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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the initial boundary value problem for a class of nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equations with strong dissipative and source terms $u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-a \Delta u_{t}=b|u|^{\beta-2} u, x \in \Omega, t>0$ in a bounded domain, where $a, b>0$ and $\beta>2$ are constants. We obtain the global existence of solutions by constructing a stable set in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and show the energy exponential decay estimate by applying a lemma of V. Komornik.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. In this paper, we investigate the existence and the energy exponential decay estimate of global solutions for the initial boundary value problem of the following Kirchhoff-type equation with strong dissipative and source terms in a bounded domain

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-a \Delta u_{t}=b|u|^{\beta-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a, b>0$ and $\beta>2$ are constants, $\varphi(s)$ is a $C^{1}$-class function on $[0,+\infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s) \geq m_{0}, s \varphi(s) \geq \int_{0}^{s} \varphi(\theta) d \theta, \forall s \in[0,+\infty) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m_{0} \geq 1$ constant.
When $n=1$, the equation (1.1) describes a small amplitude vibration of an elastic string ([1]). The original equation is

$$
\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left(P_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right| d s\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+f
$$

where $0 \leq x \leq L$ and $t>0, u(x, t)$ is the lateral displacement at the space coordinate $x$ and the time $t, \rho$ is the mass density, $h$ is the cross-section area, $L$ is the length, $P_{0}$ is the initial axial tension, $\tau$ is the resistance modulus, $E$ is the Young modulus and $f$ is the external force.

Many authors have studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) by using various methods. When $a, b>0$, and $\varphi(s)=s^{r}, r \geq 1$, K. Nishihara and Y. Yamada [2] have proved the existence and the polynomial decay of global solution under the assumptions that the initial data $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are sufficiently small and $u_{0} \neq 0$. However, the method in [2] can not be applied directly to the case that the equations have the blow-up term $|u|^{\beta-2} u$. M. Aassila and A. Benaissa [3] extend the global existence part of [2] to the case where $\varphi(s)>0$ with $\varphi\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and the nonlinear dissipative term $\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t}$. K. Ono and K. Nishihara [4] have proved the global existence and decay structure of solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) without small condition of data using Galerkin method. K. Ono [5] has obtained the global existence of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with dissipative term $u_{t}$ instead of $\Delta u_{t}$.
In the case $a=0$, for large $\beta$ and $\varphi(s) \geq r>0, \mathrm{P}$.
D. Ancona and S. Spagnolo [6] proved that if $u_{0}, u_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$ are small, then problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a global solution. When $\varphi(s) \geq 0, \mathrm{M}$. Ghisi and M. Gobbino [7] proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1)-(1.3) for small initial data

$$
\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

with $m\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \neq 0$
and the asymptotic behavior

$$
\left(u(t), u_{t}(t), u_{t t}(t)\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{\infty}, 0,0\right)
$$

in $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$
as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, where either $u_{\infty}=0$ or $\varphi\left(\left\|\nabla u_{\infty}\right\|^{2}\right)=0$.
The case $\varphi(s) \geq r>0$ has been considered by M . Hosoya and Y. Yamada [8] under the following condition:

$$
0 \leq \beta<\frac{2}{n-4}, n \geq 5 ; \quad 0 \leq \beta<+\infty, n \leq 4
$$

They proved that, if the initial data are small enough, the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a global solution which decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

In this paper, we prove the global existence for the problem (1.1)-(1.3) by applying the potential well theory introduced by D. H. Sattinger [9] and L. Payne and D. H. Sattinger [10]. Meanwhile, we obtain the exponential decay estimate of global solutions by using the different method from paper [8].

We adopt the usual notation and convention. Let $H^{m}$ denote the Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Omega)}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)
$$

$H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure in $H^{m}$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For simplicity of notations, hereafter we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$ norm, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes $L^{2}(\Omega)$ norm and we write equivalent norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|$ instead of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Moreover, $M$ denotes various positive constants depending on the known constants and it may be different at each appearance.

