

Filtered Ring Derived from Discrete Valuation Ring and Its Properties

M. H. Anjom Shoa*, M. H. Hosseini

University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran Email: *ajomshoamh@birjand.ac.ir, mhhosseini@birjand.ac.ir

Received 7 January 2014; revised 7 February 2014; accepted 15 February 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> © Open Access

Abstract

In this paper we show that if R is a discrete valuation ring, then R is a filtered ring. We prove some properties and relation when R is a discrete valuation ring.

Keywords

Commutative Ring; Valuation Ring; Discrete Valuation Ring; Filtered Ring; Graded Ring; Filtered Module; Graded Module

1. Introduction

In commutative algebra, valuation ring and filtered ring are two most important structures (see [1]-[3]). If R is a discrete valuation ring, then R has many properties that have many usages for example decidability of the theory of modules over commutative valuation domains (see [1]-[3]), Rees valuations, and asymptotic primes of rational powers in Noetherian rings, and lattices (see [4]). We know that filtered ring is also a most important structure since filtered ring is a base for graded ring especially associated graded ring, completion, and some results like on the Andreadakis Johnson filtration of the automorphism group of a free group (see [5]) on the depth of the associated graded ring of a filtration (see [6]). So, as important structures, the relation between these structures is useful for finding some new structure. In this article, we show that we can make a filtration with a valuation. Then we explain some new properties for it. On the other hand, we show this is a strongly filtered ring, then we explain some new properties for it.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper the ring R means a commutative ring with unit.

*Corresponding author.

Definition 2.1 A subring R of a field K is called a valuation ring of K, if for every $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \neq 0$, either $\alpha \in R$ or $\alpha^{-1} \in R$.

Definition 2.2 Let Δ be a totally ordered abelian group. A valuation ν on R with values in Δ is a mapping $\nu: R^* \to \Delta$ satisfying:

i) v(ab) = v(a) + v(b);

ii) $v(a+b) \ge \min\{v(a), v(b)\}.$

Definition 2.3 Let K be field. A discrete valuation on K is a valuation $v: K^* \to Z$ which is surjective.

Definition 2.4 A fractionary ideal of R is an R-submodule M of K such that $aM \subseteq R$, for some $a \in R$, $a \neq 0$.

Definition 2.5 A fractionary ideal M is called invertible, if there exists another fractionary ideal N such that MN = R.

Proposition 2.1 Let R be a local domain. Every non zero fractionary ideal of R is invertible if and only if R is DVR (see [3]).

Theorem 2.1 Let R be a Noetherian local domain with unique maximal ideal $m \neq 0$ and K the quotient field of R. The following conditions are equivalent.

i) *R* is a discrete valuation ring;

ii) R is a principal ideal domain;

iii) *m* is principal;

iv) R is internally closed and every non-zero prime ideal of R is maximal;

v) Every non-zero ideal of R is power of m (see [3]).

Definition 2.6 Let *R* be a ring together with a family $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of subgroups of *R* if satisfying the following conditions:

i) $\vec{R}_0 = R$;

ii) $R_{n+1} \subseteq R_n$ for all $n \ge 0$;

iii) $R_n R_m \subseteq R_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \ge 0$;

Then we say R has a filtration.

Definition 2.7 Let *R* be a ring together with a family $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of subgroups of *R* if satisfying the following conditions:

i)
$$R_0 = R$$
;

ii) $R_{n+1} \subseteq R_n$ for all $n \ge 0$;

iii) $R_n R_m = R_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \ge 0$;

Then we say R has a strong filtration.

Example 2.1 Let *I* be an ideal of *R*, then $R_i = I^i$ is a filtration that is called *I* adic filtration ring. **Definition 2.8** Let *R* be a filtered ring. A filtered *R*-module *M* is an *R*-module together with family $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of subgroup *M* of satisfying:

1.
$$M_0 = M$$
:

2.
$$M_{n+1} \subseteq M_n$$
 for all $n \ge 0$;

3. $R_n M_m \subseteq M_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \ge 0$.

Then we say M has a filtration.

Definition 2.9 A map $f: M \to N$ is called a homomorphism of filtered modules, if: i) f is R-module an homomorphism and ii) $f(M_n) \subseteq N_n$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Definition 2.10 A graded ring R is a ring, which can expressed as a direct sum of subgroup $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ *i.e.* $R = \bigoplus_{n>0} R_n$ such that $R_n R_m \subseteq R_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$

Definition 2.11 Let R be a graded ring. An R-module M is called a graded R-module, if M can be expressed as a direct sum of subgroups $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ *i.e.* $M = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M_n$ such that $R_n M_m \subseteq M_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$.

