

Well-Posedness of Gaver's Parallel System Attended by a Cold Standby Unit and a Repairman with Multiple Vacations

Abdukerim Haji, Bilikiz Yunus

¹College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, China ²College of Mechanical Engineering, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830008, China Email: abdukerimhaji@sina.com.cn, bilikiz62@sina.com.cn

Received 5 May 2015; accepted 23 June 2015; published 30 June 2015

Abstract

We investigate Gaver's parallel system attended by a cold standby unit and a repairman with multiple vacations. By using C_0 -semigroup theory of linear operators in the functional analysis, we prove well-posedness and the existence of the unique positive dynamic solution of the system.

Keywords

Gaver's Parallel Pystem, C₀-Semigroup, Well-Posedness

1. Introduction

The study of repairable systems is an important topic in reliability. The Gaver's Parallel system is one of the classical repairable systems in reliability. Since the strong practical background of The Gaver's parallel system, many researchers have studied them extensively under varying assumptions on the failures and repairs, see [1]-[3]. The repairman leaves for a vacation or does other work when there are no failed units for repair in system, which can have important influence to performance of system. In [4], the authors studied Gaver's parallel system attended by a cold standby unit and a repairman with multiple vacations and obtained some reliability expressions such as the Laplace transform of the reliability, the mean time to the first failure, the availability and the failure frequency of the system. In [4], the authors used the dynamic solution in calculating the availability and the reliability. But they did not discuss the well-posedness and the existence of the positive dynamic solution. Motivated by this, we study in this paper the well-posedness and the existence of a unique positive dynamic solution of the system, by using C_0 -semigroup theory of linear operators. For background reading on semigroup theory we refer to [5] or [6]. First we formulate the model of the system as an abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space, next we show that the system operator generates a positive contraction C_0 -semigroup, and finally we prove that the system is well-posed and there is a unique positive dynamic solution.

The Gaver's parallel system attended by a cold standby unit and a repairman with multiple vacations can be described by the following equations (see [4]).

How to cite this paper: Haji, A. and Yunus, B. (2015) Well-Posedness of Gaver's Parallel System Attended by a Cold Standby Unit and a Repairman with Multiple Vacations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, **3**, 821-827. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2015.37101

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) p_0(t, x) = -\left[2\lambda + \alpha(x)\right] p_0(t, x), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) p_1(t, x) = 2\lambda p_0(t, x) - \left[2\lambda + \alpha(x)\right] p_1(t, x), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) p_2(t, x) = 2\lambda p_1(t, x) - \left[\lambda + \alpha(x)\right] p_2(t, x), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) p_3(t, y) = -\left[2\lambda + \mu(y)\right] p_3(t, y), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) p_4(t, y) = 2\lambda p_3(t, y) - \left[\lambda + \mu(y)\right] p_4(t, y), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) p_5(t, x) = \lambda p_2(t, x) - \alpha(x) p_5(t, x), \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) p_6(t, y) = \lambda p_4(t, y) - \mu(y) p_6(t, y) \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(BC) \begin{cases} p_0(t,0) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) p_0(t,x) dx + \int_0^\infty \mu(y) p_3(t,y) dy + \delta(t), \\ p_1(t,0) = p_2(t,0) = p_5(t,0) = 0, \\ p_3(t,0) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) p_1(t,x) dx + \int_0^\infty \mu(y) p_4(t,y) dy, \\ p_4(t,0) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) p_2(t,x) dx + \int_0^\infty \mu(y) p_6(t,y) dy, \\ p_6(t,0) = \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) p_5(t,x) dx, \end{cases}$$

