

# An Introductive Study about CO<sub>2</sub> Hydrogenation into Hydrocarbons Using Iron Catalysts

# Wensheng Ning<sup>1\*</sup>, Chunhua Chen<sup>1</sup>, Tianqi Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yangfu Jin<sup>2</sup>, Xiezhen Yang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China <sup>2</sup>College of Materials Science and Technology, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China Email: \*wenshning@sohu.com

How to cite this paper: Ning, W.S., Chen, C.H., Wang, T.Q., Jin, Y.F. and Yang, X.Z. (2017) An Introductive Study about CO<sub>2</sub> Hydrogenation into Hydrocarbons Using Iron Catalysts. *Advances in Chemical Engineering and Science*, **7**, 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/aces.2017.71001

Received: July 19, 2016 Accepted: December 18, 2016 Published: December 21, 2016

Copyright © 2017 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

# Abstract

 $CO_2$  hydrogenation reaction was performed on precipitated iron catalysts which were promoted by Si, Zn, K and Cu. The optimum SiO<sub>2</sub> content in the catalysts is about 15 wt% relative to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mass. With reaction temperature raised, CO<sub>2</sub> conversion is increased continually, but CO and CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity only fluctuate in a narrow range which is beneficial to the synthesis of C<sub>2</sub>+ hydrocarbons. Two kinds of catalyst filling constitution were experimentally compared in order to increase the yield of C<sub>5</sub>+ hydrocarbons.

# **Keywords**

CO2 Hydrogenation, Hydrocarbon Synthesis, Iron Catalyst

# **1. Introduction**

Greenhouse effect is recognized as one serious threat to the environment. It would increase the global average temperature and make the sea level raised [1]. As the results, the land supporting billions of people would be submerged.  $CO_2$  is a main factor for the heightening greenhouse effect, because it contributed about 64% to the total radiative forcings from the long-lived and well mixed greenhouse gases ( $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$  and halogenated compounds) in 2011 [2]. Over 32.1 Gt  $CO_2$  was emitted from fossil fuel combustion in 2013 [3]. To lessen fossil fuel consumption is a direct and effective method to decrease  $CO_2$  emission, however, it can only be realized when sustainable fuels are supplied to replace the fossil fuels. Methanol, dimethyl ether and hydrocarbons are the products of  $CO_2$  hydrogenation [4]-[12] which are able to be used directly as fuels. In case H<sub>2</sub> is generated from renewable energy source such as biomass or solar energy [4] [5] [13], the process of  $CO_2$  hydrogenation is  $CO_2$ -neutral besides to supply sustainable fuels. Such process will solve fuel lack of isolate islands by recovering  $CO_2$  from seawater [14].

On iron catalyst,  $CO_2$  can be hydrogenated into hydrocarbons by two steps as shown in the following Equation (1) and (2) [9] [10] [11].  $CO_2$  is converted into CO by reverse water-gas-shift (WGS) reaction, and then the produced CO is further hydrogenated to hydrocarbons by Fischer-Tropsch (FT) reaction.

$$CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O \tag{1}$$

$$CO + 2H_2 \rightarrow (CH_2) + H_2O \tag{2}$$

WGS reaction is thermodynamically favored at low temperature [15]. Therefore, reverse WGS reaction is favored at high temperature, *i.e.* high temperature can promote  $CO_2$  conversion to hydrocarbons via the series of Equations ((1) and (2)). In order to find iron catalyst more active for  $CO_2$  hydrogenation, we researched the influences of Zn, K and Cu on precipitated iron catalysts at low temperature (230°C) [12] [16] [17]. The functions of Zn and K to activate  $CO_2$  into CO were explained based on the experimental data from  $CO_2$  temperature-programmed desorption [16]. Recently, the catalysts were evaluated at increased reaction temperature, and the catalyst filling constitutions in the reactor were compared in order to increase hydrocarbon yield as high as possible.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst Preparation

