

# Common Fixed Point Theorems of Multi-Valued Maps in Ultra Metric Space

Qiulin Wang, Meimei Song\*

College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin, China  
Email: \*songmeimei@tjut.edu.cn

Received November 30, 2012; revised January 9, 2013; accepted January 16, 2013

## ABSTRACT

We establish some results on coincidence and common fixed point for a two pair of multi-valued and single-valued maps in ultra metric spaces.

**Keywords:** Multi-Valued Maps; Coincidence Point; Common Fixed Point

## 1. Introduction

Roovij in [1] introduced the concept of ultra metric space. Later, C. Petalas, F. Vidalis [2] and Ljiljana Gajic [3] studied fixed point theorems of contractive type maps on a spherically complete ultra metric spaces which are generalizations of the Banach fixed point theorems. In [4] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore and T. Ranga Rao obtained two coincidence point theorems for three or four self maps in ultra metric space.

J. Kubiacyk and A. N. Mostafa [5] extend the fixed point theorems from the single-valued maps to the set-valued contractive maps. Then Gajic [6] gave some generalizations of the result of [3]. Again, Rao [7] proved some common fixed point theorems for a pair of maps of Jungck type on a spherically complete ultra metric space.

In this article, we are going to establish some results on coincidence and common fixed point for two pair of multi-valued and single-valued maps in ultra metric spaces.

## 2. Basic Concept

First we introducing a notation.

Let  $C(X)$  denote the class of all non empty compact subsets of  $X$ . For  $A, B \in C(X)$ , the Hausdorff metric is defined as

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}$$

where  $d(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$ .

The following definitions will be used later.

**Definition 2.1** ([1]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. If the metric  $d$  satisfies strong triangle inequality

$$d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}, \forall x, y, z \in X$$

Then  $d$  is called an ultra metric on  $X$  and  $(X, d)$  is called an ultra metric space.

**Example.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset, d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ 1, & x \neq y \end{cases}$ , then

$(X, d)$  is a ultra metric space.

**Definition 2.2** ([1]) An ultra metric space is said to be spherically complete if every shrinking collection of balls in  $X$  has a non empty intersection.

**Definition 2.3** An element  $x \in X$  is said to be a coincidence point of  $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  if  $fx \in Tx$ . We denote

$$C(f, T) = \{x \in X \mid fx \in Tx\}$$

the set of coincidence points of  $T$  and  $f$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([7]) Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra metric space,  $f : X \rightarrow X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ .  $T$  and  $f$  are said to be coincidentally commuting at  $z \in X$  if  $fz \in Tz$  implies  $fTz \subseteq Tfz$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([8]) An element  $x \in X$  is a common fixed point of  $T, S : X \rightarrow C(X)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  if  $x = fx \in Tx \cap Sx$ .

## 3. Main Results

The following results are the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra metric space. Let  $T, S : X \rightarrow C(X)$  be a pair of multi-valued maps and  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  a pair of single-valued maps satisfying

- (a)  $fg(X)$  is spherically complete;
- (b)  $H(Sx, Ty) < \max \{d(fx, gy), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty)\}$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $fx \neq gy$ ;

\*Corresponding author.

(c)  $fS = Sf, fg = gf, fT = Tf, gS = Sg, gT = Tg,$   
 $ST = TS;$

(d)  $S(X) \subseteq f(X), T(X) \subseteq g(X).$

Then there exist point  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$ , such that

$$fu \in Su, gv \in Tv, fu = gv, Su = Tv.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$B_a = (fga; \max\{d(fga, Sga), d(fga, Tfa)\})$$

denote the closed sphere with centered  $fga$  and radius

$$\max\{d(fga, Sga), d(fga, Tfa)\}.$$

Let  $A$  be the collection of all the spheres for all  $a \in fg(X).$

Then the relation

$$B_a \leq B_b \text{ if } B_b \subseteq B_a$$

is a partial order on  $A.$

Consider a totally ordered sub family  $A_1$  of  $A.$

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(fgb, Sgb), d(fgb, Tfb)\} &= \max\left\{\inf_{c \in Sgb} d(fgb, c), \inf_{d \in Tfb} d(fgb, d)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{d(fgb, fga), d(fga, q), \inf_{c \in Sgb} d(q, c), d(fgb, fga), d(fga, p), \inf_{d \in Tfb} d(p, d)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(fgb, fga), d(fga, Tfa), H(Tfa, Sgb), d(fgb, fga), d(fga, Sga), H(Sga, Tfb)\} \\ &< \max\{d(fgb, fga), d(fga, Tfa), d(fga, Sga), \max\{d(fgb, fga), d(fga, Tfa), d(fgb, Sgb)\}, \\ &\quad \max\{d(fga, fgb), d(fga, Sga), d(fgb, Tfb)\}\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(fga, Tfa), d(fga, Sga)\} \end{aligned}$$

from (a) (b) and Equation (1)

