# Existence of Ordered Solutions to Quasilinear Schrödinger Equations with General Nonlinear Term 

Jia Wu, Gao Jia<br>College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China<br>Email: jiawu94wo@163.com

How to cite this paper: Wu, J. and Jia, G. (2018) Existence of Ordered Solutions to Quasilinear Schrödinger Equations with General Nonlinear Term. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 6, 770-786. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2018.64068

Received: March 12, 2018
Accepted: April 21, 2018
Published: April 24, 2018

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## Abstract

In this paper, the existence of a pair of ordered solutions for the following class of equations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u-\Delta\left(u^{2}\right) u=g(x, u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was studied. A bounded (PS) (Palais-Smale) sequence was constructed and the related variational principle was used to prove the existence of the positive solution. The existence of the ordered solutions is finally found.

## Keywords

Quasilinear Schrödinger Equations, Ordered Solutions, Mountain Pass Lemma, (PS) Sequence


Open Access

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, studies about the nontrivial solutions of Schrödinger equations are very popular, involving differential equations, linear algebra and many subjects. The solution of these problems cannot only develop new methods, such as minimizations [1] [2], change of variables [3] [4] [5], Nehari method [6] and perturbation method [7], reveal new laws, but also have important academic value and wide application prospects [8] [9].

In this paper, we consider the existence of ordered solutions for the following quasilinear Schrödinger equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+V(x) u-\Delta\left(u^{2}\right) u=g(x, u) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we make the following assumptions:

$$
\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \quad V \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

$\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right) \quad 0<2 C_{0}<V_{0} \leq V(x) \leq V_{1}<\infty$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{3}\right) V(x)$ is symmetrical radially, which is $V(x)=V(|x|)$;
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{4}\right)$ There exists $\gamma \in[1,2)$, such that $(\nabla V(x) \cdot x)^{+} \in L^{2^{*} / 2^{*}-\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $(\nabla V(x) \cdot x)^{+}=\max \{\nabla V(x) \cdot x, 0\}$;
$\left(g_{1}\right) \quad g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, for any $t \leq 0, g(x, t)=0, g(x, t)=o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$;
$\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ There exist $C_{0}>0$ and $q \in\left(4,2 \cdot 2^{*}\right)$, such that $|g(x, t)| \leq C_{0}\left(t+t^{q-1}\right)$ as $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$;
( $\left.\mathrm{g}_{3}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}=+\infty$;
( $\left.\mathrm{g}_{4}\right) \quad G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$;
$\left(g_{5}\right)$ There exists $K>0$, such that $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x \cdot \nabla_{x} G \mathrm{~d} x\right|<K$, where

$$
\nabla_{x} G=\left(\frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x_{2}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x_{N}}\right)
$$

$\left(\mathrm{g}_{6}\right)$ There exists $C^{*}>0$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|g_{t}(x, t)\right| \mathrm{d} x<C^{*}$;
$\left(\mathrm{g}_{7}\right)$ There exists $\mu \geq 4$, such that $0<\mu G(x, s)<g(x, s) s$ for any $s>0$.

## 2. Main Results

We are now state the main results of the paper:
Theorem 1.1 Assume conditions $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{V}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{g}_{7}\right)$ are satisfied, there is at least one positive solution to Equation (2).

Theorem 1.2 Assume conditions $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{V}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{g}_{7}\right)$ are satisfied, there is at least one pair of ordered positive solutions to Equation (2).

## 3. Preliminaries

We observe that formally problem (2) is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated of the natural energy functional given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, u) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $J$ is not well defined in general in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To overcome this difficulty, we make the change of variables developed in [1] by $v=f^{-1}(u)$, where $f$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}(t)}}, f(0)=0, \text { on } t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f(t)=-f(-t), \text { on } t \in(-\infty, 0]
$$

Thus we can write $J(u)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v):=J(f(u))=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) f^{2}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(v)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well defined in the space

$$
H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid u \text { is symmetrical radially }\right\} .
$$

We can see that the nontrivial critical points of $I(v)$ are precise weak solutions for

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v=-V(x) f(v) f^{\prime}(v)+g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 (see in [4]) The function $f(t)$ possesses the following properties.

