Published Online March 2016 in SciRes. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/am.2016.76052



Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistics Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Implicit Relations

Arun Garg¹, Zaheer K. Ansari², Pawan Kumar³

¹Department of Mathematics, NIMS University, Jaipur, India

Email: gargarun1956@gmail.com, zkansari@rediffmail.com, kpawan990@gmail.com

Received 23 July 2015; accepted 27 March 2016; published 30 March 2016

Copyright © 2016 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we proved some fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces applying the properties of weakly compatible mapping and satisfying the concept of implicit relations for t norms and t connorms.

Keywords

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Weakly Compatible Mapping Implicit Relations for \boldsymbol{t} Norms and \boldsymbol{t} Connorms

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets is introduced by Zadeh [1]. In 1975, Kramosil and Michlek [2] introduced the concept of Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy metric spaces. George and Veeramani [3] gave the modified version of fuzzy metric spaces using continuous t norms. In 2005, Park, Kwun and Park [4] proved some point theorems "intuitionistic fuzzy metrics spaces". In 1986, Jungck [5] introduced concept of compatible mappings for self mappings. Lots of the theorems were proved for the existence of common fixed points in classical and fuzzy metric spaces. Aamri and Moutawakil [6] introduced the concept of non-compatibility using E. A. property and proved several fixed point theorems under contractive conditions. Atanassove [7] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets which is a generalization of fuzzy sets.

In 2004, Park [8] defined intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using *t*-norms and *t* conorms as a gerenelization of fuzzy metric spaces. Turkoglu [9] gerenelized Junkck common fixed point theorem to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In this paper, we used E. A. property in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces to prove fixed point theorems for a pair of selfmaps. Kumar, Bhatia and Manro [10] proved common fixed point theorems for weakly maps sa-

²Department of Applied Mathematics, JSS Academy of Technical Education, Noida, India

³Department of Mathematics, Maitreyi College (University of Delhi), New Delhi, India

tisfying E. A. property in "intuitionistic fuzzy metrics spaces" using implicit relation.

In this paper, we proved fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying E. A. property in "intuitionistic fuzzy metrics spaces" using implicit relation.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 (*t* norms). A binary operation $*:[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ is a continuous *t* norms if * satisfies the following axioms:

- 1) * is commutative as well as associative
- 2) * is continuous
- 3) $a*1 = a, \forall a \in [0,1]$
- 4) $a*b \le c*d \Rightarrow a \le c$ and $b \le d$, $\forall a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$

Definition 1.2 (*t* conorms). A binary operation $\Diamond : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a continuous *t* conorms if \Diamond satisfies the following axioms:

- 1) ♦ is commutative as well as associative
- 2) ♦ is continuous
- 3) $a \lozenge 0 = a, \forall a \in [0,1]$
- 4) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d \Rightarrow a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, $\forall a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Alaca [11] generalized the Fuzzy metric spaces of Kramosil and Michlek [2] and defined intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous *t*-norms and *t* conorms as:

Definition 1.3 (intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces). A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces if X is a arbitrary set, * and \diamond are t-norms and t conorms respectively and M and N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- 1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1, \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0
- 2) $M(x, y, 0) = 0, \forall x, y \in X$
- 3) $M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0 iff x = y
- 4) $M(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t), \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0
- 5) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s), \forall x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0
- 6) $M(x, y, 1) \square (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous $\forall x, y \in X$
- 7) $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0
- 8) $N(x, y, 0) = 1, \forall x, y \in X$
- 9) $N(x, y, t) = 1, \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0 iff x = y
- 10) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t), \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0
- 11) $N(x, y, t) \lozenge N(y, z, s) \le N(x, z, t + s), \forall x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0
- 12) $N(x, y, 1) \Box [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous $\forall x, y \in X$
- 13) $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x, y, t) = 0, \forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0

Then (M,N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces on x. The functions M(x,y,t) and N(x,y,t) define the degree of nearness and degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to respectively.

