

ISSN Online: 2162-5344 ISSN Print: 2162-5336

Hand Hygiene by Ward Staff at Dapaong Regional Hospital in Togo

Essohanam Tabana Mouzou^{1,2*}, Sarakawabalo Assenouwe^{1,2}, Eyram Yoan Makafui Amekoudi^{1,3}, Tchaa Hodabalo Towoezim^{1,4}, Tchetike Pikabalo⁵

Email: *essohanam 2004@yahoo.fr, eyramyoan@gmail.com, antoine towezim@yahoo.com, sassenouwe@yahoo.fr, ftchet5@hotmail.fr

How to cite this paper: Mouzou, E.T., Assenouwe, S., Amekoudi, E.Y.M., Towoezim, T.H. and Pikabalo, T. (2023) Hand Hygiene by Ward Staff at Dapaong Regional Hospital in Togo. *Open Journal of Nursing*, **13**, 95-112.

https://doi.org/10.4236/ojn.2023.132007

Received: November 28, 2022 Accepted: February 21, 2023 Published: February 24, 2023

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/





Abstract

Introduction: Hand hygiene (HH) is an effective way to fight infections in healthcare settings. The general purpose of our study was to explore the knowledge, attitudes and practices of health care providers on HH at Dapaong regional hospital (DRH). Methodology: This was a prospective, descriptive cross-sectional study conducted from March to June 2022 in the DRH wards. Data were collected using a questionnaire and observation grid. Results: 90 care providers were surveyed. Males and non-physician personnel predominated with 57.8%, and 94.4% respectively. The survey on staff's knowledge reported: 31.1% of practitioners did not wash their hands on arrival and departure in services. 24% did not know the difference between simple hand washing (SHW) and hygienic hand washing (HHW). 23.3% did not know the type of soap to use for HHW. The caregivers did not know the type of hand washing (HW) required after a septic and non-septic procedure in respectively 41.6%, and 37.8%. They did not know that there are two types of hand antiseptics (45.4%), nor the amount of antiseptic for HW (78.9%). The survey on staff's attitude regarding HW found that: 70% did not remove all jewels prior HW, and 51.1% did not know that wearing gloves cannot replace the HW. For HW Staff Practice: 62.2% did not wash their hands before treatment. 91.1% did not spread the soap on their hands and forearms after wetting them. 65.55% did not rinse hands from nails to elbows. Conclusion: The HH was poorly known, the attitude of the staff was dangerous in relation to the HH and the practice of HH was very inadequate at the RHC-Dapaong. As a result, there is a need to retrain staff to increase their capacity to prevent care-related infections and enhance pa-

¹School of Health Sciences, University of Kara, Kara, Togo

²Anesthesia and Intensive Care Service, University Hospital of Kara, Kara, Togo

³Medical Intensive Care Service, University Hospital of Kara, Kara, Togo

⁴Traumatology and orthopedic Service, University Hospital of Kara, Kara, Togo

⁵Anesthesia and Intensive Care Service, Sylvanus Olympio University Hospital of Lomé, Lomé, Togo

tient safety in the hospital.

Keywords

Hand Hygiene, Care Providers, Hand Washing, Antiseptics, Dapaong Regional Hospital

1. Introduction

Hygiene is an essential part of the public health mission to reduce the transmission and consequences of disease [1]. As the hand is the gripping organ, it can be used to treat patients and provide support if needed. Thus, it is in constant contact not only with the environment which contains bacteria, viruses, parasites, fungi but also with toxic elements. Indeed, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), adherence to hand hygiene in the care setting is the first global challenge to patient safety: "clean care is safer care". Clean hands prevent disease transmission and save lives. A clean care is not optional, but an elementary duty [2].

According to the publication of the World Health Organization (2010), if on one side hands are used to care for patients, on the other side, they can be harmful to them. In fact, hands are the main vectors of germs in care units and represent the most common pattern of infection transmission in care units. When the application of hand hygiene (HH) is not done properly, the consequences can be severe [3]. In addition, another WHO publication in 2009 noted that infections associated with care (IAC) affect 5% to 15% of inpatients and 9% to 37% of patients in intensive care units (ICU). The prevalence of 4.5%, 6.7%, 9.5%, and 4.6% was reported in the USA, France, Scotland and Italy respectively [4]. In the United States, it is estimated that nearly 100,000 deaths a year are caused by IAC, while in Europe, in acute care hospitals, nearly 135,000 deaths a year occur as a result of IAS [5].

