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#### Abstract

We present an efficient and elementary method to find the partial fraction decomposition of a rational function when the denominator is a product of two highly powered linear factors.
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## 1. Introduction

Partial fraction decomposition is a classic topic with applications in calculus, differential equations, control theory, and other fields of mathematics. Theoretically, it is well-known that every rational function has a unique partial fraction decomposition as it is an easy exercise in abstract algebra. However, actually decomposing a rational function into partial fractions is computationally intensive. From the aspect of computation, there has been recent developments in this topic for general rational functions [1] as well as special cases [2]-[7]. In this article, we present a method for the special case when the denominator is given as a product of two highly powered linear factors.

$$
\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}
$$

The case when the denominator is a power of a single linear factor has been treated in [2] and [5].

$$
\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)^{m}}
$$

Our method is built on top of their methods with the observation that when the denominator is of the form $x^{n}$

[^0]the partial fraction decomposition is trivial. The method does not use any derivatives and the computation involves only simple algebraic operations associated with repeated synthetic division. So the method is applicable to both hand and machine calculuations.

## 2. Partial Fraction Decomposition

We separate the case when $\alpha=0$ from the case when $\alpha \neq 0$. We will assume the factors in the denominator are monic since we can always factor out leading coefficients if necessary. We also assume that the degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator for simplicity of presentation. However the method works in such a case with a little modification (by adding an extra step of back substitution in the end).

Case 1: The denominator is a product of $x^{m}$ and $(x-\beta)^{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)}{x^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}=\frac{A_{1}}{x}+\frac{A_{2}}{x^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{m}}{x^{m}}+\frac{B_{1}}{x-\beta}+\frac{B_{2}}{(x-\beta)^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{B_{n}}{(x-\beta)^{n}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we find the constants backward from $B_{n}$ down to $B_{1}$ recursively using Heaviside cover-up method and synthetic division. By the Heaviside cover-up method, we get

$$
B_{n}=\frac{f(\beta)}{\beta^{m}}
$$

Then we subtract the last term from Equation (1) to get

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}-\frac{B_{n}}{(x-\beta)^{n}}=\frac{f(x)-x^{m} B_{n}}{x^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}=\frac{f_{n-1}(x)}{x^{m}(x-\beta)^{n-1}}
$$

where $f_{n-1}(x)$ is the quotient when $f(x)-x^{m} B_{n}$ is divided by $x-\beta$, which is obtained by synthetic division with zero remainder. We repeat the process recursively to get all $B_{i}$ 's.

$$
B_{i}=\frac{f_{i}(\beta)}{\beta^{m}}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n .
$$

where $f_{i}$ 's are successive quotients from synthetic division. In the end, a function of the form $\frac{f_{0}(x)}{x^{m}}$ is left. The coefficient of $f_{0}(x)$ are exactly $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{m}$.

Example 1. We demonstrate how to decompose the following function.

$$
p(x)=\frac{3 x^{6}-5 x^{5}-5 x^{4}+14 x^{3}-13 x^{2}+6 x-1}{x^{3}(x-1)^{4}}
$$

By the Heaviside cover-up method,

$$
B_{4}=\frac{3-5-5+14-13+6-1}{(1)^{3}}=\frac{-1}{1}=-1
$$

and subtract $\frac{-1}{(x-1)^{4}}$ from $p(x)$, then we have

$$
p(x)-\frac{-1}{(x-1)^{4}}=p(x)-\frac{-x^{3}}{x^{3}(x-1)^{4}}=\frac{3 x^{6}-5 x^{5}-5 x^{4}+15 x^{3}-13 x^{2}+6 x-1}{x^{3}(x-1)^{4}}
$$

The numerator is divisible by $x-1$, so we apply synthetic division to simplify the function and get

$$
\frac{3 x^{5}-2 x^{4}-7 x^{3}+8 x^{2}-5 x+1}{x^{3}(x-1)^{3}}
$$

Repeat the process to get $B_{3}=-2$ and $B_{2}=3, B_{1}=2$ and we are left with

$$
\frac{x^{2}+2 x-1}{x^{3}}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{2}{x^{2}}-\frac{1}{x^{3}} .
$$

Then $A_{1}=1, A_{2}=2, A_{3}=-1$, and the answer is

$$
\frac{1}{x}+\frac{2}{x^{2}}+\frac{-1}{x^{3}}+\frac{2}{x-1}+\frac{3}{(x-1)^{2}}+\frac{-2}{(x-1)^{3}}+\frac{-1}{(x-1)^{4}}
$$

The whole process can be done as shown in Table 1.
Remark 1. The remainder theorem says that the evaluation of $f_{i}(\beta)$ can be done by synthetic division as it is equal to the remainder when $f_{i}(x)$ is divided by $x-\beta$. The method is also known as Horner's rule. For example, $B_{4}$ in Example 1 can be evaluated as follows.

