



A Method for Numerical Solution of Two Point Boundary Value Problems with Mixed Boundary Conditions

Pramod Kumar Pandey^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics, Dyal Singh College (Univ. of Delhi), New Delhi, India

²Present Address: Department of Information Technology, College of Applied Sciences, Ministry of Higher Education, Salalah, Sultanate of Oman

Email: pramod_10p@hotmail.com

Received 1 June 2014; revised 16 July 2014; accepted 26 August 2014

Copyright © 2014 by author and OALib.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this article, we concerned with the development of a method for solving two point boundary value problems of ordinary differential equations. To develop method, we consider derivative of solution of a problem as an intermediate problem (IP). The analytical solution of the problem and IP were locally approximated by a nonlinear function with fixed step length. Some numerical experiments have been carried out to show the performance and effectiveness of the proposed method. Also we obtained numerical value of derivative of solution as a byproduct of proposed method. A clear conclusion can be drawn from the results that method converges with limited stability.

Keywords

Approximations, Boundary Value Problems, Fixed Step Size, Mixed Boundary Conditions, Maximum Absolute Error, Nonlinear Function, Stability

Subject Areas: Numerical Mathematics, Ordinary Differential Equation

1. Introduction

The two point boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions have great importance in sciences and engineering. One of the important phenomena heat conduction through a solid with heat generation that occurs in natural science can be modeled mathematically in form of ordinary/partial differential equations subject to mixed boundary conditions. As is well known, it is impossible/difficult to obtain analytical solution for such problem in general because of the nonlinearity nature of the problem that changes the problem to a nonlinear

How to cite this paper: Pandey, P.K. (2014) A Method for Numerical Solution of Two Point Boundary Value Problems with Mixed Boundary Conditions. *Open Access Library Journal*, 1: e565.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1100565>

two point boundary value problem with mixed boundary conditions. For such problem numerical methods are almost the only choice for getting solution.

Consider second order boundary value problems in ordinary differential equation of the form

$$y^{(2)}(x) = f(x, y, y'), x \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y(x), y'(x), f(x, y, y') \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} y(a) = \alpha \text{ and } y'(b) = \beta', \\ \text{or } y'(a) = \alpha' \text{ and } y(b) = \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where (α, β') and (α', β) are real constants.

Generally, the existence and uniqueness conditions for the solution of two point boundary value problems can be different and difficult. Thus we use the specific assumption on $f(x, y, y')$ to guarantee the existence and uniqueness for the solution of problem (1), those described in [1] [2]. We consider the presentation in this article as simple as possible. We shall not consider restrictions on source function $f(x, y, y')$ for existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problem (1) those available in literature [3]. Thus the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the problem (1) is assumed. Further we assume that solution of the problem (1) depends continuously on the given boundary conditions.

Numerical solution of problem (1), using finite difference method is an approximation to the value of solution of problem (1) at discrete points and depends on a step size, the distance between two successive discrete points. We use this idea to develop the proposed numerical method for the solution of the problem (1). The proposed method has the advantage of simplicity. The method is simple in sense that development of the method depends on the Taylor, Mac Lauren and exponential series expansion and seems to converge quadratically. But also we discuss the convergence of the approximate solution to the solution of the problem (1) in the limit of the step size go to zero. To the best of my knowledge, no similar method for the solution of problem (1) has been discussed in literature so far.

We present in Section 2, the development and derivation of the numerical method for solving problem (1). Local truncation error and convergence is discussed in Section 3. The possibility of stability and computational performance of the method on model problems is discussed respectively in Sections 4 and 5. Conclusion and out view for future research are discussed in final Section 6.

2. Derivation of Method

We define the equal step size mesh points of the interval $[a, b]$ as,

$$x_i = a + ih, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

where $x_0 = a$, $x_N = b$ and h being step size and defined as $h = \frac{(b-a)}{N}$.

Let y_i , an approximate value of the theoretical solution $y(x)$ of problem (1) at the mesh point $x = x_i$ and f_i an approximate value of source function $f(x_i, y_i, y'_i)$ at the mesh point $x = x_i$. Further we assume that problem (1) posses unique solution in $[a, b]$.

