

Management of a Total Coalescence of the Labia Majora in an 11-Year-Old Girl Following a **Female Genital Mutilation: A Case Report**

Ouedraogo Issa^{1*}, Sib Sansan Rodrigue¹, Sanogo Moussa¹, Dembele Adama², Tiemtoré Sibraogo³, Sawadogo Yobi Alexis³, Thieba/Bonané Blandine³

¹Regional University Hospital Center of Ouahigouya, Ouahigouya, Burkina Faso ²Yalgado Ouedraogo University Hospital Center, Ouahigouya, Burkina Faso ³Souro Sanon University Hospital Center, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso Email: *oued_issa2002@yahoo.fr

How to cite this paper: Issa, O., Rodrigue, S.S., Moussa, S., Adama, D., Sibraogo, T., Alexia, S.Y. and Blandine, T./B. (2021) Management of a Total Coalescence of the Labia Majora in an 11-Year-Old Girl Following a Female Genital Mutilation: A Case Report. Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 11, 1133-1139. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojog.2021.119107

Received: July 29, 2021 Accepted: September 7, 2021 Published: September 10, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

Open Access

۲ (cc)

Abstract

Female genital mutilation (FGM) is still a topical practice in several African regions or countries. They constitute a violation of human rights, in particular because of their harmful consequences for the health of the people who suffer them and are the source of various complications. We report a case of FGM complications in the form of total coalescence of the labia majora with a small hole from which urine flows permanently. The management was a disinfubilation with suturing of the edges and urethral reimplantation and the evolution was favorable with complete healing after two (2) weeks.

Keywords

Female Genital Mutilation, 11-Year-Old Girl, Disinfubilation

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ 1. Introduction

"Female genital mutilation/excision" includes "all interventions resulting in partial or total removal of the external female genitalia and/or any other lesion of the female genitalia performed for non-therapeutic purposes" [1]. Long considered to be essentially African practices, female genital mutilation (FGM) affects, to varying degrees, all continents. They have started to decline, but slowly and in varying ways depending on the country.

It is still a current practice in several African traditions. It constitutes a violation of human rights, in particular because of its harmful consequences for the health of the people who suffer from it [2] [3] [4] [5] [6].

Woldwide, FGM has already affected more than 130 million women, and is still practiced each year on more than two million little girls [7] [8].

In Africa, FGM often underestimated [9] [10] [11] [12], is estimated to be practiced in 28 countries [3] [13], with a very disparate prevalence: 5% in Niger [14], 20% in Senegal [15] and 29% in Ghana [16]. This is why a joint program UNFPA/UNICEF was funded by the Global Fund to reduce by 40% the current prevalence of FGM in five years (2008-2012) in 17 countries including Burkina Faso [17].

In Burkina Faso, the practice of FGM has experienced an upsurge in rural populations, with a prevalence rate increasing from 72% in 1999 [18] to 77% in 2003 [17] and 77.5% in 2005 [19]. Considering the extent, the persistence and the gravity of FGM, a series of measures have been taken: call for the abolition of FGM in 1985, creation of a provisional committee to fight against FGM in 1988, then the creation of a national committee to fight against FGM in 1990, adoption of a law prohibiting FGM in 1996, establishment of a national day against FGM since 2000, and a toll-free number to denounce FGM in 2005. Despite this arsenal of measures, FGM continues to be practiced clandestinely on younger and younger girls, and even on babies. It has therefore become more pernicious and unfortunately the source of many complications.

We report a case of sequelae of female genital mutilation with total coalescence of the labia majora in an 11-year-old patient who underwent remedy with vulvar opening and repositioning of the urethra.

2. Clinical Case

Miss K. A., aged 11, resident in sector 12 of Ouahigouya, consulted for permanent urinary incontinence which has been evolving for about 6 months. The patient reportedly received traditional treatment of an unspecified nature without success. Faced with the persistence of the symptoms, the patient went with her grandmother at the Regional Teaching Hospital of Ouahigouya on 01/19/2021 for better care.

The medical examination showed:

- A good general condition, a temperature at 37.2°C, a blood pressure of 117/72 mmHg, a height of 1 m 26 cm, a weight of 25 kg, colored and anicteric mucous membranes;
- A supple and depressible abdomen;
- Vulva and perineum: 3rd degree excision sequelae: infibulation (removal of the clitoris, small labia minora) with total coalescence of the labia majora letting urine flow permanently through a small hole.

The diagnosis of sequelae of female genital mutilation with the coalescence of the labia majora is set (Figure 1).

A preoperative assessment with a pre-anesthetic consultation was requested. The results showed:

- A Positive O Rhesus blood group;



Figure 1. Sequelae of femalegenital mutilation with coalescence of the labia majora.

- The blood count: White blood cells: 6360/µL; Red blood cells: 4.72 × 10⁶/µL; Hemoglobin level: 11.6 g/dl; Hematocrit rate: 37.6%; Platelets: 255,000/µL;
- Urea: 2.7 mmol/L; Creatinine: 40.2 µmol/L; Blood sugar: 4.59 mmol/L.