## 2. Preliminary

In order to state and prove our main results, we first define the following functionals

$$
K(u)=m_{0}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-b\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}, \quad J(u)=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then we define the stable set $S$ by

$$
S=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), K(u)>0, J(u)<d\right\} \cup\{0\}
$$

where

$$
d=\inf \left\{\sup _{\lambda>0} J(\lambda u), u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) /\{0\}\right\}
$$

We denote the total energy functional associated with (1.1)-(1.3) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\|\nabla u\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), t \geq 0$, and

$$
E(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s-\frac{b}{\beta}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\beta}^{\beta}
$$

is the total energy of the initial data.
Lemma 2.1 Let $q$ be a number with $2 \leq q<+\infty$, $n \leq 2$ and $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}, n>2$. Then there exists a constant $C$ depending on $\Omega$ and $q$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq C\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Lemma 2.2 [11] Let $y(t): R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$be a nonincreasing function and assume that there is a constant $A>0$, such that

$$
\int_{s}^{+\infty} y(t) d t \leq A y(s), 0 \leq s<+\infty
$$

then $y(t) \leq y(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{\lambda}}, \forall t \geq 0$.
We state a local existence result, which is known as a standard one.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2<\beta<+\infty, n \leq 2 ; 2<\beta \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}, n>2 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$, then there exists $T>0$ such that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique local solution $u(t)$ in the class
$u \in C\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Lemma 2.3 Let $u(t, x)$ be a solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.3). Then $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for $t>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=-a\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By multiplying equation (1.1) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=-a\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\| \leq 0
$$

Therefore, $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $t$.
Lemma 2.4 Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, if (2.2) holds, then $d>0$.

Proof Since

$$
J(\lambda u)=\frac{m_{0} \lambda^{2}}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{b \lambda^{\beta}}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}
$$

so, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} J(\lambda u)=m_{0} \lambda\|\nabla u\|^{2}-b \lambda^{\beta-1}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}
$$

Let $\frac{d}{d \lambda} J(\lambda u)=0$, which implies that

$$
\lambda_{1}=\left(\frac{b}{m_{0}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-2}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}}{\|\nabla u\|^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-2}} .
$$

As $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, an elementary calculation shows that

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d \lambda^{2}} J(\lambda u)<0
$$

Hence, we have from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} J(\lambda u) & =J\left(\lambda_{1} u\right)=\frac{\beta-2}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{b^{2}}{m_{0}^{\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-2}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{\beta}}{\|\nabla u\|}\right)^{-\frac{2 \beta}{\beta-2}} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta-2}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{b^{2}}{m_{0}^{\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta-2}} C^{-\frac{2 \beta}{\beta-2}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

we get from the definition of $d$ that $d>0$.
In order to prove the existence of global solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.3), we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.5 Supposed that (2.2) hold, If $u_{0} \in S, u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $E(0)<d$, then $u \in S$, for each $t \in[0, T)$.

Proof Assume that there exists a number $t^{*} \in[0, T)$. such that $u(t) \in S$ on $\left[0, t^{*}\right)$ and $u\left(t^{*}\right) \notin S$. Then, in virtue of the continuity of $u(t)$, we see $u\left(t^{*}\right) \in \partial S$. From the definition of $S$ and the continuity of $J(u(t))$ and $K(u(t))$ in $t$, we have either

$$
J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=d \quad \text { or } K\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=0
$$

It follows from (1.4) and (2.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\left\|u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{\beta}^{\beta}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq E\left(t^{*}\right) \leq E(0)<d .
\end{align*}
$$

So, the case $J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=d$ is impossible.
Assume that $K\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=0$ holds, then we get that

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} J\left(\lambda u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=m_{0} \lambda\left(1-\lambda^{\beta-2}\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2}
$$

We obtain from $\frac{d}{d \lambda} J\left(\lambda u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=0$ that $\lambda=1$.
Since

$$
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d \lambda^{2}} J\left(\lambda u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)\right|_{\lambda=1}=-m_{0}(\beta-2)\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|<0
$$

Consequently, we get from (2.5) that

$$
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} J\left(\lambda u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=\left.J\left(\lambda u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)\right|_{\lambda=1}=J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)<d
$$

which contradicts the definition of $d$. Therefore, the case $K(u(t))=0$ is impossible as well. Thus, we conclude that $u(t) \in S$ on $[0, T)$.

