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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new augmented Lagrangian function with 4-piecewise linear NCP function is introduced for solving nonlinear programming problems with equality constrained and inequality constrained. It is proved that a solution of the original constrained problem and corresponding values of Lagrange multipliers can be found by solving an unconstrained minimization of the augmented Lagrange function. Meanwhile, a new Lagrangian multiplier method corresponding with new augmented Lagrangian function is proposed. And this method is implementable and convergent.


Keywords: Nonlinear Programming; NCP Function; Lagrange Function; Multiplier; Convergence

## 1. Introduction

Considering the following nonlinear inequality constrained optimization Problem (NLP):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min f(x) \\
& \text { s.t } \quad H(x)=0 \quad G(x) \leq 0, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(x)=\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), g\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots, g\left(x_{m}\right)\right)^{T}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m} \\
& H(x)=\left(h_{1}(x), h_{2}(x), \cdots, h_{p}(x)\right)^{T}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

are continuously differentiable functions.
We denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D= & \left\{x \in R^{n} \mid g\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 0, i=(1,2, \cdots, m),\right. \\
& \left.h\left(x_{j}\right)=0, j=(1,2, \cdots, p)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

the feasible set of the problem (NLP).
The Lagrangian function associated with the problem (NLP) is the function

$$
L(x, \omega, \lambda)=f(x)+\omega^{T} H(x)+\lambda^{T} G(x),
$$

where

$$
\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{P}\right)^{T} \in R^{p}, \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)^{T} \in R^{m}
$$

are the multiplier vectors, For simplicity, we we $_{T}$ use $(x, \omega, \lambda)$ to denote the column vector $\left(x^{T}, \omega^{T}, \lambda^{T}\right)^{T}$

[^0]Defintion 1.1. A point $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}) \in R^{n} \times R^{p} \times R^{m}$ is called a Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) point or a KKT pair of Problem (NLP), if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{x} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})=0, G(\bar{x}) \leq 0, H(\bar{x})=0, \\
& \bar{\lambda} \geq 0, \lambda_{i} g_{i}(\bar{x})=0, \forall i \in I \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I=\{1 \leq \underline{i} \leq \underline{m}\}$, we also say $\overline{\bar{x}}$ is a KKT point if there exists a $(\bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ satisfies (2).

For the nonlinear inequality constrained optimization problem (NLP), there are many practical methods to solve it, such as augmented Lagrangian function method [1-6], Trust-region filter method [7,8], QP-free feasible method [9,10], Newton iterative method [11,12], etc. As we know, Lagrange multiplier method is one of the efficient methods to solve problem (NLP). Pillo and Grippo in [1-3] proposed a class of augmented Lagrange function methods which have nice equivalence between the unconstrained optimization and the primal constrained problem and get good convergence properties of the related algorithm. However, a max function is used for these methods which may be not differentiable at infinite numbers of points. To overcome this shortcoming, Pu in [4] proposed a augmented Lagrange function with FischerBurmeister nonlinear NCP function and Lagrange multiplier methods. Pu and Ding in [6] proposed a Lagrange multiplier methods with 3-piecewise linear NCP function. In this paper, a new class augmented Lagrange function with 4-piecewise linear NCP function and some Lagrange multiplier methods are proposed for the minimization of a smooth function subject to smooth inequality
constraints and equality constrains.
The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we give some definitions and properties about NCP function, and then define a new augmented Lagrange function with 4-piecewise NCP function. In Section three, we give the algorithm. In Section four, we prove convergence of the algorithm. Some conclusions are given in Section five.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and define a new Lagrange multiplier function with 4-piecewise NCP function.

Definition 2.1 (NCP pair and SNCP pair). We call a pair $(a, b)$ to be an NCP pair if $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a b=0$; and call $(a, b)$ to be an SNCP pair if $(a, b)$ is a pair and $a^{2}+b^{2} \neq 0$.
Definition 2.2 (NCP function). A function $\phi: R^{2} \rightarrow R$ is called an NCP function if $\phi(a, b)=0$ if and only $(a, b)$ is an NCP pair.