All the used reagents are analytical grade (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.). Catalysts were prepared in two methods. Method I was to precipitate a mixed solution of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and potassium silicate with (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution at 50°C and pH =  $6.5 \pm 0.5$ . Method II was to precipitate Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> solution with the mixed solution of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and potassium silicate at 50°C and pH =  $6.5 \pm 0.5$ . Then, the precipitate was washed with distilled water and centrifuged at 4500 RPM for three times after 1 h ageing at room temperature. Promoters of Zn, K and Cu were impregnated onto the precipitate with Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution. After the catalyst precursor was spray-dried at 250°C and calcined at 360°C for 6 h, it was shaped into particle of 80 - 150 µm for activity test. The obtained catalysts are expressed as Z/KmCn/FSr-I or Z/KmCn/FSr-II according to the method to introduce SiO<sub>2</sub>. In the above abbreviation of catalysts, Z, K, C, F, and S represent Zn, K, Cu, Fe, and SiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. *L*, *m*, *n*, and *r* are the nominal mass percent of corresponding materials relative to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

### 2.2. Characterizations

BET surface area, pore volume and average porediameter of the catalysts were measured using ASAP-2020 from Micromeritics at -196 °C. The crystal structure of catalysts was analyzed by PNAlytical diffractometer (X'Pert Pro) with a Cu K*a* radiation source ( $\lambda = 0.15406$  nm).

#### 2.3. Activity Test and Product Analysis

The activity of catalysts was tested in a stainless steel fixed bed reactor [12]. The catalyst diluted with four-fold quartz particle of equal size was filled into the reactor. After the catalysts were reduced in CO of 50 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> at 300°C for 6 h, it was cooled to room

temperature. Then, the feed gas was changed into reactants ( $64\% H_2/32\% CO_2/4\% N_2$ ) of 1.6 MPa. The catalyst was heated to reaction temperature in about 3 h and kept at it for 45 h. The detail parameters for activity test are given with the experimental results.

 $C_5$ + hydrocarbons were collected in an ice trap of 0°C at system pressure. The distribution of  $C_5$ + hydrocarbons was measured off line by GC-9860 (Qiyang Ltd.) with FID detector and OV-1701 capillary column. After the system pressure was released through a backpressure regulator, the exited gas was analyzed on line. The quantities of CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> were supplied with TCD detector and TDX-01 column. N<sub>2</sub> was used as an internal standard for the quantitation with the GC/TCD. C1 - C5 hydrocarbons were analyzed with FID detector and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> capillary column [18].

# 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Influence of SiO<sub>2</sub> Content in Catalyst

Different to the iron catalysts studied in our previous works [19] [20] [21], SiO<sub>2</sub> is used as structure promoter in this work. Figure 1 contrasts the influence of SiO<sub>2</sub> content on the catalysts' activity for both of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation (CO<sub>2</sub> conversion) and CO hydrogenation (CO conversion). These catalysts were prepared in Method I, and the content of Zn, K and Cu is 8 wt%, 5 wt% and 4 wt%, respectively. The catalyst with 15 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> is the most active one for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, while this catalyst shows the lowest CO conversion in CO hydrogenation.

Table 1 gives the data of catalysts' texture. With raised SiO<sub>2</sub> content in the catalysts,



For CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation:  $H_2/CO_2 = 2,230^{\circ}C, 1.6 \text{ MPa}, 6.0 \text{ L}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{g-cat.}^{-1}$ . For CO hydrogenation:  $H_2/CO = 1.5,235^{\circ}C, 1.6 \text{ MPa}, 3.0 \text{ L}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{g-cat}^{-1}$ .

**Figure 1.** Influence of SiO<sub>2</sub> content on catalyst activity in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and CO hydrogenation.

Tal	ble	1.	BET	surface	area	and	pore	size.
-----	-----	----	-----	---------	------	-----	------	-------

Catalyst	Surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Pore volume <sup>a</sup> (mL/g)	Average pore diameter <sup>a</sup> (nm)
Z8K5C4/FS5-I	72.9	0.117	6.4
Z8K5C4/FS10-I	94.7	0.182	7.7
Z8K5C4/FS15-I	101.4	0.230	9.1
Z8K5C4/FS20-I	131.2	0.322	9.8

<sup>a</sup>It is calculated by BJH method from the desorption branch.

the specific surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter increase monotonically which do not match to either the volcano shape of  $CO_2$  conversion or reverse volcano shape of CO conversion in **Figure 1**. The enlarged surface area usually reflects increased dispersive degree of every component in the catalysts.