Proposition 1.4. Every fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is an Intuitionistic fuzzy space of the form $(X, M, 1-M, *, \diamond)$ if * and \diamond are associate as

$$x \diamond y = 1 - ((1-x)*(1-y)), \forall x, y \in x$$

Proposition 1.4. In intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, M(x, y, *) is increasing and $N(x, y, \diamond)$ is decreasing $\forall x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.5. Let $(X,M,N,*,\diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Then

1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for t > 0

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$$
 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$

2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy sequence if, for t > 0 and p > 0

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 1$$
 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 0$

3) An intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Example 1.6. Consider $X = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \square t \in N \cup \{0\} \right\}$, and continuous t norm * and continuous t conorm \diamond as

 $\forall a,b \in [0,1], \ a*b=ab \text{ and } a \lozenge b=\min\{1,a+b\}. \text{ If } \forall x,y \in X \text{ and } t>0, \ (M,N) \text{ is defined as } \{1,a+b\}$

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases} \text{ and } N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + |x - y|} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

Proposition 1.7. A pair of self mappings (f,g) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X,M,N,*,\diamond)$ is called commuting if $\forall x \in X$

$$M(fgx, gfx, t) = 1$$
 and $N(fgx, gfx, t) = 0$

Proposition 1.8. A pair of self mappings (f,g) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X,M,N,*,\diamond)$ is called weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence point *i.e.*, for $v \in X$ we have fv = gv, then fgv = gfv.

Proposition 1.9. A pair of self mappings (f,g) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X,M,N,*,\diamond)$ is said to satisfy E. A. property if there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of x such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 1$$
 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 0$.

3. Implicit Functions

Popa [12] defined the concept of implicit function in proving of fixed point theorems in hybrid metric spaces. Implicit function can be described as, let \emptyset be the family of lower semi-continuous functions $F: R_+^6 \to R$ satisfying the following conditions:

 G_1 : F is non-increasing in variables t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 and non-decreasing in t_1

G₂: $\exists h \in (0,1)$ and k > 1 with hk < 1, such that $u \le kt$ and $F(t,v,v,u,u+v,o) \le 0 \Rightarrow t \le hv$

G₃: f(t,t,t,0,t,t) > t, t > 0

Popa [12] defined the following examples of implicit function too,

Example 2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - m \max \left\{ t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2} \right\}$$

where $m \in [0,1)$.

Example 2.2. Let $f: R_+^6 \to R$ as

$$f(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - h \left[a \max \left\{ t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2} \right\} \right] + (1 - a) \max \left\{ t_2^2, t_3 t_4, t_3 t_5, t_4 t_6, t_5 t_6 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $h \in [0,1)$, $a \in [0,1]$.

Example 2.3. Let $f: R^6 \to R$ as

$$f(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - m \max \left\{ t_2^2, t_3 t_4, t_3 t_5, t_4 t_6, t_5 t_6 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $m \in \left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$.

Example 2.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1^3 + t_2^3 + \frac{t_1}{1 + t_5 t_6} - \left[at_2^2 + bt_3^2 + ct_4^2\right]$$

where a+b+c<1.

M. Imdad and Javed Ali [13]-[15] added some implicit functions to prove fixed point theorems for Hybrid contraction. Following are examples are as:

Example 2.5. Let $f: R^6 \to R$ as

$$f(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \left[\frac{t_3^2 + t_4^2}{t_3 + t_4} \right] - \beta [t_5 + t_6] - \gamma t_2,$$

where $0 < 2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$.

Example 2.6. Let $f: R^6_{\perp} \to R$ as

$$f(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \left[\frac{t_5^2 + t_6^2}{t_5 + t_6} \right] - \beta [t_3 + t_4] - \gamma t_2,$$

where $0 < 2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$.

Example 2.7. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6) = t_1^p - \left[\frac{ct_3t_4^p + bt_5t_6^p}{t_3 + t_4}\right] - at_2^p,$$

where 1 < 2a + c < 2, 1 < 2a + b < 2 and $p \ge 1$.