A study conducted in the Democratic Republic Congo in 2009 by Nsobani on hand hygiene among nurse practitioners in some of the referral hospitals in Kinshasa found that 61.7% of nurses did not wash their hands before giving care to patients. *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated in 60% and 64% respectively on the hands and the rings of these nurses [6].

A study carried out in Benin in 2012, in the operating rooms of the National University Hospital Hubert Koutoukou Maga in Cotonou, revealed a relative frequency of IAC of around 6.17% [7]. The study carried out in 2017 at the Abomey-Calavi/So-Ava Zone Hospital and University Centre and the Cotonou 5 Zone Hospital in southern Benin revealed an IAC prevalence of around 14.39% [7].

Therefore, hand hygiene in hospitals must be impeccable. Its application constitutes a guarantee for health security. It is one of the main measures against

nosocomial infections (NI).

However, the rate of nosocomial infections in Congo is very high since it is between 10% and 20% [8]. According to Article 9 of Law No. 2009-007 on the Public Health Code of the Togolese Republic, Book II on General Protection and Promotion of the public health, the local administrative authorities are empowered to draw up a health regulation applicable in the territory of their competence. This regulation is made on the proposal of the local health officer. Within the framework of the laws and regulations in force, the health regulations determine the measures to be taken by the administrative authorities to prevent or stop communicable diseases. In this regard, many strategies have been put in place to control and control nosocomial infections in all health regions of the country [9].

At the Dapaong Regional Hospital, despite the strengthening of the skills of the nursing staff in the practice of hand hygiene, cases of infections associated with care and nosocomial infections are still reported. These IAC and NI are very formidable because of the difficulties associated with their handling. There is evidence that these infections are largely preventable, but the methods for doing so are not always optimally followed by caregivers. We do not have data on hand hygiene practice in our medical settings. This is the reason for this study, whose general objective was to assess the knowledge, attitudes and practices of hand hygiene in hospitals, with the specific objectives to:

- assess the level of knowledge of health workers about hand hygiene;
- determine the attitude of health care personnel to hand hygiene;
- appreciate the practice of hand hygiene performed by nursing staff.

2. Methodology

It was a prospective, descriptive cross-sectional study conducted from March to June 2022 in the wards of Dapaong RH.

The data were collected using a questionnaire and observation grid.

2.1. Study Population

It consisted of all personnel working in wards: medical doctors (MD), medical assistants (MA), midwives (MW), birth attendants (BA), nurses (Nrs), and orderlies (O).

2.2. Inclusion Criteria

Included in the study were health workers, who provided care and had direct contact with patients and were present in the inpatient departments during the study period.

2.3. Criteria for Not Including

Not included in the study: health workers who were absent or refused to complete the questionnaire.

2.4. Sampling

We conducted a prospective, cross-sectional descriptive study with extensive sampling. (The sample is no longer returned to the population after selection).

2.5. Data Collection Techniques and Tools

The information collected focused on knowledge, attitudes and practices related to HH. This survey was conducted using a questionnaire and observation grid.

The questionnaire: A series of standardized questions were administered to selected caregivers to explore their knowledge and perceptions of HH. This questionnaire consisted of two parts: identification of the respondent, his knowledge and attitudes about HH (See appendix for the questionnaire). Staff had to answer the questions in writing, after explanation and informed consent the questionnaire was administered to the selected. The questionnaire was tested and corrected before administration. This questionnaire came from several sources, the assembly of which constituted the questionnaire.

The observation grid: it was used to assess the type and quality of the technique used for HDM practice. Health workers were noted by us by observing them in their practice.

2.6. Variables Studied

The main variables studied were:

- Level of knowledge of health care personnel on hand hygiene.
- Attitude of nursing staff on hand hygiene.
- The practice of hand hygiene performed by nursing staff.

2.7. Data Processing

The data collected were processed using Epi Info software version 7.2.5.0. and manually. Text entry and processing was possible using Microsoft Word Office 2013.

2.8. Ethical and Administrative Considerations

The study had received management approval from RHC Dapaong prior to commencing the investigation.

After a clear explanation of the benefits of the study, consent was obtained before the questionnaire was administered. The confidentiality of the information collected was guaranteed. The dignity and freedom of the respondents were respected throughout the investigation.

3. Results

During the study period, 90 personnel were surveyed out of 130 expected (69.2%).

3.1. Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Sample

Male personnel accounted for 57.8% with a sex ratio of 1.4. The mean age of was

39 years \pm 6.27 years (ranged 25 to 50 years). The surveyed staff consisted of five (5.6%) medical doctors, 10 (11.1%) medical assistants, 32 (35.6%) nurses, 10 (11.1%) Midwives, 8 (8.9%) birth attendants, and 25 (27.8%) orderlies.