Suppose we have numerically solved the problem (1) up to the mesh point x_i and obtained numerical value y_i , as an approximate value of $y(x)$, the solution of the problem (1) at mesh point at $x = x_i$. Let us assume local hypothesis [4] that $y(x_i) = y_i$. We are interested in obtaining y_{i+1} , an approximate value of $y(x)$ at $x = x_{i+1}$.

Further let we have y'_{i+1} an approximate value of IP, i.e. $y'(x)$, derivative of solution of the problem (1) at the mesh point at $x = x_{i+1}$ and assume that $y(x_{i+1}) = y'_{i+1}$. We are interested in obtaining y'_i , an approximate value of $y'(x)$ at the mesh point $x = x_i$.

Following the ideas in [5] [6], we propose an approximation to $y(x_i + h)$ and $y'(x_i)$, the solution IP and derivative of solution of problem (1) respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_i + h) &= y(x_i) + hy'(x_i) + a_0 h^2 y^{(2)}(x_i) e^{\theta(h)}, \\ y'(x_i) &= y'(x_i + h) + b_0 h y^{(2)}(x_i + h) e^{\theta(h)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where a_0, b_0 are undetermined coefficients and $\varphi(h), \theta(h)$ are unknown differentiable functions of step length h .

From (4), let us define a function $F_i(h, x, y, y', y^{(2)})$ such that

$$F_i(h, x, y, y', y^{(2)}) \equiv y(x_i + h) - y(x_i) - hy'(x_i) - a_0 h^2 y^{(2)}(x_i) e^{\varphi(h)} = 0 \tag{5}$$

If we expand $\varphi(h)$ in MacLauren series *i.e.*

$$\varphi(h) = \varphi(0) + h\varphi'(0) + O(h^2) \tag{6}$$

So, we have

$$e^{\varphi(h)} = 1 + \varphi(0) + h\varphi'(0) + O(h^2). \tag{7}$$

If we expand $y(x_i + h)$ in Taylor series about mesh point $x = x_i$ in (5) and then using (7) in the expansion, we have

$$F_i(h, x, y, y', y^{(2)}) \equiv h^2 y^{(2)}(x_i) \left(\frac{1}{2} - a_0(1 + \varphi(0)) \right) + h^3 \left(\frac{1}{6} y^{(3)}(x_i) - a_0 y^{(2)}(x_i) \varphi'(0) \right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

To determine $a_0, \varphi(0)$ and $\varphi'(0)$, comparing coefficients of h^2, h^3 both side in (8), we have

$$a_0(1 + \varphi(0)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$a_0 \varphi'(0) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{y^{(3)}(x_i)}{y^{(2)}(x_i)}, \quad y^{(2)}(x_i) \neq 0 \text{ for any } x_i \in [a, b].$$

For simple expression and calculation, we assume $\varphi(0) = 0$, so we get

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\varphi'(0) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{y^{(3)}(x_i)}{y^{(2)}(x_i)}. \tag{9}$$

Substituting value from (9) in (6), assuming the negligible contribution of the terms with $O(h^2)$, we have

$$\varphi(h) = \frac{h}{3} \frac{y^{(3)}(x_i)}{y^{(2)}(x_i)}, \tag{10}$$

Substituting values of a_0 and $\varphi(h)$ from (9) and (10) in (4), we have

$$y(x_i + h) = y(x_i) + hy'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2} y^{(2)}(x_i) e^{\left(\frac{h}{3} \frac{y^{(3)}(x_i)}{y^{(2)}(x_i)} \right)} \tag{11}$$

Following the similar steps as above, we can determine unknown coefficients in IP, second equation of (4). Thus we can write similar expression like (11) for IP as,

$$y'(x_i) = y'(x_i + h) - hy^{(2)}(x_i + h) e^{\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{y^{(3)}(x_i + h)}{y^{(2)}(x_i + h)} \right)} \tag{12}$$

Thus, we can write our difference method for computation of solution and IP for problem (1) as,

$$y(x_i + h) = y(x_i) + hy'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{2} f_i e^{\left(\frac{hf'_i}{3f_i} \right)}$$

$$y'(x_i) = y'(x_i + h) - hf_{i+1} e^{\left(\frac{hf'_{i+1}}{2f_{i+1}} \right)} \tag{13}$$

where $y^{(3)}(x_i) = f'_i$ and defined as,

$$f'_i = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} f \right)_i$$

A difference method similar to (13), we can derive for other set of boundary conditions *i.e.* when $y'(a)$ and $y(b)$ are prescribed.