The anesthetic risk assessment concluded ASA I, Alteimer II, Apfel: 2; No particular risk.

The patient was operated on under general anesthesia and an opening of the vulvovaginal orifice was made, with suturing of the edges with Vicryl 3/0 in two semi-stitches, a repositioning of the urethra after placing an indwelling urinary catheter. Neomycin ointment was prescribed for local application twice a day as postoperative care. The urinary catheter was kept for 6 days (**Figure 2**).

The outcome was favorable and the patient was discharged on D6 after removal of the urinary catheter and continued local care with Neomycin ointment and with an appointment on D14 (Figure 3) and D30.

3. Discussion

FGM has no health benefits, and girls and women who have undergone FGM are at risk of suffering complications throughout their lives. The practice is painful and traumatic [20] and is often performed under bad septic conditions by a traditional practitioner with little knowledge of female anatomy or how to manage possible side effects [21]. In addition, the removal or alteration of healthy genital tissue interferes with the body's natural functioning and can have several immediate and long-term consequences on genito-urinary health [21] [22] [23]. Evidence indicates that the risk of side effects is greater with type III FGM than with types I and II, and that these events tend to be greatly underreported [21].

Regarding the risk of obstetric complications from FGM, a WHO study group that analyzed the issue in 2006 concluded that it was significantly higher among women with FGM than among others, and that it was proportional to the forms of mutilation [24]. These harmful consequences can also affect the health of newborns [25].

For many girls and women, FGM is a traumatic experience that can leave



Figure 2. After remedy (opening vulvovaginal orifice and urethral reimplantation).



Figure 3. Days 14 after remedy.

lasting psychological sequelae and lead to various mental health problems [26] [27].

Since some types of FGM involve the removal of sexually sensitive structures, especially the clitoral glans and part of the labia minora, some women report a decreased sexual response and satisfaction. In addition, the cicatrization of the vulvar area can cause pain, especially during sexual intercourse [21] [27].

In addition, some care and exploration may be hindered due to anatomical alterations, especially gynecological examination, cytological analyses, post-abortion uterine evacuation, placement of the intra-uterine device (IUD), and use of pads, especially with type III FGM.

It is difficult to provide precise data on the direct consequences of FGM on health due to the small size of samples and to the methodological limitations of available studies. Despite these limitations, the amount of data has grown over the past ten years, which has recently made it possible to carry out systematic reviews and meta-analysis in order to synthesize it.

In our clinical case, the complication was long-term in the form of infibula-

tion with permanent urinary flowing. This complication is probably due to the lack of asepsis in the area of the lesions and the non-use of healing ointment.

The management of most immediate or short-term complications requires medical resuscitation, local care, local antiseptics, antibiotics, and long-term complications most often require surgical remedy.

In our case, the management was surgical with an opening of the vulvovaginal orifice, with suturing of the edges and repositioning of the urethra for which local care was necessary. This allowed perfect healing after 2 weeks.

4. Conclusion

Female genital mutilation is the cause of many complications and sequelae sometimes serious that often require multidisciplinary management (resuscitator, gynecologist-obstetrician, surgeon and psychologist). The success of this care requires proper training of the various stakeholders.

Ethical Considerations

We have obtained parental consent for taking pictures and those were reassured that they could only be used in a science-related purpose.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] World Health Organization (2021) Article Title. World Health Organization, Geneva. <u>https://www.who.int/topics/female_genital_mutilation/fr/</u>
- [2] Aina, O.F. (2007) An Overview of the Socio-Cultural and Psychiatric Aspects of Women's Reproductive Health in West Africa. *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 14, 231-237.
- [3] Banks, E., Meirik, O., Farley, T., Akande, O., Bathija, H. and Ali, M. (2006) Female Genital Mutilation and Obstetric Outcome: WHO Collaborative Prospective Study in Six African Countries. *Lancet*, 367, 1835-1841. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68805-3
- [4] Jones, S.D., Ehiri, J. and Anyanwu, E. (2004) Female Genital Mutilation in Developing Countries: An Agenda for Public Health Response. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, **116**, 144-151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2004.06.013</u>
- [5] Ogunsiji, O.O., Wilkes, L. and Jackson, D. (2007) Female Genital Mutilation: Origin, Beliefs, Prevalence and Implications for Health Care Workers Caring for Immigrant Women in Australia. *Contemporary Nurse*, 25, 22-30. https://doi.org/10.5172/conu.2007.25.1-2.22
- [6] WHO Senegal (1997) No to Female Genital Mutilation. Quarterly Bulletin from the WHO Representation Office in Senegal, 4-7.
- [7] Zaidi, N., Khalil, A., Roberts, C. and Browne, M. (2007) Knowledge of Female Genital mutilation among Healthcare Professionals. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 27, 161-164. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01443610601124257</u>