1) $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 1$;
2) $|f(t)| \leq|t|$;
3) $|f(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{4}}|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$;
4) $\frac{1}{2} f(t) \leq t f^{\prime}(t) \leq f(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$;
5) $\frac{1}{2} f^{2}(t) \leq f(t) f^{\prime}(t) t \leq f^{2}(t)$;
6) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t}=1$;
7) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{t}}=2^{\frac{1}{4}}$;
8) There exists a positive constant $C$, such that

$$
|f(t)| \geq \begin{cases}C|t|, & \text { if }|t| \leq 1 \\ C|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text { if }|t| \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

9) For each $\lambda>1$, we have $f^{2}(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{2} f^{2}(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: The proofs of (1)-(3) and (6) only require the knowledge of calculus. The reader can refer to the literature [1]. The following proofs (4)-(8).

Let $l(t)=2 t-\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}(t)} f(t)$, there is $l(0)=0$ obviously, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^{\prime}(t) & =2-2\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} f^{2}(t)-\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}(t)} f^{\prime}(t) \\
& =1-2 f^{2}(t)\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{1+2 f^{2}(t)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $l(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, so we have $2 t f^{\prime}(t) \geq f(t) \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. $t f^{\prime}(t) \leq f(t) \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$can be proved similarly. (5) can be derived from (4) easily.

From the conclusion (4), we can get $f(t) \geq f(1) \sqrt{t}$ for any $t>1$. Thus we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=+\infty$, and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f^{2}(t)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} 2 f^{\prime}(t) f(t)=2 \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}(t)}}=\sqrt{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{t}}=2^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

(8) can be derived from (6) (7).

Finally we prove (9). For any $t>0$, we have the following inequality by (5)

$$
\frac{\left(f^{2}(t)\right)^{\prime} t}{f^{2}(t)}=\frac{2 f(t) f^{\prime}(t) t}{f^{2}(t)} \leq 2
$$

Then we have

$$
\ln \frac{f^{2}(\lambda t)}{f^{2}(t)}=\int_{t}^{\lambda t} \frac{\left(f^{2}(s)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \geq \int_{t}^{\lambda t} \frac{2}{s} \mathrm{~d} s=2 \ln \frac{\lambda t}{t}=\ln \lambda^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
f^{2}(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{2} f^{2}(t)
$$

For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $f^{2}(\lambda t) \leq \lambda^{2} f^{2}(t)$, because $f^{2}(x)$ is even function.
Remark 3.1. To convenience, we note support as supp, and superior as sup.
Proposition 3.1. (Rellich-Kondrachov theorem) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open, bounded Lipschitz domain, and let $1 \leq p<n$. Set

$$
p^{*}:=\frac{n p}{n-p} .
$$

Then the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in the $L^{q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ space and is compactly embedded in $L^{q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for every $1 \leq q<p^{*}$. In symbols, $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ embedding in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, and $W^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q<p^{*}$.

Proposition 3.2. (Hölder inequality) Let $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a measure space and let $p, q \in[1,+\infty)$ with $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Then, for all measurable real or com-plex-valued functions $f$ and $g$ on $S$,

$$
\|f g\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{p}\|g\|_{q} .
$$

Proposition 3.3. (Sobolev inequality) Assume that $u$ is a continuously differentiable real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with compact support. Then for $1 \leq p<n$ there is a constant $C$ depending only on $n$ and $p$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\|D u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

with

$$
1 / p^{*}=1 / p-1 / n
$$

Lemma 3.2 $X$ is Banach space, and $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ is a norm of this space. $\varsigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a range. The family of functionals $\left\{\Phi_{\lambda}(v)\right\}_{\lambda \in \epsilon}$ of class $C^{1}$ in $X$ satisfy:

1) For all $\lambda \in \varsigma$, there is $\Phi_{\lambda}(v)=A(v)-\lambda B(v)$. There is $A(v) \rightarrow+\infty$ or $B(v) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|v\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$;
2) For each $\lambda \in \varsigma$ and for all $v \in X$, there is $B(v) \geq 0$;
3) There exist two points $v_{1}, v_{2} \in X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))>\max \left\{\Phi_{\lambda}\left(v_{1}\right), \Phi_{\lambda}\left(v_{2}\right)\right\} \text {, for each } \lambda \in \varsigma \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) \mid \gamma(0)=v_{1}, \gamma(1)=v_{2}\right\}$.

Thus there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{n}(\lambda)\right\} \subset X$, for a.e. $\lambda \in \varsigma$, we have

1) $\left\{v_{n}(\lambda)\right\}$ is bounded;
2) $\left\{\Phi_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right\} \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$;
3) $\left\{\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}(\lambda)\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0$.