Thus we have developed an exponential single step method of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i+1} &= y_i + hy'_i + \frac{h^2}{2} G(x, y, y')_i, \\ y'_i &= y'_{i+1} - hG_1(x, y, y')_i \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where G and G_1 are increment functions. The method (14) appears to me similar to the implicit Euler method available in [7], for initial value problems in ordinary differential equation. But it is system of nonlinear equations in y_{i+1} and y'_{N-i+1} , $i=1,2,\dots,N$ and generally solved by iterative method. To solve this system of nonlinear equations, we have applied Newton Raphson iteration method. In variety of model second order boundary value problems reported in section 4, for computational purpose we have used single step finite difference approximation in place of f'_i *i.e.* $hf'_i = f_{i+1} - f_i$.

3. The Local Truncation Error and Convergence

In this section, we consider the error associated to the proposed method (13). Let $y(x)$ be the solution of problem (1) four times continuously differentiable in the domain $[a, b]$. Let the local truncation errors in solution and IP, derivative of solution of problem (1) are respectively T_{i+1} and DT_i . So we define

$$\begin{aligned} DT_i &= y'(x_i) - y_i = \frac{h^3}{12} \left(\frac{3(y_{i+1}^{(3)})^2}{y_{i+1}^{(2)}} - 2y_{i+1}^{(4)}(\delta) \right), \quad x_i < \delta < x_{i+1}. \\ |DT_i| &\leq \frac{h^3}{6} (K + 6M), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where M is Lipschitz constant for source function $f(x, y, y')$ and $K = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |y^4(x)|$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i+1} &= y(x_i + h) - y_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, \\ T_{i+1} &= y(x_i + h) - y(x_i) - hy'(x_i) - \frac{h^2}{2} f_i e^{\left(\frac{h y^{(3)}(x_i)}{3 y^{(2)}(x_i)} \right)} \\ &= hDT_i + \frac{h^4}{24} y^{(4)}(\delta_1) - \frac{h^4}{18} \frac{(f_i)^2}{f_i}, \quad x_i < \delta_1 < x_{i+1}, \\ |T_{i+1}| &\leq \frac{h^3}{6} (15K + 88M). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus local truncation errors are bounded if $\left| \frac{f'_i}{2f_i} \right| < 1$. It can be proved that increment functions G and G_1 satisfy Lipschitz condition. Also these functions are continuous in h . Therefore method (13) is convergent.

4. Stability Analysis

To discuss stability property of the method (13), we follow the same method as discussed in [8] [9]. Consider the Dahlquist test equation for stability,

$$y^{(2)}(x) = \lambda^2 y(x), \quad x \in [a, b] \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

subject to boundary conditions $y(a) = y_0$ and $y'(a) = \lambda y_0$. Apply the method (13) to this test equation, we obtained a finite difference equation, assuming the negligible contribution of the terms with $O(h^4)$ in the expression,

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + hy'_i + \frac{(\lambda h)^2}{2} y_i e^{\frac{\lambda h}{3}} = y_i + hy'_i + \frac{(\lambda h)^2}{2} y_i \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h}{3} + \frac{(\lambda h)^2}{18} + \dots \right)$$

$$= \left(1 + \lambda h + \frac{(\lambda h)^2}{2} + \frac{(\lambda h)^3}{6} + \dots \right) y_i \approx E(\lambda h) y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N. \tag{17}$$

where the stability function $E(\lambda h)$ is some approximation to $e^{\lambda h}$. let define error equation

$$\epsilon_{i+1} = y(x_{i+1}) - y_{i+1}$$

and substitute in (17), we have

$$\epsilon_{i+1} = E(\lambda h) \epsilon_i \tag{18}$$

In some cases the numerical solution may differ considerably from the difference solution. The effect of local truncation error is bounded and $E(\lambda h) \epsilon_i$, is the propagation of the error from the previous step x_i to x_{i+1} in computation of $y(x)$.