And the initial conditions

$$(IC)\begin{cases} p_0(0,x) = \delta(x), \\ p_1(0,x) = 0, i = 1,2,3,4,5,6, \end{cases} \text{ where } \delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x = 0, \\ 0, x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Here $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$; $p_0(t,x)dx$ gives the probability that at time t two units are operating, one unit is under standby, the repairman is in vacation, the system is good and the elapsed repair time lies in [x,x+dx); $p_1(t,x)dx$ represents the probability that at time t two units are operating, one unit is waiting for repair, the repairman is in vacation, the system is good and the elapsed repair time lies in [x,x+dx); $p_2(t,x)dx$ represents the probability that at time t two unit is operating, one unit is waiting for repair, the repairman is in vacation, the system is good and the elapsed repair time lies in [x,x+dx); $p_3(t,y)dy$ represents the probability that at time t two units are operating, one unit being repaired, the system is good and the hours that the failed unit has been repaired lies in [y,y+dy); $p_4(t,y)dy$ represents the probability that at time t one unit is operating, one unit being repaired, one unit is waiting for repair, the system is good and the hours that the failed unit has been repaired lies in [y,y+dy); $p_5(t,x)dx$ represents the probability that at time t three units are waiting for repair, the repairman is in vacation, the system is down and the elapsed repair time lies in [x,x+dx); $p_6(t,y)dy$ represents the probability that at time t one unit being repaired, two unit is waiting for repair, the system is down and the hours that the failed unit has been repaired lies in [y,y+dy); λ,λ_1,θ are positive constants; $\alpha(x)$ is the vacation rate function; $\mu(x)$ is the repair rate function.

Throughout the paper we require the following assumption for the vacation rate function $\alpha(x)$ and the repair rate function $\mu(x)$.

General Assumption 1.1: The functions $\alpha(x)$ and $\mu(x): R_+ \to R_+$ are measurable and bounded such

that

$$\alpha = \lim_{x \to \infty} \alpha(x), \mu = \lim_{x \to \infty} \mu(x), \mu_{\infty} = \min(\alpha, \mu).$$

2. Problem as an Abstract Cauchy Problem

To apply semigroup theory we transform in this section the system (R), (BC), (IC) into an abstract Cauchy problem [5, Def.II.6.1] on the Banach space $(X, \|.\|)$, where

$$X = \left(L_{x}^{1}\left(R_{+}\right)\right)^{3} \times \left(L_{y}^{1}\left(R_{+}\right)\right)^{2} \times \left(L_{x}^{1}\left(R_{+}\right)\right) \times \left(L_{y}^{1}\left(R_{+}\right)\right)$$

and

$$||p|| = \sum_{i=0}^{2} ||p_{i}||_{L_{x}^{1}(R_{+})} + \sum_{i=3}^{4} ||p_{i}||_{L_{y}^{1}(R_{+})} + ||p_{5}||_{L_{x}^{1}(R_{+})} + ||p_{6}||_{L_{y}^{1}(R_{+})},$$

$$p = (p_0(x), p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(y), p_4(y), p_5(x), p_6(y))^t \in X.$$

To define the system operator (A, D(A)) we introduce a "maximal operator" $(A_m, D(A_m))$ on X given as

$$A_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & D_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lambda & D_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\lambda & D_{55} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & D_{66} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & D_{77} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D_{11} = D_{22} = -\frac{d}{dx} f - \left[2\lambda + \alpha(x)\right] f,$$

$$D_{33} = -\frac{d}{dx} f - \left[\lambda + \alpha(x)\right] f,$$

$$D_{44} = -\frac{d}{dy} f - \left[2\lambda + \mu(y)\right] f,$$
where
$$D_{55} = -\frac{d}{dy} f - \left[\lambda + \mu(y)\right] f,$$

$$D_{66} = -\frac{d}{dx} f - \alpha(x) f,$$

$$D_{66} = -\frac{d}{dx} f - \alpha(x) f,$$

$$D_{77} = -\frac{d}{dy} f - \mu(y) f.$$

To model the boundary conditions (BC) we use an abstract approach as in [7]. For this purpose we consider the "boundary space" $\partial X := C^2$ and then define "boundary operators" L and Φ as follows.