**Figure 2** is the XRD results of the four catalysts. There are peaks around 23.92°, 33.48°, 35.88°, 40.72°, 49.68°, 54.08°, 62.76° and 63.76° in Z8K5C4/FS5-I, Z8K5C4/FS10-I and Z8K5C4/FS20-I. They are assigned to Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS 89-0597). These peaks almost disappear in Z8K5C4/FS15-I. The disappearance of crystalline Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> indicates that iron is highly dispersed by the added SiO<sub>2</sub> in Z8K5C4/FS15-I. This dispersing effect of SiO<sub>2</sub> is happened to the promoters, too. It has been reported that the amount of effective potassium is decreased by the addition of SiO<sub>2</sub> into iron catalysts because of K-Si interaction [22] [23]. Therefore, the amount of effective potassium is probably the lowest in Z8K5C4/FS15-I. It results in effective Zn/K ratio higher than the nominal Zn/K ratio calculated by the added amounts of zinc and potassium. We have disclosed that the iron catalyst with higher Zn/K ratio is more active to hydrogenate CO<sub>2</sub> [12] [16].

**Table 2** lists the ethene and propene ratio in the  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  hydrocarbons (sum of olefin and paraffin), respectively. For CO hydrogenation, both of the ethene and propene content are almost constant in spite of the SiO<sub>2</sub> content is different for the studied catalysts. It indicates that the ratio of adsorbed carbon atom ( $C_{ad}$ ) to adsorbed hydrogen atom ( $H_{ad}$ ) [24] is independent of the SiO<sub>2</sub> content in catalysts. Contrarily, for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, the ethene and propene content decrease with SiO<sub>2</sub> content raised in the studied catalysts. It reflects that the ratio of  $C_{ad}$  to  $H_{ad}$  is declined by the added SiO<sub>2</sub> for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. According to the two steps to hydrogenate CO<sub>2</sub> into hydrocarbons



A: Z8K5C4/FS5-I, B: Z8K5C4/FS10-I, C: Z8K5C4/FS15-I, D: Z8K5C4/FS20-I

Figure 2. XRD pattern of the catalysts with different SiO<sub>2</sub> content.

**Table 2.** Olefin ratio in  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  hydrocarbons.

Catalyst	$CO + H_2$		$CO_2 + H_2$	
Catalyst	$C_2^{=}/C_2$	$C_3^{=}/C_3$	$C_2^{=}/C_2$	$C_{3}^{=}/C_{3}$
Z8K5C4/FS10-I	0.78	0.82	0.47	0.62
Z8K5C4/FS15-I	0.79	0.81	0.25	0.50
Z8K5C4/FS20-I	0.78	0.81	0.22	0.48

The reaction conditions are as same as those shown in the caption of Figure 1.

👯 Scientific Research Publishing

[9] [10] [11], the  $C_{ad}$  is from the CO which was evolved from  $CO_2$ , rather than directly from the adsorbed  $CO_2$ . On the same iron catalyst, the  $H_{ad}$  quantity in  $CO_2$  hydrogenation is probably as same as in CO hydrogenation. Therefore, it can be thought that the co-adsorption of  $CO_2$  and CO on iron catalyst induces decreased  $C_{ad}$ . However, it is only an assumption at present. We are trying to measure the  $C_{ad}$  and  $H_{ad}$  quantity after CO and  $H_2$  or  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  are co-fed to the iron catalysts.

### 3.2. Influence of Reaction Temperature

Catalyst Z2K3C4/FS5-I was evaluated under different reaction temperature. The results are given in **Table 3**. CO<sub>2</sub> conversion is increased continually with raised reaction temperature. With reaction temperature increased, the equilibrium of Equation (1) shifts to the right which is beneficial to supply CO for FT reaction according to Equation (2). It had been found that the extent of CO converted to hydrocarbons increased with raised reaction temperature [22] [25]. Both of CO selectivity and  $CH_4$  selectivity show an evident decrease from 230°C to 240°C, but there is no monodirectional change under higher temperature. The ethene content in C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbons increases with raised reaction temperature. Under high temperature, the residence time of adsorbed ethene on the catalysts would be shortened due to the enhanced thermal motion. It leads to decreased probability for the adsorbed ethene to initiate carbon-chain growth or be hydrogenated into ethane.

