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Abstract

The crystallization and crystalline structure of syndiotactic-polypropylene (sPP) and syndiotactic-poly(1-butene) (sPB) blend containing 10 (Bl-10), 25 (Bl-25), 50 (Bl-50), 75 (Bl-75), and 90 (Bl-90) wt% of sPB, have been investigated by means of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), FT-IR, and wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) analyses. The melt-crystallization behavior of the blend samples was studied by DSC on the cooling process at constant rates. Bl-50, Bl-75, and Bl-90 showed lower crystallization temperatures than the neat sPP. sPP in Bl-75 showed the lowest crystallization rate among the blend samples. Bl-90 showed a two-phase molten state, and sPP in Bl-90 crystallized via a two-step process. Time evolution of FT-IR spectroscopy at room temperature detected conformational transformation of the sPP polymer chain in the blend samples of Bl-50 and Bl-75. The absorption peak intensity in the FT-IR spectra derived from the helical conformations in the crystalline phase decreased, and the planar zigzag conformations in the amorphous and mesophase phases decreased over the crystallization time. The time evolution of the WAXD profile of Bl-90 indicated that sPP in the blend accelerated the crystallization of sPB. The crystallized Bl-10, Bl-25, and Bl-50 samples showed diffraction peaks in WAXD profiles and melting endothermic peak in DSC profiles derived from only the sPP crystal. The crystallinity and melting temperature of sPP in the crystallized Bl-10, Bl-25, and Bl-50 samples were almost independent of the sPB content. Both the crystalline structure of sPP and sPB were detected in Bl-75 and Bl-90. Bl-75 showed the lowest crystallinity and melting temperature of sPP among the blend samples.
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1. Introduction

Development of transition metal catalysts for olefin polymerizations has enabled us to synthesize highly syndiotactic poly(α-olefin)s with narrow molecular weight and composition distributions \[1\]. The syndiotactic-poly (α-olefin)s, such as propylene (sPP), poly(1-butene) (sPB), poly(1-pentene), poly(1-hexene), poly(1-octene), poly(4-methyl-1-pentene), and poly(4-methyl-1-hexene), are of the polymers that can be obtained with Cs-symmetrical syndio-selective metallocene catalysts \[2\]\[3\]. The crystalline structures of some the syndiotactic-poly (α-olefin)s, sPP \[4]-[14], sPB \[15]-[17], poly(1-pentene) \[18], and poly(4-methyl-1-pentene) \[19] [20] have been reported.

The Cs-symmetrical syndio-selective metallocene catalysts also promote copolymerization of olefins effectively. The copolymerization of propylene with other olefins is one of the useful methods to control the crystalline structure and properties of sPP \[20]-[32]. The syndiotactic-poly(propylene-co-olefin) s (olefin = ethylene, 1-butene, 1-pentene, 1-hexene, and 4-methyl-1-pentene) were synthesized by a syndio-selective zirconocene catalyst, and the crystalline structure of the copolymers were studied \[23] [27]. The copolymer with 1-butene showed a smaller melting point depression than the other copolymers with increasing the comonomer content. The 1-butene units in the copolymer expanded the unit cell along a axis with increasing the 1-butene content. A (t2g2)2 conformation in the orthorhombic unit cell of both the sPP and sPB crystals should make possible existence of the 1-butene units in the sPP crystalline phase. This phenomenon is regarded as isomorphism [33].

Polymer blends of sPP with other crystalline polyolefins, such as isotactic-polypropylene (iPP) [34]-[38] or polyethylene [39] [40], have been investigated to modify the properties of sPP. For example, the blend of sPP with iPP increased ductility of sPP. The crystallization conditions strongly affected the crystallization behavior and crystalline structures of the sPP-iPP blend.