$$L: D(A_m) \to \partial X, \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ p_3(y) \\ p_4(y) \\ p_5(x) \\ p_6(y) \end{pmatrix} \to L \begin{pmatrix} p_0(x) \\ p_1(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ p_2(x) \\ p_3(y) \\ p_3(0) \\ p_4(y) \\ p_5(x) \\ p_6(y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_0(0) \\ p_1(0) \\ p_2(0) \\ p_3(0) \\ p_4(0) \\ p_5(0) \\ p_6(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

where

$$\varphi_{1}: L_{x}^{1}[0,\infty) \to C, f \to \varphi_{1}(f) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha(x) f(x) dx,$$

$$\varphi_{2}: L_{y}^{1}[0,\infty) \to C, f \to \varphi_{2}(f) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(y) f(y) dy.$$

The system operator (A, D(A)) on X is then defined as

$$Ap = A_m p, D(A) = \{ p \in D(A_m) \mid Lp = \Phi p \}$$

With these definitions the above equations (R), (BC) and (IC) are equivalent to the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dp(t)}{dt} = Ap(t), t \in [0, \infty), \\ p(0) = (\delta(x), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T \in X. \end{cases}$$
(ACP)

3. Characteristic Equation

In this section we characterize $\sigma(A)$ by the spectrum of a scalar 7×7 -matrix, *i.e.*, or we obtain a characteristic equation which relates $\sigma(A)$ to the spectrum of an operator on the boundary space ∂X . For this purpose, we apply techniques and results from [7]. We start from the operator $(A_0, D(A_0))$ defined by

$$D(A_0) = \{ p \in D(A_m) | Lp = 0 \}, A_0 p = A_m p = 0 \}$$

The elements in $ker(\lambda - A_m)$ can be expressed as follows.

Lemma 3.1: For $\gamma \in \rho(A_0)$, we have

$$p \in \ker(\gamma - A_{m})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p = \left(p_{0}(x), p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), p_{3}(y), p_{4}(y), p_{5}(x), p_{6}(y)\right) \in D(A_{m}),$$

$$p_{0}(x) = c_{1}e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau}, p_{1}(x) = c_{1} \times 2\lambda xe^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau} + c_{2}e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau},$$

$$p_{2}(x) = c_{1}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau} \left(-4e^{-\lambda x} - -4\lambda xe^{-\lambda x} + 4\right) + c_{2} \times 2e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right) + c_{3}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau},$$

$$p_{3}(y) = c_{4}e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau}, p_{4}(y) = c_{1} \times 2e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda y}\right) + c_{5}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau},$$

$$p_{5}(x) = c_{1}e^{-yx - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau} \left(2\lambda xe^{-2\lambda x} - 3e^{-2\lambda x} - 4e^{-\lambda x} + 1\right) + c_{2}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{x} \mu(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right)^{2} + c_{3}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right) + c_{6}e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int_{0}^{x} a(\tau)d\tau},$$

$$p_{6}\left(y\right) = c_{4}e^{-\gamma y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\mu y}\right)^{2} + c_{5}e^{-\gamma y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda y}\right) + c_{7}e^{-\gamma y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \mu(\tau)d\tau}.$$

Using [8, Lemma 1.2], the domain $D(A_m)$ of the maximal operator A_m decomposes as

$$D(A_m) = D(A_0) \oplus \ker(\gamma - A_m).$$

Moreover, since L is surjective, $L|_{\ker(\gamma-A_m)}:(\gamma-A_m)\to \partial X$ is invertible for each $\gamma\in\rho\left(A_0\right)$, see [8, Lemma 1.2]. We denote its inverse by

$$D_{\gamma} := \left(L \mid_{\ker(\gamma - A_m)} \right)^{-1} : \partial X \to \ker(\gamma - A_m)$$

and call it "Dirichlet operator".

We can give the explicit form of D_{γ} as follows.