If $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Continuous *t*-norms and *t* conssorms are defined as $a*a \ge a$ and $(1-a) \diamond (1-a) \le (1-a)$ respectively, where $a \in [0,1]$.

Then implicit functions can be defined as $\varphi,\varnothing:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that $\varphi,\varnothing \Box [0,1]^6 \to [0,1]$, then

- (F₁) $\varphi(t_1,t_2,1,1,t_5,1) \ge t, \varnothing(t_1,t_2,0,0,t_5,0) \le t$
- (F₂) $\varphi(1,1,t_3,t_4,1,1) \ge t, \varnothing(0,0,t_3,t_4,0,0) \le t$
- (F₃) $\varphi(1,t_2,1,t_4,1,t_6) \ge t, \varnothing(0,t_2,0,t_4,0,t_6,0) \le t$

Example 2.8. $\varphi,\varnothing:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that $\varphi,\varnothing \Box [0,1]^6 \to [0,1]$, then

(F₁)
$$\varphi\left\{1,1,t_3^2,t_4^2,1,1\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge t, \varnothing\left\{0,0,t_3^2,t_4^2,0,0\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$

(F₂)
$$\varphi \left\{ t_1^2, t_2^2, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t, \varnothing \left\{ t_1^2, t_2^2, 0, 0, 0, 0 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$

(F₃)
$$\varphi\left\{t_1^2, 1, t_3^2, 1, t_5^2, 1\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge t, \varnothing\left\{t_1^2, 0, t_3^2, 0, t_5^2, 0\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$
, and $t \in [0, 1]$

Example 2.9. $\varphi,\varnothing:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that $\varphi,\varnothing \Box [0,1]^6 \to [0,1]$, then

(F₁)
$$\varphi\left\{1,1,t_3^2,t_4^2,1,1\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge t,\varnothing\left\{0,0,t_3^2,t_4^2,0,0\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$

(F₂)
$$\varphi \left\{ t_1^2, 1, 1, t_4^2, 1, 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t, \emptyset \left\{ t_1^2, 0, 0, t_4^2, 0, 0 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$

(F₃)
$$\varphi\left\{t_1^2, 1, t_3^2, 1, t_5^2, 1\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge t, \varnothing\left\{t_1^2, 0, t_3^2, 0, t_5^2, 0\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t$$
, and $t \in [0, 1]$

Example 3.0. $\varphi,\varnothing:[0,1]\to[0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that $\varphi,\varnothing\,\Box [0,1]^6\to [0,1]$, then

$$(F_1)$$
 $\varphi\{1,1,t_3,t_4,1,1\} \ge t, \varnothing\{0,0,t_3,t_4,0,0\} \le t$

(F₂)
$$\varphi \{t_1, 1, t_3, 1, 1, t_6\} \le t, \emptyset \{t_1, 0, t_3, 0, 0, t_6\} \le t$$

$$(F_3) \quad \varphi \big\{ t_1, 1, t_3, 1, t_5, 1 \big\} \ge t, \, \emptyset \big\{ t_1, 0, t_3, 0, t_5, 0 \big\} \le t, \quad \text{and} \quad t \in \big[0, 1 \big]$$

4. Main Result

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X,M,N,*,\diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Continuous t norms and t conorms are defined as $a*a \ge a$ and $(1-a)\diamond(1-a) \le (1-a)$ respectively, where $a \in [0,1]$. Let T and S be two weakly compatible maps of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (3.1.1) T and S satisfying E.A. properties,
- (3.1.2) S is the closed subspaces of X,
- (3.1.3) $\forall x, y \in X$, t > 0, $\alpha + \beta < 1$, there is $\alpha \in (0,1)$, such that

$$M(Tx,Ty,kt) \ge \varphi \left\{ M(Sx,Sy,T), M(Tx,Sx,t), M(Ty,Sy,t), M(Tx,Sx,\alpha t) \right.$$

$$\left. * M(Sx,Sy,\beta t), M(Ty,Sy,\alpha t) * M(Sy,Sx,\beta t) \right\}$$

$$N(Tx,Ty,kt) \le \theta \left\{ N(Sx,Sy,T), N(Tx,Sx,t), N(Ty,Sy,t), N(Tx,Sx,\alpha t) \right.$$

$$\left. * N(Sx,Sy,\beta t), N(Ty,Sy,\alpha t) * N(Sy,Sx,\beta t) \right\}$$

where $\varphi, \theta: [0,1] \to [0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that

$$\varphi(1,1,t,1,t) \ge t, \varphi(t,1,1,t,t) \ge t, \theta(1,1,t,1,t) \le t, \theta(t,1,1,t,t) \le t \text{ and } t \in [0,1]$$