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, fga) &\leq \max\{d(x, fgb), d(fgb, fga)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(fga, Sga), d(fga, Tfa)\} \end{aligned}$$

So  $x \in B_a,$  we have just proved that  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  for every  $B_a \in A_1.$  Thus  $B_b$  is an upper bound in  $A$  for the family  $A_1$  and hence by Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element in  $A,$  say  $B_z, z \in fg(X).$  There exists  $w \in X$  such that  $z = fgw.$

Since  $fg(X)$  is spherically complete, we have

$$\bigcap_{B_a \in A_1} B_a = B \neq \emptyset$$

Let  $fgb \in B$  where  $b \in fg(X)$  and  $B_a \in A_1.$  Then  $fgb \in B_a.$  Hence

$$d(fgb, fga) \leq \max\{d(fga, Sga), d(fga, Tfa)\} \tag{1}$$

If  $a = b$  then  $B_a = B_b.$  Assume that  $a \neq b.$

Let  $\forall x \in B_b,$  then

$$d(x, fgb) \leq \max\{d(fgb, Sgb), d(fgb, Tfb)\}$$

Since  $Sga$  is nonempty compact set, then  $\exists p \in Sga$  such that

$$d(fga, Sga) = d(fga, p);$$

$Tfa$  is a nonempty compact set, then  $\exists q \in Tfa$  such that  $d(fga, Tfa) = d(fga, q).$

Suppose

$$f(gfgw) \notin S(gfgw), g(ffgw) \notin T(ffgw).$$

Since  $Sgfgw, Tffgw$  are nonempty compact sets, then  $\exists k \in Sgfgw, t \in Tffgw$  such that

$$d(fgfgw, Sgfgw) = d(fgfgw, k), \tag{2}$$

$$d(fgfgw, Tffgw) = d(fgfgw, t) \tag{3}$$

From (b), (c) and Equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sgfgw, TSfgw) &= \inf_{e \in TSfgw} d(gSfgw, e) \leq \max\left\{d(Sgfgw, fgfgw), d(fgfgw, k), \inf_{e \in TSfgw} d(k, e)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(Sgfgw, fgfgw), H(Sgfgw, TSfgw)\} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \max\{d(Sgfgw, fgfgw), \max\{d(fgfgw, fSfgw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(gSfgw, TSfgw)\}\} \\ &= d(fgfgw, Sgfgw) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tffgw, STfgw) &= \inf_{h \in STfgw} d(fTfgw, h) \leq \max\left\{d(Tffgw, fgfgw), d(fgfgw, t), \inf_{h \in STfgw} d(t, h)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(Tffgw, fgfgw), H(Tffgw, STfgw)\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$< \max\{d(Tffgw, fgfgw), \{\max\{d(fTfgw, gffgw), d(fTfgw, STfgw), d(gffgw, Tffgw)\}\} = d(Tffgw, fgfgw)$$

From (b), (c) and Equations (2)-(5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fggSw, SggSw) &= \inf_{m \in SggSw} d(fggSw, m) \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fggSw, TSfgw), d(TSfgw, Tffgw), d(Tffgw, fgfgw), d(fgfgw, t), \inf_{m \in SggSw} d(t, m)\} \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw), H(Tffgw, SggSw)\} \\
 &< \max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw), \max \{d(fggSw, gffgw), d(fggSw, SggSw), d(gffgw, Tffgw)\}\} \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw)\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fgfTw, TffTw) &= \inf_{n \in TffTw} d(fgfTw, n) \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fgfTw, STfgw), d(STfgw, Sgfgw), d(Sgfgw, fgfgw), d(fgfgw, k), \inf_{n \in TffTw} d(k, n)\} \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fgfgw, Tffgw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), H(Sgfgw, TffTw)\} \\
 &< \max \{d(fgfgw, Tffgw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), \max \{d(fgfgw, gffTw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(gffTw, TffTw)\}\} \\
 &\leq \max \{d(fgfgw, Tffgw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw)\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From Equation (4) and Equation (6) we have

$$\max \{d(Sgfgw, TSfgw), d(fggSw, SggSw)\} < \max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw)\} \tag{8}$$

From Equation (5) and Equation (7) we have

$$\max \{d(STfgw, Tffgw), d(fgfTw, TffTw)\} < \max \{d(fgfgw, Tffgw), d(fgfgw, Sgfgw)\} \tag{9}$$

If

$$\max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw)\} = d(fgfgw, Sgfgw)$$

Then from Equation (8),  $fgfgw \notin B_{gSw} \Rightarrow fgz \notin B_{gSw}$ . Hence  $B_z \not\subset B_{gSw}$ . It is a contradiction to the maximality of  $B_z$  in  $A$ , since  $gSw \subseteq gf(X) = fg(X)$

If

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max \{d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(fgfgw, Tffgw)\} \\
 &= d(fgfgw, Tffgw)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then from Equation (9),  $fgfgw \notin B_{fTw} \Rightarrow fgz \notin B_{fTw}$ . Hence  $B_z \not\subset B_{fTw}$ . It is a contradiction to the maximality of  $B_z$  in  $A$ , since  $fTw \subseteq fg(X)$ .