In this paper, we propose a new 4-piecewise linear NCP function $\psi(a, b)$ is as follows:

$$
\psi(a, b)= \begin{cases}k^{2} a, & \text { if } b \geq k|a|,  \tag{3}\\ 2 k b-b^{2} / a, & \text { if } a>|b| / k \\ 2 k^{2} a+2 k b+b^{2} / a, & \text { if } a<-|b| / k \\ k^{2} a+4 k b, & \text { if } b \leq-k|a|<0\end{cases}
$$

If $(a, b) \neq(0,0)$, then

$$
\nabla \psi(a, b)= \begin{cases}\binom{k^{2}}{0}, & \text { if } b \geq k|a| \\ \binom{b^{2} / a^{2}}{2 k-2 b / a}, & \text { if } a>|b| / k  \tag{4}\\ \binom{2 k^{2}-b^{2} / a^{2}}{2 k+2 b / a}, & \text { if } a<-|b| / k \\ \binom{k^{2}}{4 k}, & \text { if } b \leq-k|a|\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\psi \psi} & =\partial \psi(0,0) \\
& =\left\{\binom{k^{2} t^{2}}{2 k(1-t)} \cup\binom{2 k^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{2 k(1-t)}|t| \leq 1\right\}, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check the following propositions:

1) $\psi(a, b)=0 \Leftrightarrow a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a b=0$;
2) The square of $\psi$ is continuously differentiable;
3) $\psi$ is twice continuously differentiable everywhere except at the origin but it is strongly semi-smooth at the origin.

Let

$$
\phi_{i}(x, \lambda, C)=\psi\left(\lambda_{i},-C g_{i}(x)\right), 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

where $C>0$ is a parameter. $\phi_{i}(x, \lambda, C)=0$ if and only if $g_{i}(x) \leq 0, \quad \lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{i} g_{i}(x)=0$ for any $C>0$.

We construct function:

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda, C)=\left(\phi_{1}\left(x, \lambda_{1}, C\right), \cdots, \phi_{m}\left(x, \lambda_{m}, C\right)\right)
$$

Clearly, the KKT point condition (2) is equivalently reformulate as the condition:

$$
\Phi(x, \lambda, C)=0, H(x)=0, \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda)=0
$$

If $\left(g_{i}(x), \lambda_{i}\right) \neq(0,0)$, then $\phi_{i}(x, \lambda, C)$ is continuously differentiable at $(x, \lambda) \in R^{n+m}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \psi\left(\lambda_{i},-C g_{i}(x)\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{0}{k^{2}}, \\
\text { if }-C g_{i}(x) \geq k\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(-2 k C-\frac{2 C^{2} g_{i}(x)}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
\left(C^{2} g_{i}^{2}(x) / \lambda_{i}^{2}\right) e_{i} \\
\text { if } \lambda_{i}>C\left|g_{i}(x)\right| / k \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.-2 k C+\frac{2 C^{2} g_{i}(x)}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \nabla g_{i}(x)
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\left(2 k^{2}-C^{2} g_{i}^{2}(x) / \lambda_{i}^{2}\right) e_{i}\right.
\end{array}\right), \\
\text { if } \lambda_{i}<-C\left|g_{i}(x)\right| / k \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-4 k C \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
k^{2} e_{i} \\
\text { if }-C g_{i}(x) \leq-k\left|\lambda_{i}\right|<0
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{i}=(0, \cdots, 0,1, \cdots, 0)^{T} \in R^{m}$ is the $i$ th column of the unit matrix, its $j$ th element is 1 , and other elements are 0 , in this paper take $k=1$.

If $\left(g_{i}(x), \lambda_{i}\right)=(0,0)$, and then $\phi_{i}(x, \lambda, C)$ is strongly semi-smooth and direction differentiable at $(x, \lambda) \in R^{n+m}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \psi\left(x, \lambda_{i}\right) \\
= & \left\{\binom{k^{2} t^{2} e_{i}}{2 C k(1-t) \nabla g_{i}(x)} \cup\binom{2 k^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right) e_{i}}{2 C k(1-t) \nabla g_{i}(x)}||t| \leq 1\},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For Problem (NLP), we define a Di Pillo and Grippo type Lagrange multiplier function with 4-piecewise linear NCP function is as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
S & (x, \omega, \lambda, C, D) \\
= & f(x)+\omega^{T} H(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(h_{j}(x)\right)^{2} / 2 \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(\phi_{i}(x, \lambda, C)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}\right] /(2 C)  \tag{8}\\
& +\left\|\nabla G(x)^{T} \nabla_{x} L(x, \omega, \lambda)\right\|^{2} / 2,
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}\right)^{T} \in R^{m}, \omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{P}\right)^{T} \in R^{p}$ are the Lagrange multiplier, $C$ and $D$ are positive parameters. In this section, we gave some assumptions as follows:
Assumpion $1 f, \quad h_{j}(x), \quad j=1, \cdots, p, \quad g_{i}(x)$
$i=1, \cdots, m$ are twice Lipschitz continuously differentiable.