- [8] Rushwan, H. (2000) Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Management during Pregnancy, Childbirth and the Postpartum Period. *International Journal of Gynecology* & Obstetrics, 70, 99-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7292(00)00237-X</u>
- [9] Collinet, P., Sabban, F., Lucot, J.P., Boukerrou, M., Stien, L. and Leroy, J.L. (2004) Management of Type III Female Genital Mutilation. *Journal de Gynecologie, Obstetrique et Biologie de la Reproduction*, **33**, 720-724. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0368-2315(04)96633-1
- [10] Gordon, H., Comerasamy, H. and Morris, N.H. (2007) Female Genital Mutilation: Experience in a West London Clinic. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 27, 416-419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01443610701327511</u>
- [11] Lundberg, P.C. and Gerezgiher, A. (2008) Experiences from Pregnancy and Childbirth Related to Female Genital Mutilation among Eritrean Immigrant Women in Sweden. *Midwifery*, 24, 214-225. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2006.10.003</u>
- [12] Omer-hashi, K.H. (1993) Female genital Mutilation: Overview and Obstetrical Care. *Can J Ob Gyn Womens Health Care*, **5**, 538-542.
- [13] Livermore, L., Monteiro, R. and Rymer, J. (2007) Attitudes and Awareness of Female Genital Mutilation: A Questionnaire Based Study in a Kenyan Hospital. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 27, 816-818. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443610701709650
- [14] Ouedraogo, D. (2007) The Influence of Genital Mutilation on the Obstetrical Outcome of Women and Newborns in Four Maternity Hospitals in the Town of Fada Ngourma (Burkina Faso). University Expertise Thesis in Sexual and Reproductive Health, ISED; Dakar, 55 p.
- [15] Ndiaye, P. and Diallo, I. (2005) Formal Education in the Fight against Excision of Girls in Senegal. *Med Trop*, 65, 203-204.
- [16] Oduro, A., Ansah, P., Hodgson, A., *et al.* (2006) Trends in the Prevalence of Female Genital Mutilation and Its Effect on Delivery Outcomes in the Kassena-Nankana District of Northern Ghana. *Ghana Medical Journal*, **40**, 87-92. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v40i3.55258</u>
- [17] UNICEF (2003) FGM: Burkina Faso country sheet. DHS Burkina Faso 1998/99. 2 p.
- [18] Millogo-Traore, F., Kaba, S.T., Thieba, B., Akotionga, M. and Lankoande, J. (2007) Maternal and Foetal Prognostic in Excised Women Delivery. *Journal de Gynécologie Obstétrique et Biologie de la Reproduction*, **36**, 393-398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgyn.2007.03.002</u>
- [19] Hakim, L.Y. (2001) Impact of Female Genital Mutilation on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes during Parturition. *East African Medical Journal*, 78, 255-258. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/eamj.v78i5.9049</u>
- [20] United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (UNHCHR), Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), United Nations Development Program (UNDP), Economic Commission for Africa (ECA), United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), United Nations Fund for Children (UNICEF), United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM), World Health Organization (WHO) (2008) Eliminating Female Genital Mutilation: An Interagency Statement. World Health Organization, Geneva. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43902/1/9789242596441_eng.pdf
- [21] Berg, R.C., Underland, V., Odgaard-Jensen, J., Fretheim, A. and Vist, G.E. (2014) Effects of Female Genital Cutting on Physical Health Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. *BMJ Open*, 4, e006316.

https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006316

- [22] Kimani, S. (2015) A Synthesis of the Evidence: Health Impacts of Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting. Africa Coordinating Center for the Abandonment of FGM/C, Nairobi. <u>https://doi.org/10.31899/rh8.1006</u>
- [23] Iavazzo, C., Sardi, T.A. and Gkegkes, I.D. (2013) Female Genital Mutilation and Infections: A Systematic Review of the Clinical Evidence. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, 287, 1137-1149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-012-2708-5
- [24] WHO Study Group on Female Genital Mutilation and Obstetric Evolution (2006) Female Genital Mutilation and Obstetric Evolution: A Concerted Prospective Study in Six African Countries. http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/fgm/fgm-obstetric-study-fr.pdf
- [25] Berg, R.C., Odgaard-Jensen, J., Fretheim, A., Underland, V. and Vist, G. (2014) An Updated Systematic Review and Meta Analysis of the Obstetric Consequences of Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting. *Obstetrics and Gynecology International*, 2014, Article ID: 542859. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/542859</u>
- [26] Berg, R.C., Denison, E. and Fretheim, A. (2010) Psychological, Social and Sexual Consequences of Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting (FGM/C): A Systematic Review on Quantitative Studies. Report from Kunnskapssenteret nr 13-2010. Nasjonalt Kunnskapssenter for Helsetjenesten, Oslo.
- [27] Vloeberghs, E., van der Kwaak, A., Knipsheer, J. and van den Muijsenbergh, M. (2012) Coping and Chronic Psychosocial Consequences of Female Genital Mutilation in the Netherlands. *Ethnicity & Health*, 17, 677-695. https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.2013.771148