

ISSN Online: 2160-8717 ISSN Print: 2160-8709

Awareness of Patients toward Dental Implants as an Alternative Treatment to Replace Missing Teeth in Kinshasa, D. R. Congo

Em Kalala-Kazadi^{1*}, Patrick Disidi-Yakini¹, Fidèle Nyimi-Bushaba^{2,3}, Edize Ekofo-Ingaya¹, Augustin Mantshumba-Milolo⁴

¹Unit of Periodontology, Department of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Congo

How to cite this paper: Kalala-Kazadi, E., Disidi-Yakini, P., Nyimi-Bushaba, F., Ekofo-Ingaya, E. and Mantshumba-Milolo, A. (2018) Awareness of Patients toward Dental Implants as an Alternative Treatment to Replace Missing Teeth in Kinshasa, D. R. Congo. *Open Journal of Stomatology*, **8**, 345-353.

https://doi.org/10.4236/ojst.2018.812033

Received: September 20, 2018 Accepted: December 16, 2018 Published: December 19, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/





Abstract

Background: Dental implant is an artificial root inserted in the jawbone, which restores a lost tooth so that it looks, feels, and is fit like a natural tooth. It is considered to be the best treatment option for single or multiple missing teeth. The aim of this study was to assess the awareness of patients about dental implants as an alternative treatment in Congolese patients. Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in two institutions namely, CBCO Bandal and Ngaliema Clinic, from August to December 2017. A total of 450 respondents have participated in the survey. A printed questionnaire comprised of 20 questions in order to evaluate patients dental awareness was used. Gender, level of education, awareness of dental implant and dental implants therapy, sources of information and the expectation about the sources of information were assessed. The collected data were entered in Microsoft Excel 2010 and analyzed by SPSS (IBM SPSS 20.0, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Results: Out of 450 participants, 14.4% were aware of dental implants. The main disadvantage of dental implant was the high cost. When asked about the anchorage, 86% didn't know where the dental implant was anchored. Ninety-two percent didn't know for how long an implant last, 6% thought that dental implant last for a lifetime. When patients were asked about the sources of information, the dentist (5%) and media (5.5%) were the main sources of information. The majority of patients (88.4%) would like to get information about dental implants from their dentist. Conclusion: Dentists should be more involved in the promotion of information about dental implants as a treatment modality to replace missing teeth.

²Oral and Maxillo-Facial Surgery, University of Kinshasa and, Kinshasa, Congo

³Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

⁴Prosthesis and Orthodontic Service, Department of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Congo Email: *kalalaem@gmail.com, *em.kalala@unikin.ac.cd

Keywords

Awareness, Dental Implant, Treatment Modality, Oral Rehabilitation

1. Introduction

The well-being and quality of life are often affected by the common oral changes and conditions of the individuals. The loss of natural teeth is a health problem that is associated with functional, cosmetic, psychological morbidities and disabilities in daily living activities such as impaired eating and speaking, or social embarrassment. Various attempts have been made to replace the lost dentition with artificial teeth (prostheses) that resemble the natural teeth in function and appearance. Fortunately, restoration of missing teeth with dental implants offers far-reaching solution to the problems [1] [2].

A dental implant is an artificial root inserted in the jawbone, which restores a lost tooth so that it looks, feels, and is fit like a natural tooth [3] [4].

The dental implant treatment is considered to be the best treatment option for single or multiple missing teeth. It is estimated that one million implants are placed in the world yearly [5].

Due to the high success rates and predictability of dental implants, their prevalence in the oral rehabilitation is increasing year by year [6] [7]. In addition implants offer alternative treatment options to fully or partially edentulous patients. A majority of patients treated with implant supported prosthesis have reported improvement in their quality of life, assurance, self-confidence including psychological benefits and moreover conservation of the tooth structure adjacent to the teeth to be replaced [8] [9].

Little information is available to patients regarding dental implant and its success. This problem is more encountered in developing nations where there is a lack of education and awareness among people about dental implants as a treatment modality. Therefore, complete information on implant treatment must be provided to guide the patient in the choice of the most appropriate option [10].