## 3. Main Results and Proof

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (2.2) holds, and $u(t)$ is a local solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) on $[0, T)$. If $u_{0} \in S, u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $E(0)<d$, then $u(x, t)$ is a global solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Proof It suffices to show that $\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}$ is bounded independently of $t$.

Under the hypotheses in Theorem 3.1, we get from Lemma 2.5 that $u(t) \in S$ on $[0, T)$. So the following formula holds on $[0, T)$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
J(u(t))=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u(t)\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \\
\geq \frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
=\frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, we have from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+J(u(t))=E(t) \leq E(0)<d .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \leq \max \left(2, \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}\right) d<+\infty
$$

The above inequality and the continuation principle lead to the existence of global solution, that is, $T=+\infty$. Therefore, the solution $u(t)$ is a global solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

The following Theorem shows the exponential decay estimate of global solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Theorem 3.2 If the hypotheses in Theorem 3.1 are valid, then the global solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.3) has the following exponential decay property

$$
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{M}}
$$

where $M>0$ is a constant.
Proof Multiplying by $u$ on both sides of the Equation (1.1) and integrating over $\Omega \times[0, T)$, we obtain that
$0=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u\left[u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u-a \Delta u_{t}-b|u|^{\beta-2} u\right] d x d t$,
where $0 \leq S<T<+\infty$.
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u u_{t t} d x d t=\left.\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, substituting the Formula (3.4) into the right-hand side of (3.3), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{S}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{2 b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}\right) d t \\
& -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a \nabla u_{t} \nabla u\right] d x d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& +\left.\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T}+\left(\frac{2}{\beta}-1\right) b \int_{S}^{T}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} d t .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) that
$\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(t) \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(0)<\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d$

By exploiting Lemma 2.1 and (3.6), we easily arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
b\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} & \leq b C^{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{\beta}=b C^{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{\beta-2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& <b C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
b\left(1-\frac{2}{\beta}\right) & \|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \leq b C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}} \frac{\beta-2}{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& \leq b C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}} \frac{\beta-2}{\beta} \cdot \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(t)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{2 b C^{\beta}}{m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}} E(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

We derive from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\|\nabla u\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s \leq \varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[1-\frac{b C^{\beta}}{m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}\right] \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a \nabla u_{t} \nabla u\right] d x d t-\left.\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

We have from Lemma 2.1 and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S}^{T}\left|\leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\right|_{s}^{T} \\
& \leq\left.\left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} \cdot \frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right)\right|_{s} ^{T}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq\left.\max \left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}, 1\right) E(t)\right|_{s} ^{T} \leq M E(S),
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the estimate (3.11) into (3.10), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[1-\frac{2 b C^{\beta}}{m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}\right] \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t  \tag{3.12}\\
& \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a \nabla u_{t} \nabla u\right] d x d t+M E(S)
\end{align*}
$$

We get from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t=2 \int_{S}^{T}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2} d t \leq 2 C^{2} \int_{S}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2} d t \\
& =-\frac{2 C^{2}}{a}(E(T)-E(S)) \leq \frac{2 C^{2}}{a} E(S) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From Young inequality, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and (3.6), We receive that
$-a \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t} \nabla u d x d t \leq a \int_{S}^{T}\left(\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|^{2}+M(\varepsilon)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right) d t$
$\leq \frac{2 a \beta \varepsilon}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t+M(\varepsilon)(E(S)-E(T))$
$\leq \frac{2 a \beta \varepsilon}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} \int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t+M(\varepsilon) E(S)$.
Choosing small enough $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 a \beta \varepsilon}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}+\frac{b C^{\beta}}{m_{0}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} d\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}<1, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, substituting (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{T} E(t) d t \leq M E(S) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T \rightarrow+\infty$, then we have from (3.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{+\infty} E(t) d t \leq M E(S) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we receive from (3.16) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-\frac{t}{M}}, t \in[0,+\infty) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished.
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