Lemma 3.2: For each $\gamma \in \rho(A_0)$, the operator D_{γ} has the form

$$D_{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ D_{21} & D_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ D_{31} & D_{32} & D_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_{54} & D_{55} & 0 & 0 \\ D_{61} & D_{62} & D_{63} & 0 & 0 & D_{66} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_{74} & D_{75} & 0 & D_{77} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{split} D_{11} &= e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, D_{12} &= 2\lambda e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, D_{22} &= e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, \\ D_{31} &= e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(-4e^{-\lambda x} - 4\lambda x e^{-\lambda x} + 4\right), D_{32} &= 2e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right), \\ D_{33} &= e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, D_{44} &= e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, D_{54} &= 2e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda y}\right), \\ D_{55} &= e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)y - \int\limits_{0}^{y} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, D_{61} &= e^{-\gamma x - \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(2\lambda x e^{-2\lambda x} + 3e^{-2\lambda x} - 4e^{-\lambda x} + 1\right), \\ D_{62} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right)^{2}, D_{63} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right), D_{66} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}, \\ D_{74} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{y} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda y}\right)^{2}, D_{75} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda y}\right), D_{77} &= e^{-(\gamma-\lambda) \int\limits_{0}^{x} \alpha(\tau)\tau}. \end{split}$$

For $\gamma \in \rho(A_0)$, the operator ΦD_{γ} can be represented by the 7×7 -matrix

where

$$\begin{split} a_{11} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dx, a_{14} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)y - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dy, \\ a_{41} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) 2\lambda e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dx, a_{42} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau}, \\ a_{44} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) \times 2 e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dx, a_{45} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) e^{-(\gamma+2\lambda)y - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau}, \\ a_{51} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (-4e^{-\lambda x} - 4\lambda x e^{-\lambda x} + 4) dx, a_{52} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) \times 2 e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) dx, \\ a_{53} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dx, a_{54} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (1 - e^{-\lambda y})^2 dy, \\ a_{55} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (1 - e^{-\lambda y}) dy, a_{57} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(y) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dy, \\ a_{71} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (2\lambda x e^{-2\lambda x} + 3 e^{-2\lambda x} - 4 e^{-\lambda x} + 1) dx, a_{72} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (1 - e^{-\lambda x})^2 dx, \\ a_{73} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) dx, a_{76} &= \int\limits_{0}^{+\infty} \alpha(x) e^{-(\gamma+\lambda)x - \int\limits_{0}^{j} \alpha(\tau) d\tau} \, dx. \end{split}$$

The Following result, which can be found in [9], plays important role for us to prove the well-posedness of the system.

Lemma 3.3 (The characteristic equation): If $\gamma \in \rho(A_0)$ and there exists $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $1 \notin \sigma(\Phi D_{\gamma_0})$, then

$$\gamma \in \sigma(A) \Leftrightarrow 1 \in \sigma(\Phi D_{\gamma}).$$

4. Well-Posedness of the System

Our main goal in this section is to prove the well-posedness and the existence of a unique positive dynamic solution of the system. We first prove that the operator A generates a positive contraction C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$. For this purpose we will check that operator A fulfills all the conditions in the Phillips' theorem, see [6, Thm. C-II 1.2]. The following lemma shows the surjectivity of γ -A for $\gamma > 0$.

Lemma 4.1: If $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma > 0$, then $\gamma \in \rho(A)$.

Proof: Let $\gamma \in R, \gamma > 0$. Then all the entries of ΦD_{γ} are positive and using only elementary calculations one can show that both column sums are strictly less than 1. Hence, $\|\Phi D_{\gamma}\| < 1$, and thus $1 \notin \sigma(\Phi D_{\gamma})$. Using Lemma 3.3 we conclude that $\gamma \in \rho(A)$.

Lemma 4.2: $A: D(A) \to R(A) \subset X$ is a closed linear operator and D(A) is dense in X.

If X' denotes the dual space of X, then $X' = (L_x^{\infty}(R_+))^3 \times (L_y^{\infty}(R_+))^2 \times (L_x^{\infty}(R_+)) \times (L_y^{\infty}(R_+))$.

