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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the effect of weight function in the nonlinear part on global solvability of the Cauchy problem for a class of semi-linear hyperbolic equations with damping.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the Cauchy problem for the semi-linear wave equation with damping

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-\Delta u+u_{t}=a(x)|u|^{p},(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n},  \tag{1}\\
& u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in R^{n} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}, \quad a(x) \in L_{q}\left(R^{n}\right), q>1$
In the case when $a(. x)$ is independent of $x$, the existence and nonexistence of the global solutions was investigated in the papers [1-8]. The authors interests are focused on so called critical exponent $p_{c}(n)$, which is the number defined by the following property: if $p>p_{c}(n)$ then all small data solutions of corresponding Cauchy problem have a global solution, while $1<p \leq p_{c}(n)$ all solutions with data positive on blow up in finite time regardless of the smallness of the data.

In the present paper we investigate the effect of the weight function $a(x)$ on global solvability of Cauchy problems (1) and (2).

## 2. Statement of Main Results

We consider the Cauchy problem for a class of semilinear hyperbolic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}+(-1)^{l} \Delta^{l} u+u_{t}=f(t, x, u),(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n},  \tag{3}\\
& u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x), x \in R^{n}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $l=1,2, \cdots$
Throughout this paper, we assume that the nonlinear
term $f(t, x, u)$ satisfies the following conditions:

1) $f(t, x, u)$ and $f_{t}(t, x, u)=\frac{\partial(t, x, u)}{\partial t}$ are continuous functions in the domain $[0, \infty) \times R^{n+1}$.
2) $f(t, x, 0)=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f\left(t, x, u_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x, u_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq a(x)\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{p-1}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{p-1}\right)\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(x) \in L_{q}\left(R^{n}\right), q>1,  \tag{6}\\
& p \in\left(1+\frac{2 l}{n}-\frac{1}{q},+\infty\right) \text { for } n \leq 2 l,  \tag{7}\\
& p \in\left(2-\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n(q-2)}{q(n-2 l)}\right) \text { for } 2 l<n<\frac{4 l q}{q+1} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel, by $\|.\|_{q}$, we denote the usual $L_{q}(\Omega)$ norm. For simplicity of notation, in particular, we write $\|$.$\| instead of \|\cdot\|_{2}$. The constants $C$, $c$ used throughout this paper are positive generic constants, which may be different in various occurrences.

Theorem 1. Suppose that the conditions (5)-(8) are satisfied. Then there exists a real number $\delta_{0}>0$ such that, if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in \bigcup_{\delta_{0}}=\left\{(\varphi, \psi): \varphi \in W_{2}^{l}\left(R^{n}\right) \cap L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right),\right. \\
\psi \in L_{2}\left(R^{n}\right) \cap L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right), \\
\left.\|\varphi\|_{W_{2}^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)}+\|\varphi\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right)}+\|\psi\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{n}\right)}+\|\psi\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right)}<\delta_{0}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then problem (3) and (4) admit a unique solution

$$
u(t, x) \in C\left([0, \infty) ; W_{2}^{l}\left(R^{n}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; L_{2}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)
$$

satisfied the decay property

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{|\alpha|=r}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(t, \cdot)\right\| \leq c(d)(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2 r}{4 l}},  \tag{9}\\
& t \in[0, \infty), r=0,1, \cdots, l \\
& \left\|u_{t}(t, \cdot)\right\| \leq c(d)(1+t)^{-\eta}, t>0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\eta=\min \left\{1+\frac{n}{4 l}, \frac{n(p-1)}{4 l}+\frac{n}{2 l q}\right\}, c(\cdot) \in C\left(R_{+}, R_{+}\right) .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

It is well known that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{W_{2}^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)}+\left\|u_{t}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq c, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T_{\max }=+\infty$, i.e. problem (3) and (4) have a global solution (see for example [9]).

Using the Fourier transformation, Plancherel theorem and the Hausdorff-Young inequality, for the solution $u(t, x)$ we have the following inequalities (see [1]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{3}\right)} \leq & c(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} E\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \\
& +c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} \Phi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau ; \\
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{3}\right)} \leq & c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}} E\left(u_{o}, u_{1}\right) \\
& +c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}} \Phi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{aligned} \\
& \left\|u_{t}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{3}\right) \leq} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}-1} E\left(u_{o}, u_{1}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \\
& \quad+c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}-1} \Phi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(u_{o}, u_{1}\right)= & \left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)} \\
& +\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W_{2}^{l-k}\left(R^{3}\right)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{3}\right)} \\
\Phi(\tau)= & \|f(\tau, x, u(\tau, x))\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)}  \tag{15}\\
& +\|f(\tau, x, u(\tau, x))\|_{L_{2}\left(R^{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of condition $2^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, x, u)\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq c \int_{R^{n}} a(x)|u(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, x, u)\| \leq c \int_{R^{n}} a^{2}(x)|u(x)|^{2 p} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Holder inequality, from (16) we have

$$
\|f(t, x, u)\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right)} \leq c\left(\int_{R^{n}} a^{q}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{R^{n}}|u(x)|^{\frac{p q}{q-1}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} .
$$