In order to use Lemma 3.2, in the following discussion, we take

$$
X=H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid u \text { is symmetrical radially }\right\}
$$

and consider the following family of functional

$$
I_{\lambda}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) f^{2}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(v)) \mathrm{d} x, v \in X
$$

where $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$.
Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) f^{2}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
B(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(v)) \mathrm{d} x
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
I_{\lambda}(v)=A(v)-\lambda B(v)
$$

The following lemma shows that $I_{\lambda}(v)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3 Assume conditions $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{g}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, we have

1) $B(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in X$;
2) $A(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$;
3) There exists $v_{0} \in X$ independent on $\lambda$, such that $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)<0$ for each $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$;
4) $c_{\lambda}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))>\max \left\{I_{\lambda}(0), I_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)\right\}$, for each $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) \mid \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=v_{0}\right\}$.

Proof: (1) can be directly obtained from ( $\mathrm{g}_{4}$. Let's prove (2) by Lemma 3.1 and embedding theorem, we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\{x| | v(x) \mid \leq 1\}} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\{x|v(x)|>1\}} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C \int_{\{x| | v(x) \leq \leq 1\}} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\{x| | v(x) \mid>1\}}|v|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x+C_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} \\
& \leq C_{3}\left(A(v)+A(v)^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $A$ is convex.

To prove (3), firstly, we let

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, u) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and $U=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid u(x) \neq 0\right\}$ ( meas $U>0$ ). Then fixing a non-negative radial symmetry function $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, for all $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t u\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\right)= & \frac{t^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{t^{N+2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(t x) u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +t^{N+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{t^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, t u) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{N+2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{1} u^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{G(x, t u)}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{3}\right): \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{g(x, t)}{t}=+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{G(x, t u)}{t^{2}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t u} g(x, s) \mathrm{d} s}{t^{2}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{u g(x, t u)}{2 t} \rightarrow+\infty, \text { a.e. } x \in U . \\
& J_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t u\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\right)<0 \text { when } t \text { is large enough. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists $v_{0}=f^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right) \in X \quad\left(v_{0}\right.$ is independent on $\left.\lambda\right)$, such that $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}\right) \leq J_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(u_{0}\right)<0$, for each $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$.

Finally, we prove (4). Define $\hat{G}(x, t)=-\frac{V_{0}}{2} f^{2}(t)+G(x, f(t))$. By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{G}(x, t)}{t^{2}}=-\frac{V_{0}}{2}, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{G}(x, t)}{|t|^{2^{*}}}=0$.

Hence, there exists $C>0$, such that $\hat{G}(x, t) \leq-\frac{V_{0}}{4} t^{2}+C|t|^{2^{*}}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(v) \geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{0} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(v)) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{0} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{0} v^{2} d x-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|v|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{0} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{V_{0}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|v|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\geq & \min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{V_{0}}{4}\right\}\|v\|^{2}-C|v|_{L^{2^{*}}}^{2^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $I_{\lambda}(v)>0$ with $0 \leq\|v\|<\rho$. We also have $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$, $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)<0$, thus $c_{\lambda}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))>0>\max \left\{I_{\lambda}(0), I_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)\right\}$.

By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we can construct the (PS) sequence of $I_{\lambda}(u)$. Specifically, there exists $\varsigma_{1} \subset\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ ( meas $\varsigma_{1}=0$ ), for each $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \backslash \varsigma_{1}$, then we have a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$, satisfy

1) $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is bounded;
2) $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$;
3) $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 3.4 If $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a (PS) sequence of $I_{\lambda}$, then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, which convergence to the positive critical point $v_{\lambda}$ of $I_{\lambda}$.

Proof: Since $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X$ is bounded, by Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exists $v_{\lambda} \in X$, such that
i) $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $X$;
ii) $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $L^{\frac{q}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$;
iii) $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

By (i) and (ii), we obtain $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=0$.
Next we prove $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Firstly, let

$$
H(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} V(x) t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} V(x) f^{2}(t)+\lambda G(x, f(t))
$$

