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#### Abstract

In this article, a model of a rotor with an asymmetric disk is presented in order to represent Campbell's diagrams and instability maps as a function of the rotations of the support which can significantly change the dynamic behavior of the rotor. Critical rotating speeds can also lead to unacceptable levels of vibration. Indeed, the critical speeds are a function of the dynamic rigidity of the rotating systems and the presence of the gyroscopic forces creates a dependence between the rotating speed of rotation and the natural frequencies to such structures (the CAMPBELL diagrams): this implies that the correct determination of the critical speeds is one of the essential elements when sizing such dynamic systems.
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## 1. Introduction

Spindles or rotors are defined as any element rotating around a fixed axis. They are used in many industrial applications and represent the centerpieces of rotating machines. Several studies of these systems have emerged, among which: The extended study of the dynamic behavior of a rotor mounted on a fixed support [1] [2]; the analysis of the stability of a beam whose equations of motion are parametric with periodic coefficients [3]; the stability and dynamic responses of a rotor with asymmetric geometry are studied [4] [5] [7]; studies relating to the dynamic behavior of on-board rotors under stresses [8], those of which the support is subjected to an earthquake [6] and to random stresses [8]. The works on on-board rotors interests us partially [9] [10].

Here we are interested in rotors whose supports are fixed as elucidated in some previous studies [11] [12] [13].

The spindle of a rotor can be considered as a continuous elastic body with properties of inertia and masses distributed along its length, especially in the high-speed range. Thus, vibrations appear in this mechanical system, limiting its performance and endangering its safety.

The dynamic analysis of continuous bodies in rotation makes it possible to understand their vibratory behaviors and to study their stabilities.

The objective of this work is to analyze the dynamic behavior of a brushless motor with elastic bearings and rigid and fixed supports.

The kinetic and strain energies as well as the virtual work of the components of the rotating flexible rotor are calculated. The proposed rotor model is based on the finite element method applied to a TIMOSHENKO beam. The use of the LAGRANGE equations provides the differential equations of the movement of the rotor in bending with fixed supports assumed to be rigid.

## 2. Material and Results

## o Basic theoretical model

To analyze the dynamic behavior of a rotor whose support is fixed or subjected to any known movement, it is necessary to obtain the equations of the movement of the rotating system. The characteristics of each element of the rotor are determined. Thus, the expressions of the energies and of the virtual work corresponding to the basic elements (disc, spindle, bearing, unbalance) are determined.

The following Figure 1 is used to establish the equations of the different characteristics of a rotor bearing at both ends. These results will then be applied to a brushless inrunner motor.

## o Characteristics of the different elements of the model

- Dynamic characteristics of the disc
$\rightarrow$ Kinetic energy of the disc
The disc is assumed to be rigid. Only its kinetic energy is considered.
Let $R_{0}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ be a Galilean frame of reference (fixed) and $R(X, y, z)$ a main frame of inertia (rotating) linked to the disc (See Figure 2).


Figure 1. Basic theoretical model.

The coordinate system of the two reference marks are connected through the angles $\psi, \theta$ and $\phi$ (See Figure 3 for disc rotation details). The displacements along the axes $\overrightarrow{X_{0}}$ and $\overrightarrow{Z_{0}}$ are denoted respectively $u$ and $w$. The displacement according to $\vec{Y}_{0}$ is supposed null because it is supposed that each point of the rotor moves in a plane perpendicular to $\vec{Y}_{0}$.

The general expression of the kinetic energy of the disk of mass $m_{d}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}=\frac{1}{2} m_{d}\left\|\vec{V}_{R / R_{0}}(C)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{t} \vec{\omega}_{R / R_{0}} \cdot I_{c} \cdot \vec{\omega}_{R / R_{0}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
I_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{d x} & 0 & 0  \tag{2}\\
0 & I_{d y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{d z}
\end{array}\right]_{(R)}
$$

$I_{d x}, I_{d y}$ and $I_{d z}$ are the main moments of inertia of the disc following the directions $\overrightarrow{O x}, \overrightarrow{O y}$ and $\overrightarrow{O z}$.


Figure 2. Reference marks of a disc mounted on a flexible rotating spindle.