3.2. Caregiver's Knowledge of HH

When surveyed regarding HH purpose, staff provided the following answers: protection of both caregivers and patients, and protection of caregivers in 94.4%, and 5.6% respectively. None reported the protection of the patients as HH purpose. In relation to hand washing (HW) requirement, all personnel reported the need of soap and water. Regarding the number of HW types, 85.5% reported a correct answer (Table 1).

3.3. Knowledge of Caregivers on Hand Washing Timing

When they were surveyed on the timing of hand washing, the following responses were given as reported in **Table 2**: upon arrival and departure from care facilities (68.9%), at departure only (26.7%) and on arrival only (4.4%).

3.4. Knowledge of Caregivers of Hand Washing Types and Indications

The difference between simple hand washing (SHW) and Hygienic Hand Washing (HHW), which was based on type of soap and washing duration was known by 73.3% of caregivers (**Table 3**). Whereas the HHW as correct type of HW to perform after a septic care, and the SHW as correct HW to perform after a non-septic care were known by 54.4% and 62.2% of staff respectively (**Table 3**).

Table 1. Caregiver's knowledge regarding HH by professional category.

		Pro	fessiona	l category	7		Total
-	MD N = 5	MA N = 10	Nrs N = 32	MW N = 10	BA N = 8	O N = 25	90 N (%)
		Purpose	of HH				
Protection of caregivers (incorrect)	0	0	0	0	0	5	5 (5.6)
Protection of both caregivers and patients (correct)	5	10	32	10	8	20	85 (94.4)
	Requir	ement fo	r hand w	ashing			
Soap and water	5	10	32	10	8	25	90 (100)
Types of hand washing							
One (incorrect)	0	0	0	0	0	5	5 (50)
Two (incorrect)	0	0	1	2	0	5	8 (8.9)
Three (correct answer)	5	10	31	8	8	15	77 (85.5)

Table 2. Staff's Knowledge on hand washing timing.

	Professional category							
	MD N = 5	MA N = 10	Nrs N = 32	MW N = 10	BA N = 8	O N = 25		
On arrival only (incorrect)	0	0	0	0	1	3	4 (4.4)	
At departure only (incorrect)	0	0	10	2	0	12	24 (26.7)	
On arrival and departure (correct)	5	10	22	8	7	10	62 (68.9)	

Table 3. Staff's knowledge of hand washing types and indications.

	Professional category								
	MD N = 5	MA N = 10	Nrs N = 32	MW N = 10	BA N = 8	O N = 25	90 N (%)		
	Differer	nce betwe	en SHW	and HHV	٧				
Type of soap and washing duration (correct)	5	10	26	9	7	9	66 (73.3)		
Washing duration only (incorrect)	0	0	2	0	0	2	4 (4.4)		
Type of soap only	0	0	4	1	1	14	20 (22.2)		
Т	ype of H	W to perf	orm afte	r a septic	care				
SHW* (incorrect)	0	0	11	1	0	17	29 (32.2)		
HHW ^{&} (correct)	3	6	18	9	5	8	49 (54.4)		
SrHW [#] (incorrect)	2	4	3	0	3	0	12 (13.3)		
Тур	Type of HW to perform after a non-septic care								
SHW (correct)	1	3	21	2	6	23	56 (62.2)		
HHW (incorrect)	4	7	11	8	2	2	34 (37.8)		
SrHW (incorrect)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

^{*}SHW: Simple Hand Washing; *HHW: Hygienic or Sanitary Hand Washing; *SrHW: Surgical Hand Washing.

3.5. Knowledge of Caregivers of Simple Hand Washing Procedure

The antiseptic soap was known by 69 caregivers (76.7%) to be the recommended soap for SHW, while none knew the duration of hand massage (**Table 4**).

3.6. Knowledge of Caregivers on Antiseptics

The correct amount of antiseptics (\geq 5 ml) required for hand sanitation was known by 19 caregivers (21.1%), and all of them knew at least one hand antiseptic (**Table 5**).

Table 4. Staff's knowledge of simple hand washing procedure.

		Professional category					
	MD N = 5	MA N = 10	Nrs N = 32	MW N = 10	BA N = 8	O N = 25	90 N (%)
	Durat	ion of h	and mas	sage			
At least 30 seconds (correct)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	5	10	32	10	8	25	90 (100)
	;	Soap to 1	be used				
Ordinary soap (incorrect)	1	0	5	0	0	15	21 (23.3)
Antiseptic saop (correct)	4	10	27	10	8	10	69 (76.7)
Туре	of tov	vel to be	used aft	er rinsing	3		
Single use (correct)	5	10	29	9	8	18	79 (87.8)
Multi-use (incorrect)	0	0	3	1	0	7	11 (12.2)

Table 5. Staff's knowledge on hand antiseptics.