Define index set $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{0}(x, \lambda)=\left\{i \mid\left(g_{i}(x), \lambda_{i}\right)=(0,0), i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\} \\
& I_{1}(x, \lambda)=\left\{i \mid\left(g_{i}(x), \lambda_{i}\right) \neq(0,0), i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $i \in I_{0}$, according to definition of $\phi_{i}$, have

$$
\nabla_{x}\left[\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

for any $i \in I_{1}$, we have

1) if $\lambda_{i}>C\left|g_{i}(x)\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x}\left[\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}\right] / 2 C \\
= & \left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)\left(-2-2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \nabla g_{i}(x)  \tag{9}\\
= & \left(-2-2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right) \nabla g_{i}(x)+ \\
& \lambda_{i} \nabla g_{i}(x)+2 C g_{i}(x) \nabla g_{i}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

The gradient of $S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x} S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D) \\
= & \nabla f(x)+(\nabla H(x)) \omega^{T}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(h_{j}(x) \nabla h_{j}(x)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \in I_{1}} \nabla\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2} / 2 C \\
& +\nabla G(x) \nabla^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda) \nabla^{T} G(x) \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda) \\
= & \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda)+(\nabla H(x)) \omega^{T}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(h_{j}(x) \nabla h_{j}(x)\right)  \tag{10}\\
& +\sum_{i \in I_{1}}\left(-2-2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m} 2 C g_{i}(x) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
& +\nabla G(x) \nabla^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda) \nabla^{T} G(x) \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

_The Henssian matrix of $S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)$ at KKT point $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} S(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}, C, D) \\
= & \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(\nabla h_{j}(\bar{x}) \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})^{T}\right) \\
+ & \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6 C \nabla g_{i}(\bar{x})\left(\nabla g_{i}(\bar{x})\right)^{T},  \tag{11}\\
+ & \nabla G(\bar{x}) \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})(\nabla G(\bar{x}))^{T} \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})
\end{align*}
$$

2) if $\lambda_{i}<-C\left|g_{i}(x)\right|$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} & {\left[\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}\right] / 2 C } \\
= & \left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)\left(-2+2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \nabla g_{i}(x), \\
= & \left(-2+2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
& +\lambda_{i} \nabla g_{i}(x)-2 C g_{i}(x) \nabla g_{i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The gradient of $S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x} S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D) \\
= & \nabla f(x)+(\nabla H(x)) \omega^{T}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} C\left(h_{j}(x) \nabla h_{j}(x)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \in I_{1}} \nabla\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2} / 2 C \\
& +\nabla G(x) \nabla^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda) \nabla^{T} G(x) \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda) \\
= & \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda)+(\nabla H(x)) \omega^{T}+\sum_{j}^{p} C\left(h_{j}(x) \nabla h_{j}(x)\right)  \tag{13}\\
& +\sum_{i \in I_{1}}\left(-2+2 C g_{i}(x) / \lambda_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{m} 2 C g_{i}(x) \nabla g_{i}(x) \\
& +\nabla G(x) \nabla^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda) \nabla^{T} G(x) \nabla L(x, \omega, \lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

_The Henssian matrix of $S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)$ at KKT point $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} S(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}, C, D) \\
= & \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(\nabla h_{j}(\bar{x}) \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})^{T}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m} 4 C \nabla g_{i}(\bar{x})\left(\nabla g_{i}(\bar{x})\right)^{T}  \tag{14}\\
& +\nabla G(\bar{x}) \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})(\nabla G(\bar{x}))^{T} \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.3 A point $(x, \omega, \lambda)$ is said to satisfy the strong second-order sufficiency condition for problem (NLP) if it satisfies the first-order KKT condition and if $d^{T} \nabla_{x x}^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda) d>0$ for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \in p(x)=\left\{d \mid d^{T} \nabla h_{j}(x)=0, j=1,2, \cdots, p ;\right. \\
& \left.d^{T} \nabla g_{i}(x)=0, i \in\left\{i \mid i=1,2, \cdots, m, \lambda_{i}>0\right\}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $d \neq 0$.
Assumption 2 At any KKT point $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ satisfied strong second-order sufficiency condition.

Lemma If $A_{n \times n}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix, for any $d \in R^{n}, A d=0$, matrix $B_{n \times n}$ satisfied $d^{T} B d>0$, then exist $m_{1}$, for any $m>m_{1}, B+m A$ is positive definite matrix (see [4]).

Theorem 2.1 If $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$ is KKT point of problem (1), then for sufficiently large $C$ and $D, \underline{S}(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)$ is strong convex function at point $(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})$.