Hence $I_{\lambda}(v)$ is transformed into

$$
I_{\lambda}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) v^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H(x, v) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Let $h(x, t)=\frac{\mathrm{d} H(x, t)}{\mathrm{d} t}$, so that

$$
h(x, t)=V(x) t-V(x) f(t) f^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t)
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 3.1, there exists $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
|h(x, t)| & \leq V(x)|t|+V(x)|f(t)| f^{\prime}(t)+\lambda|g(x, f(t))| f^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq 2 V_{1}|t|+\lambda C_{0}|f(t)| f^{\prime}(t)+\lambda C_{0}|f(t)|^{q-1} f^{\prime}(t)  \tag{8}\\
& \leq C_{1}|t|+C_{2}|t|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (8) and $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $L^{\frac{q}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(h\left(x, v_{n}\right)-h\left(x, v_{\lambda}\right)\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(1)= & \left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)-I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{\lambda}\right), v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right)\right|^{2}+V(x)\left(v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(h\left(x, v_{n}\right)-h\left(x, v_{\lambda}\right)\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right) \\
\geq & \min \left\{1, V_{0}\right\}\left\|v_{n}-v_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Therefore, $v_{\lambda}>0$ is the critical point of functional $I_{\lambda}$, and $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=c_{\lambda}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.5 Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Then there exists $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and corresponding critical point sequence $\left\{v_{n}^{*}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{0\}, \quad$ such that $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=1 \quad$ and $\quad v_{n}^{*}>0, \quad I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{*}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}} \leq c_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}^{*}\right)=0, n=1,2, \cdots$.
Proof: Let $\lambda_{1} \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \backslash \varsigma_{1}$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists (PS) sequence $\left\{v_{1, m}\right\} \subset X$, such that $I_{\lambda_{1}}\left(v_{1, m}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda_{1}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{1}}^{\prime}\left(v_{1, m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $v_{1, m} \rightarrow v_{1}^{*}$, and $I_{\lambda_{1}}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)=c_{\lambda_{1}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{1}}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)=0$ in $X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
Similarly, let $\lambda_{2} \in\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{2}, 1\right] \backslash \varsigma_{1}$, we have $I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(v_{2, m}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda_{2}}, I_{\lambda_{2}}^{\prime}\left(v_{2, m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and $\quad v_{2, m} \rightarrow v_{2}^{*}, \quad I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(v_{2}^{*}\right)=c_{\lambda_{2}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{2}}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}^{*}\right)=0 \quad$ in $X$.
Let $\lambda_{n} \in\left[\frac{\lambda_{n-1}+1}{2}, 1\right] \backslash \varsigma_{1}$, we have $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n, m}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda_{n}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n, m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and $v_{n, m} \rightarrow v_{n}^{*}, \quad I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{*}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}^{*}\right)=0$ in $X$.

Thus we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=1$, and since $I_{\lambda}(v)$ is monotonically decreasing with $\lambda$, so that $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}^{*}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}} \leq c_{1 / 2}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.6 If $u \in X$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla V(x) \cdot x f^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(u)) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x \cdot \nabla G_{x} \mathrm{~d} x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Multiply the two sides of the equation

$$
-\Delta v+V(x) f(v) f^{\prime}(v)=\lambda g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v)
$$

by $x \cdot \nabla v$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left(\Delta v-V(x) f(v) f^{\prime}(v)+\lambda g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v)\right)(x \cdot \nabla v) \\
= & \operatorname{div}\left(\nabla v(x \cdot \nabla v)-|\nabla v|^{2}-x \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right)+\lambda x \cdot \nabla G(x, f(v)) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} x f^{2}(v) \nabla V(x)+\frac{N}{2} V(x) f^{2}(v) \\
= & \operatorname{div}\left(\nabla v(x \cdot \nabla v)-x \cdot \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}+\lambda x G(x, f(v))\right)+\frac{N-2}{2}|\nabla v|^{2} \\
& -\lambda N G(x, f(v))+\frac{1}{2} x f^{2}(v) \nabla V(x)+\frac{N}{2} V(x) f^{2}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we integrate the equation on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and then the improved Pohozaev type identity can be obtained.

Lemma 3.7 The critical point sequence obtained in Lemma 3.2.7 is bounded
in Lemma 3.5.
Proof: For convenience, we let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ denote $\left\{v_{n}^{*}\right\}$ of Lemma 3.5. By $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}} \leq c_{1 / 2}$ in Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, $\left(\mathrm{g}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{V}_{4}\right)$, then there exists $\gamma \in[1,2)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x \cdot \nabla V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+N c_{1 / 2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} x \cdot \nabla_{x} G \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|(\nabla V(x) \cdot x)^{+}\right|_{2^{*} /\left(2^{*}-\gamma\right)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{\frac{2 \cdot 2^{*}}{\gamma}}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2^{*}}}+N c_{1 / 2}+K  \tag{9}\\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2^{*}}}+N c_{1 / 2}+K \\
& \leq C_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2^{*}}}+N c_{1 / 2}+K .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ is bounded.
Next we prove $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x$ is bounded. By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t) t\right|}{f^{2}(t)}=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t) t\right|}{|t|^{2^{*}}}=0$.