$$
\left.1 \begin{array}{|ccccccc}
\begin{array}{|rrrrr|r}
3 & -5 & -5 & 14 & -13 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& 3 & -2 & -7 & 7 & -1 \\
& 3 & -2 & -7 & 7 & -6 & 0
\end{array} \right\rvert\,-1 \quad B_{4}=\frac{f(1)}{1^{3}}=\frac{-1}{1^{3}}=-1
$$

Case 2: The denominator is a product of $(x-\alpha)^{m}$ and $(x-\beta)^{n}$ with $m \geq n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}=\frac{A_{1}}{x-\alpha}+\cdots+\frac{A_{m}}{(x-\alpha)^{m}}+\frac{B_{1}}{x-\beta}+\cdots+\frac{B_{n}}{(x-\beta)^{n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we take two steps. The first step is to make a substitution $u=x-\alpha$ and expand $f(x)$ in $x-\alpha$. Then the problem is reduced to Case 1 . The second step is to solve the reduced problem.

$$
\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}}=\frac{g(u)}{u^{m}(u+\alpha-\beta)^{n}}, \quad g(u)=f(u+\alpha)
$$

We substitute the linear factor with a higher degree because it would reduce the amount of work in the second step.

We can get the coefficients of $f(x)$ expanded in $x-\alpha$ through repeated synthetic division [2] as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =c_{k}(x-\alpha)^{k}+c_{k-1}(x-\alpha)^{k-1}+\cdots+c_{2}(x-\alpha)^{2}+c_{1}(x-\alpha)+c_{0} \\
& =(x-\alpha)\left(c_{k}(x-\alpha)^{k-1}+c_{k-1}(x-\alpha)^{k-2}+\cdots+c_{2}(x-\alpha)+c_{1}\right)+c_{0} \\
& =(x-\alpha)\left((x-\alpha)\left(c_{k}(x-\alpha)^{k-2}+\cdots+c_{2}\right)+c_{1}\right)+c_{0} \\
& =\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $c_{0}$ is the remainder when $f(x)$ is divided by $x-\alpha$, and $c_{1}$ is the remainder when the quotient is divided by $x-\alpha$, and so on.

The algorithm for this case is presented below for implementation in a computer.

## Table 1. Synthetic Division for Example 1.

|  | 3 | -5 3 |  |  | -13 8 | 6 -5 | -1 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |  |  | 8 | -5 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 |  | 3 | 1 | -4 | 4 | -1 |  |
|  | 3 | 1 |  | 4 | -1 | 0 |  |
| 1 |  | 3 | 1 | -3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 31 | 1 | -3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | 2 | -1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | -1 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{4}=f(1) / 1^{3}=-1, & 14-(-1)=15 \\
B_{3}=f_{3}(1) / 1^{3}=-2, & -7-(-2)=-5 \\
B_{2}=f_{2}(1) / 1^{3}=3, & 1-3=-2 \\
B_{1}=f_{1}(1) / 1^{3}=2, & 3-2=1
\end{array}
$$

## Algorithm

Input: numerator $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} x^{i}$, denominator $(x-\alpha)^{m}(x-\beta)^{n}$ with $k<m+n$
Output: partial fraction constants $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$ as in Equation (2)
Procedure: Step 1. Substitution
for $i=0$ to $k$
for $j=k-1$ to $i$
$c_{j} \leftarrow c_{j}+\alpha c_{j+1}$
end for
end for
Step 2. Partial Fraction Decomposition
for $i=0$ to $n-1$

$$
B_{n-i} \leftarrow \frac{f(\beta)}{\beta^{m}}
$$

$c_{m+i} \leftarrow c_{m+i}-B_{n-i}$
for $j=k-1$ to $i$
$c_{j} \leftarrow c_{j}+\beta c_{j+1}$
end for
end for
$A_{i} \leftarrow c_{k-i+1}$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$
Example 2. We show how the method works for the following function.

$$
\frac{f(x)}{(x-1)^{3}(x+1)^{4}}=\frac{2 x^{6}+7 x^{5}+x^{4}-11 x^{3}-9 x^{2}-16 x-6}{(x-1)^{3}(x+1)^{4}}
$$

Let $u=x+1$. We expand the numerator in $x+1$ to convert the problem to

$$
\frac{g(u)}{(u-2)^{3} u^{4}}=\frac{2 u^{6}-5 u^{5}-4 u^{4}+15 u^{3}-10 u^{2}-12 u+8}{(u-2)^{3} u^{4}}
$$

Then apply the method in Case 1 to get the answer

$$
\frac{1}{x+1}+\frac{2}{(x+1)^{2}}+\frac{-1}{(x+1)^{4}}+\frac{1}{x-1}+\frac{3}{(x-1)^{2}}+\frac{-2}{(x-1)^{3}}
$$

The whole process is described in Table 2.
Table 2. Synthetic Division for Example 2.