	Professional category								
	MD N = 5	MA N = 10	Nrs N = 32	MW N = 10	BA N = 8	O N = 25	90 N (%)		
	1	Name of h	and anti	septics					
One	2	2	7	2	1	4	18 (20.0)		
Two	3	7	12	6	4	9	41 (45.6)		
Three and more	0	1	13	2	3	12	31 (34.4)		
Am	ount of	antisepsi	s used for	r hand sa	nitation				
1 ml (incorrect)	0	0	0	0	0	3	3 (3.3)		
2 ml (incorrect)	1	2	5	3	2	8	21 (23.3)		
3 ml (incorrect)	1	0	6	1	3	4	15 (16.7)		
4 ml (incorrect)	1	5	14	5	2	5	32 (35.6)		
At least 5 ml (correct)	2	3	7	1	1	5	19 (21.1)		

3.7. Staff Attitude Regarding Hand Hygiene

Forty-six caregivers (51.1%) thought wearing gloves can replace hand washing (Table 6).

3.8. Practice of Hand Hygiene

During the survey, the personnel used to wash hands before and after care in respectively 34 (37.8%) and 61 cases (67.8%), while 63 caregivers (70.0%) removed jewels except wedding ring, and 25 (28.8%) always used antiseptics (**Table 7**). A correct flushing direction and soap plating during HW were observed in 31 cases (34.4%), and 8 (8.9%) respectively (**Table 8**). None of surveyed personnel dried his hands after washing or closed the faucet with the hand towel.

Table 6. Staff thoughts on glove wearing.

		Professional category						
	MD	MA	Nrs	MW	BA	О	90	
	N = 5	N = 10	N = 32	N = 10	N = 8	N = 25	N (%)	
Wearing gloves can replace HW (incorrect)	2	3	14	4	3	20	46 (51.1)	
Gloves cannot replace HW (correct)	3	7	18	6	5	5	44 (38.9)	

Table 7. Procedure of HH by the staff.

		P	rofession	al catego	ry		Total
-	MD	MA	Nrs	MW	BA	О	90
	N = 5	N = 10	N = 32	N = 10	N = 8	N = 25	N (%)
		HW l	oefore car	re			
Yes	2	4	14	5	3	6	34 (37.8)
No	3	6	18	5	5	19	56 (62.2)
		HW	after car	е			
Yes	4	8	25	8	5	11	61 (67.8)
No	1	2	7	2	3	14	29 (32.2)
]	Personne	el attitude	to jewel	s arrange	ment		
Remove all jewels	5	7	7	2	3	3	27 (30.0)
Remove jewels, except wedding ring	0	3	25	8	5	22	63 (70.0)
		The use	of antise	ptics			
Mandatory	1	3	9	2	4	6	25 (28.8)
Optional	4	7	23	8	4	19	65 (72.2)

 Table 8. Flushing direction and Soap plating during HW procedure.

	Professional category						Total
	MD	MA	Nrs	MW	BA	О	90
	N = 5	N = 10	N = 32	N = 10	N = 8	N = 25	N (%)
Fl:	ushing	directio	n durin	g HW			
Rinse hands from elbows to nails (incorrect)	2	3	17	8	6	23	59 (65.6)
Rinse hands from nails to elbows (correct)	3	7	15	2	2	2	31 (34.4)
	;	Soap pla	ting				
Spread soap on hands without wetting them (incorrect)	3	6	28	8	6	24	75 (83.3)
Wet only forearms before spreading soap (incorrect)	1	1	2	1	1	1	7 (7.8)
Wet hands and forearms before spreading soap (correct)	1	3	2	1	1	0	8 (8.9)

4. Discussion

4.1. Study Limit

We did not take samples from the hands of the nursing staff in order to assess the quality of HH. The study was done during Covid-19, which is a real bias on the results.

4.2. Sociodemographic Characteristics

✓ Sample size

The small size included sample was related to the investigation design and the consent of staff. It would be related to the fact that some health workers were afraid of being exposed as setting a bad example during COVID-19.

✓ Age of the personnel

The mean age of respondents was 39 years \pm 6.27 years, with extremes of 25 and 50 years. This result is a reflection of the mostly young health workers constituting valid arms for the RHC-Dapaong.