Thus, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C(\varepsilon)>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t) t\right| \leq \varepsilon f^{2}(t)+c(\varepsilon)|t|^{t^{*}} \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $\left\langle I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle=0$ and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f\left(v_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\lambda_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{V_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+C^{\prime}(\varepsilon)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing enough small $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\frac{V_{0}}{2}\right)$, we obtain that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x$ is bounded.

## 4. Existence Results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, there exist $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \subset\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ and a bounded sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{0\}$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=1$, $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)=c_{\lambda_{n}}, \quad I_{\lambda_{n}}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)=0$.
Then by the fact that the map $\lambda \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$ is left continuous, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)+\left(\lambda_{n}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{\lambda_{n}}=c_{1} .
$$

Similarly, we obtain $I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in space $X^{*}$ yields that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded (PS) sequence of functional $I$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(v_{n}\right)=c_{1}$. By Lemma 3.4, a positive critical point $v$ can be obtained.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need to prove the following lemmas.
Lemma 4.1 The trivial solution of Equation (2) is a local minimizer for $I(v)$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and there exists a constant $C>0$ (dependent on $V_{0}$ and embedding constant), such that the every non-negative solution $v$ of Equation (2) satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla v\| \geq C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$, and Lemma 3.1 (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(v) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) f^{2}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(x, f(v)) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V_{0} f^{2}(v)\right) \mathrm{d} x-C_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x-C_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{q}(v) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{V_{0}-2 C_{0}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} x-C_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{q}(v) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq C_{1}\|v\|^{2}-C_{2}\|v\|^{\frac{q}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $I(v)>0$ as $\|v\|$ is enough small and $q>4$. In conclusion, the trivial solution $v=0$ is a local minimizer for $I(v)$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
$v \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the non-negative of Equation (2), so that $\left\langle I^{\prime}(v), v\right\rangle=0$. By $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$, Lemma 3.1 (2) (4) (5) and the embedding between $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f(v) f^{\prime}(v) v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v) v \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{2}(v)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x, f(v)) f(v) \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f^{2}(v)-\frac{C_{0}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(f^{2}(v)+f^{q}(v)\right) \\
& \geq|\nabla v|_{2}^{2}-\frac{C_{0}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v^{q} \geq|\nabla v|_{2}^{2}-\frac{C_{0}}{2}|\nabla v|_{2}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that inequality (11) is satisfied. This completes the proof.
Lemma 4.2 Suppose that the conditions of theorem 1.2 are satisfied, Equation (2) admits a positive solution $V$, and $v$ is a local minimizer for $I(v)$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof: According to the reference [10] and related theories of differential equations, Equation (2) admits sub-solutions and sup-solutions. Let $\underline{u}$ be the sub-solution and $\bar{u}$ be the sup-solution of Equation (2). Define

$$
M=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}: \underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}\right\} .
$$

Let $v$ be a solution of Equation (2) on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v)=\inf _{\xi \in M} I(\xi) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove that $v$ is a local minimizer for $I(v)$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ Suppose by contradiction that $v$ is not the local minimizer for $I(v)$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that $\left\|u_{n}-v\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $I\left(u_{n}\right)<I(v)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n}=\max \left\{\underline{u}, \min \left\{\bar{u}, u_{n}\right\}\right\}= \begin{cases}\underline{u}, & u_{n}<\underline{u}, \\
u_{n}, & \underline{u}<u_{n}<\bar{u}, \\
\bar{u}, & u_{n}>\bar{u},\end{cases} \\
& \omega_{n}=\left(u_{n}-\bar{u}\right)^{+}= \begin{cases}0, & u_{n}<\bar{u}, \\
u_{n}-\bar{u}, & u_{n} \geq \bar{u},\end{cases} \\
& z_{n}=\left(\underline{u}-u_{n}\right)^{+}= \begin{cases}0, & u_{n}>\underline{u}, \\
\underline{u}-u_{n}, & u_{n} \leq \underline{u} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $u_{n}=v_{n}-z_{n}+\omega_{n}, \quad v_{n} \in M$, and $\omega_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ have disjoint support.