The observed phenomenon about ethene selectivity in **Table 3** is different from the results found in CO hydrogenation, where the ethene selectivity was decreased with increased reaction temperature [25] [26]. Combining the above difference between  $CO_2$  hydrogenation (**Table 3**) and CO hydrogenation [25] [26] with the disparity shown in **Table 2**, much research is needed to understand the reactive mechanism of  $CO_2$  hydrogenation on iron catalyst.

## 3.3. Comparison of Catalyst Filling Constitution in the Reactor

For CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation in a fixed bed reactor, the  $H_2O$  and hydrocarbons produced in the upper part of catalyst bed can absorb on the downstream catalyst, which probably influence the reaction of remained CO<sub>2</sub> and  $H_2$  on the downstream catalyst. The influences are examined using catalyst Z8K3C6/FS5-I and Z8K3C6/FS10-II. Two constitutions are adopted to fill the catalysts as described in **Figure 3**. Constitution I is to install

Temperature (°C)	CO <sub>2</sub> conversion (%)	CO selectivity (%)	$CH_4$ selectivity (%)	$C_2^{=}/C_2$
230	10.7	42.8	22.0	0.57
240	15.4	35.1	17.4	0.61
250	16.6	34.2	18.3	0.66
260	18.3	32.5	17.0	0.70
270	20.3	35.7	19.8	0.72
280	22.5	35.2	15.6	0.73

 Table 3. Influence of reaction temperature on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation.

 $H_2/CO_2 = 2$ , 1.6 MPa, 6.0 L·h<sup>-1</sup>·g-cat.<sup>-1</sup>



Figure 3. Principal scheme of catalyst filling constitutions.

Table 4. CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation with different catalyst filling constitution.

Constitution	Ι	Ш
CO <sub>2</sub> conversion (%)	27.6	35.8
CO selectivity (%)	17.6	16.5
$CH_4$ selectivity (%)	22.2	27.3
$C_2$ - $C_4$ selectivity (%)	27.5	31.7
$C_2^{=}/C_2$	0.30	0.17
C <sub>5</sub> + yield (%)	9.03	8.77

 $H_2/CO_2 = 2, 280$  °C, 1.6 MPa, 3.0 L·h<sup>-1</sup>·g-cat.<sup>-1</sup>

Z8K3C6/FS10-II directly to the downstream of Z8K3C6/FS5-I, on the contrary, Constitution II is to insert one 0°C trap between Z8K3C6/FS5-I and Z8K3C6/FS10-II to collect  $H_2O$  and condensable hydrocarbons produced by the upper Z8K3C6/FS5-I.

**Table 4** contrasts the reaction data from the two constitutions. Relative to the results of Constitution I,  $CO_2$  conversion,  $CH_4$  selectivity and  $C_2 - C_4$  selectivity are increased in Constitution II. For Constitution I, the H<sub>2</sub>O and long-chain hydrocarbons produced by catalyst Z8K3C6/FS5-I may adsorb on Z8K3C6/FS10-II. Their re-adsorptions on Z8K3C6/FS10-II occupy some sites which can activate  $CO_2$ . Such occupancy inhibits  $CO_2$  activation and reaction on Z8K3C6/FS10-II. The occupancy also impairs H<sub>2</sub> adsorption on Z8K3C6/FS10-II, which is indicated by the lower  $CH_4$  selectivity and higher ethene ratio in  $C_2$  hydrocarbons for Constitution I than Constitution II. However, it is beneficial for carbon-chain growth to produce long chain hydrocarbons. As listed in **Table 4**, Constitution I has a higher  $C_5$ + yield than Constitution II.

**Figure 4** compares the distribution of liquid hydrocarbons from the two Constitutions. There is an increase from  $C_5$  hydrocarbons to  $C_8$  hydrocarbons. It does not mean that the selectivity of  $C_5$ ,  $C_6$  and  $C_7$  hydrocarbons is less than  $C_8$  hydrocarbons, because some molecule of  $C_5 - C_7$  hydrocarbons cannot be completely condensed in the cold trap and are emitted with the exited gas. The  $C_5 - C_7$  hydrocarbons had been found by the on line GC/FID designed to analyze the gaseous hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbon selectivity of FT reaction usually decreases with elongated carbon chain [27] [28]. However, the selectivity for the hydrocarbons in  $C_{20}$  -  $C_{24}$  is higher than that of  $C_{15}$  -  $C_{19}$ 



Figure 4. Influence of catalyst filling constitution on liquid hydrocarbons distribution.

hydrocarbons for Constitution I. This phenomenon may result from the olefin re-adsorption [27] which enhances the hydrocarbon growth into long carbon chain.

