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#### Abstract

A proper edge coloring of a graph is acyclic, if every cycle $C$ of the graph has at least 3 colors. Let $\boldsymbol{r}$ be a positive integer. An edge coloring is $r$-acyclic if it is proper and every cycle $C$ has at least $\min \{|C|, r\}$ colors. The $r$-acyclic edge chromatic number $\boldsymbol{a}_{r}^{\prime}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of colors needed for any $r$-acyclic edge coloring of $G$. When $r=4$, the result of this paper is that the 4 -acyclic chromatic number of a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and girth $g \geq \max \{9, \Delta\}$ is less than $\mathbf{1 8 \Delta}$. Furthermore, if the girth of graph $G$ is at least $\max \{220, \Delta\}$, then $a_{4}^{\prime}(G)<6 \Delta$.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. A proper edge coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a map $\mathbb{C}: E(G) \rightarrow C$ such that $\mathbb{C}(e) \neq \mathbb{C}(f)$ for each pair of adjacent edges e, $f \in E(G)$, where $C$ denotes the color set. A proper edge coloring of $G$ is called acyclic if there are no bichromatic (two-colored) cycles in $G$. In other words, the subgraph induced by the union of any two color classes is a forest. The acyclic edge chromatic number (also called the acyclicchromatic index) of a graph $G$, denoted by $a^{\prime}(G)$, is the minimum number of colors required for any acyclic edge coloring of $G$. In 2001, Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [1] gave the wellknown Acyclic Edge Coloring Conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (AECC). For every graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$, we have $a^{\prime}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+2$.
Given a positive integer $r$, the $r$-acyclic edge coloring is a generalization of the acyclic edge coloring of graphs.

[^0]An edge coloring is $r$-acyclic if it is proper and every cycle $C$ has at least $\min \{|C|, r\}$ colors. The $r$-acyclic edge chromatic number $a_{r}^{\prime}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of colors needed for any $r$-acyclic edge coloring of $G$. This definition was first introduced by Gerke, Greenhill and Wormald [2] in 2006.

Gerke et al. [3] proved that $a_{r}^{\prime}(G) \leq 6(r-1) \Delta(G)$ for any graph $G$ with girth $g \geq 3(r-1) \Delta(G)$. In [4], we reduced the $r$-acyclic edge chromatic number $a_{r}^{\prime}(G)$ of a graph $G$ to $(2 r+5) \Delta(G)$ when the girth of $G$ equals to $\max \{220, \Delta(G)\}$.

In this paper we considered the $r$-acyclic edge coloring problems with $r=4$. Using probabilistic arguments, we get some new upper bounds for the 4-acyclic edge chromatic number of arbitrary graph $G$.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and girth $g$.

1) If $g \geq \max \{9, \Delta\}$, then $a_{4}^{\prime}(G)<18 \Delta$.
2) If $g \geq \max \{220, \Delta\}$, then $a_{4}^{\prime}(G)<6 \Delta$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We make use of the Lovász Local Lemma as an important tool in our proof. Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, we state the general version of the Lovász Local Lemma (see [5] [6] for details) as follows.

Lemma 2. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}$ be events in an arbitrary probability space. Let the graph $H=(V, E)$ on the nodes $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ be a dependency graph for the events $A_{i}$; that is, assume that for each $i, A_{i}$ is independent of the family of events $\left\{A_{j}:(i, j) \notin E\right\}$. If there are reals $0 \leq x_{i}<1$ such that for all $i$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \prod_{(i, j) \in E}\left(1-x_{j}\right)
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \overline{A_{i}}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)>0
$$

so that with positive probability no event $A_{i}$ occurs.

## Proof of Theorem 1.

When $\Delta \leq 2, \quad a_{r}^{\prime}(G) \leq r$ can be proved easily. Hence we assume that Gisa graph with $\Delta \geq 3$ in our following arguments.

In the first step, we have to prove that there is an edge coloring $\mathbb{C}: E(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \cdots, c \Delta\}$, where $c>1$ is a constant to be fixed later, such that $\mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following four properties.