The following defines some sets and functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \underline{u} \leq u_{n} \leq \bar{u}\right\} \\
& S_{n}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \\
& T_{n}=\operatorname{supp}\left(z_{n}\right) \\
& L(x, u)=-\frac{1}{2} V(x) f^{2}(u)+G(x, f(u))
\end{aligned}
$$

And then $I\left(u_{n}\right)$ is transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{S_{n}} L\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{T_{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{T_{n}} L\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R_{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{R_{n}} L\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, $v_{n}=\bar{u}$ on $S_{n}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-L\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x & =\int_{S_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}+\omega_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-L\left(x, v_{n}+\omega_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{S_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by $v_{n}=\underline{u}$ on $T_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-L\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x & =\int_{T_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}+z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-L\left(x, v_{n}+z_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{T_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\underline{u}+z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-L\left(x, \underline{u}+z_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v_{n}=u_{n}$ on $R_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-L\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{R_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}-L\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =I\left(v_{n}\right)-\int_{S_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}-L(x, \bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{T_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}-L(x, \underline{u})\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(u_{n}\right)= & I\left(v_{n}\right)+\int_{S_{n}} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{S_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{T_{n}} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\underline{u}-z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{T_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \underline{u}-z_{n}\right)-L(x, \underline{u})\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\underline{u}$ is a sub-solution, we obtain

$$
-\Delta \underline{u} \leq f^{\prime}(\underline{u})(g(x, f(\underline{u}))-V(x) f(\underline{u}))=L_{u}(x, \underline{u}),
$$

Yields that $-\Delta \underline{u} \leq L_{u}(x, \underline{u})$.
Similarly, $\bar{u}$ is a sup-solution, so that $-\Delta \bar{u} \geq L_{u}(x, \bar{u})$.
Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \underline{u} \nabla\left(-z_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{u}(x, \underline{u})\left(-z_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \omega_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we noticed that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}=\nabla \bar{u} \nabla \omega_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{2}, \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\left(\underline{u}-z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}-|\nabla \underline{u}|^{2}=\nabla \underline{u} \nabla\left(-z_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

So that by (14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \geq & I\left(v_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{S_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})-L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{T_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \underline{u}-z_{n}\right)-L(x, \underline{u})-L_{u}(x, \underline{u})\left(-z_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

To complete the Lemma, we still need to prove the following claim: as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})-L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq o(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{15}\\
& \int_{T_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \underline{u}-z_{n}\right)-L(x, \underline{u})-L_{u}(x, \underline{u})\left(-z_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq o(1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the proofs of inequalities (15) and (16) are similar, we only prove (15) Firstly, note

$$
L_{n}(x)=L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})-L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n}
$$

Split

$$
L_{n}(x)=L_{0 n}(x)-L_{1 n}(x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0 n}(x)=-\frac{1}{2} V(x)\left(f^{2}\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-f^{2}(\bar{u})\right)+V(x) f(\bar{u}) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n} \\
& L_{1 n}(x)=G\left(x, f\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right)-G(x, f(\bar{u}))-g(x, f(\bar{u})) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the define of $f$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(f(t) f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 1  \tag{17}\\
f^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 2 \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

By differential mean value theorem, Lemma 3.1(1) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0 n}(x) & =-\frac{1}{2} V(x)\left(f^{2}\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-f^{2}(\bar{u})\right)+V(x) f(\bar{u}) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n} \\
& =-V(x) f\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{1} \omega_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{1} \omega_{n}\right) \omega_{n}+V(x) f(\bar{u}) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n} \\
& =V(x) \omega_{n}^{2} \theta_{1}\left(f\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \omega_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \omega_{n}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \leq V(x) \omega_{n}^{2}, \quad\left(0 \leq \theta_{1} \leq 1,0 \leq \theta_{2} \leq 1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_{n}} L_{0 n}(x) & \leq \int_{S_{n}}\left|L_{0 n}(x)\right| \leq \int_{S_{n}} V(x)\left|\omega_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \beta \int_{S_{n}} \omega_{n}^{2} \\
& \leq \beta\left|S_{n}\right|^{\frac{2}{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\omega_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \\
& =\beta\left|S_{n}\right|^{\frac{2}{N}}\left|\omega_{n}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq \beta\left|S_{n}\right|^{\frac{2}{N}}\left|\omega_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by the define of $S_{n}$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|S_{n}\right|=0$. In fact, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$, such that $|\{\bar{u} \leq v+\delta\}|<\varepsilon$, since $v<\bar{u}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus

$$
S_{n} \subset\{\bar{u} \leq v+\delta\} \cup\left\{v+\delta<\bar{u}<u_{n}\right\} .
$$