$z_{0}=z 1$
precession $\psi$

$x 1=x 2$
nutation $\theta$

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { c } 
{ \vec { x } _ { 1 } = \vec { x } _ { 2 } } \\
{ \vec { y } _ { 1 } = \boldsymbol { \operatorname { c o s } } \boldsymbol { \theta } \vec { y } _ { 2 } - \boldsymbol { \operatorname { s i n } } \boldsymbol { \theta } \vec { z } _ { 2 } } \\
{ \vec { z } _ { 1 } = \boldsymbol { \operatorname { s i n } } \boldsymbol { \theta } \vec { y } _ { 2 } + \boldsymbol { \operatorname { c o s } } \boldsymbol { \theta } \vec { z } _ { 2 } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\vec{x}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s } \boldsymbol { \phi }} \vec{x}+\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i n } \phi} \vec{z} \\
\overrightarrow{y_{2}}=\vec{y} \\
\vec{z}_{2}=-\sin \boldsymbol{\phi} \vec{x}+\cos \boldsymbol{\phi} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{z}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Figure 3. Details of the disc rotations (Euler's angles).

That is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}=\frac{1}{2} m_{d}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{d x} \omega_{x}^{2}+I_{d y} \omega_{y}^{2}+I_{d z} \omega_{z}^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can further simplify this expression by putting forward the following hypotheses: symmetrical disc, low bending of the spindle...

Thus, the kinetic energy of the disc is simplified to become:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}=\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} m_{d}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right)}_{\text {Translation }}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} I_{d x}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{\psi}^{2}\right)}_{\text {Rotation }}+\underbrace{I_{d y} \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi} \theta}_{\text {Effet Gyroscopique }}+\frac{1}{2} I_{d y} \dot{\phi}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - Dynamic characteristics of the Spindle

The spindle is considered to be a beam of circular section, deformable and characterized by its kinetic and potential energies (of deformation).

## $>$ Kinetic energy of the spindle

The elementary kinetic energy $\mathrm{d} T_{a}$ of a spindle can be deduced by extending the kinetic energy of the disk by considering an infinitely thin spindle section of thickness dy, of section $S$ (assumed constant as show in Figure 4), of mass volume $\rho_{a}$ and inertia of section $I_{a x}$ and $I_{a z}$ (also assumed to be constant), In addition by taking as elementary mass: $\mathrm{d} m_{a}=\rho_{a} S \mathrm{~d} y$ and as moments of inertia of the elementary mass $\mathrm{d} I_{a x}$ and $\mathrm{d} I_{a z}$ in the reference $R(x y z)$; and finally with $I_{x}$ and $I_{z}$ as quadratic moments of inertia of the section of the elementary mass.

The elementary kinetic energy of an infinitely thin section of a spindle is then written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} T_{a}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d} m_{a}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} I_{a x} \omega_{x}^{2}+\mathrm{d} I_{a y} \omega_{y}^{2}+\mathrm{d} I_{a z} \omega_{z}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering that the cross sections of the beam are circular, the expression of the kinetic energy of the spindle is then obtained by integrating it over the entire length $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a}=\frac{\rho_{a} S}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y+\frac{\rho_{a} I_{s}}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{\psi}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y+2 \rho_{a} I_{s} \dot{\varphi} \int_{0}^{L} \theta \dot{\psi} \mathrm{~d} y+\rho_{a} I_{s} L \dot{\varphi}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:
$\frac{\rho_{a} S}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y:$ the expression of the kinetic energy of translation of a beam in bending.


Figure 4. The flexible spindle with its infinitely thin elementary section.
$\frac{\rho_{a} I_{s}}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{\psi}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y$ : the side effect of the rotational energy of the spindle.
$2 \rho_{a} I_{s} \dot{\varphi} \int_{0}^{L} \theta \dot{\psi} \mathrm{~d} y$ : the gyroscopic effect.
$\rho_{a} I_{s} L \dot{\varphi}^{2}$ : the rotational energy of the spindle.

## $>$ Spindle strain energy

Considering a point $B$ of the cross section of the spindle (Figure 5), $u^{*}$ and $w^{*}$ are the displacements of the geometric center C of the spindle along the $x$ and $z$ axes in the rotating frame, the displacements $u^{*}$ and $w^{*}$ are expressed in the fixed coordinate system.

The expression of the strain energy becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a}=\frac{E}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{s}\left(-\frac{\partial \theta^{*}}{\partial y} z+\frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial y} x\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} S \mathrm{~d} y \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And finally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a}=\frac{E I_{s}}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - Dynamic characteristics of the bearings

In general, the bearings, considered to be non-rigid, induce external forces acting on the spindle. These stresses come from stiffness or damping as in [9] (Figure 6).