By virtue of condition (7), (8) and the multiplicative inequality of Gagliardo-Nirenberg type, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f(t, \cdot, u(\cdot))\|_{L_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)} \\
& \leq c\|a(\cdot)\|_{L_{q}\left(R^{n}\right)}^{q}\|u\|^{p(1-\theta)} \cdot\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|\right)^{p \theta}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{n}{l}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{q-1}{p q}\right),(\text { see [10]). } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously from (17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f(t, \cdot, u(\cdot))\| \\
& \leq c\|a(\cdot)\|_{L_{q}\left(R^{n}\right)}^{2}\|u\|^{2 p\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)} \cdot\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|\right)^{2 p \theta^{\prime}}, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}=\frac{n}{2 l}\left(1-\frac{q-2}{p q}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12), (16) and (20) we have the following estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha \mid=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(t, \cdot)\right\| \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} E\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \\
& +c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}}\left[\| u ( \tau , . ) \| ^ { p ( 1 - \theta ) } \cdot \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(\tau, .)\right\|^{p \theta},\right.\right.  \tag{22}\\
& \left.+\|u(\tau, .)\|^{2 p\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)} \cdot\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(\tau, .)\right\|\right)^{2 p \theta^{\prime}}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \|u(t, \cdot)\| \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}} E\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \\
& +c \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}}\left[\|u(\tau, .)\|^{p(1-\theta)} \cdot\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(\tau, .)\right\|\right)^{p \theta} \cdot( \right.  \tag{23}\\
& +\|u(\tau, .)\|^{2 p\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)} \cdot\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(\tau, .)\right\|^{2 p \theta^{\prime}}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (22) and (23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}(t) \leq c d+c(1-t)^{\frac{n}{4 l}} \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4 l}} \\
& \times\left[(1-\tau)^{-\gamma} G_{1}^{p(1-\theta)}(\tau) G_{2}^{p \theta}(\tau)\right.  \tag{24}\\
&\left.+(1-\tau)^{-\gamma^{\prime}} G_{1}^{2 p\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)}(\tau) G_{2}^{2 p \theta^{\prime}}(\tau)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau ; \\
& G_{2}(t) \leq c d+c(1-t)^{\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} \int_{0}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} \\
& \times {\left[(1-\tau)^{-\gamma} G_{1}^{p(1-\theta)}(\tau) G_{2}^{p \theta}(\tau)\right) }  \tag{25}\\
&+\left.(1-\tau)^{-\gamma^{\prime}} G_{1}^{2 p\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)}(\tau) G_{2}^{2 p \theta^{\prime}}(\tau)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{1}(t)$ and $G_{2}(t)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}(t)=(1-t)^{\frac{n}{4 l}}\|u(t, \cdot)\|,  \tag{26}\\
& G_{2}(t)=(1-t)^{\frac{n+2 l}{4 l}} \sum_{|\alpha|=l}\left\|D^{\alpha} u(t, \cdot)\right\|, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{n p}{4 l}+\frac{p \theta}{2}, \gamma^{\prime}=\frac{n p}{2 l}+p \theta^{\prime} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have from (19), (21) and (28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\frac{n p}{4 l}+\frac{p}{2} \cdot \frac{n}{l}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{q-1}{p q}\right)=\frac{n p}{2 l}-\frac{n(q-1)}{2 l q}  \tag{29}\\
& \gamma^{\prime}=\frac{n p}{2 l}+p \cdot \frac{n}{2 l}\left(1-\frac{q-2}{p q}\right)=\frac{n p}{l}-\frac{n(q-2)}{2 l q} . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from conditions (7), (8) and (29), (30) that

$$
\gamma^{\prime}>\gamma>1
$$

Allowing for (24), (25) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(t)+G_{2}(t) \leq c, t \in\left[0, T_{\max }\right) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the a priori estimate (9) is satisfied, so $T=\infty$. From (14) and (31) we yield the inequality (10).