Again since $\left|u_{n}-v\right|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $n_{0}$, such that for $n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\varepsilon \delta^{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(u_{n}-v\right)^{2} \geq \int_{\left\{u_{n}>v+\delta\right\}}\left(u_{n}-v\right)^{2} \geq \int_{\left\{u_{n}>v+\delta\right\}} \delta^{2}=\delta^{2}\left|\left\{u_{n}>v+\delta\right\}\right|
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|S_{n}\right| \leq\left|\left\{u_{n} \leq v+\delta\right\}\right|+\left|v+\delta<\bar{u}<u_{n}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon
$$

and then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} L_{0 n}(x) \leq o(1)\left\|\omega_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

Set

$$
g_{2}(x, t)=G_{s}(x, f(t))=g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t)
$$

It follows from differential mean value theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1 n}(x) & =G\left(x, f\left(\bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)\right)-G(x, f(\bar{u}))-g(x, f(\bar{u})) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n} \\
& =g\left(x, f\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{1}(x) \omega_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(\bar{u}+\theta_{2}(x) \omega_{n}\right) \omega_{n}-g(x, f(\bar{u})) f^{\prime}(\bar{u}) \omega_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To be continue, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x, u)=g(x, f(u)) f^{\prime}(u) \\
& p(x, u)=P_{s}(x, u)=g_{s}(x, f(u))\left(f^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}+g(x, f(u)) f^{\prime \prime}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by differential mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1 n} & =\left(P\left(x, \bar{u}+\theta_{1}(x) \omega_{n}\right)-P(x, \bar{u})\right) \omega_{n} \\
& =p\left(x, \bar{u}+\theta_{1}(x) \theta_{2}(x) \omega_{n}\right) \theta_{1}(x) \omega_{n}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $u_{0}=\bar{u}+\theta_{1}(x) \theta_{2}(x) \omega_{n}$, so that by Lemma 3.1 (3), ( $\left.\mathrm{g}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{6}\right),(17),(18)$, Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_{n}} L_{1 n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{S_{n}} p\left(x, \bar{u}+\theta_{1}(x) \theta_{2}(x) \omega_{n}\right) \theta_{1} \omega_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{S_{n}}\left(c\left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)^{2}+g\left(x, f\left(u_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \omega_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{S_{n}}\left(g_{u}^{\prime}\left(x, f\left(u_{0}\right)\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{0} f\left(u_{0}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x+C_{0} f^{q-1}\left(u_{0}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \omega_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{S_{n}} \omega_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+C_{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\bar{u}|^{\frac{q-12^{*}}{22^{*}-2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}}}\left(\int_{\left\{u_{n} \geq \bar{u}\right\}} \omega_{n}^{\frac{2^{*}}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}+C_{3} \int_{S_{n}} \omega_{n}^{\frac{q+3}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq o(1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\bar{u}|^{\frac{q-1}{2} 2^{*}} 2^{2^{*}-2}\right. \\
& d x)^{\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega_{n}^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \leq o(1)\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|_{2}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that (15) is satisfied.
By (15) and (16), as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq I\left(u_{n}\right)-I\left(v_{n}\right)+\int_{S_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})-L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{T_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \underline{u}-z_{n}\right)-L(x, \underline{u})-L_{u}(x, \underline{u}) z_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& <\int_{S_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \bar{u}+\omega_{n}\right)-L(x, \bar{u})-L_{u}(x, \bar{u}) \omega_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{T_{n}}\left(L\left(x, \underline{u}-z_{n}\right)-L(x, \underline{u})-L_{u}(x, \underline{u}) z_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq o(1)\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}+o(1)\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\omega_{n}$ and $z_{n}$ have disjoint support, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq o(1)\left|\nabla \omega_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}, \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq o(1)\left|\nabla z_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $z_{n}(x)=\omega_{n}(x)=0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, which implies $u_{n}=v_{n}$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. By (12), we have

$$
I(v) \leq I\left(v_{n}\right)=I\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

Contradiction. Thus, the proof is complete.
Define a set

$$
\Pi=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): 0 \leq u \leq v, \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}
$$

where $v$ is a positive solution in Lemma 4.1. The critical point in II is also the critical point in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $I$ [3].