To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing literature regarding the awareness of patients about dental implants in D.R. Congo.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the awareness about dental implants as an alternative treatment among Congolese patients.

2. Methodology

• Selection of the study population and questionnaire

A cross-sectional study was conducted in two institutions namely, CBCO Bandal and Ngaliema Clinic, from August to December 2017. A convenient sample size of 450 respondents agreed to participate to the survey. Their age varied between 18 to 92 years old. The mean age was 35.43 ± 12.94 years. They were se-

lected during their regular visit in the dental services of the two institutions above cited.

To be part of this study, the patient has to accept voluntarily to participate and to be aged 18 years old at least.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Kinshasa.

A printed questionnaire comprised of 20 questions in order to evaluate patient dental awareness was given to them. Questionnaire was prepared in French and explained in Lingala (local language) to all participants.

All the respondents were informed about the aim and objectives of the study. Questions used in the survey form included self-explanatory questions which were adapted from a previous study conducted by Tomruk *et al.* [11].

Statistical analysis

The collected data were entered in Microsoft Excel 2010 and analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 20.0, Chicago, IL, USA) software.

3. Results

The age of the majority of patients varied between 30 to 50 years old. The majority of them (62.2%) had a high education level (university and above). The female participants represented 63.6% whereas the male, 36.4% (Table 1).

Out of 450 patients interviewed in this study, no one had undergone an implant therapy whereas 6.7% were denture carriers (**Table 2**).

Regarding the alternatives for replacing teeth, 41.5% of patients interviewed were aware of partial removable denture; 28.4% were aware of fixed partial denture whereas only 14.4% were aware of dental implant as an alternative to replace missing teeth (Table 3).

Regarding the assessment of the information level of dental implants, 1.6% reported that they were very well informed, 3.1% were well informed and 31.1% were poorly informed subjectively (**Table 3**).

Seventy one percent didn't know the advantages of non-removable denture vs removable denture, 5.5% reported that it was "less annoying in the mouth", 17% said "more esthetic", 5.5% thought "not like a foreign body", 1% reported "feel like natural teeth". The high cost was the main disadvantage of dental implant (23%) (Table 4).

Regarding the anchor of dental implants, 8% reported that it was in the jaw bone, 6% answered that it was in the gingiva.

When asked about the lifelong of implants, 0.4% answered >5 years, 0.2% said >10 year, 1% reported >20 years and 6% answered for lifetime (**Table 5**).

When the patients were asked about the information sources of dental implants, results reported, dentist (5%), friends (2.6%), media (5.5%), medical doctor (0.7%) (Table 6).

About the expectation of informations on dental implants, 88.4% wished to get information of dental implant near the dentist, friends (1.6%), media (2.4%),

medical doctor (6.9%) and other (0.31) (Table 7).

 Table 1. Sociodemographic profile of respondents.

		n	%
Age	<30	182	40.4
	30 - 50	199	44.2
	51 - 70	65	14.4
	71+	4	0.9
Gender	Male	174	36.4
	Female	276	63.6
Education level	Uneducated	1	0.22
	Primary school	14	3.1
	High school	155	34.1
	University and above	280	62.2

Table 2. Questions on knowledge and dental situation.

		n	%
Had implant therapy?	Yes	0	0
	No	450	100
Carrying dental prostheses?	Yes	30	6.7
	No	420	93.3

Table 3. Questions on alternative teeth replacement.

		n	%
Alternatives for replacing teeth	Implant supporting reconstruction	65	14.4
	Removable partial denture	187	41.5
	Removable complete	6	1.3
	Fixed partial denture	128	28.4
	Not at all	64	14.2
	Very well	7	1.6
How well informed do you feel subjectively about implants?	Well	14	3.1
	Moderately well	4	0.9
subjectively about implants:	Removable complete Fixed partial denture Not at all Very well Well	140	31.1
	Removable partial denture Removable complete Fixed partial denture Not at all Very well Well Moderately well Poorly Not at all Very well Well Moderately well Poorly	285	63.3
	Very well	69	15.3
How well informed do you feel subjectively about alternatives for replacing teeth?	Well	111	24.7
	Moderately well	17	3.8
	Poorly	218	48.4
	Not at all	35	7.8