✓ Category of staff

Among those surveyed non-medical staff accounted for 94.4%. This workforce is inherent in all health facilities and depending on hospital activities requiring more paramedics.

4.3. Caregiver Knowledge of HH

✓ Purpose of the HH

The goal of hand hygiene was not known by 5.6% of the respondents. A category in our sample had not received training on HH. Objective recalled by [10]. This staff exposes patients to the risk of infection. DRAME G. [1] in his study in Mali reported a rate of 21.1% not knowing the objective. These staff need training on HH.

4.4. HW Definition

All respondents (100%) were aware that the HW consists of washing their hands with soap and water. Indeed, all health personnel had had training on hand hygiene in health facilities in Togo, particularly at RH of Dapaong. The definition recalled by [11].

For DIALLO A it was 98.3% [5]. This knowledge remains to be encouraged, it serves as a basis for practice.

4.5. Types of HW

Fourteen decimal four percent (14.4%) of respondents did not know that there are 3 types of HW. This is a misunderstanding or oversight. This rate is much lower than that of DRAME G with 72.6% [1]. In this case the infectious risk in DRAMA G [1] was very high. These types were recalled by [12] [13]. In our context, the study was conducted in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. This

is worrisome because with each type of hand washing, its particular indications. This knowledge should allow a good adaptation of the indications.

4.6. Difference between SHW and HHW

24% of respondents did not know the difference between SHW and HHW. The difference was well defined by [14]. This lack of knowledge exposes staff to the risks of contamination of patients and their environment. This lack of knowledge increases the risk of contamination by inappropriate attitude and practice.

4.7. Hand Rubbing Time with Soap during HW

All respondents (100%) had no knowledge of friction time during the SHW and therefore did not respect the friction duration. This friction time was recalled by [12]. This lack of staff knowledge increases the poor practice of SHW and a higher risk of contamination by making washing ineffective. Kaba M. [6] reported a rate of 98.6% not knowing the time of friction. This failure is very serious, the time of hand friction contributes to the effectiveness of the SHW. Nursing staff must be trained in hand hygiene.

4.8. Type of Hand Towel to Be Used after Rinsing

Out of surveyed staff, 12.2% did not know that it is the single-use hand towel that should be used after rinsing. The use of the hand towel was recalled by [5] probably this result would be related to the lack of hand towels in the services, which makes the staff ignore its importance. For Guemning V. [7] 33.2% of the respondents did not know that the towel was recommended.

4.9. Hand Washing Indication.

Among those surveyed, 31.1% did not know the indications. This was very dangerous with risk of contamination of the patients and the perpetuation of germs on the staff who could contaminate people outside the hospital. The study conducted by DRAME G [1] found a rate of 66.98% not knowing the indications. Hence the need to train or retrain nursing staff on hand washing. This indication was recalled by [5] [12] [15].

4.10. Type of Soap Used for Hand Washing

Twenty-three decimal three percent of the respondents did not know the type of soap to use for hand washing. Soap used for hygienic hand washing was recalled by [14]. The staff again needs training on hand hygiene. The hygienic washing was recalled by [12].

4.11. Type of Hand Washing after Patient Care

Thirty-seven decimal eight per cent did not know the type of hand washing to be performed after a patient's care. This type of washing was recalled by [5]. This was yet another misunderstanding of the HW rules.

4.12. Type of Hand Washing after Septic Care

Forty-one decimal six percent of the respondents did not know the type of hand washing to be performed after a septic act, as recalled by [5]. This result noted the great ignorance of the rules of hand hygiene. It is necessary to organize the training of personnel on HW.

4.13. Types of Hand Antiseptics

The existence of two types of hand antiseptics was not known by 54.5% of respondents. The existence of both types of antisepsis was by [14]. This lack of knowledge could be explained by the fact that the hospital did not yet have sufficient hydro alcoholic solutions. This finding corroborates that of GUEMNING V. L. [4] who found 54.5% in his study. Staff still need training.

4.14. Amount of Antiseptic Used for Hand Antiseptics

Seventy-eight decimal nine percent of respondents did not know the amount of antisepsis (more than 5 ml). This quantity was recalled by [1] [5] [16]. This result is related to the fact that caregivers make more use of hand washing without the notion of hand antiseptics and therefore ignore good practices. They need to be trained absolutely.

4.15. Attitudes of Caregivers on Hand Hygiene

✓ Jewelry arrangement

Seventy percent of those surveyed did not know that all jewelry and even the wedding ring had to be removed. These provisions were recalled by [12]. This attitude remains a risk factor that can increase the incidence of IAC in care structures. The study conducted by Musangu *et al.* [8] in the Congo found a similar result (64.6%). Health care workers see jewelry as a precious object. They absolutely need training.