Lemma 4.3 Suppose that the conditions of theorem 1.2 are satisfied, then $I$ sa-
tisfies (PS) condition on II.
Proof: Firstly, we need to prove the boundedness of any (PS) sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ on $\Pi$. Assume $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \Pi$ is a (PS) sequence, then

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x=c+o(1)  \tag{19}\\
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), \phi\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \nabla v_{n} \nabla \phi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f\left(v_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \phi \mathrm{d} x  \tag{20}\\
= & o(1)\|\phi\| .
\end{align*}
$$

By ( $\mathrm{g}_{7}$ ) and (19), there exists $\mu \geq 4$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
c+o(1)=I\left(v_{n}\right)> & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Specially, choose $\phi=\frac{f\left(v_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)}=\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)} f\left(v_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 (2) (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi| & =\sqrt{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1+f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left(1+\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|\right)\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left|v_{n}\right|+2\left|v_{n}\right| \leq 4\left|v_{n}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again since

$$
|\nabla \phi|=\left(\frac{1+4 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right)\left|\nabla v_{n}\right| \leq 2\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|,
$$

implies that $\|\varphi\| \leq C\left\|v_{n}\right\|$. (20) is transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1+4 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f\left(v_{n}\right)=o(1)\left\|v_{n}\right\|, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(22) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{1+4 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f\left(v_{n}\right)+o(1)\left\|v_{n}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (23) into (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o(1)=I\left(v_{n}\right)> & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1+4 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}{1+2 f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x) f^{2}\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x-o(1)\left\|v_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq c+o(1)\left(1+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)
$$

This implies that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}$ is bounded.
Next, let's prove (PS) sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ satisfies (PS) condition. Since $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, by Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exists $v \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that
i) $v_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Weak convergence }} v$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$;
ii) $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{\frac{q}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$;
iii) $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

By (i) and (ii), we obtain $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{\lambda}\right)=0$.
The following we prove $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Set

$$
H(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} V(x) t^{2}-\frac{1}{2} V(x) f^{2}(t)+G(x, f(t))
$$

Then $I(v)$ is transformed to

$$
I_{\lambda}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+V(x) v^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H(x, v) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Set $h(x, t)=\frac{\mathrm{d} H(x, t)}{\mathrm{d} t}$, then

$$
h(x, t)=V(x) t-V(x) f(t) f^{\prime}(t)+g(x, f(t)) f^{\prime}(t) .
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 3.1, there exists $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(x, t)| \leq C_{1}|t|+C_{2}|t|^{\frac{q-1}{2}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (24) and $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{\frac{q}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(h\left(x, v_{n}\right)-h(x, v)\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right) \mathrm{d} x=0 .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(1)= & \left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(v_{\lambda}\right), v_{n}-v\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|^{2}+V(x)\left(v_{n}-v\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(h\left(x, v_{n}\right)-h(x, v)\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \min \left\{1, V_{0}\right\}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|^{2}+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies that $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 4.4. (see ([11], Theorem II.11.8).) Suppose $M$ is a closed, convex subset of a Banach space $V, E \in C^{1}(V)$ satisfies (PS) on $M$, and admits two distinct relative minima $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in $M$. Then either $E\left(u_{1}\right)=E\left(u_{2}\right)=\beta$ and $u_{1}, u_{2}$ can be connected in any neighborhood of the set of relative minima $u \in M$ of $E$ with $E(u)=\beta$, or there exists a critical point $\tilde{u}$ of $E$ in $M$ which is not a relative minimizer of $E$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Applying Lemma 4.4, we arrive to the following dichotomy

1) $I(v)=I(0)$ and $v$ and 0 may be connected in any neighborhood of the set of local minima of $I$ to II, or
2) I admits a critical point $\tilde{u}$ in II which is not a local minimum.

But Lemma 4.1 ensures that the trivial solution is an isolated solution of problem (2). Hence a second independent solution of problem (2) should exist since the solution found in Lemma 4.2 is a local minimum of $I$. In conclusion, problem (2) admits one pair of ordered positive solutions to equation. The proof is complete.
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