Table 4. Questions on advantages and disadvantages of different dentures.

		n	%
	Less annoying in the mouth	25	5.5
	Esthetic (nicer)	77	17
What are the advantages of non-removable vs removable dentures?	ole Foreign body Good as natural teeth	25	5.5
vs removable defitures:	Good as natural teeth	5	1
	I don't know	318	71
	High cost	103	23
What do you think are the disadvantages	Need for surgery	21	4.6
of implant-supported dentures/bridges?	Long treatment time	8	2
	I don't know	317	70.4

Table 5. Questions on anchorage and lifelong of implants.

		n	%
	Jaw bone	36	8
Where the implants	Gingiva	27	6
are anchored	I don't know	387	86
Lifelong of an implant	>5 years	2	0.4
	>10 years	1	0.2
	>20 years	4	1
	Lifetime	27	6
	I don't know	416	92.4

Table 6. Questions on the sources of information about alternatives for replacement of teeth.

		n	%
	Dentist	22	5
	Friends	12	2.6
The sources of information	Media	25	5.5
About dental implant	Medical doctor	3	0.7
	Other	1	0.2
	No answer	387	86
	Dentist	126	28
	Friends	197	43.8
The sources of information about alternatives for replacement of teeth	Media	72	16
	Medical doctor	15	3.3
	Other	14	3.1
	No answer	26	5.8

Table 7. Questions on expectations about the source of information.

	n	%
Dentist	398	88.4
Friends	7	1.6
Media	11	2.4
Medical doctor	31	6.9
I don't know	2	0.4
	Friends Media Medical doctor	Dentist 398 Friends 7 Media 11 Medical doctor 31

4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to assess the awareness level of patients about dental implant as an alternative treatment for oral rehabilitation. In the recent decades, dental implant has become a popular treatment option in most developed countries.

This survey revealed that no respondent had undergone implant therapy while 6.7% of patients were prostheses carrier. These results could be explained in the one hand, by the high cost of oral implant rehabilitation and low income of the population [12], and in the other hand by the lack of implants equipment in most hospitals of Kinshasa city.

The awareness of dental implants as an alternative treatment was low with 14.4%. This level of awareness suggests that most of patients attending dental services are not informed about dental implant as an alternative treatment for oral rehabilitation. This argument is important to be mentioned as the number of participants with high level of education (university and above) was high. Therefore, a great work of information have to be done near them. Another low level of awareness was reported in a survey conducted by Mgbeokwere *et al.* 2011 [2] in the Nigerian population (9.7%). However, studies done by Zimmer *et al.* 1992 [13] in the USA, Berge (2000) [14] in Norway, Suprakash *et al.* 2013 [1] in India, Kohli *et al.* 2014 in Malaysia [15] reported higher level of awareness either 77%, 70.1%, 77%, and 72% respectively.

Only 1.6% of patients estimated that they were very well informed about dental implants and 15.3% very well informed about alternatives for replacing teeth. Therefore, patients should be highlighted about different treatment modalities for replacing missing Teeth.

Seventy one percent of respondents didn't know the advantages of non-removable vs removable dentures. These results suggest that dentists have to be more involved in conveying explicit information to patients about different types of dentures and their eventual advantages and disadvantages.

In this survey, the major disadvantage about dental implants as estimated by the participants was the high cost (23%). This point of view is similar to that of several authors from different countries, developing as well as non developing [11] [13] [16] [17] [18] [19].