Then S and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. From (3.1.1), we have a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = u,$$

for some $u \in X$. From (3.1.2), S(X) is the closed subspace of $X \Rightarrow$ there is $v \in X$ such that u = Su. Therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = u = Sv = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n$. Now our goal is to prove Tv = Sv.

In (3.1.3), taking $x = x_n$ and y = v, we have

$$\begin{split} M\left(Tx_{n}, Tv, kt\right) &\geq \varphi \Big\{ M\left(Sx_{n}, Sv, t\right), M\left(Tx_{n}, Sv, t\right), M\left(Tv, Sv, t\right), M\left(Tx_{n}, Sx_{n}, \alpha t\right) \\ &\quad *M\left(Sx_{n}, Sv, \beta t\right), M\left(Tv, Sv, \alpha t\right) *M\left(Sv, Sx_{n}, \beta t\right) \Big\} \\ M\left(Tx_{n}, Tv, kt\right) &\geq \varphi \Big\{ M\left(Sx_{n}, Sv, t\right), M\left(Tx_{n}, Sv, t\right), M\left(Tv, Sv, t\right), \\ M\left(Tx_{n}, Sv, (\alpha + \beta)t\right), M\left(Tv, Sx_{n}, (\alpha + \beta)t\right) \Big\} \end{split}$$

Taking $\lim n \to \infty$, we have

$$M\left(Sv,Tv,kt\right) \ge \varphi\left\{M\left(Sv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Sv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right),\right.$$
$$M\left(Sv,Sv,\left(\alpha+\beta\right)t\right),M\left(Tv,Sv,\left(\alpha+\beta\right)t\right)\right\}$$

$$M\left(Sv,Tv,kt\right) \geq \varphi\left\{1,M\left(Sv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Sv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right)\right\}$$

Since

$$\alpha+\beta<1=\varphi\left\{1,1,M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right),1,M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right)\right\}\geq M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right)$$

Similarly

$$N(Tx_n, Tv, kt) \leq \theta \left\{ N(Sx_n, Sv, t), N(Tx_n, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Tx_n, Sx_n, \alpha t) \right.$$

$$\left. * N(Sx_n, Sv, \beta t), N(Tv, Sv, \alpha t) * N(Sv, Sx_n, \beta t) \right\}$$

$$N(Tx_n, Tv, kt) \leq \theta \left\{ N(Sx_n, Sv, t), N(Tx_n, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Tx_n, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sx_n, (\alpha + \beta) t) \right\}$$

Taking $\lim n \to \infty$, we have

$$N(Sv,Tv,kt) \le \theta \left\{ N(Sv,Sv,t), N(Sv,Sv,t), N(Tv,Sv,t), N(Sv,Sv,(\alpha+\beta)t), N(Tv,Sv,(\alpha+\beta)t) \right\}$$

$$N(Sv, Tv, kt) \le \theta \{1, N(Sv, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Sv, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t)\}$$

Since

$$\alpha + \beta < 1 = \theta \{1, 1, N(Tv, Sv, t), 1, N(Tv, Sv, t)\} \leq N(Tv, Sv, t)$$

Hence Tv = Sv = z (say) $\Rightarrow v$ is a coincident point of T and S.

Again T and S are compatible mappings, therefore $v = STv = z \Rightarrow Tz = Sz$.