✓ Nail arrangement

All respondents (100%) recognized the importance of nail trimming. This could be explained by the fact that the hands of caregivers in constant contact with patients could injure them and harbour the germs. This practice should be encouraged to increase the effectiveness of the HW. The nail provisions were also recalled by [12].

✓ Hand antiseptics and hand washing

Twenty-seven decimal eight percent (27.8%) of the respondents were unaware that hand antiseptics were optional after hand washing recalled by [5] [14]. This is not a danger in itself.

✓ Wearing of gloves

Among those surveyed, 51.1% did not know that wearing gloves cannot replace hand washing. This is because health workers use gloves more in their actions instead of washing their hands. They should be aware of the importance of

hand washing, it is indicated even before wearing gloves. This attitude is very serious for staff and patients by facilitating the transmission of germs. This rate is lower than that reported by Kaba M. [6] who had found 76.3%. Wearing gloves should never replace hand washing.

4.16. Practices of the Staff Regarding Hand Hygiene

Despite adequate knowledge on a variety of hand hygiene measures, other necessary practices are not implemented in the Dapaong Regional Hospital.

Of those surveyed, 62.2% did not wash their hands before treatment. This practice is very dangerous, it constitutes a factor of manual transmission that can increase the incidence of IAC in the structures of care recalled by [12].

Thirty-two decimal two percent of respondents did not wash their hands after care. This is a very dangerous practice at the origin of the transmission of germs to the sick and to the environment of care structures recalled by [12].

Ninety-one decimal one percent of the respondents did not spread the soap on their hands and forearms after wetting them. It is a lack of knowledge of the steps of the HW by the caregivers recalled by [5]. This contributes to a decrease in the effectiveness of the gesture, hence the importance of becoming aware.

Of those surveyed, 65.6% did not rinse the hands from the nails to the elbows, a practice recalled by [12].

This bad practice diminishes the benefit expected from hand washing. It is necessary to train staff in proper washing and rinsing practice in the right direction to optimize the effectiveness of the HW.

None of the respondents dried their hands after washing or closed the tap with the hand towel, a practice recalled by [5]. This bad practice must be corrected by training the care staff.

5. Conclusions

The hospital hand hygiene study provided us with the knowledge, attitudes and practices of HH in general within the Dapaong RH:

- the socio-demographic profile of the respondents shows a young nursing staff dominated by paramedics and men;
- the respondents' level of knowledge was low;
- in their attitudes, they did not respect the basic rules of the HH;
- HW practice was very inadequate.

Hand washing, an inexpensive gesture, its promotion is essential to avoid the transmission of germs and reduce the incidence of infections associated with care.

It is essential to strengthen the capacities of staff on hand hygiene in hospitals.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Mouzou Isabelle and Hégbor Afi for the secretarial work and translation of the text into English.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Drame, G. (2008) Hygiène des mains dans les services à haut risque infectieux du CHU du point «G». Thèse, Université de Bamako, Bamako, 74 p. https://www.keneya.net/fmpos/theses/2008/med/pdf/08M172.pdf
- [2] Ribeiro Pinto, V., Rodiguez Gonzalez, A. and Silva, I. (2015) Quels sont les principaux facteurs influençant l'hygiène des mains chez les infirmiers/infirmières dans les milieux de soins. Haute école de santé Genève, Genève, 86 p. https://sonar.ch/global/documents/315265
- [3] Muyulu, N. (2016) Perceptions et croyances relatives à l'hygiène des mains chez les infirmières de deux hôpitaux de la République démocratique du Congo. Mémoire, Université de Montréal, Montréal, 127 p. https://papyrus.bib.umontreal.ca/xmlui/handle/1866/16295
- [4] Gbenou, E., Hossou, N. and Ahoyo, T. (2019) Audit de l'hygiène des mains dans les services de maternité et de médecine-pédiatrie de l'hôpital de zone d'Adjohoun au Bénin en 2019. EPAC/CAP/UAC, Cotonou, 38 p. http://biblionumeric.epac-uac.org;8080/jspui/handle/123456789/3825
- [5] Diallo, A. (2015) Connaissances, attitudes et pratiques liées au lavage des mains à Djicoroni-Para. Thèse, Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Bamako, 69 p. https://www.bibliosante.ml/handle/123456789/766
- [6] Minata, K. (2009) Connaissances, attitudes et pratiques liées au lavage des mains en milieu formel, informel et domestique à Yirimadio en 2009 (commune VI-district de Bamako-Mali). Thèse, Université de Bamako, Bamako, 58p. https://www.keneya.net/fmpos/theses/2009/med/pdf/09M500.pdf
- [7] Guemning Watchueng, V.L. (2014) Ethnographie du lavage des mains au centre de santé de référence de la commune II du district de Bamako. Thèse, Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Bamako, 85 p. https://www.keneya.net/fmpos/theses/2014/med/pdf/14M220.pdf
- [8] Musangu, M.S., Umba, F.M., Bope, M.B., Bora, G.K., Kalwaba, S.K., et al. (2020) Observance of Hand Hygiene in Hospitals: A Requirement for Patient Safety in Health Structures in Lubumbashi, Democratic Republic of Congo. Revue de l'Infirmier Congolais, 4, 36-42.
- [9] (2009) Loi N° 2009-007 du 15 mai 2009 portant code de la sante publique de la République togolaise. *Journal Officiel De La République Togolaise*, 25, 1-57. https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/tog93366.pdf
- [10] Boutolleau, G., Brangier, M.-T., Brochard, M.T., Cadot, C., Cante, Y., Da Cunha, E., et al. (1996) Recommandations pour les bonnes pratiques d'hygiène dans les services d'accueil des urgences. CCLIN Sud-Ouest, Toulouse. p. 18. https://www.sfmu.org/upload/consensus/cclin_hygiene_sau.pdf
- [11] Nina N'Diaye Johanne Samson (2013) Hygiène des mains en milieu hospitalier:
 Stratégies de promotion et de formation Note informative préparée par l'UETMIS du CHU Sainte-Justine, Montréal.