In the present study, 86% didn't know where implants are anchored; only 8% stated the jaw bone. This result confirms the lack of knowledge about dental implants among patients mentioned above. In a study conducted by Suwal *et al.* [20], 24.5% stated that the jaw bone was the site of anchorage of the dental implant. The majority of respondents (92.4%) were unaware about the durability of dental implants, 1% thought the lifelong as > 20 years, 6% for lifetime. Therefore, patients should be more informed properly about the implant therapy. Unrealistic estimates and expected implant survival time should be cleared up properly before the treatment to prevent misunderstandings and misinformation [11].

Regarding the sources of information about dental implants, two main sources were reported; 5.5% were informed via media, 5% by dentists. These results corroborate with the study of Zimmer *et al.* [13]. However, Bhoomika *et al.* [10], Kholi *et al.* [17], Kumar *et al.* [16], Esfahani *et al.* [21], Deeb *et al.* [22] reported that the main source was the dentist while Suwal *et al.* [20], Al-Johany *et al.* [23] reported relatives and friends.

When asked about the expectation on sources of information, the majority (88.4%) of patients wished to get information about dental implants from their dentists. These results are in agreement with the study conducted by Tomruk *et al.* [11]. Dentists As this survey was performed in a limited group of patients, further surveys are needed to take place in a larger population.

As a main shortcoming, this survey was performed in a limited group of patients not randomly selected; future surveys are needed to take place in large samples of the population selected randomly.

5. Conclusion

The present study showed that no respondent had undergone implant therapy, patients awareness towards dental implants as an alternative treatment for replacing missing teeth was low. Media and dentists were the main sources of information regarding dental implants among all patients. The major disadvantage of implant as a treatment option was the high cost. Therefore, awareness of dental implants among people should be improved; dentists should be the first line for carrying realistic information. A need for the equipment of hospitals and education of dentists in this field is paramount.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Suprakash, B., Ahammed, A.R.Y., Thareja, A., Kandaswamy, R., Kumar, N. and Bhondwe, S. (2013) Knowledge and Attitude of Patients toward Dental Implants as an Option for Replacement of Missing Teeth. *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, 14, 115-118. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10024-1282
- [2] Mgbeokwere, U., Okoye, L. and Ekwueme, O. (2011) A Survey of the Knowledge of Dental Implants as a Choice in Treatment of Edentulous Jaws among Health Workers in Government Dental Clinics in Enugu. *Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research*, 1, 91-96.
- [3] Naert, I., Koutsikakis, G., Duyck, J., Quirynen, M., Jacobs, R. and van Steenberghe, D. (2002) Biologic Outcome of Implant Supported Restorations in the Treatment of Partial Edentulism. Part I: A Longitudinal Clinical Evaluation. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 13, 381-389. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.2002.130406.x
- [4] Brunski, J.B. (1999) In Vivo Bone Response to Biomechanical Loading to Bone/Dental Implant Interface. Advances in Dental Research, 13, 99-119. https://doi.org/10.1177/08959374990130012301