It will grow if $|E(\lambda h)| > 1$. Thus method is absolutely stable if $|E(\lambda h)| \leq 1$, so method (13) is absolutely stable if and only if $-2.51275 \leq \lambda h \leq 0$. Similarly we can calculate propagation of the error from previous step x_{i+1} to x_i in IP, computation of $y'(x)$ and is same as in $y(x)$.

5. Numerical Experiment

The results of numerical experiment will be presented in order to illustrate the performance of the proposed method. We have shown in tables maximum absolute error computed on the discrete points in the interval of integration for these experiments in their solution and derivative of solution, for different values of N . Let y_i and y'_i are the numbers calculated by (13) respectively which are an approximate value of the theoretical solution $y(x)$ and IP, derivative of solution *i.e.* $y'(x)$ at the point $x = x_i$. Maximum Absolute Error (**MAE**) is calculated in both solution and derivative of solution by using formula

$$MAE_y = \max_{x_i \in [a,b]} |y(x_i) - y_i|, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, N+1 \text{ or } i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

$$MAE_{y'} = \max_{x_i \in [a,b]} |y'(x_i) - y'_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \text{ or } i = 2, 3, \dots, N+1.$$

All computations in the examples consider were performed on MS Window 2007\professional operating system in the GNU FORTRAN environment version -99 compiler (2.95 of gcc) running on Intel Duo core 2.20 GHz PC. Both $y(x)$ and $y'(x)$ were computed on N nodes and iterations continued until either maximum difference between two iterates is less than 10^{-14} or number of iterations reached 10^3 .

Problem 1.

Let us consider the following boundary value problem

$$y^{(2)}(x) = -e^{y(x)} y^x(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) e^{2y(x)} + f(x),$$

for $x \in \Omega = \{0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, with the boundary conditions $y(x) = 1, y'(x) = -\pi/2, x \in \partial\Omega$. In this example, the exact solution $y(x) = \cos(\pi x/2)$ is known. We shall compute the *MAE* in the approximate solution y_i and the derivative of solution y'_j , at the mesh point $i = 2, 3, \dots, N+1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ for $N = 2^{p+1}, p = 3, 4, \dots, 9$. We presented results in **Table 1**.

Problem 2.

Let us consider the following boundary value problem

$$y^{(2)}(x) = \beta \sinh(\beta y) + g(x),$$

for $x \in \Omega = \{0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, with the boundary conditions $y(x) = 1, y'(x) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega$. In this example, the exact

solution $y(x) = \frac{\cosh(\beta x)}{\cosh(\beta)}$ is known. We shall compute the MAE in the approximate solution y_i and the derivative of solution y'_j , at the mesh point $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, N + 1$ for $N = 2^{p+1}$, $p = 3, 4, \dots, 9$ and $N = 2^{p+1}$, $p = 5, 6, \dots, 11$. We presented results in **Table 2** and **Table 3** for $\beta = 2$ and $\beta = 5$ respectively.

Problem 3.

Let us consider the following boundary value problem

$$y^{(2)}(x) = \beta x \cos(y) + f(x),$$

for $x \in \Omega = \{0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, with the boundary conditions $y(x) = 0$, $y'(x) = 0$, $x \in \partial\Omega$. In this example, the exact solution $y(x) = \beta \frac{1-x^3}{6}$ is known. We shall compute the MAE in the approximate solution y_i and the derivative of solution y'_j , at the mesh point $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, N + 1$ for $N = 2^{p+1}$, $p = 3, 4, \dots, 9$. We presented results in **Table 4** for $\beta = 10$.

Table 1. Maximum absolute error in $y(x) = \cos(\pi x/2)$ and $y'(x)$ for problem 1.