 https://www.chusj.org/getmedia/b634151e-850c-4a79-8004-61aa0622ca89/UETMIS
 https://www.chusj.org/getmedia/b634151e-850c-4a79-8004-61aa0622ca89/UETMIS
 https://www.chusj.org/getmedia/b634151e-850c-4a79-8004-61aa0622ca89/UETMIS
- [12] Gouvi, A.W., Koudokpon, C.H. and Dandjlessa, O. (2019) Evaluation des connaissances

- sur l'hygiène des mains du personnel des centres de santé publics de Houéyogbé (commune de Houéyogbé) Bénin. EPAC/CAP/UAC, Cotonou, 50 p. http://biblionumeric.epac-uac.org:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/3277
- [13] Hounsa, S.N., Cakpo, P., Dougnon, V.T., Klotoe, J.R. and Kougnimon, E. (2019) Evaluation de l'hygiène des mains et du risque infectieux au centre médicale d'Oganla (commune de Porto Novo). EPAC/CAP/UAC, Cotonou, 43p. http://biblionumeric.epac-uac.org:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/3646
- [14] Capo-Chichi, E.N. (2018) Evaluation de l'observance de l'hygiène des mains par le personnel soignant du service de pédiatrie du chud-op au Bénin en 2018. EPAC/ UAC/CAP, Cotonou, 43 p. http://biblionumeric.epac-uac.org:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/979
- [15] Hossou, N. (2018) Audit de l'hygiène des mains en milieu hospitalier: Cas des services de maternité et de medecine-pédiatrie de l'hôpital de zone d'Adjohoun au Bénin en 2018. EPAC/UAC/CAP, Cotonou, 38 p. http://biblionumeric.epac-uac.org:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/645
- [16] Organisation mondiale de la Santé. (2010) Résumé des recommandations de l'OMS pour l'hygiène des mains au cours des soins. Organisation mondiale de la Santé Santé. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/70469

Annex 1: Survey Form (Questionnaire)

<u>Information and invitation notice</u>: We are the nursing students of ENAM Dapaong and we invite you to participate in a study on hand hygiene. Your participation will be very beneficial.

PART A. <u>Identification</u>
1) Investigation Record N°./_/
2) Date // /
3) Location of Investigation//
4) Age
☐ 21 to 25years
☐ 26 to 30 years
☐ 31 to 35 years
☐ 36 to 40 years
☐ 40 years and over
5) Gender
☐ Male ☐ Female
6) Professional category
☐ Medical Doctor (MD) ☐ Medical assistant (MA) ☐ Nurse (Nrs)
☐ Midwife ☐ Orderly ☐ Birth attendants (BA)
PART B: Knowledge and attitudes of caregivers on hand hygiene.
7) Are you familiar with hand washing? □Yes □No
8) If yes, what is your definition?
☐ Wash hands with soap and water
☐ Wash hands with only water
Other to specify:
9) Are there several types of hand washing? ☐ Yes ☐ No
10) If yes, how many types of hand washing exist?
☐ One ☐ Two ☐ Three
Other to specify:
11) Is hand washing different from simple washing? ☐ Yes ☐ No
12) If so, how does simple hand washing differ from sanitary washing?
☐ Type of soap and washing time
☐ Washing time only
☐ Type of soap only
Other to specify:
13) Do you know the soap used for hand hygiene? ☐ Yes ☐ No
14) If so, what type of soap?
Ordinary soap
Antiseptic soap
Other to specify:
15) Do you know how the soap is spread? ☐ Yes ☐ No
16) If so, what is the technique?
☐ Directly on hands without wetting them