1) Every vertex has at most two incident edges of any single color;
2) There are no cycles colored by a single color;
3) There are no cycles colored by just two colors;
4) If the cycle $D$ is colored by just three colors, there are $e, f \in E(G)$ such that they are adjacent and have the same color.

For each edge $e \in E(G)$, we do the following random experiment. Choose a color uniformly and independently at random from the color set $\{1,2, \cdots, c \Delta\}$, and let it be the color of the edge $e$. In order to make sure the resulting random coloring $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying properties (i)-(iv), we define four types of "bad events" as follows.

Type I. For each set of three edges $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ incident with a given vertex $u \in V(G)$, let $E_{\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}}$ be the event that all the three edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ receive the same color.

Type II. Given a cycle $D$ of length $k$, let $E_{\{D, k\}}$ be the event that all the edges of $D$ are colored by the same color.

Type III. Given a cycle $D$ of length $l$, let $\hat{E}_{\{D, l\}}$ be the event that the edges of $D$ are colored by just two colors.

Type IV. Given a cycle $D$ of length $h$, let $\bar{E}_{\{D, h\}}$ be the event that the edges of $D$ are properly colored by three colors.

Obviously, if all the events of Type I, II, III and IV do not occur, then the edge coloring $\mathbb{C}$ satisfies properties (i)-(iv).

Let us construct a graph $H$ needed in Lemma 2. Denote $X$ to be a set of three edges or a cycle $D$ in the graph $G$, where all the three edges are incident with a given vertex and colored by the same color, and all the edges of $E(D)$ are colored by a single color, or colored by two colors, or properly colored by three colors. Let $V(H)=$
$\left\{E_{X} \mid E_{X}\right.$ is an event of type I, II, III or IV $\}$. For each pair of nodes $E_{X}, E_{Y} \in V(H), E_{X}$ and $E_{Y}$ are adjacent if and only if $X \cap Y \neq \varnothing$. Since the occurrence of each event $E_{X}$ depends only on the colors of the edges in $X, \mathrm{H}$ is a dependency graph for our events. Furthermore, a node of $H$ is called a node of type $i$ if it corresponds to an event of type $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq 4$. In order to apply the Local Lemma, we have to estimate the probability of every event and the number of nodes of each type in graph $H$ which are adjacent to a given node.

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ be the edge set of type I and $D$ be a cycle in the graph $G$.

1) For each event $E_{\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}}$ of type $I, \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{\left\{1_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}}\right) \leq 1 /(c \Delta)^{2}$;
2) For each event $E_{\{D, k\}}$ of type II, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{\{D, k\}}\right) \leq 1 /(c \Delta)^{k-1}$;
3) For each event $\hat{E}_{\{D, l\}}$ of type III, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\hat{E}_{\{D, I\}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{l}^{2}\left(\frac{2}{c \Delta}\right)^{l-2}$;
4) For each event $\bar{E}_{\{D, h\}}$ of type IV, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bar{E}_{\{D, h\}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{6} C_{h}^{3}\left(\frac{2}{c \Delta}\right)^{h-3}$.

Let $e$ be any given edge of graph $G=(V, E)$. The number of sets which consist of $e$ and two other edges adjacent to $e$ at the same vertex, is less than $\Delta^{2}$. For every $k \geq 3$, no edge lies in more than $\Delta^{k-2}$ cycles of length $k$. For every node $E_{X} \in V(H)$, let $x$ be the number of edges contained in $X$. Lemma 3 tells us that the number of nodes of type I, II, III and IV adjacent to $E_{X}$ in graph $H$ is no more than $x \Delta^{2}, x \Delta^{k-2}, x \Delta^{l-2}$ and $x \Delta^{h-2}$, respectively.