As mentioned above, syndiotactic-poly(propylene-co-1-butene) forms the isomorphous crystal. Both the syndiotactic-poly(propylene-co-1-butene) [41] [42] and iPP-isotactic-poly(1-butene) blend [43] show the isomorphous features. The questions which we would like to consider next are crystallization behavior and crystalline structure of the sPP-sPB blend. In this study, we investigate the crystallization of sPP-sPB blend from melting, and study the effect of sPB content on the crystallization behavior and crystalline structure of the blend samples.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

sPP and sPB were synthesized by polymerization of the corresponding monomer with a syndio-selective zirconocene catalyst, isopropylidene(cyclopentadienyl)(9-fluorenyl)zirconiumdichloride, using methylaluminoxane as a co-catalyst, according to the literature [23]. The number-average molecular weight (\(M_n\)) and molecular weight distribution (\(M_w/M_n\)) of sPP are \(M_n = 1.98 \times 10^5\) g·mol\(^{-1}\), \(M_w/M_n = 2.1\), and those of sPB are \(M_n = 5.4 \times 10^5\) g·mol\(^{-1}\), \(M_w/M_n = 2.2\). The syndiotacticity of the polymers are high, and the [rrrr] pentad fractions of the polymers are more than 0.9.

2.2. Preparation of Polymer Blends

sPP and sPB (total 1 g) were dissolved in a 15 mL of o-dichlorobenzene at 160°C and stirred for 30 min. The heated polymer solution was slowly poured into a large excess of methanol with stirring to precipitate the polymer blend. The blend sample was filtered and dried in vacuo at 30°C for 6 h. The sPB contents in the blends are 10 (Bl-10), 25 (Bl-25), 50 (Bl-50), 75 (Bl-75), and 90 (Bl-90) wt%.

2.3. Analytical Procedures

The crystallization process was traced with a Rigaku DSC 8230. The blend samples were heated from room temperature to 180°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min and kept for 10 min, and cooled to 20°C at the desired cooling rate under nitrogen atmosphere. The samples for FT-IR measurement were melted at 200°C and pressed under 10 MPa pressure in a mold of 0.2 mm thickness, and rapidly cooled to room temperature by quenching into water. The IR spectra were measured using a FT-IR 800S (Shimadzu) or a Nexus 470 FT-IR (Thermo Nicolet).

The blend samples were melted at 200°C and pressed under 10 MPa pressure in a mold of 5 mm radius with 1
mm thickness, followed by quenching in water at room temperature. The samples were stored at room temperature for 4 weeks to prepare crystallized samples. The WAXD patterns of the crystallized samples were recorded on a Shimadzu XD-D1 using Cu Kα radiation. The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurement of the crystallized polymers was investigated by a Shimadzu DSC-50 at a heating rate of 10°C/min from room temperature to 200°C under nitrogen atmosphere.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Crystallization of sPP in the Blend for Short Period

The crystallization process of sPP in the blend samples was traced by the DSC measurement from 180°C to 20°C on the constant cooling rate of 3, 6, 12, or 24°C/min. Figure 1 shows the DSC profiles of the blend samples on the cooling process at the cooling rate of 3°C/min. sPP, Bl-10, and Bl-25 showed an exothermic peak with a top ($T_c$) at 110°C. Bl-50 or Bl-75 showed the broad exothermic peak with $T_c$ at 108 or 101°C, respectively. The $T_c$s of those samples were lower than that of sPP. Bl-90 showed the bimodal broad exothermic peaks with $T_c$s at 108°C and 94°C, indicating formation of two kinds of crystalline structures. The $T_c$s of the blend samples detected on all the cooling rates are summarized in Table 1. The $T_c$s of sPP in the blend samples decreased with increasing the cooling rate, as previously reported about the neat sPP [44]. Bl-50, Bl-75, and Bl-90 showed lower $T_c$s than that of the neat sPP. The large amount of sPB in the blend samples should decrease mobility of the sPP molecules due to the limitation of the occupied volume. The molecular state requires super cooling to crystallize, and decreases the $T_c$ of sPP in those blend samples.

Bl-90 showed the bimodal exothermic peaks in the DSC profiles. A $T_c$ of Bl-90 detected at the higher temperature was almost same to that of the neat sPP. The result indicates that a portion of sPP would form isolate crys-

![Figure 1. DSC profiles of (i) sPP, (ii) Bl-10, (iii) Bl-25, (iv) Bl-50, (v) Bl-75, and (vi) Bl-90 on the cooling process at a rate of 3°C/min.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cooling rate °C/min</th>
<th>sPP</th>
<th>Bl-10</th>
<th>Bl-20</th>
<th>Bl-50</th>
<th>Bl-75</th>
<th>Bl-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>$t_{1/2}$</td>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>$t_{1/2}$</td>
<td>$T_c$</td>
<td>$t_{1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>104.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Crystallization temperature ($T_c$) and rate of sPP on the constant cooling process.
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tals in Bl-90. Compatibility of sPP and sPB at the molten state was observed with hot-stage microscopy at 200°C. The blend samples of Bl-10, Bl-25, Bl-50, and Bl-75 showed the miscible molten phase. On the other hand, the micrographs of Bl-90 indicated the texture derived from the two-phase separation. The crystallization from the two-phase separated molten state should induce the complex crystallization process and form the two kinds of crystals of sPP in Bl-90.