Definition 2.12 Let M and N be graded modules over a graded ring R. A map $f: M \to N$ is called

homomorphism of graded modules if: i) f is R-module an homomorphism and ii) $f(M_n) \subseteq N_n$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Definition 2.13 Let R be a filtered ring with filtration $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Let $gr_n(R) = R_n/R_{n+1}$, and $gr(R) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} gr_n(R)$. Then gr(R) has a natural multiplication induced from R given

$$(a+R_{n+1})(b+R_{m+1}) = ab+R_{m+n+1}$$

where $a \in R_n, b \in R_m$. This makes R in to a graded ring. This ring is called the associated graded ring of R.

Definition 2.14 Let M be a filtered R-module over a filtered ring R with filtration $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ respectively. Let $gr_n(M) = M_n/M_{n+1}$, and $gr(M) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} gr_n(M)$. Then gr(M) has a natural gr(R)-module structure given by $a + R_{n+1}(x + M_{m+1}) = ax + M_{m+n+1}$, where $a \in R_n, x \in M_m$.

3. Filtered Ring Derived from Discrete Valuation Ring and Its Properties

In this section we proved that, if R is a discrete valuation ring, then R is a filtered ring. And we prove some properties for R.

Let K be a field which R be a domain and a discrete valuation ring (DVR) for K. The map $\upsilon: K^* \to Z^+$ is valuation of R.

Lemma 3.1 By above definition, the set $R_n = \{ \alpha \in K | \nu(\alpha) \ge n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ is an ideal of R. **Proof.** (see [3])

Theorem 3.1 If *R* is a discrete valuation ring with valuation $v: K^* \to Z^+$. Then *R* is a filtered ring with filtration defined by

$$R_n = \left\{ \alpha \in K \middle| v(\alpha) \ge n, n \in \mathsf{Z} \right\}$$

where $R_0 = R$.

Proof. By definition of valuation ring, it is obvious that $R_0 = R$. For the second condition for filtration ring we have $\forall \alpha \in R_{n+1} \Rightarrow v(\alpha) \ge n+1 > n \Rightarrow v(\alpha) > n \Rightarrow \alpha \in R_n$, So we have $R_{n+1} \subseteq R_n$.

For the third condition, we have for every R_n and R_m without losing generality. Since R_n and R_m are ideals of R so

$$R_n R_m = \left\{ \sum a_i b_i \, \middle| \, a_i \in R_n - b_i \in R_m \right\}$$

is an ideal of R.

Now let $c \in R_n R_m$ then $c = \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_i$ for $a_i \in R_n$ and $b_i \in R_m$. Thus

$$\nu(c) = \nu\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i b_i\right) = \min\left\{\nu(a_i b_i)\right\}_{i \in I} = \min\left\{\nu(a_i) + \nu(b_i)\right\}_{i \in I} \ge \left(\min\left\{\nu(a_i)\right\}_{i \in I}\right) + \left(\min\left\{\nu(b_i)\right\}_{i \in I}\right) \ge n + m,$$

Consequently we have $v(c) \ge n + m \Longrightarrow c \in R_{n+m}$ hence $R_n R_m \subseteq R_{n+m}$. Therefore R is a filtered ring.

Proposition 3.1 Let R be a local domain. If every non-zero fractionary ideal of R invertible, then R is filtered ring.

Proof. By proposition 2.1 *R* is DVR then by theorem 3.1 *R* is filtered ring.

Proposition 3.2 Let R be a Noetherian local domain with unique maximal ideal $m \neq 0$ and K the quotient field of R. Then R is filtered ring if one of following conditions is held

i) R is a principal ideal domain;

ii) *m* is principal;

iii) R is integrally closed and every non-zero prime ideal of R is maximal.

Proof. It follows from theorem (3.1) and theorem (2.1).

Definition 3.1 Let *R* be a ring, and let (S, +) be a totally ordered cancellative semigroup having identity 0. A function $f: R \to S \cup \{\infty\}$ is a filtration if f(1) = 0, $f(0) = \infty$ and for all $x, y \in R$,

- i) $f(x+y) \ge \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$, and
- ii) $f(xy) \ge f(x) + f(y)$, then f is called a filtration. For this filtration we have
- 1) $\{A_g : g \in S^+\}$ the set of ideals;
- 2) $A_{+} = \{x \in R : f(x) > 0\};$
- 3) $(f)^g = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n > 0 \text{ such that } g \leq f(x^n) \right\};$
- 4) $(f)_{g} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n > 0 \text{ such that } g = f(x^{n}) \right\}.$

Lemma 3.2 Let $f: R \to S \cup \{\infty\}$ be a filtration and let $g, h \in S$. Then:

- i) $(f)_0 = \sqrt{A_+};$
- ii) $(f)^{\infty} = 0;$
- iii) $(f)_{a} \subseteq (f)^{a};$
- iv) if $g \leq h$, then $(f)_h \subseteq (f)_g$ and $(f)^h \subseteq (f)^g$.

Proof. See lemma 3.3 of [7].