Let $\quad x_{0}=1 /(\alpha \Delta)^{2}, \quad x_{k}=1 /(\beta \Delta)^{k-1}, \quad y_{l}=1 /(\gamma \Delta)^{l-2}$ and $z_{h}=1 /(\varepsilon \Delta)^{h-3}$ be the values corresponding to events of type I, II, III and IV, respectively, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon>1$ are constants to be determined later. Applying the Local Lemma, we have that, with positive probability none of bad events occur, provided the following four inequalities hold for every $k, l, h \geq g$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{(c \Delta)^{2}} \leq x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{3 \Delta^{2}} \prod_{i \geq g}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{3 \Delta^{i-2}} \prod_{j \geq g}\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{3 \Delta^{j-2}} \prod_{t \geq g}\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{3 \Delta^{t-2}}  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{1}{(c \Delta)^{k-1}} \leq x_{k}\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{k \Delta^{2}} \prod_{i \geq g}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{k \Delta^{i-2}} \prod_{j \geq g}\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{k \Delta^{j-2}} \prod_{t \geq g}\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{k \Delta^{t-2}}  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{1}{2} C_{l}^{2}\left(\frac{2}{c \Delta}\right)^{l-2} \leq y_{l}\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{I \Delta^{2}} \prod_{i \geq g}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{I \Delta^{i-2}} \prod_{j \geq g}\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{I \Delta^{j-2}} \prod_{t \geq g}\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{I \Delta^{t-2}}  \tag{1.3}\\
\frac{1}{6} C_{h}^{3}\left(\frac{2}{c \Delta}\right)^{h-3} \leq z_{h}\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{h \Delta^{2}} \prod_{i \geq g}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{h \Delta^{i-2}} \prod_{j \geq g}\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{h \Delta^{j-2}} \prod_{t \geq g}\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{h \Delta^{t-2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $f(z)=(1-1 / z)^{z}$. It is well-known that $f(z)$ is an increasing function which converges to $1 / e$ as $z$ tends to be infinity. Define $\Theta=\min \left\{f\left(x_{0}^{-1}\right), \min _{i \geq g} f\left(x_{i}^{-1}\right), \min _{j \geq g} f\left(y_{j}^{-1}\right), \min _{t \geq g} f\left(z_{t}^{-1}\right)\right\}$. So we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{\Delta^{2}} \geq \Theta^{1 / \alpha^{2}} \\
\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{\Delta^{i-2}}=\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{(\beta \Delta)^{i-1}}\right)^{(\beta \Delta)^{i-1}}\right\}^{1 / \Delta \beta^{i-1}} \geq \Theta^{1 / \Delta \beta^{i-1}} \\
\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{\Delta^{j-2}}=\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{(\gamma \Delta)^{j-2}}\right)^{(\gamma \Delta)^{j-2}}\right\}^{1 / \gamma^{j-2}} \geq \Theta^{1 / \gamma^{j-2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{\Delta^{t-2}}=\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{(\varepsilon \Delta)^{t-3}}\right)^{(\varepsilon \Delta)^{t-3}}\right\}^{\Delta / \varepsilon^{t-3}} \geq \Theta^{\Delta / \varepsilon^{t-3}}
$$

Furthermore, since $g \geq \Delta$, we have $\sum_{t \geq g} \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon^{t-3}} \leq \frac{\Delta}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}} \leq \frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}$. Thus, the following three inequalities $\prod_{i \geq g}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{\Delta^{i-2}} \geq \Theta^{\sum_{i \geq g} \frac{1}{\Delta \beta^{i-1}}} \geq \Theta^{\frac{1}{\Delta(\beta-1) \beta^{g-2}}}, \prod_{j \geq g}\left(1-y_{j}\right)^{\Delta^{j-2}} \geq \Theta^{\sum_{j \geq g} \frac{1}{\gamma^{j-2}}} \geq \Theta^{\frac{1}{(\gamma-1) \gamma^{g-3}}}$ and $\prod_{t \geq g}\left(1-z_{t}\right)^{\Delta^{t-2}} \geq \Theta^{\Sigma_{t \geq g} \frac{\Delta}{\varepsilon^{t-3}}} \geq \Theta^{\frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}}$ can be proved to be true.