Figure 2(A) and Figure 2(B) show relationship between crystallization time \( t \) and weight fraction of crystallized sPP at time \( t \), \( X(t) \), of Bl-25 and Bl-90. Bl-25 showed sigmoid curves with induction periods for the nucleation at the early stage of crystallization as shown in Figure 2(A). Other blend samples, except Bl-90, also showed the similar profiles. By contrast, the crystallization profile of Bl-90 indicated two-step crystallization, as shown in Figure 2(B).

The half time of the crystallization \( t_{1/2} \), \( t \) at \( X(t) = 0.5 \), of the blend samples on the constant cooling rates is summarized in Table 1. The \( t_{1/2} \) of Bl-10, Bl-25, and Bl-50 were almost same. The \( t_{1/2} \) of Bl-75 were larger than those of the other blend samples.

Supahol investigated kinetics of non-isothermal crystallization of sPP by various macro kinetic models, and found that Ozawa model was suitable to study the crystallization kinetics of sPP [44]. We used this model to study the crystallization kinetics of sPP in the sPP-sPB blend samples. Non-isothermal crystallization behavior
on a constant cooling rate $\phi$ of polymers can be analyzed by the following Equation (1), developed by Ozawa [45]:

$$\ln\left\{ -\ln\left[1 - X(t)\right]\right\} = \chi - n \ln|\phi|$$

(1)

where $\chi$ represents the cooling crystallization function and $n$ represents Avrami exponent. The Avrami exponent of sPP in the blend samples was determined by the slope of the plots between $\ln|\phi|$ and $\ln\{–\ln[1 – X(t)]\}$ at the constant temperatures. Figure 3 shows the relationship between $\ln|\phi|$ and $\ln\{–\ln[1 – X(t)]\}$ of the blend samples at 100°C. The Avrami exponents ($n$) of the neat sPP, Bl-10, Bl-25, and Bl-50 were approximately 2.8 - 2.9. Bl-75 showed the large $n$ (4.8). Bl-90 did not showed linearity of the plots. The Avrami exponents ($n$) and the corresponding correlation ($r^2$) of the plots at various temperatures of the blend samples are summarized in Table 2. The $n$ values increased with increasing of the temperatures, as previously reported about the crystallization of sPP [44]. The large $n$ values of Bl-75 should be caused by the slow crystallization of sPP in the blend samples. Most of the plots of Bl-90 did not show linearity. The cooling rate strongly affected the two-step crystallization behavior of sPP in Bl-90 from the two-phase separated molten state.

![Figure 3. Relationship between $\ln|\phi|$ and $\ln\{–\ln[1 – X(t)]\}$ of sPP (○) and sPP-sPB blends, Bl-10 (△), Bl-25 (□), Bl-50 (◇), Bl-75 (●), and Bl-90 (▲) at 100°C.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ °C</th>
<th>sPP</th>
<th>Bl-10</th>
<th>Bl-20</th>
<th>Bl-50</th>
<th>Bl-75</th>
<th>Bl-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$r^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n$: Avrami exponent, $r^2$: Corresponding correlation of the plots.
3.2. Crystallization Process of Blend Samples for Long Period