Case 3. The denominator is a product of $(x-\alpha)^{m}$ and $\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)^{n}$ with $b^{2}-4 c<0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)}{(x-\alpha)^{m}\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)^{n}}=\frac{A_{1}}{x-\alpha}+\cdots+\frac{A_{m}}{(x-\alpha)^{m}}+\frac{B_{1} x+C_{1}}{x^{2}+b x+c}+\cdots+\frac{B_{n} x+C_{n}}{\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)^{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method presented above also works when one of the factors in the denominator is a power of an irreducible quadratic function even though the computation could be challenging when it is done by hand.

The first step is to make a substitution $u=x-\alpha$. The next step is to find the constants $B_{i}$ 's and $C_{i}$ 's backward. It can be done using the quadratic divisor version of synthetic division. Once all constants are found, we get the solution by back substitution.

Let us elaborate on how to find $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ assuming $\alpha=0$. Multiplying both sides of Equation (3) by the denominator, we get

$$
f(x)=\left(A_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+A_{m}\right)\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)^{n}+\left(B_{1} x+C_{1}\right) x^{m}\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)^{n-1}+\cdots+\left(B_{n} x+C_{n}\right) x^{m}
$$

We reduce the right hand side modulo $x^{2}+b x+c$ by sending it to the field $\mathbb{R}[x] /\left(x^{2}+b x+c\right)=\left\{p x+q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{R}, x^{2}+b x+c=0\right\}$. Modulo $x^{2}+b x+c$,

$$
f(x) \equiv\left(B_{n} x+C_{n}\right) x^{m} \quad \text { or } \quad B_{n} x+C_{n} \equiv f(x) x^{-m} .
$$

We reduce $f(x)$ to a linear form using the quadratic version of synthetic division. The inverse of $x$ is $x^{-1}=-\frac{1}{c} x-\frac{b}{c}$ and $x^{-m}$ can be reduced to a linear form by expanding $\left(-\frac{1}{c} x-\frac{b}{c}\right)^{m}=\left(-\frac{1}{c}\right)^{m}(x+b)^{m}$ using the repeated squaring method. Then we multiply two linear forms and reduce it again to finally get $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$. The same technique is described in examples in [4] when the denominator has factors of exponents 1 or 2.

Example 3. We demonstrate how the method works for the following function.

$$
\frac{f(x)}{(x-1)^{3}\left(x^{2}-x+1\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 x^{6}-7 x^{5}+16 x^{4}-24 x^{3}+18 x^{2}-5 x-1}{(x-1)^{3}\left(x^{2}-x+1\right)^{2}}
$$

Let $u=x-1$ and $g(u)=f(u+1)$. Then

$$
\frac{g(u)}{u^{3}\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 u^{6}+5 u^{5}+11 u^{4}+20 u^{3}+22 u^{2}+10 u-1}{u^{3}\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)^{2}}
$$

The inverse of $u^{3}$ in $\mathbb{R}[u] /\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)$ is computed as follows.

$$
\frac{1}{u^{3}}=\frac{1}{u\left(u^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{u(-u-1)}=\frac{1}{-u^{2}-u}=\frac{1}{-(-u-1)-u}=\frac{1}{1}=1
$$

Then the constants of the partial fractions are obtained as in Table 3 and give us

$$
\frac{1}{u}+\frac{2}{u^{2}}-\frac{1}{u^{3}}+\frac{u}{u^{2}+u+1}+\frac{4 u+4}{\left(u^{2}+u+1\right)^{2}}
$$

We get the final answer when we replace $x-1$ for $u$.

$$
\frac{1}{x-1}+\frac{2}{(x-1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(x-1)^{3}}+\frac{x-1}{x^{2}-x+1}+\frac{4 x}{\left(x^{2}-x+1\right)^{2}}
$$

## 3. Computational Complexity

We count the number of operations required for the method described in this article as follows. The synthetic division requires $n$ multiplications and $n$ additions where $n$ is the degree of the polynomial. In the substitution step, we perform

$$
n+(n-1)+\cdots+1=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

Table 3. Synthetic Division for Example 3.

multiplications and additions. In the second step of partial fraction decomposition, we use less number of synthetic divisions. For the evaluation of functions through synthetic division, the cost is the same. Therefore, the total computational cost is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.

This method is not the best algorithm in terms of asymptotic speed as the algorithm in [8] is performed in $O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right)$ steps. However, this method is still intersting because it uses only one technique (synthetic division) in the whole process and hand calculation is straightforward.
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