Proof: Let $B=\nabla^{2} L(x, \omega, \lambda)$

$$
A=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\nabla h_{j}(\bar{x}) \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})^{T}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla g_{i}(x)\left(\nabla g_{i}(x)\right)^{T}
$$

for $d \in p(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A d & =\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\nabla h_{j}(\bar{x}) \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})^{T}\right) d+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla g_{i}(x)\left(\nabla g_{i}(x)\right)^{T} d \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\nabla h_{j}(\bar{x}) d^{T} \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla g_{i}(x) d^{T}\left(\nabla g_{i}(x)\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

from A2, we have $d^{T} B d>0$. Furthermore there is $m_{1}$ if $\min \{6 C, 4 C, D\}>m_{1}$, for any $m_{2}>m_{1}, B+m_{2} A$ is positive definite matrix. And then for any $x \neq 0$ and sufficiently large $C$ and $D$ have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{T} \nabla^{2} S(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}, C, D) x \\
= & x^{T} \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}) x+\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(x^{T} \nabla h_{j}(\bar{x})\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m} 6 C\left(x^{T} \nabla g_{i}(\bar{x})\right)^{2}>x^{T} \nabla^{2} L(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}) x \\
& +m_{1} x^{T} A x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

by its continuously, we may obtained that there is $\eta>0$, for all

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, \omega, \lambda) \in B_{\eta}(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda}, C, D) \\
= & \{\|(x, \omega, \lambda)-(\bar{x}, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\lambda})\| \leq \eta\},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $x^{T} S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D) x>\varepsilon>0$ the theorem hold.

## 3. Lagrange Multiplier Algorithm

Step 0 Choose parameters $C^{0}>0, D^{0}>0, \quad 0 \leq \eta \ll 1$, $0<\theta_{1}<1<\theta_{2}$, given point $x^{0} \in R^{n}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{0}=\left(\omega_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \omega_{p}^{0}\right) \in R^{p}, \\
& \lambda^{0}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \lambda_{m}^{0}\right) \in R^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k=0$.
Step 1 Solve following, we will obtain $x^{k+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min S(x, \omega, \lambda, C, D)^{\text {def }} \\
= & f(x)+\omega^{T} H(x) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{p} D\left(h_{j}(x)\right)^{2} / 2 \\
& +\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(\phi_{i}\left(x, \lambda_{i}, C\right)-\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i} / 2\right)^{2}\right]}{2 C} \\
& +\left\|\nabla G(x)^{T} \nabla_{x} L(x, \omega, \lambda)\right\|^{2} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\left\|H\left(x^{k+1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta$ and $\left\|\Phi\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta$ then stop.

Step 2 For $j=1,2, \cdots, p,\left|h_{j}\left(x^{k+1}\right)\right| \leq \theta_{1}\left|h_{j}\left(x^{k}\right)\right|$, then $D^{k+1}=D^{k}$ or $D^{k+1}=\theta_{2} D^{k}$, for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$, if

$$
\left|\phi_{i}\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}\right)\right| \leq \theta_{1}\left|\phi_{i}\left(x^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}\right)\right|,
$$

then $C^{k+1}=C^{k}$, or $C^{k+1}=\theta_{2} C^{k}$
Step 3 Compute $\omega^{k+1}$ and $\lambda^{k+1}$

$$
\omega_{j}^{k+1}=\omega_{j}^{k}+D h_{j}\left(x^{k+1}\right) \quad \lambda_{i}^{k+1}=\lambda_{i}^{k}+C g_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)
$$

Step 4 Let $k=k+1$, go to Step 1 .

## 4. Convergence of the Algorithm

In this section, we make a assumption follow as:
Assumption 3 For any $\omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}, D^{k}$, $S\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}, D^{k}\right)$ exists a minimizer point $x^{k+1}$.

Theorem 4.1 Assume feasible set of problem (NLP) is non-empty set and $f(x)$ is bounded, then algorithm is bound to stop after finite steps iteration.

Proof: Assume that the algorithm can not stop after finite steps iteration, by the sack of convenience, we define index set as following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{e}=\left(i \mid \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} h_{i}\left(x^{k}\right) \neq 0\right), \bar{J}_{e}=\left(i \mid \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} D^{k}=\infty\right) \\
& J_{i}=\left(\left.i\right|_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{i}\left(x^{k}\right) \neq 0\right), \bar{J}_{i}=\left(i \mid \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} C^{k}=\infty\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

according to assumption A3, it is clearly that $J_{e} \cup J_{i}$ or $\overline{J_{e}} \cap \overline{J_{i}}$ are non-empty set. for any $k$, obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}, D^{k}\right)=f\left(x^{k+1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{p} D^{k}\left[\left(h_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)+\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}-\left(\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2 \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m} C^{k}\left[\left(\phi\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda_{i}^{k}, C^{k}\right) / C^{k}-\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2 \\
& +\left\|\nabla G(x) \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

from above assumption, we obtain that for any a $\bar{k}$ there is $k>\bar{k}$, for any $i \in \overline{J_{e}}$ and $\tau>0, \quad D^{k+1}>D^{k}$ and $h_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)>\tau$, for sufficiently large $k$, it is not
difficult to see that

$$
D^{k}\left[\left(h_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)+\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}-\left(\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2>k^{2} \tau^{2} / 2
$$