Proposition 3.3 If R be a discrete valuation ring, then there exists a totally ordered cancellative semigroup S, and $f: R \to S \cup \{\infty\}$ such that:

- i) $(f)_0 = \sqrt{A_+} = \sqrt{m};$
- ii) $(f)^{\infty} = 0;$
- iii) $(f)_{a} \subseteq (f)^{a};$
- iv) if $g \le h$, then $(f)_h \subseteq (f)_g$, and $(f)^h \subseteq (f)^g$.

Proof. By theorem 3.1 there exists a filtration for R, then by lemma 2.1 we have the all above conditions.

Proposition 3.4 Let *R* be a filtered ring, *M*, *N* filtered *R*-modules, and $f: M \to N$ homomorphism of filtered *R*-modules. If the induced map $gr(f): gr(M) \to gr(N)$ is injective, then *f* is injective provided $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n = (0)$. (see [3])

Corollary 3.1 Let R be a valuation ring, M, N filtered R-modules, and $f: M \to N$ homomorphism of filtered R-modules. If the induced map $gr(f): gr(M) \to gr(N)$ is injective, then f is injective provided $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n = (0)$.

Proposition 3.5 If *R* is a discrete valuation ring with valuation $v: K^* \to Z^+$, Then *R* is a strongly filtered ring with filtration defined by

$$R_n = \left\{ \alpha \in K \, \middle| \, v(\alpha) \ge n, n \in \mathsf{Z} \right\}$$

where $R_0 = R$.

Proof. By theorem 3.1 R is a filtered ring. Now we show $R_n R_m = Rn + m$ for all $\alpha \in R_{n+m}$. Since $n+m \ge n,m$ so

$$R_{m+n} \subseteq R_n$$
 and $R_{n+m} \subseteq R_m$.

Consequently $\alpha \in R_n$, and $\alpha \in R_m$. Therefore $R_{n+m} \subseteq R_n R_m$.

Proposition 3.6 Let *R* be a discrete valuation ring, and $f: R \to S \cup \{\infty\}$. If $x \in R$ and f(x) > 0, then $(f)^{f(x)}$ is smallest prime ideal in $Spec_f(R)$ which contains *x*, and $(f)_{f(x)}$ is largest prime ideal in $Spec_f(R)$ which does not contains *x*.

Proof. By proposition 3.5 R is strongly filtered ring, then by proposition 4.2. of [7]-[9] we have If $x \in R$ and f(x) > 0, then $(f)^{f(x)}$ is smallest prime ideal in $Spec_f(R)$ such that contains x, and $(f)_{f(x)}$ is the largest prime ideal in $Spec_f(R)$ such that does not contains x.

Remark 3.1 Given a strong filtration f on a ring R, we say that a prime P in $Spec_f(R)$ is branched in $Spec_f(R)$, if P cannot be written as union of prime ideals in $Spec_f(R)$ such that properly contained in P.

Corollary 3.2 Let *R* be a discrete valuation ring and $f: R \to S \cup \{\infty\}$. Then a prime ideal *P* in $Spec_{f}(R)$ is branched in $Spec_{f}(R)$ if and only if $P = (f)^{g}$ for some $g \in S^{+}$.

Proof. By proposition 3.5 *R* is strongly filtered ring, then by proposition 4.5. of [7] a prime ideal *P* in $Spec_{f}(R)$ is branched in $Spec_{f}(R)$, if and only if, $P = (f)^{g}$ for some $g \in S^{+}$.

References

- [1] Atiyah, M.F. and Macdonald, L.G. (1969) Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Bourbaki, N. (1972) Commutative Algebra. Originally Published as Elements de Mathematique, Algebra Commutative 1964, 1965, 1968, 1969 by Hermann, Paris.
- [3] Gopalakrishnan, N.S. (1983) Commutative Algebra. Oxonian Press, PVT, LTD, New Delhi.
- [4] Puninskia, G., Puninskayab, V. and Toffalorib, C. (2007) Decidability of the Theory of Modules over Commutative Valuation Domains. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, **145**, 258-275. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apal.2006.09.002</u>
- [5] Cohen, F.R. and Heap, A. (2011) Alexandra Pettet on the Andreadakis Johnson Filtration of the Automorphism Group of a Free Group. *Journal of Algebra*, **329**, 72-91. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.07.011</u>
- [6] Levy, R., Loustauna, P. and Shapiro, J. (1991) The Prime Spectrum of an Infinite Product of Copies of Z. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 138, 155-164.
- [7] Nishida, K. (2005) On the Depth of the Associated Graded Ring of a Filtration. *Journal of Algebra*, 285, 182-195. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.10.026
- [8] Olberding, B., Saydam, S. and SHapiro, J. (2005) Complitions, Valuations and Ultrapowers of Noetherian Domain. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 197, 213-237. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.09.002</u>
- [9] Rush, D.E. (2007) Rees Valuations and Asymptotic Primes of Rational Powers in Noetherian Rings and Lattices. Journal of Algebra, 308, 295-320. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.08.014</u>