In order to prove inequalities (1.1)-(1.4) holds, we just need to show that the following four inequalities (1.5)(1.8) hold for every $k \geq g$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \Theta^{\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\Delta(\beta-1) \beta^{g-2}}+\frac{1}{(\gamma-1) \gamma^{g-3}}+\frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}\right)}  \tag{1.5}\\
\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \Theta^{\frac{k}{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\Delta(\beta-1) \beta^{g-2}}+\frac{1}{(\gamma-1) \gamma^{g-3}}+\frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}\right)}  \tag{1.6}\\
\frac{2}{C}\left(\frac{C_{l}^{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2}} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \Theta^{\frac{1}{1-2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\Delta(\beta-1) \beta^{g-2}}+\frac{1}{(\gamma-1) \gamma^{g-3}}+\frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}\right)}  \tag{1.7}\\
\frac{2}{C}\left(\frac{C_{h}^{3}}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{h-3}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Theta^{\frac{h}{h-2}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{\Delta(\beta-1) \beta^{g-2}+\frac{1}{(\gamma-1) \gamma^{g-3}}+\frac{g}{(\varepsilon-1) \varepsilon^{g-4}}}\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the help of the MATLAB calculations, we receive the minimum values of c and corresponding values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon$ and $g$. Therefore, there is a random edge coloring $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying properties (i)-(iv) which needs $c \Delta$ colors.

When $l \geq 4, h \geq 8,\left(C_{l}^{2} / 2\right)^{\frac{1}{l-2}}$ and $\left(C_{h}^{3} / 6\right)^{\frac{1}{h-3}}$ decrease with the increasing of $l$ and $h$, respectively. Therefore, when $g \geq \max \{9, \Delta\}$, we set $\alpha=8.01, \beta=8.21, \gamma=2.68, \varepsilon=2.58$. It can be verified that the inequalities (1.5)(1.8) are satisfied by setting $c=8.8587$. When $g \geq \max \{220, \Delta\}$, we set $\alpha=2.01, \beta=1.2, \gamma=1.08, \varepsilon=1.07$. And it can be verified that the inequalities (1.5)-(1.8) are satisfied by setting $c=2.9352$.

From the above argument, we know that, there is an edge coloring $\mathbb{C}: E(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \cdots, c \Delta\}$ of the graph $G$ which satisfies properties (i)-(iv).

Now turn to the second step of our proof. For every color $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, c \Delta\}$, let $G[i]$ be the induced subgraph of $G$ by the edges with the color $i$. From properties (i) and (ii), we know that $\Delta(G[i]) \leq 2$ and $G[i]$ consists of some disjoint paths. Therefore, the edges of $G[i]$ can be recolored by two new colors $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}$, which becomes a proper 2-edge coloring of $G[i]$.

After similar arguments of every color $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, c \Delta\}$, we get a new proper edge coloring
$\mathbb{C}^{\prime}: E(G) \rightarrow\left\{1_{1}, 1_{2}, \cdots, i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, c \Delta_{1}, c \Delta_{2}\right\}$ of graph $G$. Furthermore, properties (iii) tells us there are no cycles colored by just two colors in the random edge coloring $\mathbb{C}$. If $D$ is a cycle colored by three colors in $\mathbb{C}$, from property (iv), there are $e, f \in E(D)$ such that they are adjacent and have the same color. And then, $e, f \in E(D)$ will get two different colors in the new coloring $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$, which makes the number of colors occurs in the cycle $D$ is at least 4 . If the random edge coloring $\mathbb{C}$ makes the cycle $D$ colored by at least 4 colors, then so does the new coloring $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$. Hence, with the new coloring $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$, there are at least 4 colors in every cycle of $G$.

In a word, $\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$ is a 4 -acyclic edge coloring of graph $G$ with at most $2 c \Delta$ colors. And then, it is true that: when the girth $g \geq \max \{9, \Delta\}$, we have $a_{4}^{\prime}(G) \leq 17.7174 \Delta<18 \Delta$; and when $g \geq \max \{220, \Delta\}$, we have $a_{4}^{\prime}(G) \leq 5.8703 \Delta<6 \Delta$.

## 3. Remarks

This proof was finished mainly using the Lovász Local Lemma. We believe that with the use of more probabilistic methods, or more careful applications of the Local Lemma, the study of 4-acyclic edge colorings and $r$ acyclic edge colorings will go further.
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