☐ After wet hands only
☐ After wet hands and forearm
Other to specify:
17) Do you know how long it takes to massage your hands with soap?
☐ Yes ☐ No
18) If yes which?
☐ 30 seconds 1 minute 2 minutes
☐ 3 minutes 4 minutes
Other to specify:
19) Do you know how hand rinsing is done? ☐ Yes ☐ No
20) If so, how?
☐ From nails to elbows
☐ From elbows to nails
Other to specify:
21) Do you know the paper towel to use? ☐ Yes ☐ No
22) If so, what type of towel?
☐ Single use ☐ Multiple use
Other to specify:
23) Do you know what the tap should be closed with? Yes No
24) If yes with what?
☐ The bare hand ☐ The hand towel used
Other to specify:
25) Do you know if jewelry needs to be removed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
26) If yes, which ones?
\square Even the covenant \square All but the covenant
Other to specify:
27) Is it important to trim your nails? ☐ Yes ☐ No
28) Do you know when to wash your hands about the service?
☐ Only upon arrival at the service
☐ Only when leaving the service
☐ On arrival and departure
Other to specify:
29) After any septic act, do you know whether to wash your hands?
☐ Yes ☐ No
30) If so, which one? □ Simple Hygienic □ Without Soap
Other to specify:
31) Before and after each treatment to a patient, should a wash be done?
☐ Yes ☐ No
32) If so, which one?
☐ Simple hands Hygienic hands
☐ Soap-free
Other to specify:
33) How many types of hand antiseptics exist?
□ One □ Two

Other to specify:
34) Do you know how much antiseptic to put in your hands?
☐ Yes ☐ No
35) If yes, how much?
□ 1 ml □ 2 ml □ 3 ml □ 4 ml □ At least 5 ml
36) Is hand antiseptics required after hand washing?
☐ Yes ☐ No
37) Can gloves replace hand washing? ☐ Yes ☐ No
38) Do you know why hand hygiene is done?
☐ Yes ☐ No
39) If so, why?
☐ Only to protect patients from manual infections
☐ Only to protect personnel from manual infections
☐ To protect patients and staff from manual infections
Other to specify:
THIS IS THE END OF THE INTERVIEW, THANK YOU FOR YOUR
AVAILABILITY! DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?
ANNEX 2: observation Grid
Card Number / /
Observation made by:
Observed Person:
Doctor □ Medical assistant □ Nurse □
Midwife □ Orderly □
Elements related to standard hand washing precautions
Wearing jewelry YES // NO //
Wearing watches in forearm YES / / NO / /
Bracelets worn on forearm YES // NO //
Short finger nails YES // NO //
Artificial finger nails YES / / NO / /
• Finger nails without varnish YES / / NO / /
Type of care that caused hand hygiene
Clinical Review /
• Dressing /
Infusion, transfusion //
• Injections (IV, IM, SC) // • Blood collection /
Blood collection /
• Eutocial delivery /
• Implementation of naso gastric tube, Indwelling Urinary Catheter or Evacu-
ator /

•	Surgery //
•	Physiotherapy //
•	Others to specify //
<i>T</i>)	vpe of hand hygiene performed
•	Washing with soap and water YES / / NO / /
•	Hydro-alcoholic friction YES / / NO //
•	Surgical washing YES / / NO //
•	Washing with water and soap plus hydro-alcoholic friction YES/
	NO//
H_{i}	and washing procedure with soap and water
•	Wash hands before care YES / / NO / /
•	Wet hands before soap YES // NO //
•	Use of mild soap YES / / NO / /
•	Use of antiseptic soap YES / / NO / /
•	Quantity of product adapts YES// NO//
•	Use of brushes YES / / NO / /
•	Rinse thoroughly and thoroughly YES / / NO / /
•	Single Use Hand Towels YES //NO //
•	Use of multi-use/collective towels YES //NO //
•	Electric hand dryer YES / / NO / /
•	Hand washing time: in seconds OR minutes
•	Hand washing after care YES / / NO / /