It is obvious that X' is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|q\| = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \|q_i\|_{L_x^{\infty}(R_+)} + \sum_{i=3}^{4} \|q_i\|_{L_y^{\infty}(R_+)} + \|q_5\|_{L_x^{\infty}(R_+)} + \|q_6\|_{L_y^{\infty}(R_+)},$$

where $q = (q_0(x), q_1(x), q_2(x), q_3(y), q_4(y), q_5(x), q_6(y))^t \in X$.

Lemma 4.3: The operator (A, D(A)) is dispersive.

Proof: For $p = (p_0(x), p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(y), p_4(y), p_5(x), p_6(y))^t \in D(A)$, we define

$$q = (q_0(x), q_1(x), q_2(x), q_3(y), q_4(y), q_5(x), q_6(y))^t \in X',$$

 $\text{where} \quad q_{_{i}}\left(x\right) = \left\|p\right\| sgn_{_{+}}\left(p_{_{i}}\left(x\right)\right), i = 0, 1, 2, 5, q_{_{j}}\left(y\right) = \left\|p\right\| sgn_{_{+}}\left(p_{_{j}}\left(y\right)\right), j = 3, 4, 6 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(p_{_{j}}\left(y\right)\right), j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \right)$

$$sgn_{+}(p_{i}(x)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_{i}(x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p_{i}(x) \leq 0, \end{cases} i = 0,1,2,5, sgn_{+}(p_{j}(y)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_{j}(y) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p_{i}(y) \leq 0, \end{cases} j = 3,4,6.$$

Noting the boundary condition, it is not difficult to see that $\langle Ap, q \rangle \leq 0$. By [6] (p. 49) we obtain that (A, D(A)) is a dispersive operator.

From Lemma 4.1 - 4.3 we see that all the conditions in Phillips' theorem (see [6], Thm. C-II 1.2]) are fulfilled and thus we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.4: The operator (A, D(A)) generates a positive contraction C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t>0}$.

From Theorem 4.4 and [5] (Cor.II.6.9) we can characterize the well-posedness of (ACP) as follows.

Theorem **4.5:** The associated abstract Cauchy problem (ACP) is well-posed.

From Theorem 4.5 and [5] (Prop.II.6.2) we can state our main result.

Theorem 4.6: The system (R), (BC) and (IC) has a unique positive dynamic solution

$$p(t) = (p_0(t,x), p_1(t,x), p_2(t,x), p_3(t,y), p_4(t,y), p_5(t,x), p_6(t,y).$$

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11361057).

References

- [1] Gaver, D.P. (1963) Time to Failure and Availability of Paralleled System with Repair. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, **12**, 30-38. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TR.1963.5218202
- [2] Dhillon, B.S. and Anude, O.C. (1993) Common-Cause Failure Analysis of a Parallel System with Warm Standby. Microelectronics Reliability, 33, 1321-1342. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0026-2714(93)90133-J
- [3] Vanderperre, E.J. and Makhanov, S.S. (2002) On Gaver's Parallel System Sustained by a Cold Standby Unit and Attended by Two Repairmen. *Operations Research Letters*, **30**, 43-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6377(02)00106-2
- [4] Yue, D.Q., Zhu, J.L., Qin, Y.L. and Li, C.Y. (2006)The Gaver Parallel System Attended by a Cold Standby Unit and a Repairman with Multiple Vacations. *Systems Engineering-Theory & Practice*, **6**, 59-68.
- [5] Engel, K.-J. and Nagel, R. (2000) One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **194**, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] Nagel, R. (1986) One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators. Springer-Verlag. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0074922
- [7] Casarino, V., Engel, K.-J., Nagel, R. and Nickel, G. (2003) Asemigroup Approach to Boundary Feedback Systems. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 47, 289-306. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00020-002-1163-2
- [8] Greiner, G. (1987) Perturbing the Boundary Conditions of a Generator. *Houston J. Math.*, **13**, 213-229.
- [9] Haji, A. and Radl, A. (2007) Asemigroup Approach to Queueing Systems. Semigroup Forum, 75, 609-623. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00233-007-0726-6