Les caractéristiques associées à ces efforts sont:

- $k_{x x}, k_{z z}, c_{x x}, c_{z z}$ : stiffnesses and dampers according to the directions of the spindle $X_{0}$ et $Z_{0}$.
- $k_{x z}, k_{z x}, c_{x z}, c_{z x}$ : stiffness and damping in one direction $\left(X_{0}\right.$ or $\left.Z_{0}\right)$ but affected by the other direction; and represent mating constants.
The characteristics of stiffness and damping of the bearings are assumed to be known.

(a)


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{*}=u \cos \varphi-w \sin \varphi \\
w^{*}=u \sin \varphi+w \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

(b)

Figure 5. The displacement of the geometric center of the spindle: (a) for a constant speed of rotation of the spindle; (b) for a variable speed of rotation of the spindle.


Figure 6. Damping and stiffness of the bearing.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
F_{u}  \tag{9}\\
F_{w}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{x x} & K_{x z} \\
K_{z x} & K_{z z}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
w
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c_{x x} & c_{x z} \\
c_{z x} & c_{z z}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u} \\
\dot{w}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where où $F_{u}$ et $F_{w}$ : the components of generalized forces.
The virtual work of these forces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta w=F_{u} \delta u+F_{w} \delta w \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - Dynamic characteristics of the Unbalance:

An unbalance is a quantity of matter located at a distance from the axis of rotation and capable of causing the appearance of centrifugal inertial forces. In our case, we will consider a single unbalance of mass $m_{b}$, located at point B in the plane of the disc $(x O z)$ at a distance d from its geometric center C (Figure 7).

Kinetic energy of unbalance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b}=\frac{1}{2} m_{b}\left[\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}+d^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+2 \dot{u} d \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi-2 \dot{w} d \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dot{u}^{2}$ et $\dot{w}^{2}$ being negligible, then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b}=\frac{1}{2} m_{b}\left[d^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+2 \dot{u} d \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi-2 \dot{w} d \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## o Brushless motor spindle modeling

Here (in Figure 8) we will use the model studied by Lalanne/Ferraris [1] and adapt it to an experimental brushless inrunner motor as in [9].

The rotor characteristics are as follows:
> For the disc.

- Internal radius $R_{d 1}=0.001 \mathrm{~m}$
- External radius $R_{d 2}=0.015 \mathrm{~m}$
- Thickness $h=0.03 \mathrm{~m}$
- Density: $\rho_{d}=\rho=7800 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
- Young modulus: $E_{d}=E$
- Position: $l_{1}=L / 3$


Figure 7. The unbalance.


Figure 8. The spindle schematic of the brushless in runner motor.

- Mas $m_{d}=\pi \rho\left(R_{d 2}^{2}-R_{d 1}^{2}\right) h=1.65 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~kg}$
- Inertias: $I_{d x}=I_{d z}=\frac{m_{d}}{12}\left(3 R_{d 1}^{2}+3 R_{d 2}^{2}+h^{2}\right)=2.17 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

$$
I_{d y}=\frac{m_{d}}{2}\left(R_{d 1}^{2}+R_{d 2}^{2}\right)=1.86 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

$>$ For the spindle:

- Length $L=0.05 \mathrm{~m}$
- cross-section radius $R_{a}=0.001 \mathrm{~m}$
- $\rho=7800 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
- $E=2 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~Pa}$
- Area of the cross-section $S=\pi R_{a}^{2}=3.142 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
- Quadratic moment of inertia $I_{s}=\frac{\pi R_{a}^{2}}{2}=1.57 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}^{4}$.
- For unbalance.
- Mass $m_{b}=10^{-4} \mathrm{~kg}$
- Distance $d=0.02 \mathrm{~m}$
- For the bearing.
- Stiffness $k_{x x}=0$ et $k_{z z}=0$

The behavior of the spindle is that of the first mode of a beam in bending, of constant section and in support at both ends (Figure 9):

$$
f(y)=\sin \frac{\pi y}{L}=\sin \frac{\pi y}{0.05}=\sin 62.83 y
$$

The total kinetic energy $T$ is given by:


Figure 9. The first mode of vibration.

