Bukola, C.A. (2012) Screening of Microorganisms Isolated from Different Environmental Samples for Extracellular Lipase Production. *AU J.T.*, 15, 179-186.
- [13] Gupta, R., Gupta, N.J. and Rathi, P. (2004) Bacterial Lipase: An Overview of Production, Purification and Biochemical Properties. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 64, 763-781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1568-8</u>
- [14] D'Annibale, A., Sermanni, G.G. and Federici, F. (2006) Olive-Mill Wastewaters a Promising Substrate for Microbial Lipase Production. *Bioresource Technology*, 97, 1823-1833.
- [15] Riaz, M., Shah, A.A., Hammed, A. and Hasan, F. (2010) Characterization of Lipase Produced by *Bacillus* sp. FHS in Immobilized and Free State. *Annals of Microbiol*ogy, 60, 169-175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-009-0012-9</u>
- [16] Nwuche, C.O. and Ogbonna, J.C. (2011) Isolation of Lipase Producing Fungi from Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Dump Sites at Nsukka. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 54, 113-116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132011000100015</u>
- [17] Thota, P., Bhogavalli, P.K., Vallem, P.R. and Screerangam, V. (2012) Biochemical Characterization of an Extracellular Lipase from New Strain of *Rhizopus* sp. Isolated from Oil Contaminated Soil. *International Journal of Plant Animal and Environmental Sciences*, 2, 41-45.
- [18] Gopinath, S.C.B., Anubu, P., Lakshmipnya, T. and Hilda, A. (2013) Strategies to Characterize Fungal Lipases for Applications in Medicine and Dairy Industry. *Bio-Med Research International*, **2013**, Article ID: 154549.
- [19] Kanchana, R., Muraleedharan, U.D. and Raghukumar, S. (2011) AAlkaline Lipase Activity from the Marine Protists, Thraustochytrids. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 27, 2125-2131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0676-8
- [20] Sharma Chander, K. and Kanwar Shamsher, S. (2001) Purification of a Novel Thermophilic Lipase from *Bacillus licheniformis* MTCC-10498. *ISCA Journal of Biological Sciences*, 1, 43-48.
- [21] Saxena, R.K., Sheoran, A., Giri, B. and Davidson, W.S. (2002) Purification Strategies for Microbial Lipases. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **52**, 1-18.
- [22] Chakraborty, K. and Raj, K.R. (2008) An Extra-Cellular Alkaline Metallolipase from *Bacillus licheniformis* MTCC 6824: Purification and Biochemical Characterization. *Food Chemistry*, **109**, 727-736.

- [23] Sharma, R.K., Jethani, B., Raipuria, M. and Jain, H. (2011) Antipyretic Activity of Aqueous and Alcoholic Extracts of Nonion Yeast Induced Pyrexia in Rats. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 2, 1850-1854.
- [24] Rohit, S., Yusuf, C. and Uttam, C.B. (2001) Production, Purification, Characterization and Applications of Lipases. Biotechnology Advances, 19, 627-662.
- [25] Shabtai, Y. (1991) Isolation and Characterization of a Lipolytic Bacterium Capable of Growing in a Low-Water Content Oil Water-Emulsion. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 57, 1740-1745.
- [26] Shabtai, Y.A. and Daya-Mishire, N. (1992) Production, Purification and Properties of Lipase from a Bacterium Pseudomonas aeruginosa Y57. Capaolie of Growing in Water Restricted Environment. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 58, 174-180.
- [27] Sigurgisledottir, S., Sonraodottir, M., Jonsson, A., Kristjarsson, J.K. and Mattheasson, E. (1993) Lipase Activity of Thermophilic Bacteria from Icelandic Hot Springs. Biotechnology Letters, 15, 361-366. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00128277
- [28] Loo, J.L., Lai, O.M., Long, K. and Ghazali, H.M. (2006) Identification and Characterization of a Locally Isolated Lipolytic Microfungus Geotrichum candidium. Malays Journal of Microbiology, 2, 22-29.

🗱 Scientific Research Publishing

### Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc. A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals) Providing 24-hour high-quality service User-friendly online submission system Fair and swift peer-review system Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles Maximum dissemination of your research work Submit your manuscript at: http://papersubmission.scirp.org/

Or contact aim@scirp.org