Now we are to show that v is common point of T and S. Therefore replacing x and y by z and v in (3.1.3), we have

$$M(Tz, z, t) = M(Tz, Sz, t) \ge \varphi \left\{ M(Sz, Sv, t), M(Tz, Sz, t), M(Tv, Sv, t), \right.$$

$$M(Tz, Sv, (\alpha + \beta)t), M(Tv, Sz, (\alpha + \beta)t) \right\}$$

$$M(Tz, z, t) \ge \varphi \left\{ M(Tz, z, t), M(Tz, Tz, t), M(z, z, t), M(Tz, z, t), M(z, Tz, t) \right\}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$

$$M(Tz,z,t) \ge \varphi\{M(Tz,z,t),1,1,M(Tz,z,t),M(z,Tz,t)\} \ge M(Tz,z,t)$$

Similarly

$$N(Tz, z, t) = N(Tz, Sz, t) \le \theta \left\{ N(Sz, Sv, t), N(Tz, Sz, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), \\ N(Tz, Sv, (\alpha + \beta)t), N(Tv, Sz, (\alpha + \beta)t) \right\}$$

$$N(Tz, z, t) \le \theta \left\{ N(Tz, z, t), N(Tz, Tz, t), N(z, z, t), N(Tz, z, t), N(z, Tz, t) \right\}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$

$$N\left(Tz,z,t\right)\leq\theta\left\{ N\left(Tz,z,t\right),1,1,N\left(Tz,z,t\right),N\left(z,Tz,t\right)\right\} \leq N\left(Tz,z,t\right)$$

 \Rightarrow $Tz = Sz = z \Rightarrow z$ is a common fixed point for T and S.

Uniqueness of the point will be proved by contradiction. For that suppose p and q be two fixed points. Therefore from (3.1.3) we have

$$M\left(Tp,Tq,kt\right) \ge \varphi\left\{M\left(Sp,Sq,t\right),M\left(Tp,Sp,t\right),M\left(Tq,Sq,t\right),M\left(Tp,Sp,\alpha t\right)\right.$$

$$\left.*M\left(Sp,Sq,\beta t\right),M\left(Tq,Sq,\alpha t\right)*M\left(Sq,Sp,\beta t\right)\right\}$$

$$M\left(p,q,kt\right) \ge \varphi\left\{M\left(p,q,t\right),M\left(p,p,t\right),M\left(q,q,t\right),M\left(p,q,(\alpha+\beta)t\right),M\left(q,p,(\alpha+\beta)t\right)\right\}$$

$$M\left(p,q,kt\right) \ge \varphi\left\{M\left(p,q,t\right),M\left(p,p,t\right),M\left(q,q,t\right),M\left(p,q,t\right),M\left(q,p,t\right)\right\}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$

$$M\left(p,q,kt\right) \geq \varphi\left\{M\left(p,q,t\right),1,1,M\left(p,q,t\right),M\left(q,p,t\right)\right\} \geq M\left(p,q,t\right)$$

Similarly

$$N(Tp,Tq,kt) \leq \theta \left\{ N(Sp,Sq,t), N(Tp,Sp,t), N(Tq,Sq,t), N(Tp,Sp,\alpha t) \right.$$

$$\left. * N(Sp,Sq,\beta t), N(Tq,Sq,\alpha t) * N(Sq,Sp,\beta t) \right\}$$

$$N(p,q,kt) \leq \theta \left\{ N(p,q,t), N(p,p,t), N(q,q,t), N(p,q,(\alpha+\beta)t), N(q,p,(\alpha+\beta)t) \right\}$$

$$N(p,q,kt) \leq \theta \left\{ N(p,q,t), N(p,p,t), N(q,q,t), N(p,q,t), N(q,p,t) \right\}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$

$$N(p,q,kt) \le \theta\{N(p,q,t),1,1,N(p,q,t),N(q,p,t)\} \le N(p,q,t)$$

 $\Rightarrow p = q$

Hence mappings T and S have a unique fixed point.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Continuous t norms and t conorms are defined as $a*a \ge a$ and $(1-a)\diamond(1-a)\le (1-a)$ respectively, where $a \in [0,1]$.