Or for any $i \in \bar{J}$ and $\tau>0, C^{k+1}>C^{k}$ and
$g_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)>\tau$, for sufficiently large $k$, have

$$
C^{k}\left[\left(\phi_{i}\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda_{i}^{k}, C^{k}\right) / C^{k}-\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2
$$

$$
>k^{2} \tau^{2} / 2
$$

When $k \rightarrow \infty$ we can hold

$$
\mathrm{S}\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}, D^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

Which contradicts A3, the theorem holds.
Theorem 4.2 Let $X \times P \times M \subset R^{n+p+m}$ is a compact set, sequence $\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)$ are generated by the algorithm, and $\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right) \in \operatorname{int}(X \times P \times M)$, in algorithm, 0 take the place of $\eta$, either algorithm stops at its $k t h$ and $x^{k+1}$ is solution of problem(NLP), or for any an accumulation $x^{*}$ of sequence $\left\{x^{k+1}\right\}, x^{*}$ is solution of problem (NLP).

Proof: Because the algorithm stops at its $k t h$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{i}\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda_{i}^{k}, C^{k}\right)=0, g_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right) \leq 0  \tag{15}\\
& \lambda_{i}^{k} \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{i}^{k} g_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

for $g_{i}(x)=0, \lambda_{i}>0$, it is easy to see that for any $C>0$ have

$$
\phi_{i}\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda^{k}, C\right)=0 \quad \lambda_{i}^{k+1}=\lambda_{i}^{k}+C g_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)=\lambda_{i}^{k}
$$

It is from Step 2 of the algorithm that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(x, \lambda, C)\|_{\infty}=0,\|H(x)\|_{\infty}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

putting (15) (16) into (10) or (13), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla S\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}, C^{k}, D^{k}\right) \\
= & \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right) \\
& +\nabla G\left(x^{k+1}\right) \nabla^{2} L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right) \nabla^{T} G\left(x^{k+1}\right) \\
& \times \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right) \\
= & \left(E+\nabla G\left(x^{k+1}\right) \nabla^{2} L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right) \nabla^{T} G\left(x^{k+1}\right)\right) \\
& \times \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $g_{i}(x)<0, \lambda_{i}=0$, according to definition of $\Phi(x, \lambda, C)$, we can obtain, that

$$
\nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)=0
$$

First part of the theorem holds, $x^{k+1}$ is solution of problem (NLP).
On the other hand, if the algorithm is not stop at $k t h$, for any accumulation point $\left(x^{*}, \omega^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ of sequence
$\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)$, from theorem 4.1, we can obtain, for any positive number $C$, that

$$
\phi\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}, C\right)=0,\left\|H\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|=0
$$

for any $\bar{x} \in X$, have
$f(\bar{x}) \geq f\left(x^{k+1}\right)$
$+\sum_{i=1}^{p} D^{k}\left[\left(h_{i}\left(x^{k+1}\right)+\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}-\left(\omega_{i}^{k} / D^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2$
$+\sum_{i=1}^{m} C^{k}\left[\left(\phi\left(x^{k+1}, \lambda_{i}^{k}, C^{k}\right) / C^{k}-\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{k} / 2 C^{k}\right)^{2}\right] / 2$
$+\left\|\nabla G\left(x^{k+1}\right) \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} / 2$
$=f\left(x^{k+1}\right)+\left\|\nabla G\left(x^{k+1}\right) \nabla L\left(x^{k+1}, \omega^{k}, \lambda^{k}\right)\right\|^{2} / 2+o(1)$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$, have

$$
f(\bar{x}) \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)+\left\|\nabla G\left(x^{*}\right) \nabla L\left(x^{*}, \omega^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} / 2 \geq f\left(x^{*}\right)
$$

Clearly, second part of the theorem holds. $x^{*}$ is solution of problem (NLP).

## 5. Conclusion

A new Lagrange multiplier function with 4-piecewise linear NCP function is proposed in this paper which has a nice equivalence between its solution and solution of original problem. We can solve it to obtain solution of original constrained problem, the algorithm corresponding with it be endowed with convergence.
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