- [5] Chowdhary, R., Mankani, N. and Chandraker, N.K. (2010) Awareness of Dental Implants as a Treatment Choice in Urban Indian Populations. *International Journal* of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants, 25, 305-308.
- [6] Tepper, G., Haas, R., Mailath, G., Teller, C., Zechner, W., Watzak, G., et al. (2003) Representative Marketing-Oriented Study on Implants in the Austrian Population. I. Level of Information, Sources of Information and Need for Patient Information. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 14, 621-633. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.2003.00916.x
- [7] Muller, F., Wahl, G. and Fuhr, K. (1994) Age-Related Satisfaction with Complete Dentures, Desire for Improvement and Attitudes to Implant Treatment. *Gerodontology*, **11**, 7-12. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-2358.1994.tb00096.x
- [8] Pommer, B., Zechner, W., Watzak, G., et al. (2011) Progress and Trends in Patients' Mindset on Dental Implants. I: Level of Information, Sources of Information and Need for Patient Information. Clinical Oral Implants Research, 22, 223-229. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02035.x
- [9] Aglietta, M., Siciliano, V.I., Zwahlen, M., Brägger, U., Pjetursson, B.E., Lang, N.P. and Salvi, G.E. (2009) A Systematic Review of the Survival and Complication Rates of Implant Supported Fixed Dental Prostheses with Cantilever Extensions after an Observation Period of at Least 5 Years. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 20, 441-451. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2009.01706.x
- [10] Bhoomika, K. and Devaraj, C.G. (2015) Awareness of Dental Implants as a Treatment Modality among People Visiting Mahatma Gandhi Dental College & Hospital, Jaipur. *National Journal of Medical Research*, **5**, 61-63.
- [11] Tomruk, C.Ö., Özkurt-Kayahan, Z. and Şençift, K. (2014) Patient's Knowledge and Awareness of Dental Implants in a Turkish Subpopulation. *Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, **6**, 133-137. https://doi.org/10.4047/jap.2014.6.2.133
- [12] Kalala, E.K., Mantshumba, M.A., Vuystek, P., Vinckier, F., Kumpanya, N.P., Lutula, P.J. and Ntumba, M.K. (2014) Implantologie Dentaire Aux Cliniques Universitaires de Kinshasa. *Annales Africaines de Médecine*, 7, 73-76.
- [13] Zimmer, C.M., Zimmer, W.M., Williams, J. and Liesener, J. (1992) Public Awareness and Acceptance of Dental Implants. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, **7**, 228-232.
- [14] Berge, T.I. (2000) Public Awareness, Information Sources and Evaluation of Oral Implant Treatment in Norway. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 11, 401-408. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.2000.011005401.x
- [15] Kohli, S., Bhatia, S., Kaur, A. and Rathakrishnan, T. (2014) Public Knowledge and Acceptance of Dental Implant Treatment in Malaysian Population. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Dentistry*, 4, 76-80. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5194.142938
- [16] Kumar, S. and Chauhan, A. (2015) Knowledge and Awareness of Dental Implants in India: An Exploratory Study. *International Journal of Oral Health and Medical Re*search, 2, 29-33.
- [17] Kola, M.Z., Alasqah, M.N., Alharbi, B., Alonazi, A. and Alhedyan, F.S. (2016) Public Awareness, Information Sources and Evaluation of Oral Implant Treatment in Alkharj Town (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia)—A Survey Based Study. *Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research*, 4, 114-120.
- [18] Hosadurga, R., Shanti, T., Hedge, S., Kashyap, R.S. and Arunkumar, S.M. (2017) Awareness, Knowledge and Attitude of Patients towards Dental Implants-Questionnaire Based Prospective Study. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*, 21, 315-325.

- [19] Awooda, E.M., Eltayeb, A.S., Hussain, S.A., Dayelnaiem, S.I., Abdelhamied, M.A., Mohamed, L.A., et al. (2014) Knowledge, Attitude and Acceptance of Dental Implants among Patients Attending Khartoum Dental Teaching Hospital. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences, 13, 19-23.
- [20] Suwal, P., Basnet, B.B., Shrestha, B., Parajuli, P.K. and Singh, R.K. (2016) Know-ledge, Attitude, and Awareness Regarding Dental Implants among Patients Visiting a University Hospital and Its Teaching Districts. *Journal of Dental Implant*, 6, 57-61. https://doi.org/10.4103/jdi.jdi_22_16
- [21] Esfahani, O.F. and Moosaali, F. (2016) Awareness and Knowledge of Patients toward Dental Implants as an Option in Replacing Missing Teeth: A Survey in Kerman, Iran. *Journal of Periodontology & Implant Dentistry*, **8**, 43-48.
- [22] Deeb, G., Wheeler, B., Jones, M., Carrico, C., Laskin, D., Golob, J. and Deeb, J.G. (2017) Public and Patient Knowledge about Dental Implants. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 75, 1387-1391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2017.03.024
- [23] Al-Johany, S., Al Zoman, H.A., Al Juhaini, M. and Al Refeai, M. (2010) Dental Patients' Awareness and Knowledge in Using Dental Implants as an Option in Replacing Missing Teeth: A Survey in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Dental Journal, 22, 183-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2010.07.006