Let *T* and *S* be two weakly compatible maps of *X* satisfying the following conditions:

- (3.2.1) T and S satisfying E.A. properties,
- (3.2.2) S is the closed subspaces of X,
- $(3.2.3) \forall x, y \in X, t > 0$, such that

$$\varphi\left\{M\left(Sx,Sy,T\right),M\left(Tx,Sx,t\right),M\left(Ty,Sy,t\right),M\left(Tx,Sy,t\right),M\left(Ty,Sx,t\right)\right\}<0$$

$$\theta \left\{ N(Sx,Sy,T), N(Tx,Sx,t), N(Ty,Sy,t), N(Tx,Sy,t), N(Ty,Sx,t) \right\} < 0$$

where $\varphi, \theta : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ are mappings and upper semi-continuous, non-decreasing, such that (3.2.4) $\varphi(1,1,t,1,t) \ge t$, $\varphi(t,1,1,t,t) \ge t$, $\varphi(t,1,1,t,t) \le t$, $\varphi(t,1,1,t,t) \le t$ and $t \in [0,1]$

Then S and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. From (3.2.1), we have a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = u,$$

for some $u \in X$. From (3.2.2), S(X) is the closed subspace of $X \Rightarrow$ there is $v \in X$ such that u = Su.

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u = Sv = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n$. Now our goal is to prove Tv = Sv.

In (3.2.3), taking $x = x_n$ and y = v, we have

$$\varphi\left\{M\left(Sx_{n},Sv,t\right),M\left(Tx_{n},Sv,t\right),M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right),M\left(Tx_{n},Sv,t\right),M\left(Tv,Sx_{n},t\right)\right\}<0$$

Taking $\lim n \to \infty$, we have,

$$\varphi\{M(Sv,Sv,t),M(Sv,Sv,t),M(Tv,Sv,t),M(Sv,Sv,t),M(Tv,Sv,t)\}<0$$

$$=\varphi\{1,1,M(Tv,Sv,t),1,M(Tv,Sv,t)\}=M(Tv,Sv,t)<0 \quad (3.2.5)$$

Similarly

$$\theta\left\{N\left(Sx_{n},Sv,t\right),N\left(Tx_{n},Sv,t\right),N\left(Tv,Sv,t\right),N\left(Tx_{n},Sv,t\right),N\left(Tv,Sx_{n},t\right)\right\}>0$$

Taking $\lim n \to \infty$, we have

$$\theta \{ N(Sv, Sv, t), N(Sv, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Sv, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sv, t) \} > 0$$

$$= \varphi \{ 1, 1, N(Tv, Sv, t), 1, N(Tv, Sv, t) \} \le N(Tv, Sv, t) > 0 \quad (3.2.6)$$

(3.2.5) and (3.2.6) both are the contradiction of (3.2.4).

Hence Tv = Sv = z (say) $\Rightarrow v$ is a coincident point of T and S. Again T and S are compatible mappings, therefore $v = STv = z \Rightarrow z = Tz = Sz$.

Now we are to show that v is common point of T and S. Therefore replacing x and y by z and v in (3.2.3), we have

$$\varphi \left\{ M\left(Sz,Sv,t\right), M\left(Tz,Sz,t\right), M\left(Tv,Sv,t\right), M\left(Tz,Sv,t\right), M\left(Tv,Sz,t\right) \right\}$$

$$= \varphi \left\{ M\left(Tz,z,t\right), M\left(Tz,Tz,t\right), M\left(z,z,t\right), M\left(Tz,z,t\right), M\left(z,Tz,t\right) \right\}$$

$$= \varphi \left\{ M\left(Tz,z,t\right), 1, 1, M\left(Tz,z,t\right), M\left(z,Tz,t\right) \right\} = M\left(Tz,z,t\right) > 0$$