MAE	N						
	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
y	0.37967297(-2)	0.59528940(-3)	0.63126907(-4)	0.10699034(-4)	0.64298511(-5)	0.20265579(-5)	0.97602606(-6)
y'	0.75638252(-2)	0.18921032(-2)	0.47309601(-3)	0.11825059(-3)	0.29586055(-4)	0.73965139(-5)	0.18725352(-5)

Table 2. Maximum absolute error in $y(x) = \frac{\cosh(\beta x)}{\cosh(\beta)}$ and $y'(x)$ for $\beta = 2$ in problem 2.

MAE	N						
	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
y	0.16642511(-2)	0.40349363(-3)	0.99420547(-4)	0.24586916(-4)	0.63478947(-5)	0.16391277(-5)	0.74505806(-6)
y'	0.51084757(-2)	0.12534857(-2)	0.31054020(-3)	0.77366829(-4)	0.18835068(-4)	0.52452087(-5)	0.11920929(-5)

Table 3. Maximum absolute error in $y(x) = \frac{\cosh(\beta x)}{\cosh(\beta)}$ and $y'(x)$ for $\beta = 5$ in problem 2.

MAE	N						
	64	128	256	512	1024	2048	4096
y	0.12481017(-2)	0.30486844(-3)	0.75593591(-4)	0.18656254(-4)	0.50235540(-5)	0.17676502(-5)	0.47683716(-6)
y'	0.64787865(-2)	0.15945435(-2)	0.39577484(-3)	0.97751717(-4)	0.24318695(-4)	0.95367432(-5)	0.38146973(-5)

Table 4. Maximum absolute error in $y(x) = \beta \frac{1-x^3}{6}$ and $y'(x)$ for $\beta = 10$ in problem 3.

MAE	N						
	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
y	0.68840981(-2)	0.84745884(-3)	0.11754036(-3)	0.68902969(-4)	0.27537346(-4)	0.98943710(-5)	0.29802322(-5)
y'	0.19531250(-1)	0.48828125(-2)	0.12207031(-2)	0.30517578(-3)	0.76293945(-4)	0.19073486(-4)	0.47683716(-5)

6. Conclusion

In this article, we have described a single step difference method of second order and applied to set of different model problems with equal step length in range of $h \in \left[\frac{1}{4096}, \frac{1}{16} \right]$. The proposed method has advantages and disadvantages when compared individually. The method based on exponential approximations, has good convergence in the computational domain. On the other hand very accurate iteration must be applied to solve nonlinear methods. As is evident from the results, method converges when we have applied Newton-Raphson method to solve system of nonlinear equations and gives $O(h^2)$ accuracy. It is not clear how local assumption affect the overall solution of the problem. Investigation in this direction will be done in the future. Though stability discussed and heavily depends on approximation to exponential function and local assumption as well. In addition, the development of this method will lead to possibility to approximate higher order derivatives in term of power of its lower order derivatives, to increase the order and accuracy of the method. Work in this specific direction is in progress. However our future works will also deal with similar extension of the present method to solve higher order boundary value problems.

References

- [1] Aggarwal, R.P. (1986) Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Differential Equations. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/0266>
- [2] Baxley, J.V. and Brown, E.S. (1981) Existence and Uniqueness for Two Point Boundary Value Problems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Sect. A Mathematics*, **88**, 219-234.
- [3] Copel, W.A. (1965) Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations. Heath Boston.
- [4] Lambert, J.D. (1991) Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Systems. John Wiley, England.
- [5] VanNiekerk, F.D. (1987) Non Linear One Step Methods for Initial Value Problems. *Computers Mathematics with Applications*, **13**, 367-371. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221\(87\)90004-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221(87)90004-6)
- [6] Pandey, P.K. (2013) Nonlinear Explicit Method for First Order Initial Value Problems. *Acta Technica Jaurinensis*, **6**, 118-125.
- [7] Butcher, J.C. (2008) Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations. 2nd Edition. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470753767>
- [8] Jain, M.K., Iyenger, S.R.K. and Jain, R.K. (1987) Numerical Methods for Scientific and Engineering Computations (2/e). Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi.
- [9] Dahlquist, G. (1963) A Special Stability Problem for Linear Multistep Methods. *BIT*, **3**, 27-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01963532>