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =T_{a}+T_{d}+T_{b}  \tag{13}\\
T= & {\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho_{a} S_{a} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{u}^{2}+\dot{w}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{a} I_{s} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\dot{\psi}^{2}+\dot{\theta}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y+2 \rho_{a} I_{s} \dot{\varphi} \int_{0}^{L} \dot{\psi} \theta \mathrm{~d} y+\rho_{a} I_{s} L \dot{\varphi}^{2}\right] } \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{2} m_{d}\left(\dot{\psi}^{2}+\dot{\theta}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} I_{d x}\left(\dot{\psi}^{2}+\dot{\theta}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} I_{d y}\left(2 \dot{\varphi} \dot{\psi} \theta+\dot{\varphi}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} m_{b}\left[d^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2}+2 \dot{u} d \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi-2 \dot{w} d \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

By replacing the following expressions with their values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(y, t)=f(y) q_{2}(t) ; \dot{w}(y, t)=f(y) \dot{q}_{2}(t) \\
& \psi(y, t)=-g(y) q_{1}(t) ; \dot{\psi}(y, t)=-g(y) \dot{q}_{1}(t)  \tag{15}\\
& \theta(y, t)=g(y) q_{2}(t) ; \dot{\theta}(y, t)=g(y) \dot{q}_{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Kinetic energy will be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\boldsymbol{a}\left(\dot{q}_{1}^{2}+\dot{q}_{2}^{2}\right)-\dot{\varphi} \boldsymbol{b} \dot{q}_{1} q_{2}+\dot{\varphi} \boldsymbol{c}\left(\dot{q}_{1} \cos \varphi-\dot{q}_{2} \sin \varphi\right)+\text { Cste } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strain energy is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} E_{a} I_{s} \int_{0}^{L}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} y \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing the following expressions with their values:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=h(y) q_{1}(t) ; \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}=h(y) q_{2}(t)  \tag{18}\\
U=\boldsymbol{d}\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Apply the Lagrange equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right)-\frac{\partial T}{\partial q_{i}}+\frac{\partial U}{\partial q_{i}}=F_{q_{i}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

There will be the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a \ddot{q}_{1}-b \dot{\varphi} \dot{q}_{2}+2 d q_{1}-\ddot{\varphi} b q_{2}=c \dot{\varphi}^{2} \sin \varphi+c \ddot{\varphi} \cos \varphi  \tag{21}\\
2 a \ddot{q}_{2}-b \dot{\varphi} \dot{q}_{1}+2 d q_{2}=c \dot{\varphi}^{2} \cos \varphi+c \ddot{\varphi} \sin \varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Conditions:

Constant speed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\Omega t \quad \text { et } \dot{\varphi}=\Omega, \text { alors } \ddot{\varphi}=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

And with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a=m ; \quad b=\beta \quad \text { et } \quad 2 d=k \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form will be:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \ddot{q}_{1}-\beta \Omega \dot{q}_{2}+k q_{1}=c \Omega^{2} \sin \Omega t  \tag{24}\\
m \ddot{q}_{2}+\beta \Omega \dot{q}_{1}+k q_{2}=c \Omega^{2} \cos \Omega t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The natural frequency is determined for the two possible cases (rotor stopped and rotor rotating)

- Rotor stopped ( $\Omega=0$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{01}=\omega_{02}=\omega_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Rotor rotating $(\Omega \neq 0)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=\sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2} \Omega^{2}}{2 m^{2}}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{4 m^{2} \omega_{0}^{2}}{\beta^{2} \Omega^{2}}}\right)}  \tag{26}\\
& \omega_{2}=\sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2} \Omega^{2}}{2 m^{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4 m^{2} \omega_{0}^{2}}{\beta^{2} \Omega^{2}}}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}^{2}=\frac{k}{m} \text { et } m^{2} \omega_{0}^{2}=k m \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To each of the values $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ corresponds a mode:

- When $\omega=\omega_{1}$ et $\omega_{1}<\omega_{0}$ (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ mode ):

The movement along the $\overrightarrow{O x}$ axis is $\pi / 2$ ahead of the movement along the $O z$ axis but have the same amplitudes. These points turn in the opposite direction of the rotation of the rotor so we say that we have a reverse or retrograde precession as in [13] Figure 10.

- When $\omega=\omega_{2}$ et $\omega_{2}>\omega_{0}$ (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ mode):

The following movement $\overrightarrow{O x}$ is late compared to the movement according to $\overrightarrow{O z}$ of $\pi / 2$, but they have the same amplitudes. We say in this case that we have a direct precession as in [13] Figure 11.


Figure 10. Reverse precession.


Figure 11. Direct precession.