Considering the simplicity of reactor structure, Constitution I is an optimum type to synthesize liquid hydrocarbons from  $CO_2$  hydrogenation.

# 4. Conclusion

Iron catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation of Fe and Si. Zn, K and Cu were impregnated to FeSi precipitate as promoters. For  $CO_2$  hydrogenation reaction, the catalyst with 15 wt% SiO<sub>2</sub> possesses the highest  $CO_2$  conversion. The olefin content in  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  hydrocarbons is lower in  $CO_2$  hydrogenation than in CO hydrogenation which may be influenced by the co-adsorption of  $CO_2$  and CO on the catalyst.  $CO_2$  conversion and ethene selectivity are increased with raised reaction temperature. For fixed bed reactor, the H<sub>2</sub>O and hydrocarbons produced in the upper catalyst may re-adsorb on the downstream catalyst, and it is beneficial for carbon-chain growth by inhibiting H<sub>2</sub> adsorption.

# Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY14B030003), and National Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2014BAD02B05).

## References

- Hansen, J.E. (2007) Scientific Reticence and Sea Level Rise. *Environmental Research Letters*, 2, 024002-024006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/2/2/024002</u>
- [2] Accessed Date: 2013/5/20. http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/aggi/
- [3] IEA Statistics (2015) CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fuel Combustion Highlights.
- [4] Song, C. (2006) Global Challenges and Strategies for Control, Conversion and Utilization of CO<sub>2</sub> for Sustainable Development Involving Energy, Catalysis, Adsorption and Chemical Processing. *Catalysis Today*, **115**, 2-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2006.02.029</u>
- [5] Wang, W., Wang, S., Ma, X. and Gong, J. (2011) Recent Advances in Catalytic Hydrogenation of Carbon Dioxide. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 40, 3703-3727.

https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cs15008a

- [6] De Richter, R., Ming, T. and Caillol, S. (2013) Fighting Global Warming by Photocatalytic Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> Using Giant Photocatalytic Reactors. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 19, 82-106.
- [7] Ning, W., Shen, H. and Liu, H. (2001) Study of the Effect of Preparation Method on CuO-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Catalyst. Applied Catalysis A, 211, 153-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(00)00871-1
- [8] Wang, J., Zeng, C. and Wu, C. (2006) Effect of Silica Promoter on Properties of Cu-ZnO/ HZSM-5 Catalyst for CO2 Hydrogenation to Dimethyl Ether. Chinese Journal of Catalysis, 27, 927-931.
- [9] Williams, K.J., Boffa, A.B., Salmeron, M., Bell, A.T. and Somorjai, G.A. (1991) The Kinetics of CO, Hydrogenation on a Rh Foil Promoted by Titania Overlayers. Catalysis Letters, 9, 415-426. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00764834
- [10] Ando, H., Xu, Q., Fujiwara, M., Matsumura, Y., Tanaka, M. and Souma, Y. (1998) Hydrocarbon Synthesis from CO2 over Fe-Cu Catalysts. Catalysis Today, 45, 229-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(98)00220-X
- [11] Jun, K.W., Roh, H.S., Kim, K.S., Ryu, J.S. and Lee, K.W. (2004) Catalytic Investigation for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis from Bio-Mass Derived Syngas. Applied Catalysis A, 259, 221-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2003.09.034
- [12] Ning, W., Koizumi, N. and Yamada, M. (2009) Researching Fe Catalyst Suitable for CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Syngas for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. Energy Fuels, 23, 4696-4700. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef900428t
- [13] Edwards, J.H. (1995) Potential Sources of CO<sub>2</sub> and the Options for Its Large-scale Utilisation Now and in the Future. Catalysis Today, 23, 59-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-5861(94)00081-C
- [14] Drab, D.M., Willauer, H.D., Olsen, M.T., Ananth, R., Mushrush, G.W., Baldwin, J.W., Hardy, D.R. and Williams, F.W. (2013) Hydrocarbon Synthesis from Carbon Dioxide and Hydrogen: A Two-Step Process. Energy Fuels, 27, 6348-6354. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef4011115
- [15] Smith, J.M. and Ness, H.C. (1975) Introduction to Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics. 3rd Edition, McGraw Hill, Tokyo.
- [16] Ning, W. and Yamada, M. (2013) To Synthesize Liquid Fuels on Precipitated Fe Catalyst with CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Syngas Gasified from Biomass. In: Lee, J.W., Ed., Advanced Biofuels and Bioproducts, Springer, New York, 225-243. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3348-4\_14
- [17] Chen, H., Ning, W., Chen, C. and Zhang, T. (2015) Influence of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Crystal Phase on the Performance of Fe-Based Catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> Hydrogenation. Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology, 43, 1387-1392.
- [18] Wang, X., Ning, W., Hu, L. and Li, Y. (2012) Influences of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the Structure and Reactive Performance of Co/ZnO Catalyst. Catalysis Communications, 24, 61-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2012.03.016
- [19] Ning, W., Koizumi, N., Chang, H., Mochizuki, T., Itoh, T. and Yamada, M. (2006) Phase Transformation of Unpromoted and Promoted Fe Catalysts and the Formation of Carbonaceous Compounds during Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Reaction. Applied Catalysis A, 312, 35-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.06.025
- [20] Ning, W., Koizumi, N. and Yamada, M. (2007) Improvement of Promoters on the Fischer-Tropsch Activity of Mechanically Mixed Fe Catalysts. Catalysis Communications, 8, 275-278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2006.05.047
- [21] Ning, W., Yang, S., Chen, H. and Yamada, M. (2013) Influences of K and Cu on Coprecipi-