The crystallization process of the blend samples at room temperature was traced by FT-IR spectroscopy to study the structure transformation of sPP and sPB in the blend samples for long period. The FT-IR spectra of sPP, Bl-10, and Bl-25, did not show any time change. The results indicate that the structures of the crystalline, mesophase, and amorphous phase of sPP in the samples should be fixed within a short period approximately 2 min. Figure 4 shows the time-resolved FT-IR spectra of Bl-75. The peaks intensity at 811, and 867 cm\(^{-1}\) derived from the helical structure of sPP in the crystal [46]-[48] increased with increasing the crystallization time within 15 min. Bl-50 also showed the slight increase of the intensity of these peaks during the crystallization within 5 min. A slow crystallization of sPP at high temperatures showed a similar time change of the FT-IR spectra [49]. The slow crystallization of sPP in Bl-50, and Bl-75 should induce the time change of the intensity of the peaks observed in FT-IR spectroscopy. sPB should disturb the formation of the helical structure in the crystalline phase of sPP during the crystallization from the miscible molten phase of Bl-50 and Bl-75. Figure 5 shows the time-resolved FT-IR spectra of Bl-90. The spectra did not show any time dependence of the profiles of those absorption peaks. The crystal of sPP should be formed independent of sPB from the two-phase molten sample of Bl-90.

The FT-IR spectroscopy detects the helical conformation and the planar zigzag conformation in the amorphous phase and mesophase of sPP. The peak intensity at 1153 cm\(^{-1}\), derived from planar zigzag conformations in

![Figure 4. Time-resolved FT-IR spectra of Bl-75 crystallized for 2 (bottom), 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 (top) min.](image)

![Figure 5. Time-resolved FT-IR spectra of Bl-90 crystallized for 3 (bottom), 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 (top) min.](image)
the amorphous phase, of the Bl-50 and Bl-75 samples decreased with increasing the crystallization time. The absorption peaks derived from the planar zigzag and the helical conformations in the mesophase are detected at 963 and 977 cm$^{-1}$, respectively [46]-[48]. Intensity ratio of the peaks at 963 and 977 cm$^{-1}$ $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ is useful to discuss the conformational change of sPP in the mesophase quantitatively, as previously reported [50]-[52]. Figure 6 shows a relationship between the crystallization time and the $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ of all the samples. Bl-50 and Bl-75 showed decrease of $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ ratio with increasing the crystallization time due to the decrease of the peak intensity at 963 cm$^{-1}$. By contrast, the $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ ratios of other samples were almost independent of the crystallization time. These results indicate that the transformation of the planar zigzag conformations in the mesophase of sPP slowly occurs in Bl-50 and Bl-75. One explanation for the results is that sPB of these blend samples would permeate in the mesophases of sPP, and would decrease the mobility of the polymer chains in the planar zigzag conformation.

All the crystallized blend samples (crystallization for 120 min) showed lower $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ values than that of the neat sPP. The FT-IR spectra cleared that the decrease of the absorbance intensity at 963 cm$^{-1}$ ($A_{963}$), derived from planar zigzag conformations of the mesophase phase, caused the decrease of the $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ values in the blend samples. Bl-75 showed the lowest $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ value among the blend samples. These results indicate that sPB prevents the formation of the planar zigzag conformations in the mesophase formed on the cooling process from the miscible molten state. The $R(A_{963}/A_{977})$ value of Bl-90 was larger than that of Bl-75, due to the isolated crystallization of sPP and sPB from the phase-separated molten state.

Slow crystallization of sPB in Bl-90 makes it possible to trace the crystallization process by WAXD. Figure 7 shows the time evolution of the WAXD profiles of Bl-90 and sPB. It took about 4 weeks to almost complete the crystallization of sPB due to its low crystallization rate [40]. By contrast, the crystallization of sPB in Bl-90 completed within 1 week. In the same way, the time evolution of the FT-IR spectra of Bl-90 showed rapid increase of the peak intensity derived from the crystalline structure of sPB at 993 and 1209 cm$^{-1}$ for 1 week crystallization, as shown in Figure 8. These results indicate that addition of 10 wt% of sPP to sPB accelerates the crystallization of sPB. sPP crystals in Bl-90 would play a role of a nucleating agent for sPB.

3.3. Crystalline Structure of Blend Samples

Figure 9 shows the WAXD patterns of sPP, Bl-10, Bl-25, Bl-50, and Bl-75 crystallized at room temperature for 4 weeks. The diffraction angles of the WAXD profiles are summarized in Table 3. sPP and the blend samples Bl-10, Bl-25, Bl-50, and Bl-75 showed the diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 12.1^\circ$, $15.8^\circ$, and $20.5^\circ$ derived from (200), (010) or (020) and (220, 121) reflection planes of the sPP crystal, respectively. Bl-75 also showed a weak diffraction peak derived from the sPB crystal at $2\theta = 10.5^\circ$. Bl-90 showed the diffraction peaks derived from not
Figure 7. WAXD profiles of (A) Bl-90 and (B) sPB crystallized for (a) 1, (b) 2, (c) 3, and (d) 4 week.