Using data from the symmetrical rotor of our Motor:

- The equation of the system becomes:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1.32 \times 10^{-1} \ddot{q}_{1}-1.84 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \dot{q}_{2}+1.22 \times 10^{5} q_{1}=1.73 \times 10^{-6} \Omega^{2} \sin \Omega t  \tag{29}\\
1.32 \times 10^{-1} \ddot{q}_{2}+1.84 \times 10^{-2} \Omega \dot{q}_{1}+1.22 \times 10^{5} q_{2}=1.73 \times 10^{-6} \Omega^{2} \cos \Omega t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The natural frequencies when stopped are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{10}=\omega_{20}=\omega_{0}=961 \mathrm{rds} / \mathrm{s} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The natural frequencies in rotation are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{1}=\sqrt{9.24 \times 10^{5}+9.72 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{1.90 \times 10^{8}}{\Omega^{2}}}\right)}  \tag{31}\\
& \omega_{2}=\sqrt{9.24 \times 10^{5}+9.72 \times 10^{-3} \Omega^{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{1.90 \times 10^{8}}{\Omega^{2}}}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Campbell's diagrams (Figure 12 and Figure 13) represent the resonant frequencies of the rotor as a function of the rotational speeds:

With Campbell's diagram, the functions $\omega_{1}=\omega_{1}(\Omega)$ and $\omega_{2}=\omega_{2}(\Omega)$ are cut by the lines $\omega=\Omega$ (synchronous case) and $\omega=s \Omega$ (asynchronous case) which gives points of intersections A and B for the first, and C and D for the second ( $C$ and D does not exist on our diagram because there is no asynchronous case). $\Omega 10$, which is the point of intersection of curves $\omega_{1}$ (direct mode) and $\omega_{2}$ (indirect or retrograde mode) is the resonant frequency of the rotor at standstill. A and B are the points at which the rotational speed of the rotor coincides with its resonant frequencies.

## - The Resonant Amplitudes:

By considering the centrifugal force of the unbalance ( $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ member):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \ddot{q}_{1}-\beta \Omega \dot{q}_{2}+k q_{1}=c \Omega^{2} \sin \Omega t  \tag{33}\\
m \ddot{q}_{2}+\beta \Omega \dot{q}_{1}+k q_{2}=c \Omega^{2} \cos \Omega t
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solutions are in the form:


Figure 12. This diagram allows us to see the pulsation for which the system enters into resonance.


Figure 13. This diagram allows us to see the speed of rotation causing the resonance frequency.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{q}_{1 p}=\bar{A}_{e 1} \mathrm{e}^{j \Omega t}  \tag{34}\\
\bar{q}_{2 p}=\bar{A}_{e 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{j \Omega t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

With $\bar{A}_{e 1}=A_{e 1} \mathrm{e}^{j \Phi_{e 1}}$ et $\bar{A}_{e 2}=A_{e 2} \mathrm{e}^{j \Phi_{e 2}}$

Then $\Phi_{e 1}=-\frac{\pi}{2}$ et $\Phi_{e 2}=0$
Thus $A_{e 1}=A_{e 2}$; so the orbits described by the rotor are circles.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{e 1}=A_{e 2}=\frac{c \Omega^{2}\left(k-m \Omega^{2}-\beta \Omega^{2}\right)}{\left(k-m \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}-\beta^{2} \Omega^{4}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this function has a limit of $\frac{c}{m-\beta}$ when $\Omega$ tends to infinity
The critical speed of a rotor subjected to an unbalance corresponds to the value of $\Omega$ for which the displacement is infinite. That is, when the denominator is equal to 0 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{c}=\sqrt{\frac{k}{m-\beta}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value corresponds to point $B$ of the Campbell diagram because of the influence of the stiffness symmetries of the bearings

## 3. Conclusion

The model developed with the Rayleigh-Ritz method is used in this study because of its simplicity. It sets up a model making it possible to treat simple cases and to highlight basic phenomena. It consists in replacing the unknown field by a finite number of unknown coefficients, which results in a field of displacements smaller than the exact solution. So, the approximate model is more rigid than the exact model. Finally, she uses few degrees of freedom to write the equations of motion. This allows us to quickly have information on the dynamic behavior of the rotor, with little precision. Thus, to avoid high amplitude responses that can lead to their destruction, the natural frequencies of the system must be distinct from the excitation frequencies throughout the operating range. For this, the critical speed was determined using the Campbell diagram; which is to be avoided, so as not to cause the resonance of the system. Technically, this speed is quickly exceeded by the engine when starting, to reach the operating range which is beyond 1000 rpm .
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