This is a contradiction. Similarly

$$\theta \{ N(Sz, Sv, t), N(Tz, Sz, t), N(Tv, Sv, t), N(Tz, Sv, t), N(Tv, Sz, t) \}$$

$$= \theta \{ N(Tz, z, t), N(Tz, Tz, t), N(z, z, t), N(Tz, z, t), N(z, Tz, t) \}$$

$$= \theta \{ N(Tz, z, t), 1, 1, N(Tz, z, t), N(z, Tz, t) \} = N(Tz, z, t) < 0$$

This is a contradiction again. Hence $Tz = Sz = z \Rightarrow z$ is a common fixed point for T and S. Uniqueness of the point will be proved by contradiction. For that suppose p and q be two fixed points. Therefore from (3.2.3), we have

$$\varphi \{ M(Sp, Sq, t), M(Tp, Sp, t), M(Tq, Sq, t), M(Tp, Sq, t), M(Tq, Sp, t) \}$$

$$= \varphi \{ M(p, q, t), M(p, p, t), M(q, q, t), M(p, q, t), M(q, p, t) \}$$

$$= \varphi \{ M(p, q, t), M(p, p, t), M(q, q, t), M(p, q, t), M(q, p, t) \}$$

$$= \varphi \{ M(p, q, t), 1, 1, M(p, q, t), M(q, p, t) \} = M(p, q, t) > 0$$

Similarly

$$\varphi \{ N(Sp, Sq, t), N(Tp, Sp, t), N(Tq, Sq, t), N(Tp, Sq, t), N(Tq, Sp, t) \}
= \varphi \{ N(p, q, t), N(p, p, t), N(q, q, t), N(p, q, t), N(q, p, t) \}
= \varphi \{ N(p, q, t), N(p, p, t), N(q, q, t), N(p, q, t), N(q, p, t) \}
= \varphi \{ N(p, q, t), 1, 1, N(p, q, t), N(q, p, t) \} = N(p, q, t) < 0,$$

This is the contradiction of (3.2.4).

 $\Rightarrow p = q$. Hence mappings T and S have a unique fixed point.

This completes the proof.

References

- Zadeh, L.A. (1965) Fuzzy Sets. Information and Control, 8, 338-353. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X
- [2] Kramosil, I. and Michlek, J. (1975) Fuzzy Metric and Statistical Metric Spaces. Kybernetica, 11, 326-334.
- [3] George, A. and Veeramani, P. (1994) On Some Results in Fuzzy Metric Spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and System*, **64**, 81-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90162-7
- [4] Park, J.S., Kwun, Y.C. and Park, J.H. (2005) A Fixed Point Theorems "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metrics Spaces". Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 16, 137-149.
- [5] Jungck, G. (1976) Commuting Mappings and Fixed Points. The American Mathematical Monthly, 83, 261-263. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2318216
- [6] Aamri, M. and Mountwakil, D. (2002) Some Common fixed Point Theorems under Strict Contractive Conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **270**, 181-188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00059-8
- Atanassov, K. (1986) Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Sets. Fuzzy Sets and System, 20, 87-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3
- [8] Park, J.S. (2004) Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metrics Spaces. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 22, 1039-1046. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2004.02.051
- [9] Turkogly, D., Alaca, C., Cho, Y.J. and Yaldiz C. (2006) Common Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, **22**, 41-424.
- [10] Kumar, S., Bhatia, S.S. and Manro, S. (2012) Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Maps Satisfying E.A. Property in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using Implicit Relation. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Ma*

- thematics and Decision Sciences, 12.
- [11] Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D. and Yaldiz, C. (2006) Fixed Point Theorems in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 29, 1073-1078. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2005.08.066
- [12] Popa, V. (2001) Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Mappings. *Radovi Matematichi*, **10**, 245-252.
- [13] Imdad, M., Kumar, S. and Khan, M.S. (2002) Remarks on Some Common Fixed Point Theorems Satisfying Implicit Relations. *Radovi Matematichi*, **11**, 1-9.
- [14] Imdad, M. and Ali, J. (2007) A General Fixed Point Theorem for Hybrid Contraction via Implicit Functions. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **31**, 73-80.
- [15] Imdad, M. and Ali, J. (2008) An Implicit Function Implies Contraction Conditions. Sarajevo Journals of Mathematics, 4, 269-285.