Figure 8. FT-IR spectra of Bl-90 crystallized for 3 h (bottom) 1, 2, 3, and 4 week (top).
Figure 9. WAXD patterns of sPP and sPP-sPB blends crystallized at room temperature for 4 weeks, (i) sPP, (ii) Bl-10, (iii) Bl-25, (iv) Bl-50, and (v) Bl-75.

Table 3. Diffraction angles and thermal properties of sPP, sPP-sPB blends, and sPB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>sPB wt%</th>
<th>sPP 2θ(°)</th>
<th>sPB 2θ(°)</th>
<th>T_mPP °C</th>
<th>ΔH_mPP J/g</th>
<th>T_mPB °C</th>
<th>ΔH_mPB J/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>(010)</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>(122)</td>
<td>L_200/210</td>
<td>(200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl-10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl-25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl-75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>142.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl-90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPB</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Melting temperature derived from sPP (T_mPP) or sPB (T_mPB), b: Heat of fusion derived from sPP (ΔH_mPP) or sPB (ΔH_mPB) determined by DSC, c: Intensity ratio of the diffraction peaks derived from (200) and (010) planes of sPP crystal.

only the sPB crystal at around 2θ = 10.5°, 15.3°, and 19.1° but a weak peak derived from the (200) planes of sPP at 2θ = 11.9°, as shown in Figure 7(A). The diffraction angles of sPB in Bl-90 were almost same to those of sPB derived from the (200), (110), and (210) planes, respectively, as shown in Figure 7(B).

Figure 10 illustrates the DSC melting curves of the blend samples, which were crystallized at room temperature for 4 weeks, on the heating process form room temperature to 200°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min. The data are summarized Table 3. Bl-10, Bl-25, and Bl-50 showed a melting peak at around 147°C derived from melting of the sPP crystal. The melting temperature (T_mPP) and heat of fusion (ΔH_mPP) of sPP in the blend samples showed only a slight decrease with increasing the sPB content. The DSC melting curves of Bl-75 and Bl-90 showed two melting peaks at around 38°C - 40°C and 142°C - 147°C. The lower melting peak is derived from the melting of sPB crystal. The T_mPP and ΔH_mPP values of sPP in Bl-75 were the lowest among the blend samples. This result agrees with the WAXD profiles, that Bl-75 shows the lowest peak intensity, as shown in Figure 9. The melting temperature of sPB (T_mPB) in the blend samples, detected in Bl-75, Bl-90, and sPB, increased with increasing of the sPB content. The increase of occupied volume of sPB in the blend should promote the formation of the sPB crystals with thick lamellae.
4. Conclusions

The crystallization and crystalline structure of the sPP-sPB blends were successively investigated with the WAXD, DSC, and FT-IR measurements. The WAXD patterns and the DSC profiles showed that the large amount of sPP in the blend samples in Bl-10, and Bl-25 prevented the crystallization of sPB. The crystallization behavior and thermal properties of sPP in those blend samples were not affected by sPB. The $T_\text{cs}$ of sPP in Bl-50, Bl-75, and Bl-90 were lower than that of the neat sPP. The $T_m$ and $\Delta H_m$ of sPP in Bl-75 were the lowest among the blend samples. A part of sPP in Bl-90 formed the isolate crystals, and induced the heterogeneous two-step crystallization. The portion of planar zigzag conformations in the mesophase and amorphous phase of sPP in Bl-50, and Bl-75 gradually decreased with increasing the crystallization time. The ratio of the planar zigzag conformation to the helical $(t_2g_2)_2$ conformation of sPP in the mesophases decreased with increasing of the sPB content in the blend samples, except Bl-90. sPB could be crystallized in Bl-75 and Bl-90.

More detailed studies, especially transmission electron microscopic study and solid NMR spectroscopy, of the crystalline structure of the blend samples are now being carried out, and the results will be reported elsewhere.
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