So

$$f(gfgw) \in S(gfgw), g(ffgw) \in T(ffgw) \tag{10}$$

In addition,  $f(gfgw) = g(ffgw)$ .

Using (b), (c) and Equation (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(Sgfgw, Tffgw) &< \max \{d(fgfgw, gffgw), \\
 &\quad d(fgfgw, Sgfgw), d(gffgw, Tffgw)\} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $S(gfgw) = T(ffgw)$ .

Then the proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(X, d)$  be an ultra metric space.

Let  $T, S : X \rightarrow C(X)$  be a pair of multi-valued maps and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a single-valued maps satisfying

- (a)  $f(X)$  is spherically complete;
- (b)  $H(Sx, Ty) < \max \{d(fx, fy), d(fx, Sx), d(fy, Ty)\}$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ ;

- (c)  $fS = Sf, fT = Tf, ST = TS$ ;
- (d)  $S(X) \subseteq f(X), T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ .

Then  $f, S$  and  $T$  have a coincidence point in  $X$ .

Moreover, if  $f$  and  $S, f$  and  $T$  are coincidentally commuting at  $z \in C(f, T)$  and  $ffz = fz$ , then  $f, S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** If  $f = g$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain that there exist points  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$  such that

$$fu \in Su, fv \in Tv, fu = fv, Su = Tv.$$

As  $u \in C(f, S)$ ,  $f$  and  $S$  coincide at  $u$  and  $ffu = fu$ .

Write  $w = fu$ , then  $w \in Su, w \in Tv$ .

Then we have

$$fw = w$$

and

$$w = fw \in f(Su) \subseteq S(fu) = Sw.$$

Now, since also  $u \in C(f, T)$ ,  $f$  and  $T$  are coincidentally commuting at  $u$  and  $ffu = fu$ , so we obtain

$$w = fw \in f(Tv) \subseteq T(fv) = Tw.$$

Thus, we have proved that  $w = fw \in Sw \cap Tw$ , that is,  $w$  is a common fixed point of  $f, S$  and  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a spherically complete ultra metric space. Let  $T, S : X \rightarrow C(X)$  be a pair of multi-valued maps satisfying

(a)  $H(Sx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ ;

(b)  $ST = TS$ .

Then, there exists a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $z \in Sz \cap Tz$  and  $Sz = Tz$ .

**Remark 1** If  $S = T$  in Corollary 3.3, then we obtain the Theorem of Ljiljana Gajic [6].

**Remark 2** If in Theorem 3.1,  $S = T, f = g$ , we obtain Theorem 9 of K. P. R. Rao at [7].

**Remark 3** If  $S$  and  $T$  in Theorem 3.1 are single-valued maps, then: 1) we obtain the results of K. P. R. Rao [4]; 2)  $S = T, f = g = I$ , we obtain the result of Ljiljana Gajic [3]; 3)  $S = T, f = g$ , then, we obtain Theorem 4 of K. P. R. Rao at [7].

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we get coincidence point theorems and common fixed point theorems for two pair of multi-valued and single-valued maps satisfying different contractive conditions on spherically complete ultra metric space, which is generalized results of [3-7].

#### 5. Acknowledgements

Foundation item: Science and Technology Foundation of Educational Committee of Tianjin (11026177).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. C. M. van Roovij, "Non Archimedean Functional Analysis," Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
- [2] C. Petalas and F. Vidalis, "A Fixed Point Theorem in Non-Archimedean Vector Spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematics Society*, Vol. 118, 1993, pp. 819-821. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1993-1132421-2](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1993-1132421-2)
- [3] L. Gajic, "On Ultra Metric Spaces," *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 31, No. 2, 2001, pp. 69-71.
- [4] K. P. R. Rao, G. N. V. Kishore and T. Ranga Rao, "Some Coincidence Point Theorems in Ultra Metric Spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 1, No. 18, 2007, pp. 897-902.
- [5] J. Kubiacyk and A. N. Mostafa, "A Multi-Valued Fixed Point Theorem in Non-Archimedean Vector Spaces," *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 1996, pp. 111-116.
- [6] L. Gajic, "A Multivalued Fixed Point Theorem in Ultra Metric Spaces," *Matematicki Vesnik*, Vol. 54, No. 3-4, 2002, pp. 89-91.
- [7] K. P. R. Rao and G. N. V. Kishore, "Common Fixed Point Theorems in Ultra Metric Spaces," *Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 40, 2008, pp. 31-35.
- [8] B. Damjanovic, B. Samet and C. Vetro, "Common Fixed Point Theorem for Multi-Valued Maps," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 818-824. [doi:10.1016/S0252-9602\(12\)60063-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(12)60063-0)