tated FeZn Catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch Reaction. *Catalysis Communications*, **39**, 74-77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2013.05.013</u>

- [22] Bukur, D.B., Lang, X., Mukesh, D., Zimmerman, W.H., Rosynek, M.P. and Li, C. (1990) Binder/Support Effects on the Activity and Selectivity of Iron Catalysts in the Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 29, 1588-1599. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00104a003
- [23] Yang, Y., Xiang, H.W., Tian, L., Wang, H., Zhang, C.H., Tao, Z.C., Xu, Y.Y., Zhong, B. and Li, Y.W. (2005) Structure and Fischer-Tropsch Performance of Iron-Manganese Catalyst Incorporated with SiO<sub>2</sub>. *Applied Catalysis A*, **284**, 105-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2005.01.025
- [24] Schulz, H. (1999) Short History and Present Trends of Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. Applied Catalysis A, 186, 3-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00160-X</u>
- [25] Liu, Y., Teng, B.T., Guo, X.H., Li, Y., Chang, J., Tian, L., Hao, X., Wang, Y., Xiang, H.W., Xu, Y.Y. and Li, Y.W. (2007) Effect of Reaction Conditions on the Catalytic Performance of Fe-Mn Catalyst for Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A*, 272, 182-190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2007.03.046</u>
- [26] Bukur, D.B., Lang, X., Akgerman, A. and Feng, Z. (1997) Effect of Process Conditions on Olefin Selectivity during Conventional and Supercritical Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 36, 2580-2587. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ie960507b</u>
- [27] Iglesia, E. (1997) Design, Synthesis, and Use of Cobalt-Based Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Catalysts. Applied Catalysis A, 161, 59-78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(97)00186-5</u>
- [28] Patzlaff, J., Liu, Y., Graffmann, C. and Gaube, J. (1999) Studies on Product Distributions of Iron and Cobalt Catalyzed Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis. *Applied Catalysis A*, 186, 109-119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00167-2</u>

🗱 Scientific Research Publishing

# Submit or recommend next manuscript to SCIRP and we will provide best service for you:

Accepting pre-submission inquiries through Email, Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, etc. A wide selection of journals (inclusive of 9 subjects, more than 200 journals) Providing 24-hour high-quality service User-friendly online submission system Fair and swift peer-review system Efficient typesetting and proofreading procedure Display of the result of downloads and visits, as well as the number of cited articles Maximum dissemination of your research work Submit your manuscript at: <u>http://papersubmission.scirp.org/</u>

Or contact aces@scirp.org