## 4. Nonexistence of Global Solutions

Next let us discus the counterpart of the conditions (7) and (8). To this end we considered the Cauchy problem for the semi-linear hyperbolic inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}+(-1)^{l} \Delta^{l} u+u_{t} \geq f(t, x, u)  \tag{32}\\
& t>0, x \in R^{n} \\
& u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}(x),  \tag{33}\\
& x \in R^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f(t, x, u)=\frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u|^{p} .
$$

The weak solution of inequality (32) with initial data (33) where

$$
u_{0}(.) \in W_{1}^{l}\left(R^{n}\right), \quad u_{1}(.) \in L_{1}\left(R^{n}\right)
$$

is called a function $u(t, x) \in L_{1}\left(R_{+} \times R^{n}\right)$ which, and $u(t, x)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{R^{n}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \zeta(0, x) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{R^{n}} u_{0}(x) \frac{\partial \zeta(0, x)}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}}^{\infty} u(t, x)\left[\zeta_{t t}(t, x)-\zeta_{t}(t, x)+(-1)^{l} \Delta^{l} \zeta(t, x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} f(t, x, u(t, x)) \zeta(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

for any function $\zeta(.) \in C_{0}^{2,2 l}\left(R_{+} \times R^{n}\right)$, where $\zeta(t, x) \geq 0,(t, x) \in R_{+} \times R^{n}$.

From Theorem 1 it follows that if $n \leq 2 l$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in\left(1+\frac{2 l-2 s}{n},+\infty\right) \text {, } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that for any $\left(u_{0}(),. u_{1}().\right) \in U_{\delta_{0}}$, problems (30) and (31) have a unique solution

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u(t, x) \in C\left([0, \infty) ; W_{2}^{l}\left(R^{n}\right)\right) \\
\cap C^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; L_{2}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p \leq 1+\frac{2 l-2 s}{n}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problems (32) and (33) have no nontrivial solutions.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

We assume that $u(t, x)$ is a global solution of (32) and (33). Let $\phi \in C^{2}(R ;[0,1])$ be such that

$$
\phi(r)=1, r \leq 1, \phi(r)=0, r \geq 2
$$

and, choose

$$
\zeta(t, x)=\phi\left(\frac{t^{2}+|x|^{2 l}}{R^{2 l}}\right), R>0 \quad \text { (see [8]). }
$$

Taking such a $\zeta(t, x)$ as the test function in Definition 1, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{n}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \zeta(0, x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \zeta(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq \int_{R^{n}} u_{0}(x) \frac{\partial \zeta(0, x)}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{37}\\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} u(t, x)\left[\zeta_{t t}(t, x)-\zeta_{t}(t, x)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{l} \Delta^{l} \zeta(t, x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{align*}
$$

The choose of $\zeta($.$) implies that$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{n}} u_{0}(x) \frac{\partial \zeta(0, x)}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\Omega=\left\{(t, x) \in[0, \infty) \times R^{n}, t^{2}+|x|^{2 l} \leq 2\right\}$. Again, by the choice of $\zeta(t, x)$, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}} \zeta^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|\zeta_{t}\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{1}<\infty \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}} \zeta^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|\zeta_{t t}\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{2}<\infty \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}} \zeta^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|\Delta^{\prime} \zeta\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{3}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Take scaled variables $t=\lambda^{2 l} \tau, x_{i}=\lambda y_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{n}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \zeta(0, x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \zeta(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{39}\\
& \leq \lambda^{\sigma_{1}} \eta_{1}+\lambda^{\sigma_{2}} \eta_{2}+\lambda^{\sigma_{3}} \eta_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{1}= \\
& c_{2} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2 / \mu}}+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}}(\phi \circ \rho)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|(\phi \circ \rho)_{\tau \tau}\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \tau \leq c, \\
& \eta_{2}= \\
& c_{2} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2 / l \mu}}+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}}(\phi \circ \rho)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|(\phi \circ \rho)_{\tau}\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \tau \leq c, \\
& \eta_{3}= \\
& c_{3} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2 / l \mu}}+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{s p^{\prime}}{p}}(\phi \circ \rho)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left|\Delta^{l}(\phi \circ \rho)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} \tau \leq c,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{1}=\frac{2 s}{p-1}-\frac{4 l p}{p-1}+2 l+n  \tag{43}\\
& \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=\frac{2 s}{p-1}-\frac{2 l p}{p-1}+n \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in (39), owing to (35), (40), (41) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{n}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C<\infty . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account condition (36), from (45) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq C<\infty \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by applying the Holder inequality, from (37) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|<\lambda}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] h\left(\frac{|x|^{2 l}}{\lambda^{4}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \varsigma(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq\left(\iint_{\lambda^{2} \leq t^{2}+|x|^{4} \leq 2 \lambda^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{47}\\
& \times\left(\iint_{\lambda^{2} \leq t^{2}+|x|^{4} \leq 2 \lambda^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s p^{\prime}}}\left|\zeta_{t t}+\zeta_{t}+\Delta^{l} \zeta\right|^{p^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in (47), owing to (45), we get

$$
\int_{R^{n}}\left[u_{0}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{R^{n}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s}}|u(t, x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \leq 0 .
$$

Finally, taking into condition (36), we have that

$$
u(t, x)=0 .
$$
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